

courts

. [http://www.constitution.org/.../woe\\_onto\\_you\\_lawyers.htm](http://www.constitution.org/.../woe_onto_you_lawyers.htm)

---

---

Statutory Illegality in Contracts: Legislation, Liability & Examples. The law states that the terms of a contract must not violate any laws including statutes. Any contract written that is in violation of statutes or laws is unenforceable. ... Valid, Void, Voidable, and Unenforceable Contracts  
Next Lesson.

Statutory Illegality in Contracts: Legislation, Liability & Examples ... [study.com/.../statutory-illegality-in-contracts-legislation-1...](http://study.com/.../statutory-illegality-in-contracts-legislation-1...)

A Guilty Plea Does Not Inherently Waive The Right To Challenge The Constitutionality Of The Statute Of Conviction. The government does not dispute that plea bargains "are essentially contracts," and that plea agreements

should be interpreted in accordance with contract principles. Pet' r' s Br. 18 (quoting Puckett v. United States, 556 U.S. 129, 137 (2009)). The government also

concedes that, in his written plea agreement, Petitioner waived neither the right to appeal his conviction nor the right to challenge the constitutionality of his statute of conviction. Resp. Br. 48-49. Ordinarily, that would be the end of the matter because, under basic principles of

contract law, parties cannot be held to a term to which they did not agree. The government, however, argues that even in the absence of any explicit waiver,

Petitioner nonetheless relinquished his right to file an appeal challenging the constitutionality of his statute of conviction simply because he pled guilty. That argument is supported by neither law nor logic.

The Final Reply (Rebuttal) Brief from Rod's law team...

17.8.16\_Jenner\_Final\_Reply\_Brief\_for\_Class\_v.\_USA\_re\_case\_16-424

Direct Link:

---

---

public notice

Judge G Dickler  
50 West Washington Street  
Suite  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

July 26, 2017

IN RE: Domestic Relations 12D/Probate Estate of the Minor 14P

J. H, Petitioner

VS

R. H, Respondent,

VS

S. H, Paternal Grandmother, Estate of the Minor-Petitioner

Re: Affidavit submitted for a second request, due to no response to July 5, 2017 Affidavit.

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE MINOR CHILD  
AFFIDAVIT OF KESLER, RELATIONSHIP TO PETITIONER J. H, BIOLOGICAL MOTHER,  
AND MATERNAL GRANDMOTHER TO THE MINOR CHILD, FOR THE BEST INTERESTS OF  
THE MINOR CHILD G. H.

IN THIS URGENT MATTER. IN SUPPORT OF MY REQUEST FOR AUDIO/VIDEO/DIGITAL,  
RECORDINGS/TAPES, OF ANY FORM AS SUCH, FOR HEARINGS, EMERGENCY MOTION  
PROCEEDING MOTIONED BY A. TOBACK, BETWEEN PRESIDING G DICKLER YOURSELF,  
A. TOBACK,

A BUSH, G O'HEHIR IN MAY OF 2015, OF YOUR DENIAL  
TO STAY THE PROBATE COURT PROCEEDINGS, CASE NO. 14P, RESULTING YOURSELF,  
JUDGE G DICKLER, TRANSFERING THE PROBATE CASE BACK TO DOMESTIC RELATIONS  
CASE,

JUDGE A LEVINSON, AFTER IT WAS CLEARLY DENIED BY HONORABLE JUDGE CESARIO  
ON MAY 14, 2014. FOR ANY AND ALL PROBATE COURT PROCEEDINGS.

AUDIO/VIDEO/DIGITAL RECORDINGS. DATED FROM August 22, 2012 through the  
present date as of July 26th, 2017. You were submitted a complaint of this  
matter in 2014, 2015 by

AttorneyToback, this was a CONFLICT OF INTEREST for you to be in any of  
these proceedings, between TOBACK, Judge Dickler, BEING THE GODPARENT TO  
HIS CHILDREN,

MOREOVER A CONFLICT OF INTEREST BEING THE PRESIDING JUDGE OVER, JUDGE A  
LEVINSON. AN AFFIDAVIT HAS TO BE ANSWERED BY AN AFFIDAVIT, UNDER THE LAW  
OF PENALTY OF PERJURY.

