

Mark S. Graham, NRCA Vice President of Technical Services







MRCA			
	<u>Hail i</u>	<u>issues</u>	
information	education	technology	advocacy





N	RCA's 2014	l polyiso. R-v	value testing	2
Sample		R-value, per inch thickr	ness (2-inch specimens)	
	25 F	40 F	75 F	110 F
1	3.765	4.757	5.774	5.118
2	3.909	4.719	5.444	4.958
3	4.737	5.350	5.371	4.810
4	3.506	4.509	5.828	5.227
5	4.221	5.269	5.522	4.929
6	3.775	4.854	5.889	5.247
7	4.431	4.878	5.058	4.581
Ave. (mean)	4.049	4.905	5.555	4.981
Std. dev.	0.432	0.302	0.297	0.239

information	education	technology	advocacy



NRCA						
	NRCA's recon	nmendations ate insulation				
Desi	gners should use in-s	service R-values:				
• He	eating conditions: R	=5.0 per inch thickn	ess			
• Co	ooling conditions: R	=5.6 per inch thickne	ess			
Specify insulation by its thickness, not its R-value or LTTR value						
information	education	technology	advocacy			







SDI bulletin							
	<section-header><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header>	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	•	Decks designed for between 5' and 6' & Steel decks designe uniform loading Seam-fastened sing membranes are a c	joist spacing 3″ o.c. ed for gle-ply oncern		
informa	ation	educatio	n	technology	advocacy		









Can Be and the second s	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	wrifit had no how for what distants. If the not write what distants is the not write what distants is the not write what distants is the not write what has a base how for a base how the not base how the not write what has a base to start the not write what has a base how the not write write what has a base how the not write write write a base how the not write write write write a base how the not write write write write write a base how the not write write write write write a base how the not write write write write write write a base how the not write write write write write write a base how the not write write write write write write a base how the not write write write write write write write a base how the not write wr	Professional January 2	<i>Roofing</i> 2015
informatio	n educa	tion	technology	advocacy





The NRCA <u>The NRCA Roofing Manual</u>					
The NI Memb	RCA Roofing Manual: rane Roof Systems 2015	NRCA's best prac	ctice guidelines		
information	education	technology	advocacy		



NRCA	NRCA App
<complex-block></complex-block>	 NRCA App available on the Apple Store and Google Play Store for tablets iPhone App available in Summer Register within App as being an NRCA member The NRCA Roofing Manual is viewable to NRCA members Favorite and send pages features
information	acation technology advocacy



Mark S. Graham Vice President of Technical Services National Roofing Contractors Association (NRCA) 10255 W. Higgins Road, Suite 600 Rosemont, IL 60018

> (847) 299-9070 www.nrca.net mgraham@nrca.net Twitter: @MarkGrahamNRCA



TECH TODAY

Testing R-values

Polyisocyanurate's R-values are found to be less than their LTTR values

by Mark S. Graham

to this topic, see:

May 2010 issue,

page 24

"R-value concerns,"

In late 2014, NRCA conducted limited R-value testing of polyisocyanurate insulation products. The test results show R-values lower than the product manufacturers' published long-term thermal resistance (LTTR) values.

For an article related NRCA obtained

NRCA obtained seven samples of newly manufactured (uninstalled) 2-inch-thick, permeablefacer-sheet-faced polyisocyanurate insulation made by six U.S. manufactur-

ers. The samples were obtained from NRCA contractor members throughout the U.S.

The samples were provided to a nationally recognized R-value testing laboratory, R & D Services Inc., Cookeville, Tenn., for R-value testing according to ASTM C518, "Standard Test Method for Steady-State Thermal Resistance Properties by Means of the Heat Flow Meter Apparatus." The samples were tested "as received," meaning without additional aging. The samples ranged in age from three months to 19 months at the time of testing.

R-values were tested at a 75 F mean reference temperature, as well as at 25 F, 40 F and 110 F. Although R-values tested at the 75 F mean reference temperature typically are reported in insulation product manufacturers' literature, NRCA views the additional test temperatures as being more representative of actual in-service conditions.

Data from this testing is provided in the figure.

Analysis

Review of the 75 F data reveals the average of the results are less than the products' published LTTR values. Only three of the seven specimens have R-values greater than 5.7 per inch for a 2-inch-thickness.

The LTTR concept is intended to repli-

Sample number	R-value, per inch thickness (2-inch specimens)					
	25 F	40 F	75 F	110 F		
1	3.765	4.757	5.774	5.118		
2	3.909	4.719	5.444	4.958		
3	4.737	5.350	5.371	4.810		
4	3.506	4.509	5.828	5.227		
5	4.221	5.269	5.522	4.929		
6	3.775	4.854	5.889	5.247		
7	4.431	4.878	5.058	4.581		
Average (mean)	4.049	4.905	5.555	4.981		
Standard deviation	0.432	0.302	0.297	0.239		

Data from NRCA's 2014 polyisocyanurate R-value testing

cate a 15-year timeweighted average of a product's R-value, which corresponds to a product's R-value after five years of aging. Because none of the products tested were even close to 5 years old at the time of testing, all their tested R-values at 75 F should be somewhat above their published LTTR values.

In 2009, NRCA conducted similar R-value testing of polyisocyanurate insulation samples, and the results were much the same.

Review of the current test data at 25 F, 40 F and 110 F shows tested R-values are notably lower than those tested at 75 F.

