on October 9 2017 Monday education conversation is on False flags las Vegas bull shit lies "the Attempt to change americans to be Nazis and live in naziasm by your religious beliefs of mind control ", Legal v illegal 1774 original immigration act Federal Immigration and Nationality Act Section 8 USC 1324(a)(1)(A)(iv)(b)(iii) 8 U.S. Code § 1324 - Bringing in and harboring certain aliens |...<u>http://new.oregontrackers.com/home.html</u> www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/8/1324

(B) A person who violates subparagraph (A) shall, for each alien in respect to whom ... in the case of a violation of subparagraph (A)(ii), (iii), (iv), or (v)(II), be fined ... the United States or any State punishable by imprisonment for more than 1 year, ... is an unauthorized alien (as defined in section 1324a(h)(3) of this title), and. Aiding, abetting, harboring, encouraging illegals a felony

"Any person who . . . encourages or induces an alien to . . . reside . . . knowing or in reckless disregard of the fact that such . . . residence is . . . in violation of law, shall be punished as provided . . . for each alien in respect to whom such a violation occurs . . . fined under title 18 . ....

lawful bloodline Americans 1884 it is illegal and unconstitutional to pay

Tribal sovereignty in the United States - Wikipedia

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tribal\_sovereignty\_in\_the...

It may be noted that while Native American tribal sovereignty ... except for habeas corpus ... (holding that Indian Nations have the power to tax Non-Native Americans . http://articles.latimes.com/2003/jul/25/local/me-taxtribe25

New call in number 5pm oregon ,6, Mountain 7pm,central 8pm eastcoast new Call in number ,, we are Working on 800 number and other outlets for New Call in number, Here is the info Dial-in Number: (641) 715-0864 Participant Code: 486971

Every Monday Read the information below could educate yourself The Disclaimers talk show by Davidlee buess and edward johnston <u>http://new.oregontrackers.com/home.html</u> Also live on youtube oregon trackers Live Stream <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Bq4H m pJA</u>

1776-1778 Ratified Constitution lawful Bloodline American Native Republic V British democratic Legal Democracy National and citizen immigration fraud

please read about the law .The federal Constitution makes a careful distinction between natural Native born and citizens and Nationals of the United States\*Incorporation\* (compare 2:1:5 with Section 1 of the 14th Amendment). One is an unconditional Sovereign by natural birth on 48 union states soil, who is endowed by the Creator , the Greatspirit and mother earth with certain unalienable rights; the other has been granted the revocable privileges of U.S.\*\* citizenship and nationals , endowed by the Congress of the United States\*Incorporation\*. One is a Citizen and national , the other is a subject. One Native is a Sovereign, the other is a subordinate from religious beliefs . One is a Lawful bloodline american of our constitutional Republic; the other is a citizen and or national of a legislative Democratic democracy (the British Vatican contract 1871 civil war federal zone reference to the British Vatican and king john foreign treaty of 1213 the Devils contract ). Notice the superior/subordinate relationship between these two statuses. I don't know how many can hear or comprehend this.... But we lawful bloodline Americans STAND strong, we STAND our ground, we STAND for our rights. Standing is strength, standing is a sign of a Breathing living man and woman, thinking,,, Man or Woman. Kneeling and train their bloodline is a sign of enslavement religious worship,...enslavement no rights for freedom

Public Notice, Affidavit of Dishonest including non-compliance by the judge attorneys clerk, all elected and public servants with the 1938 FARA Mandatory filling Perhaps the most important statute here is a largely obscure 1938 law, the Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA), All "public servants," officials, Congressmen, politicians, judges, attorneys, law enforcement officers, States and their various agencies, etc., are the express agents of these foreign principals - see Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938; 22 USC 286 et seq, 263A, 185G, 267J, 611(C) (ii) & (iii); Treasury Delegation Order #91 Challenges to Judge: Universal to all cases. A judge who refuses our law is loyal to some other authority. Ask the "Judge" if he/she is a member of the "STATE BAR ASSOCIATION". If so, challenge the "Judge" under 22 USC 611 as a "Foreign Agent". All "Judges" are lawfully required by 28 USC 372 to have an "Oath of Office". Ask the "Judge" if he/she has an "Oath of Office". If yes, accept the "Oath of Office" in "Admiralty Jurisdiction". Now the "Judge" is subject to criminal prosecution and civil litigation for any injury he/she may cause you. If no, the attorney is not a judge and has no lawful authority to proceed. Your State Representative should be informed by "Petition for Impeachment of Judge". Present the facts of the case, the law is not necessary. Have it notarized and send it by Certified Mail. As we remove the unlawful judges, lawful judges will take their place whereas : U.S. 605