Report of Proceedings, Supreme Court-Rule 323 (C.) You have been  
Estopped, and demanded. Best interests of the minor child.

I KESLER, having been first duly sworn, do hereby and depose and say,  
I am over the age of 18 years of age, and am a resident of the State of  
Illinois, Cook County.

I have personal knowledge of the facts in both cases herein, and if I am  
called as a witness, I could testify competently, thereto.

I am requesting all Audio/Video/Digital Recordings/Tapes of any form as

such, for Divorce/Probate any and all court proceedings. Consolidated and Transferred from the Probate Division, to Cook County Rolling Meadows Court Division, District 3., and Cook County Daley Center Court.

Bcc:

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYEHT NAUGHT,

---

KESLER

Subscribed and sworn to before me

This 26th day of July, 2017

---

Notary Glen Sutphin Desktop Publications

President Trump and AG Sessions, the release of Eugene Wzorek's Affidavit and Evidence, posted here today, is being faxed to your offices in it's formal capacity, we expect an expedient response and action, no one can afford to wait on this matter, an affidavit MUST be answered with an Affidavit. We are here now asking the American public, that they read all files attached and send the file to every Government Official, Politician, Police, Judge, Lawyer, Clerk and Government employee and Media outlet in America. This "tool" is to help every American fight the systemic Corruption in our great Country and a "tool" to help you President Trump and AG Sessions, stop the fraud going on in our Courts, System and to "Drain the Swamp". American's are being harmed by the millions, we demands the perpetrators of the the systemic Judicial/Court/Legal/Lawful fraud going on in our American Judicial System and Media to be held accountable for their crimes against us!! Eugene Demands his Awards and Remedies immediately, this can no longer be ignored! The Courts have been estoppeled and Jurisdiction in this matter falls on you both! Please, Your Public and Eugene Wzorek is waiting for your reply! #EugeneWzorek #PresidentTrump #AGJeffSessions [https://drive.google.com/file/d/OB0c\\_ef2XzfwbR05oVGN2M2FCRXNtRz1BaGVjb3hVc1NWaU80/view](https://drive.google.com/file/d/OB0c_ef2XzfwbR05oVGN2M2FCRXNtRz1BaGVjb3hVc1NWaU80/view)

-----  
-----  
18 U.S. Code § 1031 - Major fraud against the United States

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1031>

TWO (2) DIFFERENT KINDS OF FRAUD.. 1) EXTRINSIC FRAUD, (as that involved in making a false offer of compromise) that induces one not to present a case in court or deprives one of the opportunity to be heard; also : fraud that is not involved in the actual issues presented to a court and that prevents a full and fair hearing. 2) INTRINSIC FRAUD, (as by the use of false or forged documents, false claims, or perjured testimony) that deceives the trier of fact and results in a judgment in favor of the party perpetrating the fraud.

Section 1 of the "Act to provide for the establishment and maintenance of a rural police system in Greenwood county," approved February 18, 1911, provides: "That upon the approval of the act it shall be the duty of the Governor, upon the recommendation of the legislative delegation of Greenwood county, to appoint three able-bodied men of the county of Greenwood \* \* \* and shall commission them as county policemen for a term of four years," etc.

-----  
-----

police

Appointment to office not being inherently an executive prerogative, it is competent for the legislature, in conferring the power of appointment, to attach such limitations and conditions to its exercise as may be deemed proper. The statute expressly provides that the appointment of rural policemen for Greenwood county shall be upon the recommendation of the legislative delegation of Greenwood county. No such recommendation having been made, the appointment was made without authority, and the petitioners cannot be held to be officers de jure.

