URGENT matter in *Preitz v. APA* (Case No. 17-cv-01166-MSG), Injunctive relief maybe necessary.

Dear Honorable Judge Goldberg,

By way of background, Allied Pilots Association ("APA") is an unincorporated labor organization representing the pilots of American Airlines ("American") and headquartered in Fort Worth, Texas. APA has three National Officers and a Board of Directors ("BOD") comprised of 22 members with one chairman and vice-chairman from the 11 existing pilot domiciles or bases. American currently employees approximately 15,000 pilots who are scattered across the United States at the various domiciles.

At the heart of Plaintiff's duty of fair representation ("DFR") claims against the APA is the Dallas domicile grievance 12-012 ("Dallas base grievance") which remains pending and which APA and American have mutually placed in abeyance, every six months, for almost seven years and done so in direct violation of the collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") which has a 28 month time limit from the filing date. This I allege is DFR violation to protect my seniority and employment. The grievance concerns violations of, *inter alia*, seniority reinstatement and no notice termination of a class of approximately 250 Medically Disabled Dropped ("MDD") pilots who have been out more than five years, all similarly situated to me (attached as Exhibit A). Your honor's Motion to Dismiss Order (Doc 29 at 38, filed 06/25/18) noted that the Dallas base grievance remains pending and cited "rays of hope" from *Bensel v. Allied Pilots Association*, 387 F.3d at 305 (3d Cir. 2004) (Doc 29 at 29). Essentially, the six-month statute of limitations does not commence as long as the union purports to continue to represent an employee and remedy the cause of the employee's dissatisfaction.

It has come to the Plaintiff's attention that APA is attempting to eliminate the Dallas base grievance through current mediated settlement negotiations with MDD pilot Andrea B. Twitchell (Twitchell v. APA. Case No. 16-cv-00493-DCB, U.S. Dist. Court of AZ). In 2016 Twitchell brought DFR claim(s) against APA concerning the Dallas base grievance. APA's position in the case at bar, which APA reiterated during a 4/12/2019 meet and confer, is the grievance does not pertain to the Plaintiff and the "underlying facts" only apply to Twitchell as the sole affected pilot. Plaintiff strongly disagrees with APA's position and such a position is false based on the record facts both here and elsewhere. The facts surrounding the grievance in part include:

- The 2012 grievance in part reads "on behalf of all DFW-based [Dallas] pilots...";
- Concerning the grievance, the APA's "03-04-14" Proof of claim documents filed in American's bankruptcy in part reads "Affected Pilots Andrea B. Twitchell [Twitchell's address omitted] And any other DFW-based pilot(s) who was not reinstated to the Pilots' Seniority System List or was not provided notice of termination prior to terminating employment status of the pilot who had been on inactive status, unpaid sick, or disability for more than five years." (Emphasis added). AMR [American Airlines] Corporation, et al., U.S. States Bankruptcy Court S. Dist. of NY, Chapter 11 Case No. 11-15463 (SHL) (Attached as Exhibit B);
- Dallas domicile chairman who signed the grievance, Arthur "Rusty" McDaniels, testified in the November 28, 2016, nonjury trial that the grievance is in fact system-wide. *Emery v. APA* (S. Dist. of FL, Case No. 14-cv-80518-DTKH) (Attached as Exhibit C);

- APA attorney Mark Myers was deposed, December 2015, and testified "These two issues [Dallas and LaGuardia domicile grievances] were - were systemic system-wide, and so arguably the would they would apply system-wide.", and "It [Dallas base grievance] was filed on behalf of the base. It has not been converted to any individual grievance nor has any one pilot been represented as as the the representative pilot." (Emphasis added). Emery v. APA (S. Dist. of FL, Case No. 14-cv-80518-DTKH) (Attached as Exhibit D);
- American's January 2, 2013, Objection to MDD pilot Lawrence Meadows' motion demonstrates that American considers the grievance system-wide because they applied it to Meadows, a Miami domiciled pilot. The motion in part reads "the APA filed a grievance (DFW [Dallas] Domicile Grievance No.) (the "APA Grievance") on behalf of Meadows and certain other DFW-based pilots that had been terminated because of the Five-Year Rule, asserting that they had not received adequate notice of their termination. The APA Grievance is pending."

  AMR [American Airlines] Corporation, et al., U.S. States Bankruptcy Court S. Dist. of NY, Chapter 11 Case No. 11-15463 (SHL), Doc 5926, pg. 4 at 1 (Attached as Exhibit E). Of note, the CBA contains no "Five-Year Rule":
- Plaintiff's Count IV seeks a judicial ruling of the Dallas base grievance (Doc 9-1, pg. 77.

Plaintiff fears that APA is attempting to subvert his claims, and other MDD pilots, by secretly attempting to eliminate the Dallas base grievance through a settlement with Twitchell and doing so without properly processing the grievance. A union violates its duty of fair representation ("DFR") by not properly processing a grievance. From Foust v. International Broth. of Elec. Workers (10th Cir. 572 F.2d 710 (1978), 715) "Subsequently, in Hines v. Anchor Motor Freight, Inc., 424 U.S. 554, 96 S.Ct. 1048, 47L.Ed.2d 231 (1976) the Supreme Court quoted with approval its prior discussion in Vaca [Vaca v. Sipes, 386 U.S. 171, 87 S.Ct. 903, 17 L.Ed.2d 842 (1967).] ... The Court cited Vaca, stating that the union cannot ignore a meritorious grievance or process it in a perfunctory manner. It ruled that the allegation contained in Hines stated a claim for breach of duty of fair representation..."

