



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

November - December, 2000

"Do Unto Her Double." Part Two

This will be a continuation of the history of the two systems of economics prevalent in the world as we now know it. The continuing battle for supremacy between these two systems is, in effect, the story of the Bible. Bear with me on this point.

As school children we learned that the basic necessities of life are food, clothing and shelter. Since the earliest of times among the most primitive of human societies, these three basic needs were all that they knew. There are places in the world today where that is still the case.

With the coming of the "Cradle of Civilization," located in the region of the Tigris and Euphrates Rivers in Mesopotamia, those three basic needs did not fulfill God's intentions for Adamic Man. There had to be more. The Biblical history of this can be obtained from our taped pulpit message entitled "[Genesis One](#) and [Two](#), Another Look."

In essence, with the forming of the Adam of [Genesis 2](#), the world was to acquire economics, government and law. The question is: which economics, which government, and which law? Secular history proves to us that the type of economics a civilization follows dictates the type of law which, in turn, dictates the type of government which prevails. In the previous two issues of the Intelligence Newsletter, we have shown that the two basic systems of economics can be called, Sovereign **National** Economics as compared to Absolute Free Trade.

As we study history we find that the economics of Absolute Free Trade always results in a common government (now called [United Nations](#)), laws with no individual rights (now called Communism) and laws without God (the occult, sorceries, witchcraft and free sex, all known as Baal).

Again, as Isaiah wrote, *"O daughter of the Chaldeans: for thou shalt no more be called tender and delicate. Take the millstones, and grind meal: uncover thy locks, make bare the leg, uncover the thigh, pass over the rivers. Thy nakedness shall be uncovered, yea, thy shame shall be seen: I will take vengeance, and I will not meet thee as a man."*

"As for our redeemer, the Lord of hosts is his name, the Holy One of Israel. Sit thou silent, and get thee into darkness, O daughter of the Chaldeans: for thou shalt no more be called, The lady of kingdoms...But these two things shall come upon thee in their perfection for the multitude of thy sorceries, and for the great abundance of thine enchantments."

"For thou hast trusted in thy wickedness: thou hast said, None seeth me. Thy wisdom and thy knowledge, it hath perverted thee; and thou hast said in thine heart, I am, and none else beside me. Therefore shall evil come upon thee; thou shalt not know from whence it riseth: and mischief shall fall upon thee; thou shalt not be able to put it off: and

desolation shall come upon thee suddenly, which thou shalt not know." ([Isaiah 47](#): selected verses).

Isn't it true that those who control the Absolute "Free" Trade system, now in control of the world's economy, believe they are so wise and so knowledgeable that there is no power on earth which can stop them? Witchcraft, sorceries and the occult have grown to encompass even our movies, books, schools and churches.

Those who control the world's economy believe that their enchantments will indoctrinate our people so thoroughly that they will have an unending empire. They are standing on quicksand right now and don't even know it!

The *Intelligence Newsletter* has covered some of the long term history of this phenomenon through the years. There is so very much yet to learn! In the last issue we discussed the historical period from William the Conqueror and Oliver Cromwell to the beginning of World War I. We showed that, in advanced civilizations, the three basic needs of life (food, clothing and shelter) have been greatly expanded with perhaps the primary addition being that of power and energy.

Without plentiful energy we would not have society as we know it. But the attempted control of that energy has been the greatest factor in the wars of recent times. The controllers of this world's economic system know that all too well and they are willing to go to one war after another to control that energy. They who control the energy also control the economy. They who control the economy creates the Empires. This is what is called "*The lady of kingdoms*" ([Isaiah 47:5](#)).

A current example of how that control of energy works is how the controllers of the current Administration in Washington have used energy in this manner. According to the *Consumer's Research Magazine* (As found in the *American Sentinel*, 10660 S. Tryon Street, Suite 16, Charlotte, NC 28273) the current Administration accomplished the following which has been a great factor in the current unrest in the world:

- "1. 67% of domestic onshore oil reserves and 40% of natural gas reserves are on federal lands where access has been restricted by 60%;
2. Alaska's Arctic Reserve holds more oil than America would import from Saudi Arabia over the next thirty years but Clinton vetoed a bill providing even limited access to its 16 billion barrels;
3. Clinton policy also prohibits access to 15.2 billion barrels of oil and 61.5 trillion cubic feet of natural gas in other parts of Alaska, Wyoming, Colorado and the Outer Continental Shelf;
4. Two years ago, the Clinton White House extended drilling bans on 75% of domestic oil sites until 2012;
5. In 1996, Clinton locked up over one trillion dollars in efficient, clean-burning "super-compliance" coal when he grabbed 1.7 million acres in Utah for National Park lands;
6. Clinton has vowed to veto all legislation regarding nuclear power development, thus forcing greater U.S. dependency on fossil fuels; and
7. Clinton's Interior Secretary Bruce Babbitt has a program to shut off 50% of all renewable energy sources by dismantling every hydroelectric dam in America."