Elledge v. Wharton, 89 S.C. 113, 114 (S.C. 1911)

New Law Lets Police Confiscate Guns "Without Due Process" If Someone Reports You

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d9FfirCPb8&feature=youtu.be>

---

8 U.S. Code § 2071 - Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally

(a) Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, or, with intent to do so takes and carries away any record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or with any judicial or public officer of the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

(b) Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States. As used in this subsection, the term "office" does not include the office held by any person as a retired officer of the Armed Forces of the United States.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 795; Pub. L. 101 - 510, div. A, title V, § 552(a), Nov. 5, 1990, 104 Stat. 1566; Pub. L. 103 - 322, title XXXIII, § 330016(1)(I), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 2147.)

Making false statements (18 U.S.C. § 1001) is the common name for the United States federal crime laid out in Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, which generally prohibits knowingly and willfully making false or fraudulent statements, or concealing information, in "any matter within the jurisdiction" of ...

Making false statements - Wikipedia

Making false statements (18 U.S.C. § 1001) is the common name for the United States federal crime laid out in Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, which generally prohibits knowingly and willfully making false or fraudulent statements, or concealing information, in "any matter within the jurisdiction" of the federal government of the United States, even by mere denial[clarification needed]. [1] A number of notable people have been convicted under the section, including Martha Stewart, [2] Rod Blagojevich, [3] Scooter Libby, [4] Bernard Madoff, [5] and Jeffrey Skilling. [6]

This statute is used in many contexts. Most commonly, prosecutors use this statute to reach cover-up crimes such as perjury, false declarations, and obstruction of justice and government fraud cases. [7]

Its earliest progenitor was the False Claims Act of 1863, and in 1934 the requirement of an intent to defraud was eliminated to enforce the National Industrial Recovery Act of 1933 (NIRA) against producers of "hot oil", oil produced in violation of production restrictions established pursuant to the NIRA.

8 U.S. Code § 1324c - Penalties for document fraud

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/8/1324c>

Traveling

Title 42 USC Section 1983 Information

Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141

Pattern and Practice

Laws: Cases and Codes : U.S. Code : Title 42 : Section 14141

This civil statute was a provision within the Crime Control Act of 1994 and makes it unlawful for any governmental authority, or agent thereof, or any person acting on behalf of a governmental authority, to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration of juvenile justice or the incarceration of juveniles that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a violation has occurred, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may in a civil action obtain appropriate equitable and declaratory relief to eliminate the pattern or practice.

Types of misconduct covered include, among other things:

1. Excessive Force
2. Discriminatory Harassment

3. False Arrest
4. Coercive Sexual Conduct
5. Unlawful Stops, Searches, or Arrests

DHS

Lawsuit: Woman's civil rights violated by cops, 2-year-old son burned while in police care

<http://www.mystatesman.com/news/national/lawsuit-woman-civil-rights-violated-cops-year-old-son-burned-while-police-care/m2xL00ldKzHtnwhQxUa3FO/>

"CONTEMPT FOR ENFORCING RIGHTS" ?

Title 42 USC § 12203 Prohibition against retaliation and coercion

(a) Retaliation

No person shall discriminate against any individual because such individual has opposed any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter or because such individual made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this chapter.

(b) Interference, coercion, or intimidation

It shall be unlawful to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with any individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of his or her having exercised or enjoyed, or on account of his or her having aided or encouraged any other individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, any right granted or protected by this chapter.

(c) Remedies and procedures

The remedies and procedures available under sections 12117, 12133, and 12188 of this title shall be available to aggrieved persons for violations of subsections (a) and (b) of this section, with respect to subchapter I, subchapter II and subchapter III, respectively. (Pub. L. 101 - 336, title V, § 503, July 26, 1990, 104 Stat. 370.).

Title 42 US Code Sec. 1983, Sec. 1985, & Sec. 1986:

"Clearly established the right to sue anyone who violates your constitutional rights. The Constitution guarantees: he who would unlawfully jeopardize your property loses property to you, and that's what justice is all about."