The CBA time limit for processing a grievance is 28 months, currently the Dallas base grievance is almost seven years pending. MDD pilot Emery brought a single claim against the APA. March 2017 in *Emery v. APA* (16-cv-80243-KAM, U.S. Dist. Court S. Dist. of FL) the Court denied APA's motion to dismiss by stating "The Complaint alleges one count: that the APA breached its duty of fair representation in violation of the Federal Railway Labor Act, 45 U.S.C. § 151, et seq. (hereinafter "RLA") in connection with her contractual grievances against American." At the time Emery's grievance had been pending for over eight years.

Plaintiff's 1<sup>st</sup> Amended Complaint references two grievances which concern no notice terminations and other violations of MDD pilot's contractual rights, the Dallas (12-012) and LaGuardia (11-054) domicile grievances.

APA secretly converted the LaGuardia base grievance to an individual grievance and eliminated it via a confidential settlement agreement for the benefit of only one MDD pilot, Rodney Charlson. The complaint at bar alleges this as a DFR violation and the grievance applies to me.

Through a reluctant discovery process a third grievance has emerged which is identical to the Dallas base grievance, the Chicago domicile grievance (12-105). As identical twins, the Chicago grievance is arguably system-wide (Attached as Exhibit F). However, the Chicago base

grievance was not resolved through the CBA grievance process. To the contrary, within two months of being written the grievance returned only one terminated disabled MDD pilot to the seniority list and active employment, Taze Burns. On February 6, 2016, American Airlines issued Burns a return to work letter, that same day APA issued a letter to American which stated the grievance was resolved and withdrawn on a no precedent basis. Taze Burns has stated that APA did not inform him the grievance was withdrawn on a no precedent basis, and he was unaware that any other MDD pilot benefited from it. Simply APA and American eliminated the grievance without resolving it through the CBA process, a grievance which arguably would benefit all affected pilots and reverse terminations and reinstate seniority.

Based on information from Twitchell the APA, without permission or agreement, has added wording to a revised settlement agreement which in part reads "Council for APA shall draft and deliver to Twitchell papers necessary to effectuate the withdraw and dismissal of EEOC charge No. 510-2016-02304 and Dallas Grievance No. 12-012." (Emphasis added). Twitchell stated APA General Council Jim Clark has attempted to sneak in wording that was not previously discussed or agreed to. Twitchell does not agree because the grievance is system-wide and affects hundreds of MDD pilots and DFR cases including the case at bar. APA stated they will move to enforce the Twitchell agreement as revised. APA's self-imposed settlement wording speaks for itself, APA is attempting to eliminate the only known and pending grievance which provides rays of hope to some 250 similarly situated MDD pilots including the Plaintiff.

I urgently bring this issue to the attention of your Honor as it speaks to the true character and motivation of the APA. Simply, APA has and continues to end run the CBA grievance process and in doing so allegedly violates their DFR by not properly processing grievances which include the most severe form of punishment, termination. APA eliminated two system-wide grievances which **in fact reversed** the termination and loss of seniority for only two MDD pilots: (1) Rodney Charlson with the LaGuardia base grievance, and (2) Taze Burns with the Chicago base grievance. Now APA is attempting to bury the only known and pending grievance, the Dallas base grievance. APA's motivation to eliminate these grievances appears to be: (1) the avoidance of DFR claims from other MDD pilots, (2) an unwillingness to pay approximately 250 MDD pilots four silos of equity distribution funds valued between \$15,000,000 and \$20,000,000, and (3) subverting Plaintiff's DFR claims by eliminating the only known grievance which provides him rays of hope.

I urgently and respectfully request that the situation be discussed at the upcoming discovery hearing on April 29, 2019, at which time an Injunction motion/order maybe necessary. Until that time I respectfully ask the Court to direct APA to cease their efforts to "effectuate the withdraw and dismissal" of the Dallas base grievance.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of April 2019,

Wallace T. Preitz II (Pro se)

120 Suffield Court Chalfont, PA 18914 (215) 796- 2499

ce: Jim Clark, Alex Nemiroff, Matt Taylor (attorneys for APA by email and regular mail)

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Wallace T. Preitz II, pro se, hereby certify that I have caused a true and correct copy of the forgoing is being served on Defendants to be served via U.S. mail and electronic mail upon the following persons/parties on the date indicated below:

### **Allied Pilots Association**

Alexander Nemiroff, Esquire PA Bar No. 92250 Matthew Taylor Attorney Id. No. 310104 Three Logan Square 1717 Arch Street, Suite 610 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 717-4021 (Telephone) (215) 693-6651 (Facsimile)

James P. Clark (pro hac vice) jim@jpclarklaw.com Attorney for Defendant

Date: April 15, 2019

Wallace T. Preitz II 120 Suffield Ct Chalfont, PA 18914

Cell phone (215) 796-2499

Pro se

## **Exhibit A**

# > ALLIED PILOTS ASSOCIATION



O'Connell Building • 14600 Trinity Boulevard, Suite 500 • Fort Worth, TX 76155-2512 • 817.302.2272 • www.ciliedpilots.org

