



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

May - June, 1998

Serving Their Gods

In the past two issues of the Intelligence Newsletter we have discussed the un-Biblical concepts of modern party politics. This same phenomenon exists in all of the Western nations. We have shown that the average voter considers his particular party to be in a god-like status. He votes his party even while fully realizing that the issue in question is not in accordance with the Bible. Further, that concept of thinking becomes even more prominent as more and more gods become a part of the American scene.

Most people have been taught that there must be a separation between Church and State. This is not possible and we have given examples. In this issue we need to finalize the proof of this point.

If all of our people had been given a decent education in school, from the very first of grade school through high school, and then even in college, we would have learned the basic concepts of American Law and its direct relationship with the principles of God as taught in the Christian Bible. Make no mistake about it, the American Law system stems from the English Law system and both of them require the understanding of the Laws of God.

Sir William Blackstone in his *Commentaries On The Law* made this point clear. William Blackstone was born in London in 1723. His parents died when he was young, thus his education was under the guidance of his uncle, Dr. Thomas Bigg. He studied at Charterhouse and at fifteen attended Pembroke College in Oxford. He was elected a fellow at All Souls' College in 1744 which, of course, was a Christian College, just as Yale and Harvard were in the United States. In 1758 he wrote the celebrated *Commentaries* which are used throughout the English speaking world as the basis of law. His work is based on the Laws of God. For the purpose of this issue we will present just one of his points in his *Commentaries*:

"Man, considered as a creature, must necessarily be subject to the laws of his Creator. This will of his Maker is called the law of nature. This law of nature, being coeval (contemporary) with mankind, and dictated by God, Himself, is of course superior obligation to any other. It is binding over all the globe in all countries, and at all times; no human laws are of an validity, if contrary to this."

What did he say? He said that the Laws of God (here called the law of nature) is dictated by God and the entire world is obligated to follow it whether they like it or not. Man's laws are invalid if they don't agree with those of the Christian Bible. The Christian Bible is the source of that knowledge and they are called the Laws, Statutes and Judgments of God. Again, Sir Blackstone learned these truths in Christian Colleges, just as our forefathers did while attending Yale and Harvard in the same time frame. The country may not like it but our Laws were based on the Laws of God and the so-called Common Laws derived from men like Blackstone.

With that background we can now discuss just one point made in the Laws of God, which Sir Blackstone calls the "law of nature." We will then show how far we have strayed from that concept and give a specific example.

Numerous books, periodicals, and newsletters have repeatedly revealed the existence of a phenomenon in the Southwestern United States called *Aztlán*. That is simply the term used by a very large group of Hispanics who want to return our Southwestern states to Mexico. Past Intelligence Newsletters have related to this extremely important situation. The question is, Why do so many Hispanics feel the need for this? We will start with the Bible. There are quite a few verses involved and you need to read all of them. It would then be wise to read the entire passages in the Bible for yourselves since we are only excerpting for brevity.

'And the Lord your God, he shall expel them from before you, and drive them from out of your sight; and ye shall possess their land, as the Lord your God hath promised unto you.

' "Be ye therefore very courageous to keep and to do all that is written in the book of the law of Moses, that ye turn not aside therefrom to the right hand or to the left; That ye come not among these nations, these that remain among you; neither make mention of the name of their god, nor cause to swear by them, neither serve them, nor bow yourselves unto them:

*"But cleave unto the Lord your God, as ye have done unto this day. For the Lord hath driven out from before you great nations and strong: but as for you, no man hath been able to stand before you unto this day. One man of you shall chase a thousand: for the Lord your God, he it is that fighteth for you, as he hath promised you. Take good heed therefore unto yourselves, that ye love the Lord your God. **Else if ye do in any wise go back, and cleave unto the remnant of these nations, even those that remain among you, and shall make marriages with them, and go into them, and they to you.**" ([Joshua 23:5-12](#))*

"If ye forsake the Lord, and serve strange gods, then he will turn and do you hurt, and consume you, after that he hath done you good. And the people said unto Joshua, Nay; but we will serve the Lord. And Joshua said unto the people, Ye are witnesses against yourselves that ye have chosen you the Lord, to serve him. And they said, We are witnesses. Now therefore put away, said he, the strange gods which are among you, and incline your heart unto the Lord God of Israel. And the people said unto Joshua, The Lord our God will we serve, and his voice will we obey.