The 6th Amendment is very SPECIFIC, that the accused ONLY has the right to the ASSISTANCE of counsel and this ASSISTANCE of counsel CAN BE ANYONE

THE ACCUSED CHOOSES WITHOUT LIMITATION.

LAWYERS and LAWYER-JUDGES: Created unconstitutional "lawyer system" pre-trial "motions" and "Hearings" to have eternal EXTORTIONISTIC litigation's, which is BARRATRY and also is in violation of the U.S. Constitution, and Article 1, as this places defendants in DOUBLE JEOPARDY a hundred times over. Defendants only have a right to A TRIAL, NOT TRIALS. When a criminal is freed on a TECHNICALITY, HE IS FREED BECAUSE OF A FIX and a PAY-OFF, as a defendant can only be freed if found innocent BY A JURY NOT BY ANY "TECHNICALITY." Whenever a lawyer is involved in a case directly or indirectly, as a litigant or assisting in counsel, ALL LAWYER-JUDGES HAVE TO DISQUALIFY THEMSELVES, AS THERE CANNOT BE A CONSTITUTIONAL TRIAL and also there would be a violation of the conflict of interest laws, along with the violation of separation of powers and checks and balances, because "OFFICERS" OF THE COURT ARE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE BENCH. These same LAWYER-JUDGES are awarding or approving LAWYER FEES, directly and indirectly, amounting to BILLIONS OF DOLLARS annually, all in violation of conflict of interest laws. As long as there are lawyers, there will never be any law, constitution or justice. There will only be MOB RULE, RULE BY A MOB OF LAWYERS.

CASE "LAW" IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL: As CASE "LAW" IS ENACTED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT.

When a lawyer-judge instructs, directs, or gives orders to a jury, the lawyer-judge is TAMPERING WITH THE JURY. He also tampers with testimony when he orders the answers to be either "Yes" or "No." The lawyer-judge also tampers, fixes, and rigs the trial when he orders anything stricken from the record, or when he "rules" certain evidence and the truth to be inadmissible. This makes the trial and transcript FIXED and RIGGED, because the jury does not hear the REAL TRUTH and ALL THE FACTS. Juries are made into puppets by the lawyers and lawyer-judges. All lawyers are automatically in the judicial branch of government, as they have the unconstitutional TITLE OF NOBILITY (Article 1, Section 9 and 10), "Officer of the court." Citizens have to be elected or hired to be in any branch of government but non-lawyer Citizens are limited to only 2 of the 3 branches of government. Lawyers as 1st class citizens can be hired or elected to any of the three branches of government. Lawyers, "Officers of the Court," in the Judicial Branch, are unconstitutionally in 2 branches of government AT THE SAME TIME whenever they are hired or elected to the executive or legislative branches. This is a violation of the separation of powers, checks and balances, and the conflict of interest laws.

District attorneys and State's attorneys have taken over the Grand Juries FROM the people, where the people are DENIED ACCESS to the grand juries when they attempt to present evidence of crimes committed in the courtrooms by the lawyers and lawyer-judges. The U.S. Constitution, being



the Supreme Fundamental Law, is not and CANNOT be ambiguous as to be interpreted, or it would be a worthless piece of paper (as recently stated by President Bush), and we would have millions of interpretations (unconstitutional amendments) instead of the few we have now. That is why all judges and public servants are SWORN TO SUPPORT the U. S. Constitution, NOT interpret it.

Under INTERNATIONAL ORDERS: ALL LAWYERS, whether they left law school yesterday or 50 years ago, are EXACTLY THE SAME. All lawyers have to file the same motions and follow the same procedures in using the same unconstitutional "lawyer system". In probate, the lawyers place themselves in everyone's will and estate. When there are minor children as heirs, the lawyer-judges appoint a lawyer (a child molesting Fagin) for EACH CHILD and, at times, the lawyer fees EXCEED the total amount of the estate. An OUTRAGEOUS amount of TAX "MONEY" is directly and indirectly STOLEN BY LAWYERS. Money that is budgeted to County Boards, School Boards and other local and federal agencies eventually finds its way into the pockets of lawyers, as ALL of these agencies are "TRICKED" and "FORCED" into ETERNAL EXTORTIONISTIC LITIGATION.