May 22, 2012

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED # 7011 0470 0000 9113 1546

Captain John Hale
Vice President Flight
American Airlines, Inc.
P. O. Box 619617 MD851
DFW Airport, TX 75261-9617

Re: DFW Domicile Grievance No. 12-012

### Dear Captain Hale:

Pursuant to the May 1, 2003, Agreement ("Agreement"), between American Airlines, Inc., and the airline pilots in its employ, as represented by Allied Pilots Association, the undersigned hereby file this grievance, on behalf of all DFW-based pilots protesting the Company's violation of Sections 11.D, Supplement F(1), and all other related sections of the Agreement as well as past practice, for failing to reinstate pilots to the Pilots' Seniority System List and for failing to provide pilots notice of termination prior to terminating employment status of pilots who have been on inactive status, unpaid sick, or disability for more than five years.

In accordance with Section 21.F.3 of the Agreement, I hereby elect to waive the Initial Hearing in this matter so that an Appeal Hearing can be held at the earliest possible date.

In addition, we request that the Company send a copy of all hearing notices and decisions rendered in this case to the undersigned and the Legal Department, Allied Pilots Association, 14600 Trinity Blvd., Suite 500, Fort Worth, TX 76155-2512.

Sincerely,

Captain Rusty McDaniels

Chairman - DFW

First Officer Russell Moore Vice Chairman - DFW

Anles

ce: Ms. Reagan Heine, AA Specialist HR Ops Support (via Shelley Handman)
Captain Tom Kachmar, Grievanee Coordinator – DFW
First Officer Neil Roghair, Negotiating Committee Chairman
Captain Frank McGill, Contract Compliance Committee Chairman
APA Legal Department (JBB)

# **Exhibit B**

GCG Number: 7654080				
Medified B 10 (GCG) (12-11)	DA DIOTOLOT DE NEW YORK	· PROOF OF CLAIM		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHE Name of Debtor (Chark Only One): Case No.	200 00000000	Your Claim is Scheduled As Follows:		
M American Atthres, Inc. (11-154n-1) I Engle Avinum Service  D AAR Corporation (11-15465) I Admirals Club, Inc.	£ Inc. (31-15472) (11-15473)	ALCTON .		
D AAR Engle Nobling Corporation (1)-15463) D Suspiness Express Airli D Assertion Authors Reality (NYC) Holdings, Inc. (11-15462) D Rom Are Inc.	Super Carlo			
C) Americas General Services, Inc. (11-15466) C) AA Real Estate Holden				
Q PATA Invarament Subsidincy, Inc. (11-15467) Q AA Real Estate Holdin Q SC Invacament, Inc. (11-1546R) Q American Airlines Man	keting Services LLC (11-15478)	图 HAR ~ 7 2014 图		
D American Engle Arrimes, Inc. (11-15469) D American Airlines Vac D Executive Afrimes, Inc. (11-15470) D American Avestion Sup	Mines 1,1 C (11-15479)	[ 100 - 1 50H 5]		
D Executive Ground Services, Inc. (11-15471) D American Airlines III L	icensing Holding, LLC (11-15481)			
NOTE. Do not use this form to make a clema for on individuative expense that arise request for payment of an inhumprostice expense accreding to 11 U.S.C. § 503 (who which is subject to a separate but due of February 13, 2012).	es after the hankrupter filing. You may file a er them a claim under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)			
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the Debtor owes money or property): Allied Pilots Association	Check this bux to indicate that this claim amends a previously filed claim.	- Filed - 13464		
Name and address where notices should be some ALLIED MLOTE ASSOCIATION	Court Claim Number:	USRC - SDNY AMR CORPORATION, ET AL.		
CO KISIMAR TAYLOR 1334 COMGESTICUS AVE NW	\$331 (see attached)	11-15463 (SHL)·		
WASHINGTON DC 19836 US	(If known)	·		
<b></b>		If an amount is identified above, you have a chim scheduled by one of the Debtur as shown.		
Telephone sember: 202 429 3000	Filed on: July 13, 2012	(This schooland amount of your claus may		
E-mail irianior@sleolos.com	THE OIL	be an amendment in a previously scheduled amount.) If you agree with the amount and pri-		
Name and address where physicist should be sent (if different from above):		pricy of your clasm as achodoled by the Debter		
Allied Pilots Association	O Check this box if you are aware that	and you have no other claim against the Debtor, you do not need to tile this proof of claim from.		
14600 Trinity Boulevard, Suite 500	anyone else has filed a proof of claim	EXCEPT AS POLLOWS, If the amount shows is fisical on DISPUTED, UNI IQUIDATED, or		
Fort Worth, TX 76155-2512	relating to this claim Attach copy of stockers giving particulars.	CONTINGENT, a proof of claim MUST be		
	The state of the s	filed in order to receive any distribution in respect of your claim. If you have already filed		
Telephone marriber: 817.302.2272		a proof of claim in accordance with the attached		
E-mail· intavior@sleptoe.com		Matrictions, you need not file again.		
Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed (November 29, 2011): \$ See att (See instruction #1)	eched			
If all or part of the classe is secured, conspicte item 4.				
If nell or part of the claum is entitled to priority, complete near 5.	on the minutest emanual of the chiles. Associ	a contract that are invaded as decreased		
Check this bux if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition  Rasis for Claim: See attached	at the principal attraction on the caster Attack	2 SINCTION (AND SECURIOS WILES OF CHIRGOS.		
(See instruction #2)				
Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifes Debtor: (See searaction #3)	3a. Delster may have scheduled account as:	3h. Uniform Claim Identifer (optional):		
	(See instruction #3a)	(See watruction #7b)		
<ol> <li>Secured Claim (See instruction #4)         Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a attack required reducted documents, and provide the requested information.     </li> </ol>		and other charges, as of the Sose case was red claim, if any:		
Nature of property or right of setoff: O Real Estate O Other	Equipment \$	•		
Describe:	Rasis for perfection:			
Value of Property: S	Amount of Secured	Claim: S		
(when case was field)	I Varrable Assume Unsecured:			
<ol> <li>Amount of Claim Emitled to Priority under II U.S.C. § 507 (a). If any priority and state the amount. (See instruction #5)</li> </ol>	part of the claim falls into one of the falls	wing entegories, check the hor specifying the		
D Domestic support obligations under  11 U.S.C. § 507 (NX1)(A) or (nX1)(B).  S11,725*) carried within 11 the case was filed or the D	IO days before plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507			
□ 1.7p to \$2,600° of deposits toward business cented, whicheve purchase, lease, or toutal of property at services for personal, family, or				
household use - 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(7).  12 Those or penalties oved to governmental units - 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(3)  Control Number, 52731578:				
*Amounts are subject to subjustment on 4/1/13 and every 3 years therasfler	with respect to cases commenced on or often	r the date of oclyastancia.		
6. Creates. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction #6)				