The Foreign Agents Registration Act was first enacted by Congress on June 8, 1938. It required agents of foreign principals to register with the Secretary of State.' (A)gent of a foreign principal' was defined as 'any person who acts or engages or agrees to act as a public-relations counsel, publicity agent, or as agent, servant, representative, or attorney for a foreign principal \* \* \*.' 52 Stat. 631, 632. (Emphasis added.) 'Foreign principal' was defined as 'the government of a foreign country, a political party of a foreign country, a person domiciled abroad, or any foreign business, partnership, association, corporation, or political organization \* \* \*.' Exempted from the definition of 'agent of a foreign principal' was 'a person, other than a public-relations counsel, or publicity agent, performing only private, non-political, financial, mercantile, or other activities in furtherance of the bona fide trade or commerce of such foreign principal.' 52 Stat. 631, 632. (Emphasis added.) In 1961, the exemption section was amended to 7 apply to persons 'engaging or agreeing to engage only in private 301 and non-political,

302 financial or mercantile activities in furtherance of the bona fide trade or commerce of 303 such foreign principal

. Edward Johnston filings, published

in the media <u>https://olis.leg.state.or.us/</u>.../CommitteeMeetingDocume.../72439 Also filed

filed https://s3.amazonaws.com/khu.../1-AllEdsDocs-Merged%26Long.pdf

A must watch with your children

Red Skelton's Pledge of Allegiance https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nDnXcw6euIE

Exhibit #05.051: Former IRS Commissioner Steven Miller says the income tax is "voluntary" <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MG2mcjAuLo4</u>

9 TRILLION Dollars Missing from Federal Reserve! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GYNVNhB-m0o

[1] This is a BOLD LIE, the 16th Amendment it was never ratified per Article V of the U.S. Constitution (Congressional Record House, June 13, 1967, pg 15641-15646 and Dyett v Turner (1968) are VERY CLEAR about this)

http://fromthetrenchesworldreport.com/police-unions-.../181469 http://fromthetrenchesworldreport.com/police-unions-.../181469

Police Unions Head To DC To Ask New President, Attorney General To Stop Making Cops Respect The Constitution so they can rape rob ans steal by Joe from MassPrivateI

DHS-cps the Vatican ans democratic party,, pays police millions to ticket and prosecute motorists <u>http://fromthetrenchesworldreport.com/</u>.../joe-from-massprivat...

3:02 / 3:13 POLICE STATE - Proof Cops Are Just Government tax Revenue Agents With A Ticket Quota System <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iCodV1JMJis</u>

"Since in common usage, the term `person' does not include the sovereign, statutes employing the phrase are ordinarily construed to exclude it." U.S. v. General Motors Corporation, D.C. Ill, 2 F.R.D.

528, 530: In "common usage the word `person' does not include the sovereign, and statutes employing the word are generally construed to exclude the sovereign." Church of Scientology v. US Department of Justice, 612 F.2d 417 @425 (1979): "the word `person' in legal terminology is perceived as a general word which normally includes in its scope a variety of entities other than human beings., see e.g. 1, U.S.C. § para 1." In the 1935 Supreme Court case of Perry v. US (294 US 330) the Supreme Court found that: "In United States, sovereignty resides in people... the Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared.",

March 3, 1871

----"British Democratic Congress passed the Indian Appropriation Act, which revoked the sovereignty of Indian nations and made Native Americans wards of the Devils bible British and Vatican act 1871 government. The act eliminated the necessity of treaty negotiating and established the policy that tribal affairs could be managed by the U.S Incorporation devil. elected and public servants government without tribal consent and knowledge ."

https://thisdayinusmilhist.wordpress.com/2014/03/03/march-3/

Ku Klux Klan - Facts & Summary - HISTORY.com www.history.com/topics/ku-klux-klan

Find out more about the history of Ku Klux Klan, including videos, interesting

articles, ... Founded in 1866, the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) extended into almost every southern ... for white southern resistance to the Republican Party's Reconstruction-era ... reestablishment of white supremacy–fulfilled through Democratic victories in

Tech Dirt - by Tim Cushing Here it comes -- the exact sort of response Trump was looking for when he issued his "Standing Up for Our Law Enforcement Community" edict during his first couple of days in office. One of the fundamental rights of every American is to live in a safe community. A [...]