2011 US Code

Title 18 - Crimes and Criminal Procedure

Part I - CRIMES (§ § 1 - 2725)

Chapter 55 - KIDNAPPING (§ § 1201 - 1204)

Section 1201 - Kidnapping

<http://law.justia.com/codes/us/2011/title-18/part-i/chapter-55/section-1201/>

United States Code TITLE 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

PART I - CRIMES CHAPTER 109 - SEARCHES AND SEIZURES

U. S. Code as of: 01/02/01

Section 2234. Authority exceeded in executing warrant

Whoever, in executing a search warrant, willfully exceeds his authority or exercises it with unnecessary severity, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year. U. S. Code as of: 01/02/01

Section 2235. Search warrant procured maliciously Whoever maliciously and without probable cause procures a search warrant to be issued and executed, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year.

Section 2236. Searches without warrant

Whoever, being an officer, agent, or employee of the United States or any department or agency thereof, engaged in the enforcement of any law of the United States, searches any private dwelling used and occupied as such dwelling without a warrant directing such search, or maliciously and without reasonable cause searches any other building or property without a search warrant, shall be fined for a first offense not more than \$1,000; and, for a subsequent offense, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

This section shall not apply to any person -

- (a) serving a warrant of arrest; or
- (b) arresting or attempting to arrest a person committing or attempting to commit an offense in his presence, or who has committed or is suspected on reasonable grounds of having committed a felony; or
- (c) making a search at the request or invitation or with the consent of the occupant of the premises.

Title 42 USC Section 1983 Information

Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141  
Pattern and Practice

Laws: Cases and Codes : U.S. Code : Title 42 : Section 14141

This civil statute was a provision within the Crime Control Act of 1994 and makes it unlawful for any governmental authority, or agent thereof, or any person acting on behalf of a governmental authority, to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration of juvenile justice or the incarceration of juveniles that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a violation has occurred, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may in a civil action obtain appropriate equitable and declaratory relief to eliminate the pattern or practice.

Types of misconduct covered include, among other things:

1. Excessive Force
2. Discriminatory Harassment

3. False Arrest
4. Coercive Sexual Conduct
5. Unlawful Stops, Searches, or Arrests

## FRAUD

"CONTEMPT FOR ENFORCING RIGHTS" ?

Title 42 USC § 12203 Prohibition against retaliation and coercion

(a) Retaliation

No person shall discriminate against any individual because such individual has opposed any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter or because such individual made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this chapter.

(b) Interference, coercion, or intimidation

It shall be unlawful to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with any individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of his or her having exercised or enjoyed, or on account of his or her having aided or encouraged any other individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, any right granted or protected by this chapter.

(c) Remedies and procedures

The remedies and procedures available under sections 12117, 12133, and 12188 of this title shall be available to aggrieved persons for violations of subsections (a) and (b) of this section, with respect to subchapter I, subchapter II and subchapter III, respectively. (Pub. L. 101 - 336, title V, § 503, July 26, 1990, 104 Stat. 370.).

Title 42 US Code Sec. 1983, Sec. 1985, & Sec. 1986:

"Clearly established the right to sue anyone who violates your constitutional rights. The Constitution guarantees: he who would unlawfully jeopardize your property loses property to you, and that's what justice is all about."

The 6th Amendment is very SPECIFIC, that the accused ONLY has the right to the ASSISTANCE of counsel and this ASSISTANCE of counsel CAN BE ANYONE THE ACCUSED CHOOSES WITHOUT LIMITATION.