7.	Documents: Attached are reducted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, in
	DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING.
	If the decriments are not available, please explain:
2.	Signatures (See instruction #\$) Check the appropriate bax.
	I am the creditor  I am the creditor  (A tack capy of power of attempt, if any.)  I declare under penalty of perjury that the information pravided to this chim is true and corrost to the best of my knowledge, information, and reasonable below  Print Name:  Tall:  Alied Pilots Association  Address and recyclation motice address above):  (Signature)  (Signature)  (Date)
	Fort Worth, TX 76155-2512
Telep	phone number: 817.302.2272 e-mail:

Penalty for presenting frontialent claim: Fine of up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. 55 152 and 3571.

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROOF OF CLAIM FORM

The instructions and definitions below are general explanations of the law. In certain circumstances, such as bunkrapter cases are filed voluntarily by the Debtors and their Court-appointed claims agent, GCG, Inc. ("GCG"), over not antiherized to provide, and are not providing, you with any legal advice.

PLEASE SEND YOUR ORIGINAL, COMPLETED CLAIM FORM AS POLLOWS: IF BY FIRST CLASS MAIL: AMR Corporation, et al., c/e GCG, PO. Box 9852, Dubin, Orio 43017-5752. IF BY HAND DELIVERY OR OVERHIGHT MAIL: AMR Corporation, et al., c/e GCG, 5151 Bluer Parkway, Suite A. Dubin, Orio 43017, ANY PROOF OF CLAIM SUBMITTED BY FACSIMILE OR EMAIL WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

THE GENERAL AND GOVERNMENTAL WAR DATES IS JULY 16, 2012 AT 5:00 P.M. (PREVAILING EASTERN TIME)

Home to be consoleted in Proof of Claim form

### Court, Name of Debtor, and Case Number:

These chapter 11 cases were commonced in the United States Bunkruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York on November 29, 2011 (the "Commoncement Date"). You should select the Debtor against which you are asserting your claim.

A SEPARATE PROOF OF CLAIM FORM MUST BE FILED AGAINST EACH DEBTOR.

### Creditor's Name and Address:

Fill in the name of the person or critity asserting a chain and the name and address of the person who should receive notices issued during the bankruptcy case. Please provide us with a valid e-mail address, A separate apace is provided for the payment address if it differs from the notice address. The creditor loss a continuing obligation to keep the Court informed of its correst address. See Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Precedure (FRBP) 2002(g).

### 1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed:

State the total amount owed to the crofiner on the date of the bankruptcy filing (using the exchange rate, if applicable, as of the Commencement Date.) Fallow the instructions concerning whether to complete thems 4 and 5. Check the best if interest or other changes are included in the claim.

### 2. Rank for Claim:

State the type of debt or how it was incurred. Examples include goods sold, money leaved, services performed, personal injury/wrongful death, our loan, mostgage note, and credit card. If the claim is based on delivering health care goods or services, limit the disclosure of the goods or services so as to avoid embarrassment or the disclosure of confidential health care information. You may be required to provide additional disclosure if an interested many objects to your claim.

Last Four Digits of Any Number by Which Creditor Identifies Deliter:
 State only the last four cligits of the Debtor's account or other number used by the creditor to identify the Debtor.

### 3a. Delmar Afay Have Scheduled Account As:

Report is change in the creditor's same, a transferred classe, or any other information that clarifies a difference between this proof of classes and the claims as acheduled by the Police.

