

Supreme Court Triple Dog Dares Native Americans to break No Take-Backs Ruling
By Anna Turner
CAP News Writer
CAP News
Run Date: 17 August 2011

A nearly \$4 billion construction project in North Hampton, NY, came to a crashing halt last month after Shinnecock Indians claimed that the building site is intruding on their ancestral land, in particular a gravesite sanctioned as part of the Shinnecock tribe's reservation. Now on their way to the Supreme Court, it seems the Shinnecock tribe is fighting a losing battle, due to the No Take-Backs Ruling of 1877.

Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., released a statement today saying, "The No Take-Backs Ruling of 1877 clearly states that 'no American Indian, under any circumstance, is heretofore permitted to attempt a reclaiming of any lands rightfully taken by the White Man. Finders keepers.'" He then added, "No take-backs, bitch. Sorry for ya."

Roberts and his fellow Supreme Court Justices are concerned that *Shinnecock Nation vs. State of New York* might set a precedent, and other Indians will soon step forward in hopes of reclaiming their ancestral lands, as well.

Currently, only about 2% of American land belongs to Native Americans, a far cry from the 100% they started with. In an effort to keep that number at 2%, Justice Samuel Alito has taken to sending blankets to every Indian tribal leader in the country, complete with a handwritten note reading, "Remember what happened last time. Enjoy the small pox."

"The Shinnecock tribe is not fighting to get their graveyard back," Alito said. "They are fighting to get America back. We triple dog dare them to try and take back America. Go ahead, let's see what happens."

Chief Molunpauk of the Shinnecock tribe says he will not be intimidated by threats, and hopes the trial will continue with less hostility. "It is not like our kind to be hateful," Molunpauk said. "We will not respond to these childish games."

Despite the Shinnecock's desire to proceed forward in a peaceful and diplomatic manner, the Supreme Court continues antagonizing Molunpauk and his people. "Maybe after we let you break the No Take-Backs Ruling, we can reinstate slavery and give gays equal rights," Roberts said during today's court proceedings. "Is that what you want, Molun...wait...Mola...no, um...Mollop...is that what you want, Chief?" With the growing momentum and attention of this trial, political heads all over the country are turning in the direction of *Shinnecock Nation vs. State of New York*. Particularly upset about the attack on No Take-Backs is Sarah Palin, who has been a huge proponent of No Take-Backs after utilizing the legislation to legalize Eskimo Hunting in 1997.

"If it were not for the No Take-Backs Ruling of 1877, I wouldn't own Alaska, and Eskimos would be fishing on my son's hockey rink. That's just ridiculous!" Palin said. "Good golly, America, I tell you what!"

The Supreme Court is scheduled to make a final ruling tomorrow, but according to Justice Roberts, the decision is made. "And if the Shinnecock Indians want to whine about it, they can just build a bridge and get over it," Robert said. "As long as the bridge is on their reservation, and not on our land because, you know, no take-backs."



**All materials copyright and
exclusively owned by Anna
Turner and Snobster Media
LLC.**

**Our Media is Better Than
Your Media.**