"So Joshua made a covenant with people that day, and set them a statute and an ordinance in Shechem. And Joshua wrote these words in the book of the law of God, and took a great stone, and set it up there under an oak, that was by the sanctuary of the Lord. And Joshua said unto all the people, Behold, this stone shall be a witness unto us: for it hath heard all the words of the Lord which he spake unto us: it shall be therefore a witness unto you, lest ye deny your God. " ([Joshua 24: 20-27](#)).

'And the children of Benjamin did not drive out the Jebusites that inhabited Jerusalem; but the Jebusites dwell with the children of Benjamin in Jerusalem unto this day .. Neither did Manasseh drive out the inhabitants of Bethshean and her towns, nor the inhabitants of Dor and her towns, nor the inhabitants of Ibleam and her towns, nor the inhabitants of Megiddo and her towns: but the Canaanites would dwell in that land. And it came to pass, when Israel was strong, that they put the Canaanites to tribute, and did not utterly drive them out.

"Neither did Zebulun drive out the inhabitants of Kitron, nor the inhabitants of Nahalol; but the Canaanites dwelt among them, and became tributaries. Neither did Asher drive out the inhabitants of Accho, nor the inhabitants of Zidon, nor of Ahlab, nor of Achzib, nor of Helbah, nor of Aphik, nor of Rehob: But the Asherites dwelt among the Canaanites, the inhabitants of the land. for they did not drive them out. Neither did Naphtali drive out the inhabitants of Bethsemesh, not the inhabitants of Bethanath; but he dwelt among the Canaanites, the inhabitants of the land: nevertheless the inhabitants of Bethshemesh and of Bethanath became tributaries unto them. And the Amorites forced the children of Dan into the mountain: for they would not suffer them to come down to the valley. But the Amorites would dwell in Mount Heres in Aijalon, and in Shaalbim: yet the hand of the house of Joseph prevailed, so that they became tributaries." ([Judges 1: 21,27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35](#)).

'And an angel of the Lord came up from Gilgal to Bochim, and said, I made you to go up out of Egypt, and have brought you unto the land which I swore unto your fathers; and I said, I will never break my covenant with you. And ye shall make no league with the inhabitants of this land; ye shall throw down their altars: but ye have not obeyed my voice: why have ye done this? Wherefore I also said, I will not drive them out from before you; but they shall be as thorns in your sides, and their gods shall be a snare unto you. And it came to pass, when the angel of the Lord spake these words unto all the children of Israel, that the people lifted up their voice, and wept ..And also all that generation were gathered unto their fathers: and there arose another generation after them, which knew not the Lord, nor yet the works which he had done in Israel. And the children of Israel did evil in the sight of the Lord, and served Baalim: And they forsook the Lord God of their fathers, which brought them out of the land of Egypt, and followed other gods, of the gods of the people that were round about them, and bowed themselves unto them, and provoked the Lord to anger." ([Judges 2:1-4, 10, 11, 12.](#))

"And the children of Israel dwelt among the Canaanites, Hittites, and Amorites, and Perizzites, and Hivites, and Jebusites: And they took their daughters to be their wives, and gave their daughters to their sons, and served their gods. " ([Judges 3: 5-6](#)).

Again, we only excerpted selected verses to show the Laws of God, the "law of nature," as Sir Blackstone would have said. One of the prime examples of history to show the results of breaking this Law of God is to be found in the conquest of Mexico by Spain. "...but they shall be as thorns in your sides, and their gods shall be a snare unto you. "

Jack Wheeler, the publisher of the Strategic Investment Newsletter, also publishes a newsletter titled Strategic Intelligence. In his issue titled "The Next Civil War" dated April 1, 1998 we find the following very important information as received on the Internet.

"A little while ago, I wrote up a storyline for a motion picture and registered it with the Screen Writer's Guild. I want a movie made entitled *La Malinche* (lah-mah-lin'-chay), about one of history's most remarkable and heroic women. She was sold into slavery as a young child. In 1519, Hernando Cortez landed on the shores of the New World. The local chieftain made him a present of the slave girl, now age 19. Already speaking several local languages, she quickly learned Spanish and became Cortez's translator. Christened Dona Marina, she soon became invaluable to Cortez, who naturally fell in love with her as she was as beautiful as she was intelligent.