With these actions Clinton has made the United States even more dependent on the already all-too-powerful British "Informal Empire" which has developed since World War One and Two. We must also remember that President Clinton was a Rhodes Scholar and spent time in England during the Vietnam War. His anti-American actions while he was there should show us that he was even then working on behalf of a new British Empire. That will be more clearly shown in this issue.

Perhaps the United States can still be considered the world's only "Super Power" but even that can be contested. The world now runs on oil and as we shall soon see, Britain through secret agreements with the allies of World War I carved up the major part of the known world's oil bearing countries. Promises to some of the allies were broken just to secure that oil. It is no wonder that the smaller, less powerful, nations of the world despise the Anglo-American Establishment!

This is a side of history that very few "court" historians dare approach. The one of few historians who braved the wrath of the establishment is F. William Engdahl in his [A Century of War](#). That book can be obtained from [The Barnes Review](#), 130 Third Street SE, Washington D.C. 20003 (\$19.95 plus \$3.00 S&H).

In this issue we can now turn our attention to the history from the start of World War I to the more recent times.

The old British Imperial Empire was bankrupt even at the start of World War I. Their system of Absolute Free Trade and the excessive lending of money to the small, third-world countries of their empire created a financial crisis within their money system. It seems impossible that any nation would intentionally start a huge, general war with no money with which to do it. But they had a plan.

Britain began creating a system of secret agreements and financial credits, along with detailed plans of reallocating the physical wealth of the entire world after the war. Nearly all of the countries with which Britain was acting as a money lender had territorial interests of importance. The areas of prime importance to them became the oil rich countries of the world. It was an enormous gamble on Britain's part. Of course, this was assuming they would win the war!

World War I resulted in nearly 20 million deaths with over 10 million being civilians. The British Empire realized over 500,000 dead and 2,500,000 casualties (removed from active duty because of injuries, etc.). The established reasoning was that it was the "war to end all wars." Perhaps this may have been their plan but it didn't work out that way. This is what Mr. Engdahl wrote about in his book [A Century of War](#).

"Rarely discussed, however, is the fact that the strategic geo-political objectives of England, well before 1914, included not merely the crushing of its greatest industrial rival, Germany, but, through the conquest of war, the securing of unchallenged British control over the precious resource which by 1919 had proven itself as the strategic raw material of future economic development-petroleum. This was part of what some English establishment strategists then termed the Great Game, creation of a new global British Empire, whose hegemony would be unchallenged for the rest of the century, a **British-led New World Order**."

This secret master-plan then explains the somewhat mysterious disbanding of the old British Empire for this new "Informal Empire."

Right in the middle of the war Britain and France negotiated a secret agreement (unknown to the rest of the world) known as the [Sykes-Picot Agreement](#). That agreement

detailed the plans for England to have commanding control of the undeveloped petroleum lands in the entire Arabian Gulf region after the war. Britain and France were tearing apart the economic base (then unknown to the victims) which would eventually cause them to be enslaved chattel interests. Russia was later included in the agreement. Remember though, this new empire of Britain was to be "informal!"

While France was badly losing a vicious fight with the Germans along the Maginot Line, the British Forces were sent to the Mideast for the purpose of acquiring by force the rich oil lands of the entire territory. The public releases clearly stated that England was doing this to insure Russian grain shipments through the Dardanelles into Western Europe and to ensure a greater fighting capacity of Russian troops against Germany and her allies.

That couldn't have been the real reason for British presence. They were still there in 1919 after the war with nearly one million troops! The true reason for their presence was to preserve their power over the Arabs and their oil.

Under the [Sykes-Picot agreement](#), the British created the deliberate partitioning of sovereign countries in the Arab lands. Knowing where the oil-rich lands were, Britain conceded to France oil free Syria and Lebanon as French protectorates. England would get Trans-Jordan, Palestine, Iraq, and Kuwait. Persia had already been under the control of Britain as was explained in the last issue. Britain did concede to France the oil-rich lands of Mosul, along the Tigris River in Mesopotamia. This was only a temporary expedient to satisfy France because England would renege on that agreement, too!