### Jh. Uniform Claim Identifer:

If you use a uniform claim identifier, you may report it here. A uniform claim likentifier is an optional 24-character seentifier that certain large creditors use to facilitate electronic payment in chapter 13 cases.

### 4. Secured Claim:

Check whether the claim is fully or partially secured. Skip this section if the claim is entirely ansecured. (See Definitions.) If the claim is accurred, check the lox for the unitors and value of property that secures the claim, attach espics of tica documentation, and state, as of the date of the bankruptcy filing, the annual interest me (and whether it is fixed or wimble), and the armount past due on the claim.

### 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority Under 11 U.S.C. § 567 (a):

If any partion of the claim falls into any estegery shown, check the appropriate box(es) and state the amount entitled to priority. (See Definitions.) A claim may be partly priority and partly mea-priority. For example, in some of the entegories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.

### 6. Credits:

An authorized signature on this proof of claim serves as an acknowledgment that when calculating the associat of the claim, the creditor gave the Debter credit for any payments received toward the debt.

### 7. Documenta

Attach reducted copies of any documents that show the slott exists and a lien secures the debt. You must also attach copies of documents that evidence perfection of any security interest. You may also attach a summery in addition to the documents themselves. FRBP 3001(c) and (d). If the claim is based on delivering health care goods or services, limit disclosing enclidential health care information. Do not soul original documents, as strackments may be destroyed after semaints.

### 8. Date and Signature:

The individual completing this proof of closes usuat sign and date it. FRBP 9011. If the claim is filed electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes Contri to establish local rules specifying what constitutes a signature. If you sign this form, you declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided is true and correct to the best of your knowledge, information, and reasonable belief. Your signature is also a certification that the claim meets the requirements of FRDP 9011(b). Whether the ciaim is filed electronically or in person, if your name is on the signature line, you are responsible for the declaration. First the name and title, if any, of the creditor or edier across authorized to file thus claim. State the filer's actions and telephone number if it differs from the address given no the top of the form for purposes of receiving natices. If the claim is filed by an authorized aport, attach a complete copy of any power of attorney, and provide both the name of the individual filing the claim and the name of the agent. If the authorized agent is a servicer identify the curporate servicer as the company. Criminal possities apply for meeting a false statement on a proof of clotin.

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Chapter 11

AMR CORPORATION, et. al.,

Case No. 11-15463 (SHL)

Debtors.

Jointly Administered

# ATTACHMENT TO AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM SUBMITTED BY ALLIED PILOTS ASSOCIATION ON ITS OWN BEHALF AND ON BEHALF OF ITS INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS

The Allied Pilots Association ("APA") is the exclusive collective bargaining representative of the pilots employed by American Airlines, Inc. ("American" or "Debtor").

This Amended Proof of Claim is made and submitted on behalf of the APA itself, as well as each individual member pilot.

### BACKGROUND

APA is an unincorporated association and labor union. It is the certified collective bargaining representative, under the Railway Labor Act, for airline pilots employed by American Airlines. On July 13, 2012, APA filed, on its own behalf and on behalf of its individual members, Proof of Claim #8331 against Debtor (the "Original Proof of Claim"). In the Original Proof of Claim, APA asserted claims, among others, on behalf of itself and its member pilots, for (i) claims related to the freeze of the pension plan, (ii) claims related to scope changes, (iii) claims related to Other Changes to Rates of Pay, Rules and Working Conditions and (iv) claims related to grievances involving APA and/or its member pilots that arise from conduct or breaches of the 2003-2008 CBA (including the Supplemental Agreements), other separate agreements between APA and American or violations of the RLA's status quo provisions which occurred prior to the petition date, including certain listed litigation claims.

On November 16, 2012, APA and Debtor entered into a Letter of Agreement providing for, among other things, the settlement of claims of APA, on behalf of itself or the pilots represented by APA, against Debtor. The "APA Settlement Consideration fully, finally and completely extinguishes any and all claims, interest, causes or demands (including any and all pending grievances, excluding those grievances identified on Exhibit 1)." Section 1 of the Letter of Agreement also provided that the settlement did "not encompass or extinguish the following claims related to these specific grievances or lawsuits: American Airlines, Inc. v. Allied Pilots Ass'n, No. 4:12-ev-00083-Y (N.D. Tex.); Canada v. American Airlines, Inc., et al., No. 3:09:0127 (M.D. Tenn.), Case No. 10-6131 (6th Cir.); Furland v. American Airlines, Inc., v. ARB Case Nos. 09-102, 10-130, ALJ Case No. 2008-AIR-011; American Airlines, Inc., v. Administrative Review Board, Department of Labor, Case No. 11-14419-C (11th Cir.); and pending discipline grievances" (collectively, with the grievances identified on Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Letter, the "Excluded Claims").

This settlement was implemented in the Debtors' Second Amended Joint Chapter 11

Plan, dated June 5, 2013 (ECF No. 8590) (the "Plan"), as approved by the Court in its Order dated October 21, 2013. In particular, under Section 1.41 of the Plan, "the APA Claim shall not include the claims and grievances or lawsuits (i) set forth in Sections 1, 3, and/or Exhibit 1 of the Bankruptcy Settlement Letter of Agreement" and these claims "if Allowed, shall be classified and treated [under the Plan] in accordance with any such allowance."

