

**2019 Volusia Flagler(FL 504 CoC) Scoring Sheet
RENEWALS**

THRESHOLD CRITERIA		YES	NO
	The Application proposed serves eligible project type?	x	
	The Appropriate documentation of match and Leverage has been included	x	
	The Standard Forms (SF 424) have been updated in esnaps	x	
	The Proposed budget is consistent with the GIW	x	
	The applicant has passed the annual CoC monitoring by the Peer review Committee	x	
	If applicant has not passed the CoC annual monitoring, was there a corrective action plan completed	x	

	Budget / Narrative	Max Points: 10	Max POINTS	SCORE
1	Meets Trheshold		4	
	Administrative costs do not exceed 7% of requested funding		1	
	LEVERAGE: 100% OR HIGHER		3	
	50-99%		2	
	LESS THAN 50%		1	
	Match Documentation included/25%match required		2	
	Meets Threshold			10
	TOTAL BUDGET			

	Project Narrative	Max Points: 32	MAX POINTS	SCORE
2.A	Priority Population AND numbers Served			
	100% chronically Homeless individuals : (PSH)		15	
	Literally Homeless Households: (RRH)			
	Unaccompanied Youth			
	Victims of Domestic violence			
	Veterans			
B.	Q.2B Coordinated Entry is being scored in performance scoring for renewals			

C.	How your agency interfaces and coordinates with other community resources: Workforce, DCF, corrections, mental health, substance abuse, foster care, child care, Domestic Violence in order prevent homelessness and assist clients to gain self - sufficiency		4	
D.	Best Practices: specific to HOUSING FIRST MODEL	10		
i	Accepts all referrals directly from Coordinated Entry:		1	
ii	Does not discriminate based on race, color, religion, national origin, disability, sex, sexual orientation, or domestic violence		1	
iii	Program provides equal access for transgender people		1	
iv	Applicants are not rejected based on criminal background (unless prohibited by terms of grant funding)		1	
v	Tenant selection promotes acceptance regardless of sobriety, use of substances, Treatment completion		1	
vi	INCOME IS NOT a condition of admission		1	
vii	applicants are not rejected based on poor credit history or rental history		1	
viii	Use of alcohol or drugs of itself is not a condition for eviction		1	
ix	tenants should not be evicted based on their participation/lack of participation or ability/inability to follow through with services of the service plan		1	
x	Supportive services are informed by a HARM REDUCTION philosophy, whereby substance, mental health and other issues are addressed in a non-judgmental, non-confrontational method promoting tenant engagement.		1	
upload	Please upload monitoring letters/reports for any HUD or non-HUD funded Housing related projects covering the last 3 years along with the agencies policies and procedures that support the implementation of the Housing First Model as agreed to above			

E.	Please describe what evidenced based / proven/ promising practices your program incorporates in concert with the Housing First Model—justify evidenced based and/or proven practices		3	
	Renewal meets Threshold			32
TOTAL PROJECT NARRATIVE				

Renewal application Questions		Max	Max POINTS	SCORE
Points: 5				
3	Spent down all program funds		1	1
3a.	Meets Threshold ELOCCS - Draw down at least quarterly		1	1
	Meets threshold Participation in the HUD Point in Time Count		1	1
	Meets Threshold Commission on Homelessness(CoC) Board Representation		1	1
	Meets Threshold Commission committee/subcommittee representation		1	1
TOTAL ADMIN				
			Max POINTS	SCORE
4a.	Meets Threshold Applicant has demonstrated /implemented security practices and procedures.		2	2
4b.	Does the applicant enter into HMIS Database for OTHER NON- HUD FUNDED PROGRAMS		2	2
TOTAL HMIS				

Max Points: 6		Max POINTS	SCORE
4c.	Personally Identifying Information	1	1
4d.	Universal data Elements	2	2
4e.	Income and Housing Data Quality	1	1
4f.	Data completeness Report Card; Grade: A	2	2
	Grade: B	1	
	Grade's below that of B	0	

	TOTAL HMIS			
--	-------------------	--	--	--

PROGRAM PERFORMANCE			Max POINTS	SCORE
5	Max Points: 43 (scored by Applications Committee subcommittee)			
1	UTILIZATION RATE: Average percent bed utilization rate (last Wednesday in January, April, July, and October)			
	90% or greater		4	4
	80 to 89 %		3	
	70 to 79%		1	
	59% and below		0	
2	Percent of interim/annual updates completed per funding type (RRH/PSH)			
	100%		4	4
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% and below		0	
3	TOTAL INCOME : percent of persons over 18 who increased their TOTAL INCOME for the reporting period			
	80% or greater		4	4
	60 to 79 %		3	
	40 to 69%		2	
	39% and below		0	
4	EARNED INCOME: percent of individuals 18 or older who increased their EARNED income for the reporting period			
	54% or greater		4	4
	40 to 53 %		3	
	20 to 39%		2	
	10 -19%		1	
	below		0	
5	NON CASH BENEFITS: Percent of individuals linked to at least one source of non-cash benefits for the reporting period			
	100%		4	4
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	

		70 to 79%	1	
		69% and below	0	
6	Housing Stability: Percent who maintained or exited into permanent housing for the reporting period			
		90% or greater	4	4
		80 to 89 %	3	
		70 to 79%	2	
		60 to 69%	1	
		59% and below	0	
7	Return to homelessness: percentage of households who exited permanent housing for the reporting period that returned to homelessness			
		none	4	4
		1 to 5%	3	
		6-10%	2	
		more than 10%	0	
		59% and below	0	
8	Residence prior to entry: percent of households who entered the program for the reporting period that met criteria for CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS as reported in HMIS			
		100%	4	4
		90 to 99%	3	
		80 to 89%	2	
		70 to 79%	1	
		69% and below	0	
9	Submitted most recent APR on time:			
		yes	4	4
		no	0	
10	Percent of households who entered the program for the reporting period that came through COORDINATED ENTRY.			
		100%	7	7
		90-99%	6	
		80-89%	4	
		70-79%	1	
		69% or below	0	
	TOTAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE			

GRAND TOTAL