We must not consider this as typical "dry" history. As you will see, this effects all of us in enormous ways. In past issues we have explained how England had come under the heavy influence of the Jews ever since Oliver Cromwell and Manasseh ben Israel made the infamous agreement. As Manasseh ben Israel agreed, "With our money and your nobility, together we will control the world." Bear with us with this history. It plays a direct and most influential part of the situation the world now faces.



The British utilized the services of "Lawrence of Arabia" to obtain the support of the Arabs in the war against Germany. Britain ordered Lawrence to assure the Arabs that they would receive full postwar **sovereignty** and **independence** for their people. This is typical of the type of "assurances" that were thrown around these trusting people just like is being done today in the same area.


This is what Lawrence wrote in his memoirs about this incident. "I risked the fraud on my conviction that Arab help was necessary to our cheap and speedy victory in the East (the Arabs lost 100,000 lives!), and that better we win and break our word, than lose...The Arab inspiration was our main tool for winning the Eastern war. So I assured them that England kept her word in letter and spirit. In this comfort they performed their fine things; but of course, instead of being proud of what we did together, I was continually and bitterly ashamed." How many times do we have that same thing happen in the diplomatic and military structure in our nation today? A thought provoking question.

With all of the agreements and accords reached between the English, French, Italians and Russians, once the Versailles Treaty was signed, the English, with their one million man army took over the military control of the French area of the Middle East.

France didn't learn her lesson from this fraud because they again "trusted" England. In 1918, Britain and France agreed to what was called "zones of temporary military occupation." France was exhausted from the war and England took advantage of it. Remember, the war was being fought on French soil with the French using mainly their own troops to do the fighting. Britain, on the other hand, had troops from all of its commonwealth comprising its forces. Britain offered to act as supreme military and administrative guardian, with General Edmund Allenby as Commander in the Mideastern theater. In a private discussion in December 1918, British Prime Minister Lloyd George told France's Clemenceau that Britain wanted France to attach the "Mosul to Iraq, and Palestine from Dan to Beersheba would be under British control." Thus, even the oil-rich Mosul was "given" to England. We will now be able to understand what all of this "dry" history created.

In past issues we have described the activities of so-called "Gentile Zionists" of England in their quest for a homeland for the Jews in Palestine. There were such men as Lawrence Oliphant, the Earl of Shaftsbury, along with many others, who were seeking a homeland for the displaced Jews of the world. Among the most influential of the group was Lloyd George, the British Prime Minister. The British Commonwealth and the United States are still full of these so-called "Gentile Zionists." They are simply Judeo-Christians who have been disgracefully misled by their preachers and their seminaries as to who is true Israel, what is a Jew and who is Judah. The facts are plainly published everywhere, even by famous Jewish authors. These ignorant people stubbornly refuse to understand and the world is paying the price for this untenable situation. Could it be that it is because of (1) fear or (2) "feathering their own nest?" If so, it is even the more disgraceful.

Another "Gentile Zionist" was the British Foreign Secretary, Arthur Balfour. As Foreign Secretary, Balfour worked with and for the Prime Minister, Lloyd George. What follows then creates a conspiracy.



Arthur Balfour

It was British Geo-Politics which was overriding their "Gentile Zionism!" Arthur Balfour was a close friend of (Lord) Walter Rothschild who, in turn, was a staunch financial supporter of the English Federation of Zionists. Arthur Balfour, under the direction of the Prime Minister, sent the now infamous "[Balfour Declaration](#)" which secured a national home in Jerusalem for the world's Jews. The [Balfour Declaration](#) was presented and accepted at the post war Versailles Treaty.

In reality, this "national home" was one of the most strategic locations in the area for the control of Britain's new oil empire. Jerusalem has been the strategic "choke point" since known historical records have surfaced. It was in the direct path of the overland trade routes from India, through Mesopotamia (ancient Babylon), to Egypt and beyond.

This new British Informal Empire was totally dedicated to the use of the Rothschild Zionists, and their money, in its move toward their renewed world sovereignty. Their primary and unending concern was the system of National Sovereign Economics that was rapidly gaining acceptance on the Continent. It was, and is, so diametrically different from the Absolute Free Trade of the British Empire. The British system of course came straight out of Babylon.