This amended Proof of Claim amends only the Excluded Claims, and does not, in any way, amend or modify any other claims of the Original Proof of Claim. Set forth below and attached hereto, as Exhibit B is an updated list of Excluded Claims. Except as noted on Exhibit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A copy of the Settlement Letter, including Exhibit 1, is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

# EXHIBIT B

File#	Grievant	Level	Base	Culton/ Submodyl	Description (* e.c.	i i	Affected Pilots
P-03-12 (11-067)	Sheehan, III, CA James E. (052349)	SYS	MIA	Termination	Grievance filed 11/18/11 protesting the Company's action in terminating the Grievant for the reasons cited by CA Scott Meade, Director of Flight - LGA, in his letter dated 10/28/2011.	\$113,123.00	CA James E. Sheehan, III 169 Mason Stroet, Unit 4-C Greenwich, CT 06830
11-084	American Independent Cockpit Alliance, Inc. (AICA)	Appeal		1 - Scope	Grievance filed directly by American Independent Cockpit Alliance, Inc. (AICA) on 11/15/11, protesting the Company's failure to secure flying slots / positions to khose pilots on the Current American Aidines Pilot Seniority List and allowing pilots other than these to operate aircraft and bid on the positions.	\$95,000.00	Outside counsel
12-012	DFW Base - McDaniels & Moore	Appeal	DFW	11.D, Supp F(1)	'Grievance filed \$722/12 protesting the Company's failure to reinstate pilots to the Pilots' Seniority: System List and for failing to provide pilots notice of termination prior to terminating employment status of pilots who have been on inactive status, unpaid sick, or disability for more than five years.	\$245,000.00	Andrea B. Twitchell #96 West Safari Drive Tucson, AZ \$5704- 2857 And any other DFW-based pilot(s) who was not rainstated to the Pilots Seniority System List or was not provided notice of termination prior to terminating employment status of the pilot who had been on inactive status, unpaid sick, or disability for more than five years.

# **Exhibit C**

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA			
2	WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION			
3	CASE NO. 14-CR-80518-HURLEY			
4	KATHY E. EMERY, .			
5	Plaintiff, .			
6	vs			
7	ALLIED PILOTS ASSOCIATION, . West Palm Beach, FL . November 28, 2016			
8	Defendant November 28, 2010			
9	VOLUME 1			
10	NONJURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS			
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DANIEL T. K. HURLEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT GODGE			
13				
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: KATHY E. EMERY			
16	Pro Se 1050 N.E. 91st Street			
17	Miami, FL 33138 305-758-9650			
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: DARIN M. DALMAT, ESQ.			
19	James & Hoffman PC  18 West Mercer Street			
20	Suite 400 Seattle, WA 98119			
21	206-257-6028			
22	COURT REPORTER: Pauline A. Stipes Official Federal Reporter			
23	HON, ROBIN L. ROSENBERG Fort Pierce/West Palm Beach			
24	772-467-2337			
25				

1	INDEX	
2	ARTHUR McDANIELS	
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Emery	Page 19
4	Cross Examination by Mr. Dalmat	Page 122
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Emery	Page 186
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	<u>I.D.</u>	Received
9	Plaintiff Exhibit 49 Plaintiff Exhibit 4-A	Page 49 Page 76
10	Plaintiff Exhibit 4-B Plaintiff Exhibit 4-C	Page 82 Page 90
11	Plaintiff Exhibit 9 Plaintiff Exhibit 9-C	Page 97 Page 101
12	Plaintiff Exhibit 22-A Plaintiff Exhibit 4-D	Page 116 Page 188
13	Plaintiff Exhibit 21 Defense Exhibit 8	Page 190 Page 130
14	Defense Exhibit 9 Defense Exhibit 3	Page 137 Page 150
15	Defense Exhibit 16 Defense Exhibit 1	Page 173 Page 179
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
23		

Pauline A. Stipes, Official Federal Reporter

1 Why don't we take a break and we will come back and 2 pick up with 49. 3 We will be in recess for 15 minutes. 4 (Thereupon, a short recess was taken.) 5 THE COURT: All right. We stopped, we were in direct 6 examination. Let me turn back to Ms. Emery and allow her to 7 proceed. 8 When we stopped, you were referring to your Exhibit 49. You were showing it to Mr. Dalmat. 9 10 All right. When you are ready, you may proceed. BY MS. EMERY: 11 12 I will give you a few minutes to look at that grievance. 13 Is that a grievance you filed on behalf of the DFW 14 domicile? 15 A. Yes. 16 Do you ever recall giving testimony saying that that DFW 17 grievance would also apply to other pilots system-wide if they 18 were similarly situated? 19 A. I probably did say that, yes. 20. Okay. Are you familiar with -- can you go ahead and read 21 the nature of the grievance. 22 THE COURT: Let me stop you for a moment. 23 asking the witness to talk about something not yet offered into evidence. 24 25 MS. EMERY: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.