Comparing current test data with the 2009 test data reveals the current test values are somewhat lower. For example, the average of the current 25 F R-values is 4.049 compared with 4.744 in 2009. At 40 F, the average of the current R-values is 4.905 compared with 5.39 in 2009.

NRCA's recommendations

Although the 75 F mean test temperature may be useful for product comparison and labeling purposes, based on NRCA's testing, it is clear this parameter is not representative of in-service conditions. For this reason, NRCA recommends designers consider polyisocyanurate insulation products' in-service R-values for the specific climate where a building is located.

NRCA recommends designers using polyisocyanurate insulation determine thermal insulation requirements using an in-service R-value of 5.0 per inch thickness in heating conditions and 5.6 per inch thickness in cooling conditions.

Furthermore, NRCA recommends designers specify polyisocyanurate insulation by its desired thickness rather than its R-value or LTTR value to avoid possible confusion during procurement.

Additional information regarding the use of polyisocyanurate insulation is provided in *The NRCA Roofing Manual: Membrane Roof Systems*—2015.

MARK S. GRAHAM is NRCA's associate executive director of technical services.

TECH TODAY

Concerns with steel roof decks

Seam-fastened single-ply membrane systems may be problematic

by Mark S. Graham

Steel roof decks are the most popular roof deck type used in the U.S. However, inconsistencies between design methods used for steel roof decks and roof systems are cause for concern.

SDI guidelines

Steel roof decks typically are designed using guidelines developed by the Steel Deck Institute (SDI).

Dialogue is necessary between steel roof deck designers and roof system designers Historically, SDI's design guidelines for steel roof decks have been published in various editions of SDI's *Design Manual for Composite Decks, Form Decks and Roof Decks.* SDI has revised and updated its manual a number of times during the years. For example, the 2007 edition is referred to as "Publication No. 31."

Beginning in 2006, SDI published its design specifications for steel roof decks as ANSI/SDI RD1.0-2006, "Standard for Steel Roof Deck." The 2010 edition, ANSI/SDI RD-2010, is the current edition.

Before the 2006 edition of the International Building Code,[®] SDI's design guidelines were not specifically referenced in model building codes. ANSI/SDI RD1.0-2006 is referenced as a requirement in the *International Building Code, 2006 Edition* (IBC 2006); ANSI/ SDI RD-2010 is referenced in IBC 2012 and IBC 2015.

SDI's design manual and ANSI/SDI RD1.0-2006 provide for roof decks to be designed for a 30-pound-per-square-foot (psf) uplift and 45-psf uplift at roof overhangs. ANSI/SDI RD1.0-2006 also allows a roof deck's dead load to be deducted from the prescribed design uplift load.

ANSI/SDI RD-2010 stipulates roof decks must "... be anchored to resist the required net uplift forces, but not less than ..." 30 psf and 45 psf for eave overhangs.

Also, in 2009, SDI issued a position statement, "Attachment of Roofing Membranes to Steel Deck." In this statement, SDI indicates its design methods are based on uniform loading of roof decks, such as that provided by adhered built-up, polymer-modified bitumen or single-ply membrane roof systems. SDI's statement further explains with design uplift loading conditions, attachment of seam-fastened mechanically attached singleply membrane roof systems with wide seam spacing could result in localized loads that exceed roof deck capacity. Those same loads applied uniformly on a deck's surface would be acceptable.

NRCA's analysis

When buildings are designed, the design team's structural engineer typically will be responsible for the design of the roof structure and roof deck. If SDI's guidelines are used, steel roof decks most likely will be designed for a 30-psf uniform uplift capacity with little or no consideration of the roof system type being installed.

Roof system designers typically have relatively little knowledge of steel deck design. Many roof system designers rely on FM Approvals' classifications for designing and specifying roof system uplift, which likely results in notably different design uplift capacities between roof systems and steel roof decks.

For example, a roof system with an FM 1-90 or Class 90 uplift classification is intended to resist a 45-psf uplift load in the roof field and higher uplift loads in the roof area's perimeters and corners. If this roof system is designed to be installed on a steel roof deck using SDI's guidelines for a 30-psf uplift, the roof deck has a design uplift capacity of only about two-thirds (or less) that of the roof system. In this case, attachment of the roof deck to the roof structure is of specific concern.

Similarly, with seam-fastened mechanically attached membrane roof systems where the roof membrane's seam spacing exceeds the spacing of the roof deck's structural supports, the steel roof deck likely has a design uplift capacity less (possibly significantly less) than the roof system. Roof deck buckling under uplift loading, attachment of the roof deck to the roof structure and, in some instances, localized excess uplift loading of the roof structure are of concern.

In many instances, steel roof decks are fabricated from steel stock with yield strengths in excess of those prescribed in ANSI/SDI RD-2010. This results in steel roof decks being somewhat stronger than what SDI prescribes for uplift design purposes. However, roof system designers should not unknowingly rely on any capacity in excess of steel roof decks' design properties.

Clearly, dialogue is necessary between steel roof deck designers and roof system designers. Additional dialogue between the roofing and steel deck industries also is needed.

Additional information about steel roof decks is contained in the roof decks section of The NRCA Roofing Manual: Membrane Roof Systems, which is available by accessing shop.nrca.net or calling (866) ASK-NRCA (275-6722). S •*

MARK S. GRAHAM is NRCA's associate executive director of technical services.