Nothing of geo-political importance ever happens in a nation without influence and direction from the Halls of the Academic. Such was the case in this contest between the two systems. In the 1890's, when Britain fully understood she was in trouble, a group was

formed to counter this threat. It was here that the "subtle and informal" Empire was conceived. The now well-known Round Table was formally founded in 1911.

Lord Lothian presented the Round Table's position quite specifically. He wrote this about Britain's future directions: "There are at present two codes of international morality- the British or Anglo-Saxon and the continental or German. Both cannot prevail. If the British Empire is not strong enough to be a real influence for the fair dealing between nations, the reactionary standards of the German bureaucracy will triumph, and it will then only be a question of time before the British Empire itself is victimized by the international 'hold-up' on the lines of the Agadir incident. Unless the British people are strong enough to make it impossible for the backward rivals to attack them with any prospect of success, they will have to accept the political standards of the aggressive military powers."

This statement, by Lord Lothian, is the sum of all of the international problems the world has seen since 1900! The "codes of international morality" is referring to which system of economics we will have. The term "reactionary standards" is the exact same term all communists use when they describe anyone or group who opposes their viewpoints. The National Sovereign Economics is a "backward rival." The truth of history proves that the United States, during its "golden years" used the National Sovereign Economics model. Thomas Jefferson was an advocate and the world envied the success of the United States.

The power and influence of the academic in any nation is far greater than we might realize. Generally, for the academic, good, old common sense is disregarded. It can be said that the academic often times "majors in the minors and minors in the majors!" It is the difference in the thinking of men like Thomas Jefferson versus Alexander Hamilton. This is the way it was with this newly founded British group.

The Round Table expanded its influence and membership by acquiring the services of some very influential "academics." They were: Albert Lord Grey, Arnold Toynbee, H.G. Wells, Alfred Lord Milner and Halford Mackinder of the London School of Economics. The name of this expanded group became the [Royal Institute for International Affairs](#) (RIIA). This most powerful, secretive and influential group of academics was formed during the Versailles Peace Treaty. The meeting at Versailles was dominated by this group while representing Britain. H.G. Wells was the Fabian Socialist who wrote *The Open Conspiracy: Blue Prints for a World Order.* In that book, H.G.Wells wrote, "The political world of the Open Conspiracy must weaken, efface, incorporate and supersede existing governments. The Open Conspiracy is the natural inheritor of socialist and communist enthusiasms; it may be in control of Moscow before it is in control of New York. The character of the Open Conspiracy will now be plainly displayed. **It will be a world religion.**"

According to that statement of H.G. Wells, these "Gentile Zionists" had determined that there was to be a "world religion" and, according to them, that religion certainly wasn't going to be Christianity!

It was Col. Edward Mandel House, the close advisor to President Woodrow Wilson, who organized that private meeting during the [Versailles Treaty](#) which resulted in the finalization of the [Royal Institute for International Affairs](#). Also at that private, conspiratorial, meeting was another famous Fabian Socialist, Lord Maynard Keynes. Within two years, Col. House organized the American branch of the [RIIA](#) into what became known as the [Council on Foreign Relations](#) (CFR).

Through the efforts of the [RIIA](#), and the Versailles Peace Conference, the new British Informal Empire, was to be centered around the Jews dominating the Palestine area, and owing its survival to British power. This was the thinking of the new British Empire, under the influence of the [RIIA](#) with Halford Mackinder being the spokesman:

"If the World--Island be inevitably the principle seat of humanity on this globe, and if Arabia, as the passage--land from Europe to the Indies and from the Northern to the Southern Heartland, be central to the World Island, then the hill citadel of Jerusalem has a strategical position with reference to world--realities not differing essentially from its ideal position in the perspective of the Middle Ages, or its strategical position between ancient Babylon and Egypt...the Suez Canal carries the rich traffic between the Indies and Europe to within striking distance of an army based on Palestine, and already the trunk railway is being built through the coastal plain by Jaffa, which will connect the Southern with the Northern Heartland." Mackinder then commented, "The Jewish national seat in Palestine will be one of the most important outcomes of the war. That is a subject on which we can now afford to speak the truth...a national home at the physical and historical center of the world, should make the Jew 'range' himself." End of quotes by Mackinder. That word "range" is perhaps also very significant. The word "range" in that passage probably means to spread out, be greater, have a broader influence.

