

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: 95- 0852 (clarification bill HB3441) 95th Assembly
Date: Monday, 04 January 2010 15:31
From: "Hallaron Scott" <shallaron@senatedem.ilga.gov>
To: [REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

That is my understanding. I just looked up the transcript of the floor debate, and President Cullerton made it very clear that the bill was not written to infringe on the jurisdiction of municipalities to enforce existing state law, i.e. the Boat Registration and Safety Act or the Criminal Code.

I would include the relevant portion of the transcript, but it is an apparently secure .pdf file and won't allow me to cut and paste from the document.

-Scott

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 04, 2010 3:07 PM
To: Hallaron, Scott
Subject: RE: 95- 0852 (clarification bill HB3441) 95th Assembly

Thanks, Scott. Glad you remember it! We all wish they could be this easy, I guess.

So by "clarification", you agree the clarification means the enactment of HB3441 did not in any way change the law or limit the then-existing powers of local government, rather it simply clarified Illinois municipalities could not misuse 65 ILCS 5/7-4-4 to zone beyond village borders over water against private, lake property owners?

In short HB3441 didn't change the law itself, rather just clarified the face of 65 ILCS 5/7-4-4, right?

Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

From: shallaron@senatedem.ilga.gov
Sent: Mon, 4 Jan 2010 14:49:27 -0600
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 95- 0852 (clarification bill HB3441) 95th Assembly

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your inquiry. I have reviewed my notes regarding HB 3441 from the 95th GA, and as far as I can tell, yes, this was a minor clarification issue. While it has been a couple of years now since we took up this issue, I do remember this bill specifically in the Local Government Committee, mostly because we spent so little time on it.

If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.