US GOV Elected and public servants aka employees Foreign and Domestic laughing about stealing land also raping and robbing, kidnapping holding woman man and children for ransom as filed destroying family's for personal gain and British foreign 1871 government contracted elected and public servants service of employment . <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MFGIIvY6oTw&t=629s</u> ,,,,,,,,Gov't employee brags about stealing land. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7jeLi14p-KU</u>

Judge Rules that Government Debt is Covered by FDCPA, Forcing Collection Agency to Defend https://www.insidearm.com/.../00005574-judge-rules-that-gove.../

Justice Department warns local courts about illegal enforcement of fees and fines <a href="http://www.abajournal.com/.../justice\_department\_warns\_local\_...">http://www.abajournal.com/.../justice\_department\_warns\_local\_...</a>

"Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well

as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them." S.C.R. 1795, Penhallow v. Doane's Administraters (3 U.S. 54; 1 L.Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54)

Since in common usage, the term `person' does not include the sovereign, statutes employing the phrase are ordinarily construed to exclude it." U.S. v. General Motors Corporation, D.C. III, 2 F.R.D. 528, 530: In "common usage the word `person' does not include the sovereign, and statutes employing the word are generally construed to exclude the sovereign." Church of Scientology v. US Department of Justice, 612 F.2d 417 @425 (1979): "the word `person' in legal terminology is perceived as a general word which normally includes in its scope a variety of entities other than human beings., see e.g. 1, U.S.C. § para 1." In the 1935 Supreme Court case of Perry v. US (294 US 330) the Supreme Court found that: "In United States, sovereignty resides in people... the Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared.",

British auxiliary registry accreditation to the king or queen Lawyer's Secret Oath Page 1 of 16 Lawyer's Secret Oath? http://freedom-school.com/aware/the-lawyers-secret-oath.pdf

"It is a clearly established principle of law that an attorney must represent a

corporation, it being incorporeal and a creature of the law.

An attorney representing an artificial entity must appear with the corporate charter and law in his hand. A person acting as an attorney for a foreign principal must be registered to act on the principal's behalf." See, Foreign Agents Registration Act" (22 USC § 612 et seq.);

Victor Rabinowitz et. at. v. Robert F. Kennedy,376 US 605. "Failure to file the "Foreign Agents Registrations Statement" goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the court, and is a felony pursuant to 18 USC §§ 219, 951. The conflict of law, interest and allegiance is obvious. A Lawyer can not make a claim to your rights ,

Only you can . Federal District Court Judge James Alger Fee's mind blowing assertion in United States v. Johnson, 76 F. Supp. 538 (M.D. Pa. 1947)

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania - 76 F. Supp. 538 (M.D. Pa. 1947) February 26, 1947, Congress cannot by legislation enlarge the federal jurisdiction, and it cannot be enlarged under the treaty making power." Mayor, Alderman and Inhabitants of City

of New Orleans v. U.S., 35 U.S. 662, 10 Pet. 662, 9 L.Ed. 573 (1836).And; 18 U.S. Code § 661 - Within special maritime and territorial jurisdiction Current through Pub. L. 114-38. (See Public Laws for the current Congress.)

Whoever, within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States, takes and carries away, with intent to steal or purloin, any personal property of another shall be punished as follows:

If the property taken is of a value exceeding \$1,000, or is taken from the person of another, by a fine under this title, or imprisonment for not more than five years, or both; in all other cases, by a fine under this title or by imprisonment not more than one year, or both.

18 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles Current through Pub. L. 114-38. (See Public Laws for the current Congress.)

Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation,

security, or other article, or anything represented to be or intimated or held out to be such "COUNTERFEIT" or spurious article..... et seq.

It is the duty of every lawful Bloodline American to oppose

all enemies of this Nation, foreign and DOMESTIC. (Note added: Every Lawful and recognized American Citizen including all Elected, Appointed, hired public servant(s), Children's Protection Services, Police, Sheriff's, Martials, CIA, FBI, Capital Police, Secret Service, City Council, County Commissioners, Board of Commissioners, et al, Religious Organizations, Associations, Schools, Colleges, Universities, Schools of Law, Corporations, LLC's, Doctors, Nurses, Health Care Providers, Unions, et al, to preform they of Oath of Office, in compliance to the 1776 Constitution for the United States of America, to all matters herein related thereof.)