What Mackinder said is a most important point. It shows how the British have used the Jews for an entirely different reason than the published "for reasons of compassion!" This incident in world history can also be credited for every war and "police action" since World War I. It also explains why the United States is so interested in the Mideast.

The Ten Commandments themselves tell us what this entire sordid problem is all about. The first three Commandments tell us: *"Thou shalt have no other gods before me. Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth: Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I the Lord thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me."* ([Exodus 20:3-5](#)).

When the British Empire intentionally utilized the Zionist Jews to be the "choke point" in the Middle East to help create Britain's sovereignty of the world's oil supply they worshipped the Baals, "the daughter of the Chaldeans," Babylon! If we took a stylized drop of crude oil and made it into a statue or painting, we would see the icon now worshipped by the power structure. As we continue with this issue, we will see that the United States became a part of this evil. Again, all for the sake of oil and its part in the making of "superpowers."

As was pointed out earlier, the British Empire was bankrupt at the outset of World War I. The United States became a partner in criminal politics following that war by allowing the J.P. Morgan Bank of New York City to supply the money to bail them out. It was "power-politics" of the worst sort. Even "Gentile Zionists" fight among themselves to see who is going to be on the "top of the pile!"

At the close of the [Versailles Peace Treaty](#), Europe had been cut up like a half of beef on a butcher's block. The British Empire had, at that time, the very naive concept that they could still be the superpower of the world while borrowing huge sums of money from the savings of the American people. The Versailles Treaty gave to the British the territorial powers but the economic power moved from London to New York. The outward sign of that fact was the destiny of the League of Nations. That League would have been operated in the best interests of the British to further that territorial control. The United States vetoed it and Britain's territorial powers diminished. Remember the secular "golden rule?" "He who has the gold, makes the rules!"

It was following the [Versailles Treaty](#) that the United States started its rise to Empire status and then its downfall in much the same manner as the British before them. The economists of the United States were trained by the British by such men as Lord Maynard

Keynes. It was Britain who taught our economists the wonders of credit economics where deficit spending is the way to success. Have you noticed that our national economists actually refuse to pay down the national debt?

The J.P. Morgan banking house was selected by the British government to be the sole purchasing agent for all war supplies. They were also selected to be Britain's exclusive financial agent for all British war borrowing from the other private banks in the U.S. in addition to the J.P. Morgan Bank itself. Britain then used that borrowed money to loan it to the French, Italians and Russians. It was a giant credit pyramid with the Morgan banking house on the top of it. The Morgan bank was gambling with someone else's money and it is called investment banking.

With such an unheard of exclusive agreement with its enormous power, the Morgan banking house was put into the position of deciding which companies in America received favorable treatment and which ones did not. Agriculture was enormously affected because of its relationships with various food supply houses.

To make matters worse, since the United States declared itself to be "neutral" in the European conflict with the Entente powers against Germany, the activities of the Morgan banking house were breaking international law! As a result of this, the American people took to the streets in protest. Many found themselves in jail. The recent rioting in Seattle because of the American people's distrust of the [World Trade Organization \(WTO\)](#) is but a small image of what took place over this banking scandal.

By 1917, the Entente powers had borrowed one and one-quarter billion dollars from the savings of the American people! In 1917 dollars, that was an amount beyond comprehension! By the end of the war that figure was significantly increased. When Britain and her allies were very close to being destroyed by Germany's war machine, the house of Morgan was close to a financial disaster.

The American people did not want to get into that war. The nation was firm on this situation and, in fact, the majority understood and agreed with Germany. The American people were acutely aware that the entire conflict was over Internationalism versus Nationalism. The American people have always been basically Nationalist, knowing that it was Nationalism that made this nation what it was. The Bible clearly reveals that this is the great argument of all times and has continued since the early, early days of Mesopotamia. That conflict has been between the nationalism (National Sovereign Economics) of the Bible versus internationalism (Absolute Free Trade) directly out of ancient Mesopotamia, commonly called simply Babylon.

Social and moral rules, including the belief in God or the occult, follow. The reasoning is simple. Nationalism results in racial separation which allows citizens to follow God as the Bible prescribes. **The internationalism of trade always results in imperialism.** Then, that always results in the mixing of races, first in business, then in social life, then in family life and finally in spiritual life. The American people followed the nationalist concept until after the end of the Civil War. At first it was only a minor disturbance in their lives. Then the floodgates were opened. Historical records prove that the American people fought back valiantly. This contest over the control of the world's oil supplies has played a big part in our decay as a nationalist people.