# **Exhibit D**

MICE N P	nyers 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PALM BEACH DIVISION
3	CASE NO. 14-80518-CIV-RYSKAMP/HOPKINS
4	KATHY E. EMERY, )
5	Plaintiff,
6	v. )
7	ALLIED PILOTS ASSOCIATION )
8	Defendant. )
9	
10	
11	
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	OF MARK MYERS
14	(REDACTED NON-CONFIDENTIAL TESTIMONY) TAKEN: December 11, 2015
15	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
16	
17	
18	ORAL DEPOSITION of MARK MYERS, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, and duly
19	sworn, was taken in the above-styled and -numbered cause on the 11th day of December, 2015, from 1:15 p.m. to
20	5:03 p.m., before Hope Lewandoski, CSR, in and for the State of Texas, Reported by Machine Shorthand, at the
21	Candlewood Suites DFW South, located at 4200 Reggis Court, City of Fort Worth, County of Tarrant, State of
22	Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached
23	hereto.
24	
25	

I work in the legal department.

category of work that you do in particular?

23

25

Q.

A.

1 0. Is it the APA's position that that 2 responsibility falls upon the company? 3 MR. DALMAT: Objection. The witness isn't 4 here as a 30(b)(6) witness. If he happens to know APA's 5 position on the matter, go ahead and state it. 6 THE WITNESS: I am -- I am unfamiliar with 7 APA's -- whether there's an official APA position. any conversations I would have had related to that 8 9 position would be attorney-client privileged. 10 (BY MS. EMERY) Did the APA file grievances Q. 11 with the company related to the company's failure to notify pilots that they're about to fall off the list? 12 There have been two grievances filed related 13 to Section 11.D and Supp. F, which are the two 14 provisions that allow for the company to terminate 15 employment for a pilot who has been out on leave 16 disability or unpaid sick for longer than five years. 17 What are the two grieve -- on -- on whose 18 Q. behalf were the two grievances filed? 19 Well, they were both base grievances, so, in 20 Α. that sense, they were on behalf of any affected base 21 22 pilot. So if APA prevails on a base grievance -- is 23 Q. that only applicable to the Dallas base if they prevail 24 on a grievance? 25

27

1	A. It depends on what the issue is. I mean, if				
2	it's a base-specific issue, then, yes, it's specific to				
3	that base. These two issues were were systemic				
4	system-wide, and so arguably they would they would				
5	apply system-wide.				
6	Q. To all pilots. Have is that correct? To				
7	all pilots?				
8	A. Well, to all affected pilots.				
9	Q. All affected pilots. Okay.				
10	Have any of those grievances been				
11	_resolved?				
12	A. One was converted to an individual grievance,				
13	and that grievance has been resolved.				
14	Q. Has the what were the bases under which the				
15	5 grievances were filed?				
16	MR. DALMAT: The the pilot				
17	Q. (BY MS. EMERY) The base grievances. It's				
18	obviously				
19	A. Oh, DFW and New York.				
20	Q. Were they the individual grievance, who was				
21	that filed on behalf of?				
22	A. The New York grievance was converted to an				
23	individual grievance. The individual was Rodney				
24	Charlson.				
25	Q. Is that portion of the base grievance still				

Mark Myers

29 1 pending? 2 No. The -- the entire grievance was converted. 3 to an individual grievance. 4 Q. Were there any other LaGuardia pilots that 5 were affected --6 A. Not that I know --7 -- by the issue? Q. 8 Not that I know of. Α. 9 And the Dallas grievance, has that been Q. 10 resolved? 11 No. Α. 12 Q. Who was that --13 It's still pending. A. 14 Who was that grievance filed on behalf of? Q. 15 MR. DALMAT: Objection. Asked and 16 answered. (BY MS. EMERY) Or --17 0. It was filed on behalf of the base. It has 18 Α. 19 not been converted to any individual grievance nor has 20 any one pilot been presented as -- as the -- the representative pilot. It is a base grievance. 21 So Mr. Charlson was not represented as the 22 Q. pilot on the LaGuardia -- or New York's grievance? 23 What do you mean? 24 Α. I believe your testimony is that no one pilot 25 Q.

# **Exhibit E**

Case 2:17-cv-01166-MSG Document 59 Filed 04/24/19 Page 26 of 31

11-15463-shl Doc 5926 Filed 01/02/13 Entered 01/02/13 14:30:09 Main Document Pg 1 of 14

HEARING DATE AND TIME: January 9, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)
OBJECTION DEADLINE: January 2, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Alfredo R. Pérez
Stephen A. Youngman
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

•

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.

AMR CORPORATION, et al., : 11-15463 (SHL)

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

.

# DEBTORS' LIMITED OBJECTION TO MOTION OF LAWRENCE MEADOWS FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY

TO THE HONORABLE SEAN H. LANE, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

AMR Corporation and its related debtors, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors" or "American"), submit this Limited Objection to the second motion of Lawrence Meadows ("Meadows") for relief from the automatic stay, filed December 12, 2012 (ECF No. 5731) (the "Motion"), and respectfully represent:

### **Preliminary Statement**

Meadows seeks relief from the automatic stay to (i) allow the United
 States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit to rule on the Appeal (as hereinafter defined);

administratively terminated pursuant to a provision of the CBA that states that pilots who have been inactive for longer than five years will be terminated (the "Five-Year Rule"). However, because of a newly diagnosed condition, Meadows became eligible for and was awarded disability benefits in December 2011, under another disability plan then applicable (the "New Plan"). Meadows continues to receive disability benefits from American under the New Plan.