"Marina taught Cortez that the Aztecs ruled a colonial empire of conquered tribes. The monstrous evil of Aztec rule was epitomized by the continual sacrifice of human beings--up

to 16 per day--their backs broken on the altar and their hearts cut out of their chests while still alive, in the temple of Huchilpotztli (the chief Aztec god) in Tenochtitlan (the Aztec capital, now Mexico City). Marina saw Cortez as a liberator who could free subjugated people from Aztec tyranny, and together they came up with the brilliant strategy of organizing a tax revolt. 'Join and fight with me,' Cortez told the colonized tribes, 'and you won't have to pay any more taxes to your Aztec rulers, nor send any more of your sons to be sacrificed to the Aztec gods.'

"They did, and that is how Cortez and his tiny band of 400 Spaniards defeated an empire ruling millions of subjects. It is one of the great epics of history, and it was made possible by a woman that the Indians of Mexico came to revere and worship as their savior, whom they called *La Malinche*.

"Yet among Mexicans today, particularly the intelligentsia, the memory of *La Malinche* is despised--in fact, a common term for 'traitor' in Mexico is '*malinchista*.' This means there is a civil war being fought within the modern Mexican soul. The vast majority of Mexico's 90 million people are of mixed (in varying degrees) Indian/Spanish ancestry. The Indian part of that soul has sided with his ancestors' oppressors (the Aztecs were an elite minority; most Indians in Cortez's time were non-Aztec) and hates the Spanish part, an ineradicable part of his fundamental self identity. This is a national psyche in deep turmoil. The problem is that a growing number of Mexicans are deciding to take it out on America.

"The civil war in the Mexican soul may be a few short years away from morphing into an actual civil war, with guns and battles, armies and terrorists, and vast urban rioting, between Hispanic and Anglo Americans.

"Virulently racist groups espousing a Mexican Nazism are blossoming in California and the Southwest U.S., such as *La Raza*, which literally means 'The Race.' Its motto is '*Por La Raza Todo, Fuera de La Raza Nada*'--for the race, everything; outside the race, nothing.

La Raza's declaration of racial war against the hated 'Anglos' (white or European Americans) is contained in its '*Aztlan Plan*.' The goal is *La Reconquista*, the Reconquest: to force by whatever means necessary--from overwhelming the Anglo population via illegal immigration to Palestinian-style terrorism--the return of the entire American Southwest to Mexico. *La Raza* calls this region 'Aztlan,' the mythic land of Aztec origin, and renounces the 1848 Treaty of Guadalupe-Hidalgo, which ceded the region to the U. S. after Mexico's defeat in the Mexican-American War. Fidel Castro is providing support for *La Raza*, and in February publicly called for the return of the Aztlan region to Mexico.

"We're talking all of Texas. New Mexico, Arizona, Nevada, and California, here folks. The Mexican Nazis want it all back. They're growing in popularity among Hispanic Americans; the white liberal establishment is ideologically defenseless against them (thus 'Chicano/*La Raza* Studies' are popping up in U.S. colleges all over); and both the Mexican government and drug cartels are supporting them.

"As reported in *U.S. News & World Report* (3/2/98), Mexican syndicates now control a third of cocaine distribution in the U.S., 20% of the heroin, almost 90% of the methamphetamine, and over 90% of the marijuana.

"Jack Wheeler's *Strategic Investment* has learned that *La Raza* operatives are cooperating with the syndicates, and persuading street gangs of illegal aliens used by the cartels, such as '18th St.' in Los Angeles, to embrace the *La Raza* ideology. Like the Marxist guerrillas in Colombia, they see running drugs as a great way to raise the money to foment *La Revolution*.

"Since the PRI Mexican government is a narcocracy--it is impossible to determine where the government ends and the cartels begin, and vice versa, so deeply intertwined are they-- it has given tacit support to this burgeoning La Raza/Cartel synergy. Government support of *La Raza* has in fact become virtually overt with the law passed on March 20 allowing Mexican Americans to hold dual citizenship. All Mexicans in the U.S., from illegal alien to full -U.S. citizen are now being officially encouraged by the PRI regime to be as or more loyal to Mexico than America.