Please help pass this information to other professionals in your area – and honor thy 1776 Constitutional oath of office in your area of expertise it is after all as Lawful Americans' right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness that 'GOD' promised mine and your bloodline of this United States of America for all mankind thereof.Please read read title 18 all of it"The Original Thirteenth Article of Amendment To The Constitution For The United States

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them." [Journal of the Senate]

Nationals, Citizens(Federal) and Persons vs. We lawful bloodline american People

NATIONALS, CITIZENS. Citizens are members of a political community who, in their associated capacity, have established or submitted themselves to the dominion of a government for the promotion of their general welfare and the protection of their individual as well as collective rights.---U.S. v Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542---

artificial entities cannot take oaths, they cannot make affidavits. See, e.g., In re Empire Refining Co., 1 F. Supp. 548, 549 (SD Cal. 1932) ("It is, of course, conceded that a corporation cannot make an affidavit in its corporate name. It is an inanimate thing incapable of voicing an oath"); Moya Enterprises, Inc. v. Harry Anderson Trucking, Inc., 162 Ga. App. 39, 290 S.E.2d 145 (1982); Strand Restaurant Co. v. Parks Engineering Co., 91 A.2d 711

(D.C. 1952); 9A T. Bjur C. Slezak, Fletcher Cyclopedia of Law of Private Corporations § 4629 (Perm. ed. 1992) ("A document purporting to be the affidavit of a corporation is void, since a corporation cannot make a sworn statement") (footnote omitted).ROWLAND v. CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY•506 U.S. 194, 203 (1993)

All codes, rules, and regulations are for government authorities only, not human/Creators in accordance

with God's laws. All codes, rules, and regulations are unconstitutional and lacking due process..." Rodriques v. Ray Donavan (U.S. Department of Labor) 769 F. 2d 1344, 1348 (1985).

Federal Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C.891-896, quoting Section 891 "An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property." No one Is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce them Federal Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C.891-896, quoting Section 891 "An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property." No one Is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce "Personal liberty, or the Right to enjoyment of life and liberty, is one of the fundamental or natural Rights, which has been protected by its inclusion as a guarantee in the various constitutions, which is not derived from, or dependent on, the U.S. Constitution, which may not be submitted to a vote and may not depend on the outcome of an election. It is one of the most sacred and valuable Rights, as sacred as the Right to private property...and is regarded as UNALIENABLE." 16 C.J.S., Constitutional Law, Sect.202,p.987. It is not the duty of the police to protect you. Their job is to protect the Corporation and arrest code breakers." (Sapp v. Tallahasee, 348 So. 2nd. 363, Reiff v. City of Philadelphia 477 F.Supp. 1262, Lynch v. N.C. Dept of Justice 376 S. E. 2nd. 247.) Palazzolo v. Rhode Island | The Oyez Project at IIT Chicago-Kent ... Palazzolo v. Rhode Island | The Oyez Project at IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

These Title, Statutes, CODES and rules are the printed letter of what the fascist foreign AGENT BAR attorneys are trying to say are "laws" and at the same time we all realize that these corporate gangsters do not play fair and frequently do not adhere to their own rules.

A motion filed as a "Legal Notice" or by way of affidavit will not be read and will likely be ignored by the foreign AGENTS in one of their private administrative tribunals (COURTS) of admiralty and equity.

- Without prejudice, without recourse - Jefferson Versus the Muslim Pirates | City Journal city-journal.org/html/jefferson-versus-muslim...

from the magazine Jefferson Versus the Muslim Pirates America's first confrontation with the Islamic world helped forge a new nation's character. First Barbary War - Wikipedia en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First Barbary War

The First Barbary War ... Capturing merchant ships and enslaving or ransoming their crews provided the Muslim rulers ... Thomas Jefferson and the Tripoli Pirates: ... Thomas Jefferson & the Barbary Wars : <u>snopes.com</u> <u>www.snopes.com</u> > Fact Check

Jefferson & the Barbary Wars Thomas Jefferson sent the U.S. Navy to subdue Barbary pirates in the Mediterranean. Beck claims Marines were created by Thomas Jefferson to ...

www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2010/feb/03/...

... you," Beck said. "Thomas Jefferson created the Marines for the Islamic ... created by Thomas Jefferson to combat Islamic pirates. U.S. at war with Islam since Thomas Jefferson's time - WND

www.wnd.com/2015/...with-islam-since-thomas-jeffersons-time

U.S. at war with Islam since Thomas Jefferson's ... Muslim pirates of Morocco raided European coasts and ... The Navy and Marines later captured Tripoli ... How Thomas Jefferson Responded to Islam in 1801 Puts Barack H ... conservativetribune.com/jeffersons-response-to-islam

It is also believed that the term "Leathernecks," which refers to Marines, ... by the

Barbary pirates. Thomas Jefferson showed how a ... Muslim Doc Drops ... Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 library.uwb.edu/static/USimmigration/1952 immigration...