LAWYERS and LAWYER-JUDGES: Created unconstitutional "lawyer system" pre-trial "motions" and "Hearings" to have eternal EXTORTIONISTIC litigation's, which is BARRATRY and also is in violation of the U.S. Constitution, and Article 1, as this places defendants in DOUBLE JEOPARDY a hundred times over. Defendants only have a right to A TRIAL, NOT TRIALS. When a criminal is freed on a TECHNICALITY, HE IS FREED BECAUSE OF A FIX and a PAY-OFF, as a defendant can only be freed if found innocent BY A

JURY NOT BY ANY "TECHNICALITY." Whenever a lawyer is involved in a case directly or indirectly, as a litigant or assisting in counsel, ALL LAWYER-JUDGES HAVE TO DISQUALIFY THEMSELVES, AS THERE CANNOT BE A CONSTITUTIONAL TRIAL and also there would be a violation of the conflict of interest laws, along with the violation of separation of powers and checks and balances, because "OFFICERS" OF THE COURT ARE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE BENCH. These same LAWYER-JUDGES are awarding or approving LAWYER FEES, directly and indirectly, amounting to BILLIONS OF DOLLARS annually, all in violation of conflict of interest laws. As long as there are lawyers, there will never be any law, constitution or justice. There will only be MOB RULE, RULE BY A MOB OF LAWYERS.

CASE "LAW" IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL: As CASE "LAW" IS ENACTED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT.

When a lawyer-judge instructs, directs, or gives orders to a jury, the lawyer-judge is TAMPERING WITH THE JURY. He also tampers with testimony when he orders the answers to be either "Yes" or "No." The lawyer-judge also tampers, fixes, and rigs the trial when he orders anything stricken from the record, or when he "rules" certain evidence and the truth to be inadmissible. This makes the trial and transcript FIXED and RIGGED, because the jury does not hear the REAL TRUTH and ALL THE FACTS. Juries are made into puppets by the lawyers and lawyer-judges. All lawyers are automatically in the judicial branch of government, as they have the unconstitutional TITLE OF NOBILITY (Article 1, Section 9 and 10), "Officer of the court." Citizens have to be elected or hired to be in any branch of government but non-lawyer Citizens are limited to only 2 of the 3 branches of government. Lawyers as 1st class citizens can be hired or elected to any of the three branches of government. Lawyers, "Officers of the Court," in the Judicial Branch, are unconstitutionally in 2 branches of government AT THE SAME TIME whenever they are hired or elected to the executive or legislative branches. This is a violation of the separation of powers, checks and balances, and the conflict of interest laws.

District attorneys and State's attorneys have taken over the Grand Juries FROM the people, where the people are DENIED ACCESS to the grand juries when they attempt to present evidence of crimes committed in the courtrooms by the lawyers and lawyer-judges. The U. S. Constitution, being the Supreme Fundamental Law, is not and CANNOT be ambiguous as to be interpreted, or it would be a worthless piece of paper (as recently stated by President Bush), and we would have millions of interpretations (unconstitutional amendments) instead of the few we have now. That is why all judges and public servants are SWORN TO SUPPORT the U. S. Constitution, NOT interpret it.

Under INTERNATIONAL ORDERS: ALL LAWYERS, whether they left law school yesterday or 50 years ago, are EXACTLY THE SAME. All lawyers have to file

the same motions and follow the same procedures in using the same unconstitutional "lawyer system". In probate, the lawyers place themselves in everyone's will and estate. When there are minor children as heirs, the lawyer-judges appoint a lawyer (a child molesting Fagin) for EACH CHILD and, at times, the lawyer fees EXCEED the total amount of the estate. An OUTRAGEOUS amount of TAX "MONEY" is directly and indirectly STOLEN BY LAWYERS. Money that is budgeted to County Boards, School Boards and other local and federal agencies eventually finds its way into the pockets of lawyers, as ALL of these agencies are "TRICKED" and "FORCED" into ETERNAL EXTORTIONISTIC LITIGATION.

Video s

How Does The US Court System Work?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E9y1FthKSkY&feature=share>

0:03 / 6:47

Illegals are more important than American Citizens

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NQ7GbZBPZlw>