"Just how successful this encouragement has become could be seen at the recent World Cup soccer match at the Los Angeles Coliseum between the national teams of the U.S. and Mexico. Of the 91,125 fans present, some 90,000 exploded with hatred of the U.S., booing and drowning out with blowhorns the national anthem, waving thousands of Mexican flags while assaulting anyone who raised the stars and stripes, and throwing an avalanche of garbage aimed at the U.S. players. *The Los Angeles Times* commented that the U.S. players 'playing a game for their country in their country were treated like the enemy.'

"Having said all of that, I would like to acknowledge that there are a vast number of Mexican-Americans who are decent folk who have no intention of participating in a civil war to split America apart. This makes it even more obligatory that the Mexican population in the U. S. denounce and rid itself of the La Raza Nazis in its midst.

"Mexican-Americans could even embrace as a term of honor the insult La Raza hurls at all those who cooperate with Anglos: *Malinchistas*. 'Proud to be a Malinchista' would make an interesting bumper sticker in Mexican-American communities. For it is only by embracing the memory and achievement of *La Malinche-liberation* from Aztec imperialism and depravity that Mexicans can liberate their own souls. If this can begin among Mexican-Americans, then the coming civil war looming on America's horizon may be averted."

We here at Christian Crusade For Truth have studied this phenomena for many years and find the above report to be both accurate and timely. We have literally dozens of well written books on this subject such as: *La Raza-The Mexican Americans* by Stan Steiner; *Chicano Manifesto* by Armando B. Rendon; *Do It Up Brown* by Patty Newman; *Aztecas Del Norte*, *The Chicanos of Aztlan* by Jack D.Forbes; *New Mexico, The Coming Guerrilla War* by Alan Stang.

Christian Crusade for Truth, along with a nationally recognized Christian Radio Ministry in Los Angeles, have cooperated with Sheriff Painter of Midland, Texas in the study of training sites in the mountains of Mexico for Guerrilla training. Contrary to the position of many within the media, it is for real.

Notice that Mr. Wheeler indicates that the Indian blood within the Mexican population is aligning itself with the Aztec blood. That may be true, at least at this time. However, the girl *La Malinche*, Christened Dona Marina, was an indigenous Indian and was an enemy of the Aztecs, a much more sophisticated society.

What this means is that there is really a four way split in the Mexican soul which Mr. Wheeler shows is at war with itself. There is the Indigenous Indian blood, the Aztec blood, the Spanish blood, and the fourth is what Mr. Wheeler called the "Intelligentsia." That fourth element comes mainly from the Jewish blood that came after the invasion by Cortez. This element has been thoroughly researched by historians and has been published in many books and newspapers. Our booklet *Christians Before Columbus and The New World of Columbus* discusses this. You can order that booklet, if you want it, from Christian Research, PO Box 385, Eureka Springs, Arkansas 72632.

So far we have shown the Laws of God (Sir Blackstone's law of nature) relative to this problem. We have shown the end results which will undoubtedly happen sometime in the near future. However, there is much more to the problem.

It is not a matter of people themselves. It is a matter of gods. As we shall see, that war within the Mexican soul is a war between gods. The *James Wainscoat Report* (taken from the Internet) for January, 29, 1998 reveals that conflict.

"James Wainscoat of Santa Cruz told a meeting of Voice of Citizens Together that the *Chicano* movement is an organized insurgency which is both sophisticated and well advanced. According to Wainscoat, so-called antigang violence organizations are oftentimes actually fronts for a Chicano insurgency movement. The connection between illegal immigration and the Chicano insurgency parallels Chairman Mao's dictum, 'The people are to the guerrilla as the water is to the fish,' Wainscoat says.

"The Chicano movement targets second-generation MexicanAmerican students who, they claim, are alienated by the Euro-centric education system. The movement hopes to take high-school students who are essentially American in their culture and language and indoctrinate them into the *Reconquista* mentality. Part of the indoctrination is revisionist history, e.g., taking historic figures such as Benito Juarez, Pancho Villa, and Emilio Zapata, who were in fact criminal 'banditos,' and reconstituting them as 'revolutionary heroes.' They then superimpose these reconstructed characters onto the landscape of Aztlán, the 'promised land.' *Chicano* revolutionaries even fold a revisionist religion into their doctrine.