We would like to show you a description here but the site won't allow us. The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 (The McCarran ...

history.state.gov > Milestones > 1945-1952

The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 upheld the national origins quota system established by the Immigration Act of 1924, reinforcing this controversial system ... Muslim Immigration is Already Banned by Immigration Act of 1952 www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message3029276/pg1

Muslim Immigration is Already Banned by Immigration Act of 1952 Donald Trump should make this known to the People. Muslim Immigration is already banned. Trump vindicated again - Islamic immigrants violate ... themarshallreport.wordpress.com/2015/12/09/trump...

America's Usurper, Obama has been supporting his Muslim brothers and violating The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952. On the USCIS web site.

Helvering v. Davis - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helvering v. Davis Helvering v. Davis, 301 U.S. 619 (1937), was a decision by the United States Supreme Court, which held that Social Security was constitutionally permissible Please watch 3.16min If I Were the Devil - Paul Harvey (Good Audio)<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QGrWvrGDOXg&feature=share</u>

property tax fraud on lawful bloodline Americans Edward Johnston - Please call him John kitzharber.... | Facebook www.facebook.com/edward.johnston.3150/posts/...

... this to (503) 378-6827 Duly verified Deceleration of facts Man to Man John kitzharber.. I would like ... EDWARD MALONE JOHNSTON II. ... www.governor.oregon.gov

Always with respect PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY

Notice:We are not Attorney or lawyers if you would like legal Advice contact a lawyer or Attorney even tho their is no such thing Attorney's License? Ain't No Such Thing! - Freedom...<u>www.freedom-school.com/law/attorney-license--no-such</u>...

Whereas : MILITARY FLAG WITH THE GOLD FRINGE

Martial Law Flag "Pursuant to 4 U.S.C. chapter 1, §§1, 2, & 3; Executive Order 10834, August 21, 1959; 24 F.R.6865; a military flag is a flag that resembles the regular flag of the United States, except that it has a YELLOW FRINGE border on three sides. The President of the United States designates this deviation from the regular flag, by

executive order, and in his capacity as Commander-in-Chief of the military. The

placing of a fringe on the national flag, the dimensions of the flag and the arrangement of the stars in the union are matters of detail not controlled by statute, but are within the discretion of the President as Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy." 34 Ops. Atty.

Gen. 83.The Law of the Flag regulates the laws under which contracts entered into will be governed. (See Ruhstrat v. People.)

Any courtroom that displays such a flag behind the Judge is a military courtroom which Is operating under military law and not constitutional law, or common law, or civil law, or statute law, Restrictions. (Note added: This court is thereby receiving public funds under false and fraudulent pretense and is committing Treason against the Constitution under the 16th American Jurist Prudence Section 177).

## Whereas :

1,2 American Flag such as a gold fringe MUTILATES the flag and carries a one year prison term. This is confirmed by the authority of Title 36, Section § 176 (g). The gold fringe is a fourth color and, purportedly, represents "color of military law" jurisdiction and when placed on the Title 4 U.S.C. Section §§ 1,2 Flag, mutilates the flag and suspends the Constitution. Refer to Title 18 U.S.C. Section 242, see BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY.

Attorney's License? Ain't No Such Thing! ATTORNEY'S LICENSE??? AIN'T NO SUCH THING!!! Bigger text (+) ... ALL LAWYERS AND LAWYER

Title 42 § 408(a)(8) Title 42 § 408

(a) In general Whoever -

(8) discloses, uses, or compels the disclosure of the social security number of any person in violation of the laws of the United States; shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof shall be fined under title 18 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both.

this is why the incorporation it has to be done in Admiralty law: In Title 28 U.S.C. Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, in the chapter and section that defines "court," "debt," "judgment," and "United States"

(Chapter 176 Federal Debt Collection Procedure, Section 3002), "United States" means a Federal corporation (28 U.S.C. 3002(15)).Title 28 United States incorporation Code" means, literally, Title 28 District of Columbia Municipal Corporation Code.the states and district courts are all run by privately hired corporation.. we are treated in court as: Executive Order 6 and 7 Vol XV app-45 Only has signature of the Secretary of the state (William H Seward) Andrew Johnson the president was against the 14th Amendment He stated it creates a DEFACTO GOVERNMENT. Then, in 1868, the 14th Amendment created a different citizen making all "PERSONS", corporations, citizens of the "UNITED STATES" and "SUBJECT TO" the "JURISDICTION" "THEREOF".y it has to be done in Admiralty law: In Title 28 U.S.C. Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, in the chapter and section that defines "court," "debt," "judgment," and "United States" (Chapter 176 Federal Debt Collection Procedure, Section 3002), "United States" means a Federal British corporation (28 U.S.C. 3002(15)).Title 28 United States Code" means, literally, Title 28 District of Columbia Municipal Corporation Code.the states and district courts are all run by privately hired corporation.. we are treated in court as: Executive Order 6 and 7

Vol XV app-45 Only has signature of the Secretary of the state (William H Seward) Andrew Johnson the president was against the 14th Amendment He stated it creates a DEFACTO GOVERNMENT. Then, in 1868, the 14th Amendment created a different citizen making all "PERSONS", corporations, citizens of the "UNITED STATES" and "SUBJECT TO" the "JURISDICTION" "THEREOF".

