

Debate #: 51

Judge:

Room:

Round:

(Police should be required to wear body cameras)

60-90

*** Judges - Please rank debaters on a scale of 1-100 points and Circle the winning team ***

Proposition Team		Opposition Team	
1st	Points: <u>81</u>	1st	Points: <u>81</u>
2nd	Points: <u>83</u>	2nd	Points: <u>82</u>
3rd	Points: <u>84</u>	3rd	Points: <u>83</u>

IN MY OPINION, THE TEAM THAT WON THE DEBATE WAS THE (CIRCLE ONE) **PROP** / OPP

Signature:

Affiliation:

Please use the space below to indicate your reason for decision and to provide helpful comments to the debaters.

The Proposition was able to prove that

I) Body cameras hold police officers 100% accountable for their actions;

II) Body cameras, with its status as hard evidence, shows and proves the truth of events;

--- and won the debate by outnumbering the OPP's impacts 2:1.

Although the Opposition presented substantial reasoning against the Prop's contentions, they were unable to disprove the reasoning supporting body cameras. They were still able to prove that

I) There is judgment and bias in the interpretation of evidence, regardless of the specified evidence, precluding any prospect of "absolute truth".

--- AS FAR AS SPEAKER SCORES, all debaters can benefit from a focus in two areas: 1) Team Integration 2) Plan development

1. Team Integration

All speakers were exceptional. However, they can improve even more by integrating a strong theme through the entirety of the case. P1 had

2. Plan Development

Both sides presented feasible plans that developed "approaches" to whether or not the resolution should be voted in/favor. However, a further development in any of both plans, introducing SOVEREIGNTY can further increase the

In other words...
congratulations on having the greatest debate of the tournament (in my opinion).
Keep up the good work.