"Taking their cues from liberation theology, Chicano insurgents remold Catholic icons into icons of an Aztec-based theology. For example, *Tonantzin* is the Mexican name for the Mother of Gods. Her name was later changed by the Franciscans to *La virgin de Guadalupe*, to represent the Virgin Mary. This was done to co-opt the Mayan worship of a heathen god.

"Today, Chicano revolutionaries worship the original *Tonantzin* as the goddess that will lead the children of *Aztlán* into the promised land, not the Franciscan's reconstructed blessed Virgin Mary. So powerful is this idea that many Catholic parishes are co-opted by *Chicano* revolutionaries. This is often accompanied by apparitions of the Virgin of Guadalupe which have been associated with very common things such as a mere knot in a tree or a flaw in the glass of a department store window. The individual who first recognizes these apparitions is thought to be in contact with the gods and their revelations are received as true even if contradictory to Catholic teachings. According to accepted doctrine, places where the apparition of the Virgin of Guadalupe appears are accepted by the faithful to be within the nation of *Aztlán*.

"As a prime example, at the Mission of San Juan Batista, 35 miles southeast of Salinas, California, the Louis Valdez family led a *Chicano coup* which rejected traditional Catholic worship that had existed since the days of Father Junipero Serra and replaced it with the cult of the Virgin of Guadalupe. Once the youngsters are indoctrinated into the 'new religion' they are introduced to the idea that *Mexicanos* and *Chicanos* are not Americans within the legal construct of citizenship, but rather they are descendants of indigenous people who have a historic claim on the land which supersedes the U.S. Constitution which they say is a government of exploitation.

"The doctrine was formalized in the July 1990 'One Continent One Culture' Conference in Quito, Ecuador also known as the First Continental Conference on the '500 Years of Resistance by the so-called indigenous peoples of the world.' The principle points made at the 'One Continent One Culture' conference included: 1) The defense and recuperation of our land; 2) Recognize our political right within national boundaries; 3) Develop bilingual educational alternatives; 4) Increase communications among ourselves; 5) Protect and

develop our spirituality; and 6) We must have creative political alternatives that recognize our rights and end exploitation.

"Regardless of the Spanish American War, the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, and established borders, Wainscoat says, Chicano revolutionaries teach that they have an inalienable right to the Southwest region of the United States and that the non-Chicano resident of these areas is the alien and should return to their ancestor's homeland.

"Once the youngsters are indoctrinated, the Chicanos link it to the established authority of the *Cacique*, or 'godfather,' and the 'Pintos'--those *Chicanos* and *Mexicanos* who have spent time in prison. Nothing happens in a community without the approval of the *Caciques* and usually it is the Pintos who make it happen.

"Wainscoat said that Cuban experts in military operations regularly visit the U.S. to train future revolutionaries in the art of interdicting small military units. According to Wainscoat, this training has been ongoing for years.

"Today, *Chicano* insurgents, which go by a variety of names such as *Barrios Unidos*, *La Raza Unida*, *MEChA*, and others exploit puppets, mainly liberals, to expand their purposes. They hijack the causes- of Blacks, women, and other immigrants when it suits their purposes, being careful to stay behind the scenes whenever possible. As they gain numerical superiority the *Chicanos* will cast off these puppets. **Control over** the land will be achieved by appealing to an international court or, if necessary, by the bullet." End of James Wainscoat's newsletter as found on the internet.

Mr. Wainscoat's newsletter has given us a real, and current, example of what God demands of us as read in the Book of Joshua. *"Be ye therefore very courageous to keep and to do all that is written in the book of the law of Moses, that ye turn not aside therefrom to the right hand or to the left; That ye come not among these nations, these that remain among you; neither make mention of the name of their gods, nor cause to swear by them, neither serve them, nor bow yourselves unto them: "* ([Joshua 23: 6-7](#)).

The United States has now become engulfed in this soon-to-be conflict of major proportions. Our government is taking the easy way out by taking no official action nationally. In fact, the problem is being aided and abetted by such actions as denying the legal demands of the California people with the Proposition 187 conflict. Additionally, U. S. senators and representatives appear to be using this conflict within the Mexican soul for the purpose of increasing their chances for re-elections. We showed in the March/April issue how, according to a Spotlight article, Senator Pete Domenici of New Mexico voted for more immigration believing it would be good for the Republican Party!