Merely being native born within the territorial boundaries of the United States of America does not make such an inhabitant a Citizen of the United States, unless an American

Indian original to this land, subject to the jurisdiction of the Fourteenth Amendment "...Elk v. Wilkins, Neb (1884) 5 s.ct.41,112 U.S. 99,28 L.Ed. 643.

Trey Gowdy lays down facts about illegal immigration https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NaqvzN3HDgA

8 U.S. Code § 1401 - Nationals and citizens of United States at birth 1978—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 95–432, § 3, struck out "(a)" before "The following" and redesignated pars. (1) to (7) as (a) to (g), respectively.

U.S. citizens were declared enemies of the U.S. by F.D.R. by Executive Order No. 2040 and ratified by Congress on March 9, 1933 FDR changed the meaning of The Trading with the Enemy Act of December 6, 1917 by changing the word "without" to citizens "within" the United States

To cover the debt in 1933 and future debt, the British corporate government determined and established the value of the future labor of each incorporated individual in its jurisdiction to be \$630,000. A bond of \$630,000 is set on each Certificate of Live Birth. The certificates are bundled together into sets and then placed as securities on the open market. These certificates are then purchased by the Federal Reserve and/or foreign bankers. The purchaser is the "holder" of "Title." This process made each and every person in this jurisdiction a bond servant.

U.S. citizens were declared enemies of the U.S. by F.D.R. by Executive Order No. 2040 and ratified

WHAT IS HJR 192? Can we Discharge our Debts to the...http://understandcontractlawandyouwin.com/hjr-192-discharg

.../ Jun 7, 2014 ... House Joint Resolution 192 was then passed by Congress on June 5, 1933. This law was passed to do away with the gold clause For lawful Bloodline American ... House Joint Resolution 192, 1933 - \*\*\*\*Redemption - tribe.net tribes.tribe.net/redemption101/thread/07f05122-0090-408b

House Joint Resolution 192 ... this Article does not contain an absolute prohibition against the States making something else a tender in transfer of debt. HJR-192 ...

.Background- 1933 The Bankruptcy of the UNITED...<u>www.youhavetheright.com/tour3</u>

Background- 1933 The Bankruptcy of the UNITED STATES. ... passed House Joint Resolution 192 which served ... impossible as notes of debt do not pay for anything ...

Gonzales v. Oregon, 546 U.S. 243 (2006),

was a decision by the United States Supreme Court, which ruled that the United States Attorney General could not enforce the federal Controlled Substances Act against physicians who prescribed drugs, in compliance with Oregon state law, for the assisted suicide of the terminally ill. It was the first major case heard under the leadership of Chief Justice John Roberts.[1]

June 13, 1967, pp. 15641-15646). A "citizen of the United States" is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the PCT, the private constructive, cestui que trust of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc. in Section 4.

WHAT IS HJR 192? Can we Discharge our Debts to the...<u>http://understandcontractlawandyouwin.com/hjr-192-discharg</u>

.../ Jun 7, 2014 ... House Joint Resolution 192 was then passed by Congress on June 5, 1933. This law was passed to do away with the gold clause For lawful Bloodline American ... House Joint Resolution 192, 1933 - \*\*\*\*Redemption - tribe.net

tribes.tribe.net/redemption101/thread/07f05122-0090-408b

House Joint Resolution 192 ... this Article does not contain an absolute prohibition against the States making something else a tender in transfer of debt. HJR-192 ...

.Background- 1933 The Bankruptcy of the UNITED...<u>www.youhavetheright.com/tour3</u>

Background- 1933 The Bankruptcy of the UNITED STATES. ... passed House Joint Resolution 192 which served ... impossible as notes of debt do not pay for anything ...