- 8. On November 29, 2011 (the "Commencement Date"), the Debtors each commenced with this Court a voluntary case under chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). As a result of the commencement of the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, and by operation of law pursuant to section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, the automatic stay enjoined all entities from, among other things, commencing or continuing any judicial action against any of the Debtors, including the Appeal, that was or could have been initiated before the Commencement Date, or seeking to recover a claim against the Debtors that arose before the Commencement Date.
- Because of the commencement of the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, on
   January 3, 2012, the Eleventh Circuit stayed the Appeal pending further orders of the Bankruptcy
   Court and the Eleventh Circuit.
- 10. Meadows first filed a motion in this Court for relief from the automatic stay on December 22, 2011 (ECF No. 444) (the "First Motion"). The Court denied the First Motion by order dated January 27, 2012 (ECF No. 898).
- On February 4, 2012, the APA filed a grievance (DFW Domicile

  Grievance No. 12012) (the "APA Grievance") on behalf of Meadows and certain other DFW
  based pilots that had been terminated because of the Five-Year Rule, asserting that they had not received adequate notice of their terminations. The APA Grievance is pending. Unless resolved

# **Exhibit F**

# > ALLIED PILOTS ASSOCIATION

O'Connell Building • 14600 Trinity Boulevard, Suite 500 • Fort Worth, TX 76155-2512 • 817.302.2272 • www.alledpilots.org

August 30, 2012

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED # 7011 0470 0000 9113 1782

Captain John Hale
Vice President-Flight
American Airlines, Inc.
P. O. Box 619617 MD851
DFW Airport, TX 75261-9617

Re: ORD Domicile Grievance No. 12-105

Dear Captain Hale:

Pursuant to the May 1, 2003, Agreement ("Agreement"), between American Airlines, Inc., and the airline pilots in its employ, as represented by Allied Pilots Association, the undersigned hereby file this grievance, on behalf of all ORD-based pilots protesting the Company's violation of Sections 11.D, Supplement F(1), and all other related sections of the Agreement as well as past practice, for failing to reinstate pilots to the Pilots' Seniority System List and for failing to provide pilots notice of termination prior to terminating employment status of pilots who have been on inactive status, unpaid sick, or disability for more than five years.

In accordance with Section 21.F.3 of the Agreement, we hereby elect to waive the Initial Hearing in this matter so that an Appeal Hearing can be held at the earliest possible date.

In addition, we request that the Company send a copy of all hearing notices and decisions rendered in this case to the undersigned and the Legal Department, Allied Pilots Association, 14600 Trinity Blvd., Suite 500, Fort Worth, TX 76155-2512.

Sincerely,

Captain Mike McClellan

Chairman - ORD

cc:

Captain Kevin Elmore

Vice Chairman - ORD

Ms. Reagan Heine, AA Specialist HR Ops Support (via Shelley Handman)
First Officer Neil Roghair, Negotiating Committee Chairman
Captain Frank McGill, Contract Compliance Committee Chairman
APA Legal Department (JBB)

February	6.	2013	
	-,		

Captain John Hale
Vice President Flight
American Airlines, Inc.
P. O. Box 619617 MD851
DFW Airport, TX 75261-9617

Re: ORD Domicile Grievance No. 12-105 (Reinstatement After Five Years)

### Dear Captain Hale:

Pursuant to the May 1, 2003, Agreement (AAgreement@), between American Airlines, Inc., and the airline pilots in its employ, as represented by Allied Pilots Association, we filed a grievance, on behalf of all ORD-based pilots on August 30, 2012, protesting the Company's violation of Sections 11.D, Supplement F(1), and all other related sections of the Agreement as well as past practice, for failing to reinstate pilots to the Pilots' Seniority System List and for failing to provide pilots notice of termination prior to terminating employment status of pilots who have been on inactive status, unpaid sick, or disability for more than five years.

This matter has been resolved. Consequently, by this letter, we are hereby withdrawing this grievance, without precedent, and with the right to re-file.

Sincerely,

Captain Mike McClellan Chairman - ORD First Officer Scott Abbott Vice Chairman – ORD

cc: Ms. Rhonda Theuer, AA Specialist HR Ops Support (via Diane Sperando)
First Officer Neil Roghair, Negotiating Committee Chairman
Captain Frank McGill, Contract Compliance Committee Chairman
APA Legal Department (JBB)

### CONFIDENTIAL

# American Airlines.

February 06, 2013

First Officer Keith Wilson President Alfied Pilots Association 14600 Trinity Blvd., #500 Fort Worth, TX 76155-2512

### Dear Keith:

This letter will reflect our agreement regarding the return to work from Medical Disability of First Officer Taze Burns, AA employee number #52029.

First Officer Burns has been cleared by AA Medical and will return to work, on a no precedent exception basis, with all back seniority to the ORD/FO/777/f bid status.

First Officer Burns will be returned to payroll effective February 21, 2013. His training is scheduled to commence on that date.

Sincerely,

Captain John Hale Vice President - Flight

Agreed:

First Officer Keith Wilson

President - Allied Pilots Association

ec: Captain John Burton

AMERICAN ARRENES PLIGHT ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 816617, DALLASFORT WORTH APPORT, TEXAS 75201-8617