To further show the seriousness of such thinking, the following news release reveals more of this thinking:

"Hot Issue in Northern New Mexico: "Fine Print of an 1848 Treaty," by James Brooke: Truchas NM--In a roadside shop filled with weavings and Spanish religious art, tourists browsed unattended--recently as the shopkeeper and his friends excitedly talked in a back room **about** an obscure historical document. 'It's our Bible,' Luis H. Sandoval, a retired teacher, said as he thumbed through a copy of the peace treaty signed 150 years ago between Mexico and the United States. 'One day, that treaty will allow us to get our land grants studied, to have justice served to our people.'

"On the national level, the Feb. 2 anniversary of the signing of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo passed virtually without notice. Although the treaty allowed the creation of the American Southwest, there were no commemorative stamps and few official speeches.

Facing the possibility of an attack on Iran, few Americans looked backward to the nation's first war of territorial expansion.

"The treaty expanded American territory by one-third, adding all or parts of Arizona, California, Colorado, Kansas, New Mexico, Nevada, Oklahoma, Texas, Utah and Wyoming. Official silence over the treaty anniversary stemmed in part from diplomatic reluctance to offend Mexico, which is now the United States' thirdlargest trading partner. Many MexicanAmericans in the United States quietly resent a document that cut their ancestral nation in half after the 18month-long Mexican war.

"But here, the 150-year-old treaty is as hot a topic as on Feb. 23, 1848, the day President James Knox Polk sent it to the Senate for ratification. People in this community and others across the region fervently support a **Republican-sponsored bill to create a presidential commission to review claims by thousands of old Hispanic families** who say their lands were stolen by corrupt lawyers and local officials in violation of the 1848 treaty. **Adding urgency to action on the measure, the dry, pine-covered hills around here are seeing a low-level guerrilla warfare against the U.S. Forest Service, owner of much of the disputed lands.**

In recent anonymous actions against the Forest Service, shots have been fired at workers, an office and a radio tower have been bombed and a government truck and barn have been burned. On a peaceful level, music, dance, poetry, the unveiling of a mural and a series of educational seminars marking the treaty anniversary are to be capped this spring with the public display in Santa Fe, 40 miles south of here, of pages from the original treaty.

"In Albuquerque, Speaker Newt Gingrich, R-GA, recently posed with beaming Hispanic leaders in front of poster-size replicas of treaty pages. The treaty mania today revolves around land, votes and regional identity. Hispanic residents hope to use the document to wrest from the Forest Service as much as 1.5 million acres that they say were granted to their ancestors, largely by Spain, in the 18th century. Republicans hope to use the land-grant issue to break a half century of Democratic political control of Northern New Mexico. Already the bill, the Guadalupe-Hidalgo Treaty Land Claims Act of 1997, is creating strange political bedfellows.

"At the Gingrich news conference, Roberto Mondragon, a longtime Democratic and member of the state's Green Party, gave hearty abrazos to the Speaker and to the bill's sponsor, Bill Redmond, this district's new Republican congressman. 'There is an old Spanish proverb: If you Love me, show me you love me--don't just tell me.,' Mondragon said. Hispanic residents here argue that the treaty, with its clauses stipulating respect for Spanish and Mexican land grants, is a powerful legal lever for winning back lands usurped after the Civil War by 'the Santa Fe Ring,' a corrupt alliance of American lawyers, judges and territorial governors who manipulated a court system alien to Spanish-speaking farmers. Much of this land, after heavy use by timber and cattle companies, ended up in the hands of the Forest Service in the 1920s and 1930s.

"The United States government likes to go by paper, crossing the t's and dotting the i's,' said Sandoval, the retired teacher who is a grant claimant. 'Well, let's honor the treaty.' He accused American surveyors of illegally lopping off about one-third of the 22,000 acres granted to Truchas families by Spain in 1754. The land is now part of a national forest, he said. Here and across Northern New Mexico, Hispanic families are seeking return of the land for communal use by descendants of the settler families."

Let's stop here briefly for some comments: With respect to the low-level guerrilla warfare, the consensus among the media put the blame on the ranchers fighting the forest

service over the loss of grazing due to pressure being put on the government by the eco-terrorists. With respect to the corrupt lawyers, judges and territorial governors, it is pretty well believed by all Americans that all of them are corrupt! More realistically, American law is based on an entirely different concept than that of Spanish law. Spanish law is based on the Napoleonic code where you are guilty until proven innocent. The American code is based on the English Common Law code where you are innocent until proven guilty. Also, we must remind ourselves that the northern part of Mexico was not simply stolen from that country.