Gonzales v. Oregon, 546 U.S. 243 (2006), was a decision by the United States Supreme Court, which ruled that the United States Attorney General could not enforce the federal Controlled Substances Act against physicians who prescribed drugs, in compliance with Oregon state law, for the assisted suicide of the terminally ill. It was the first major case heard under the leadership of Chief Justice John Roberts.[1]

Travelers Red lights flashing behind you. by road pirates from the British Vatican government When a cop, police turns on 'emergency' lights to stop someone and asks for license and REGIS tration, and then writes a summons-ticket, executes it and demands one's bond in the form of an agreement to appear, and then serves the summons, the cop is breaking the laws:

Always 'All Rights Reserved' above the autograph aka signature line (DRIVER LICENSE reads UCC 1-207 1-308 clearly above the signature line)

1) The turning on of lights means an 'emergency' is in effect. The cop wants people to think he is stopping traffic and that the one being stopped is the 'emergency.' Where was the emergency? Nowhere, of course. The cop just wanted to perform a "traffic stop." By doing so, the cop

perpetuated several fraudulent actions. a) The cop deceived the one being stopped into thinking there was an emergency. b) Impersonated a government official on emergency business. The cop in reality is a Corpora Ficta employee and not a government employee. He has no authority of a government official at all. There are TWO lawfully excusable conditions for seizing property or People: 1) A warrant of the law. 2) First-hand observation of a crime being committed. This is not just the law. This is constitutionally mandated. The cop needs the DRIVERS License and REGIS tration as prima facie evidence to support the claim of trafficking instead of traveling, if indeed one is not transporting people or property for hire or profit.

2) Cops are only authorized to enforce statute and ordinance, not LAW. Statutes are passed by STATE of Corpora Fictas. Ordinances are passed by City/County of Corpora Fictas. LAW is only the Natural Law, Common Law. DMV is only a corporate Dept. in State of oregon, Ohio ,CA. Corpora Ficta. License and REGIStration are commercial agreements and not contracts. IFF one of us is not involved in commercial activity then there is no exercise of a privilege that must be licensed and REGIS tered. Licenses and REGIS trations are ONLY required for commercial activity; that means business ONLY. In LAW, people have the right to travel as a part of one's right to liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

3) IFF the cop perceives that one of us may have broken the law or actually infracted a statute and writes a ticket with a summons, the cop is now impersonating an officer of the court, which the cop is clearly not. The cop is thereby impersonating a Judicial Officer. Who in all of America can write a summons to a court unless they are actually authorized to serve in a judicial capacity? Answer: No one else may. 18 U.S. Code section 31------ The term "motor vehicle" means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes on the highways in the transportation of passengers, passengers and property, or property or cargo. Most of us are not commercial or in commerce, most of us just go from point A to point B.Most of us who are not Corporate Government employees or on Federal Jurisdiction.

## NO COP CAN DRAG U INTO JURISDICTRION

"No officer can acquire jurisdiction by deciding he has it. The officer, whether judicial or ministerial, decides at his own peril." Middleton v. Low (1866), 30 C. 596, citing Prosser v. Secor (1849), 5 Barb.(N.Y) 607, 608. "The innocent individual who is harmed by an abuse of governmental authority is assured that he will be compensated for his

injury." Owens v. City of Independence, 100 S.Ct 1398 (1980) " ...If one individual does not possess such a right over the conduct of another [Good and Lawful Christian Man], no number of individuals [in a deliberative body] can possess such a right. All combinations, therefore, to effect such an object, are injurious, not only to the

individuals particularly oppressed, but to the public at large". People v. Fisher, 14Wend.(N.Y.) 9, 28 Am.Dec. 501

When you been kidnap and held for ransom aka arrested did they take you immediately before a magistrate like the law says???? NO they took you to book you...well guess what that's not proper and you were falsely imprisoned... Check this out... Go immediately to a magistrate (no photographs, no fingerprinting)

"The one arresting has "a duty to immediately seek a magistrate," and failure to do so "makes a case of false imprisonment." Heath v. Boyd, 175 S.W.2d. 217 (1943); Brock v. Stimson, 108 Mass. 520 (1871). "To detain the person arrested in custody for any purpose other than that of taking him before a magistrate is illegal." Kominsky v. Durand, 12 Atl.2d. 654 (1940). "Any undue delay is unlawful and wrongful, and renders the officer himself and all persons aiding and abetting therein wrongdoers from the beginning." Ulvestad v. Dolphin, 278 Pac. 684 (1929).

"The taking of the plaintiff's picture before conviction was an illegal act."Hawkins v. Kuhne, 137 NY Supp 1090, 153 App Div 216 (1912).

"The power to arrest does not confer upon the arresting officer the power to detain a prisoner for other purposes." Geldon v. Finnegan, 252 N.W. 372 (1934).