Another very important point to be made is that the "communal use" the Hispanics discuss is the Mexican "*ejido*" system and that is the same as the Aztec "*capul*" system. It is at best highly Socialist, if not Communist. The Bible describes each man owning his own land. The private ownership of property is the keystone to the American system and we must vigorously oppose any foreign system, including the government, from removing that concept.

Let's return now to this very important issue in the freedoms guaranteed to us by God, as well as the Constitution.

"Descendants of Spanish colonists do not share the overall resentment of the treaty routinely expressed by Mexicans and Mexican Americans. In this region's four centuries of European settlement, Mexican rule was a brief interlude, 25 years sandwiched between 223 years of Spanish rule and 152 years of American rule. Numbering in the hundreds of thousands, the clannish descendants of Spanish settlers seldom socialize with recent Mexican immigrants. In Santa Fe, Spain's red and gold colors are more commonly seen than Mexico's green white and red bunting.

"Although the region has some of the poorest counties in the United States, residents here seem content to be on the wealthy side of the border. Indeed, 150 years of American rule in the Southwest has brought such economic success that the Immigration and Naturalization Service now devotes half of its 12,400 agents to preventing illegal immigration from Mexico. 'People in Northern New Mexico are very patriotic Americans,' said David Roybal, who organized seminars to educate people on the treaty. 'When you find discontent with the treaty, it's when parts relating to then grants were broken.'

"Although the Forest Service officially follows a policy of 'comanaging' public lands with local Hispanic communities, many rural Hispanic residents say they increasingly feel harassed by federal employees who demand permits and impose fines for hunting, fishing, swimming, grazing cattle and cutting timber. 'Before, the man in the green truck used to be from the community,' David Cordova, a weaver here, said of Forest Service employees. 'Now, it is someone who just transferred here from Seattle.' Judging by the dozens of land-grant leaders who carried petitions to Gingrich's new conference, the bill enjoys wide support.

"Under the bill, a five-member review commission would study land claims and then forward a report to the president and Congress with recommendations for action. The commission would be modeled after the Indian Land Claims Commission which paid about \$2 billion to Indians who said their lands had been seized in violation of treaties between tribes and the United States. The claimants were paid over a 30-year period ending in 1978.

"'This is really an economic development issue,' said Michael Quintana, an aide to Representative Redmond. 'These people have remained in poverty because their land was taken away from them.' But some environmentalists see the revived landgrant movement as a threat to public lands. The American public would lose hiking, hunting and camping access to large areas, asserts Sam Hitt, president of Forest Guardians, an environmental group. 'A commission would be the first step on a slippery slope toward the privatization of

a lot of Northern New Mexico,' said Hitt, who is running in November for state land commissioner on the Green ticket. At present, he said, American taxpayers subsidize National Forest lands, paying for maintenance of fences, hiking trails, camp sites and for management plans that limit grazing and logging. Hispanic communities would squeeze the most dollars out of their communal lands, leading to rapid degradation, he said. 'It's a sacred text around here,' Hitt lamented of the treaty. 'It's insignificant to most Americans. But, in Northern New Mexico, it's a daily topic of conversation.'" End of article by James Brooke.

The American public now has three problems facing it, and all of them are caused by un-Biblical concepts. First, the land is supposed to be privately owned by individuals within each state. The only exceptions are for small parcels of federal land as dictated by the Constitution. The federal government is allowing the Forest Service to increasingly deny entrance to all Americans for their personal use, not just the Hispanics in their former grant lands. This is in direct opposition to what Hitt of the Forest Guardians says.

The environmentalists have their own agenda and want all people to vacate the public lands and eventually, the majority of private property as well as the rivers.

With respect to the Hispanics having a right to the land, the wrongs perpetrated by the corrupt lawyers and judges in the past should be paid for by the government at fair value in accordance with Biblical concepts. The returning of American territory to the descendants of 18th century Hispanics will open the same Pandora's box that exists with the Indian lands. It will be just one more effort to make a sovereign territory within a sovereign territory. All of this is nothing but the Balkanization of the United States.