"Compulsory fingerprinting before conviction is an unlawful encroachment...[and] involves prohibited

compulsory self-incrimination." People v. Helvern, 215 N.Y. Supp. 417 (1926) Summary

## LAW OF THE LAND: Finally, the Supreme Court

says, "He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their Rights." The Sovereign indivi...dual does not have to pay taxes. If you should discuss Hale v. Henkel with a run-of-the-mill attorney, he or she will tell you that the case is "old" and that it has been "overturned." If you ask that attorney for a citation of the case or cases that overturned Hale v. Henkel, there will not be a meaningful response. We have researched Hale v. Henkel and here is what we found :We know that Hale v. Henkel was decided in 1905 in the U. S. Supreme Court. Since it was the Supreme Court, the case is binding on all courts of the land, until another Supreme Court case says it isn't. Has another Supreme Court case overturned Hale v. Henkel? The answer is NO. As a matter of fact, since 1905, the Supreme Court has cited Hale v. Henkel a total of 144 times. A fact more astounding is that since 1905,

Hale v. Henkel has been cited by all of the federal and STATE appellate court systems a total of over 1600 times. None of the various issues of this case has ever been overruled. So if the STATE through the office of the judge continues to threaten or does imprison you, they are trying to force you into the STATE created office of "person." As long as you

continue to claim your Rightful office of Sovereign, the STATE lacks all jurisdictions over you. The STATE needs someone filling the office of "person" in order to continue prosecuting a case in their Courts. A few weeks in jail puts intense pressure upon most "persons." Jail means the loss of job opportunities, separation from loved ones, and the piling up of debts. Judges will apply this pressure when they attempt to arraign

you. When brought in chains before a crowded courtroom the issue of counsel will quickly come up and you can tell the court you are In Propria Persona or simply "PRO PER", as yourself and you need no other.

Do not sign their papers or cooperate with them because most things about your life are private and are not the STATE's business to evaluate. Here is the Sovereign People's command in the constitution that the STATE respect their privacy: Right of privacy -- Every man or woman has the Right to be let alone and free from governmental intrusion

into their private life except as otherwise provided herein. This section shall not be construed to limit the public's Right of access to public records and meetings as provided by law. See U.S. Constitution, Ninth Amendment If the judge is stupid enough to actually follow through with his threats and send you to jail, you will soon be released without even being arraigned and all charges will be dropped. You will then have documented prima facie grounds for false arrest and false imprisonment charges against him personally. Now that you know the hidden evil in the word "person", try to stop using it in everyday conversation. Simply use the correct term, MAN or WOMAN. Train yourself, your family and your friends to never use the derogatory word "person"

ever again. This can be your first step in the journey to get yourself free from all STATE COUNTY and CITY Elected and public Servant's control.

Attorney Bruce L McCrum and Ed Johnston lawful bloodline American as Filed <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vTJy1JdRrsI</u> Further harassment from City of Toledo Chief of Police David Enyeart <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HTXTZOI8Oqg</u> Ed's unlawful kidnapping by Ruark's camera part 1 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3UrR7PTqIpc</u>

State Senator Arnie Roblan on the Rights to travel and Uninsured Motorist fund 05/13/2013 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4i\_3XWfkZ2g</u>

"If money is wanted by rulers who have in any manner oppressed the People, they may retain it until their grievances are redressed, and thus peaceably procure relief, without trusting to despised petitions or disturbing the public tranquility." Journals of the Continental Congress. 26 October, 1774©1789. Journals 1: 105©13.

Notice: All Rights Reserved. Permission to distribute for non-commercial purposes is hereby granted, in whole or part, provided attribution and a link to this article is included. Commercial distribution without the written permission of the author is prohibited. This Public email message, including any attachment(s) is limited to the sole use of the

intended recipient and may contain Privileged and/or Confidential Information. Any and All Political, Private or Public Entities, Federal, State, or Local Corporate Government(s), Municipality(ies), International Organizations, Corporation(s), agent(s), investigator(s), or informant(s), et. al., and/or Third Party(ies) working in collusion by collecting and/or monitoring My email(s),and any other means of spying and collecting these Communications Without my Exclusive Permission are Barred from Any and All Unauthorized Review, Use, Disclosure or Distribution. With Explicit Reservation of All My Rights,Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me. Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights

or Reserved Rights Notice.Copy right lawful bloodline Americans , lawful Americans 2013\*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections

2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch. Anything stated in this email may be limited in the content and is not to be taken out of

context.\*\*Wireless Copyright Notice\*\*. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message.Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me. Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights or Reserved Rights U.C.C, 1-207.1-308. NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS. NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS IS NOTICE TO AGENTS Foreign and or Domestic