As Mr. Brooke showed, Mexico was a sovereign country for only 25 years when the United States consummated the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo. Further, the vast majority of the entire territory was practically devoid of people with the exception of a small enclave in Northern New Mexico, called New Spain, which is the scene of the current dispute. Further, the largest number of Hispanics of Mexican descent came to the United States in the 1915-1925 era because of the continuous series of "revolutions" in Mexico. Realistically, the territory could not be secured by the Mexican Government and Russia had its eyes on the territory and had many communities in California. It was going to be either Russia or the United States who would eventually have sovereignty over the entire Southwestern United States.

It must also be pointed out that the United States paid 36 million dollars for the territory which was an enormous amount in those days. In comparison, the United States paid 21 million for the Louisiana Purchase, a larger amount of land and of more economic value at the time. We paid 7 million for Alaska which many editorialists dubbed "Seward's Folly!"

What does all of this mean and why is it so important? First, returning to Sir William Blackstone, we must realize that he used such terms as "the Law of Nature" instead of the usual term "God's Law." He used the word "Maker" instead of "God." That is because he knew, even in those days, that people generally did not want to give God credit for anything, just as so many don't want to do so today.

As a society, we want to do things in "man's name," not God's. So many "Constitutionalists" don't want God to be a part of what our Founding Fathers gave us. The militias don't want to follow God's laws because they would lose so many potential members if they followed that "outdated" concept.

In all of history there is not one example of where an ethnic nation which brought in people of other ethnogenies that has survived. There have been a myriad of books written

on this by some of the best historians in history. The world renowned historian and philosopher Oswald Spengler wrote his *Decline of the West*. The famous works of Ulick Varange titled *Imperium* depicts this problem. Another world famous historian Edward Gibbon described in detail the problem in his *The Decline And Fall of the Roman Empire*. Greece, before Rome, went down the same primrose path.

The Spanish Empire fell because of it. The British Empire is, or has, fallen for the same reason. Now the United States "Empire" is very rapidly falling into decadence.

Why do we equate the United States to an empire? Thomas W. Chittum in his current book *Civil War II* (American Eagle Publications, POB 1507, Show Low AZ 85902) makes a very astute classification between empires and nations. He states, "Empires are generally larger, sometimes even global in scope, and more likely to be geographically fragmented. Nations, on the other hand, are usually smaller and geographically continuous. Empires consist of peoples of different religions, languages, cultures, races, and nationalities. One of these groups, a minority itself, dominates the others by naked military power. Nations, on the other hand, are dominated by one group that makes up a majority of the population. Finally, and most important, nations are inherently stable while empires are always inherently unstable. Nations are naturally stable because a majority of the people mutually recognize each other as co-nationals. Multiethnic empires never achieve true internal stability. They survive only by unrelenting military and police suppression of their inhabitants, and break up the minute the dominant group loses the military power, or the will, to shackle the empire's peoples together."

Take a special note of his point that "empires consist of peoples of different religions, languages, cultures, races, and nationalities." That is what has become of the United States. As Mr. Chittum explained, empires survive only by unrelenting military and police suppression. That is why we so often hear of the word "totalitarian" when our government is discussed.

The United States now has too many gods, it is as simple as that. We hear the words "racism," "white supremacy," "separatists," etc. None of these epithets apply. It is a matter of gods. We, can quit fawning over each other with emotions regarding this matter. We can also quit trying to explain it away with denials. Act like the man of your forefathers and accept the fact that it is a matter of which god will rule in the United States.

Why do you think Jesus came to Israel when He did? Read [Luke 1](#): 6880. He came to save Israel from another god, called Phariseeical Talmudism. That is another god and Jesus explains that very explicitly in [Matthew 23](#). Israel could only have the One and True God, the God of the Christian Bible. It is the whole Bible, not just the parts that Judeo-Christianity expounds.

We are at the fork in the road. The people whose ancestors made this a nation-state with only one God must stand up and declare ourselves at odds with any such trash as empires. We must stop this nonsense of forcing the world into Armageddon. From our vantage point here at Christian Crusade for Truth we see "*And they went up on the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about.*" ([Rev 20:9](#)).

Everywhere John the Baptist went he taught repentance. The word repent means to think differently, to change direction and do it God's way. It is time for all of us to do just that.