The American people were still nationalist at the time of WWI and the mind set of the people of the United States had to be changed. This was done by the most precise efforts of British Intelligence (with its prowess being discussed in the last issue), along with the American press. The American media, even then, had been penetrated by the same

intelligencia that penetrated the diplomatic services. The networking of how this was accomplished is now legend with hundreds of books written explaining the details.

If America could be persuaded to join England and the Entente powers in the fight against Germany, the quest for internationalism would be secure. Several things happened which would reverse the near collapse of not only England but the J.P. Morgan banking dynasty and the saving of the entire American financial structure. The savings of the American people which was in these banks was at stake.

It was the same Col. Edward House, the confidant of President Wilson, who convinced him to sign into law the [Federal Reserve System](#). If Wilson had not signed that bill into law, we would not have gone to war against Germany! The second factor was the enactment of the Income Tax Amendment. These two factors gave the financial freedom for unlimited spending of borrowed money. In effect, with the advent of unrestricted investment banking, we bailed out the British Empire, along with France and Russia. We destroyed ourselves in the process.

Our actual entering into World War I was another matter. Again, the American people were vehemently against it. The American press was given their *casus belli* when the Germans started retaliating for the "neutral" American shipping of war materials to the allies. They started the sinking of merchant ships with their newly developed submarines! The American press went into a frenzy over this issue.

Germany could not consider the American merchant fleet and the U.S. Government to be neutral since we were violating international law in this specific matter. Any unbiased international tribunal would be forced to agree. The details of this sordid story were presented in the [Sept.-Oct., 1999 issue](#) of the *Intelligence Newsletter*.

When the United States went to war in 1917, the [Federal Reserve](#) immediately started the selling of "Liberty Loans" and bonds and all sales of course went through the hands of the Morgan banks! There were nearly 22 billion dollars of Liberty Loans and bonds sold. In 1920, Thomas Lamont, a Morgan partner, gleefully made this statement regarding this debt: "The national debts of the world have increased by 210 billion dollars or about 475% in the last six years, and as a natural consequence, the variety of government bonds and the number of investors in them have been greatly multiplied." He wasn't worried about that money being repaid! He, along with his close associates sat at the table in Versailles when the subject of paying for this debt surfaced.

Mr. Engdahl reported the following in his book *A Century of War*: **"Morgan's men, including Thomas Lamont and fellow Wall Street crony Bernard Baruch, sat at the table during the closed-door Versailles sessions which drew up the 'bill' for the Great War. They jointly established a special permanent Commission for Reparations in order to determine the precise amount and means for Germany to repay its war damages against the Entente powers.**

"Being good conservative bankers, Morgan and friends could not let the war loans of the British and allied powers simply be forgotten in the euphoria of peace, despite assumptions of A.J. Balfour and others in the British government that such magnanimity would follow. Morgan & Co. had quietly shifted their private British government loans over to the general debt of the U.S. Treasury as soon as the U.S. officially entered the war, in effect making the British debts the burden of the American taxpayers after the war. Despite this, Morgan interests made sure they had a major stake in the postwar Versailles reparations financing, as U.S. war debt had grown beyond anything known before in U.S. history, the distinction between Morgan's interests and that of the U.S. Government became blurred. The U.S.

Government increasingly made itself simply a useful instrument for the extension of the new power of New York's international bankers."

"And the children of Israel dwelt among the Canaanites, Hittites, and Amorites, and Perizzites, and Hivites, and Jebusites. And they took their daughters to be their wives, and gave their daughters to their sons, and served their gods.

"And the children of Israel did evil in the sight of the Lord, and forgot the Lord their God, and served Baalim and the groves. Therefore the anger of the Lord was hot against Israel, and he sold them into the hand of Chu-shan-rish-a-tha-im king of Mesopotamia: and the children of Israel served Chu-shan-rish-a-tha-im eight years. And when the children of Israel cried unto the Lord, the Lord raised up a deliverer to the children of Israel, who delivered them, even Oth-ni-el the son of Kenaz, Caleb's younger brother." ([Judges 3:5-9](#)).

We must remember two points when we read this and other incidents like it in the Bible. It is a true incident which happened and likewise it is a type situation which gives us the principle to follow. Notice in this passage, Israel failed God's Laws by mixing their "seed" and by serving Baalim and the groves. They went into bondage for this violation. The eight years is a "type" judgment. It could have been for any number of years depending on the severity. Notice also that it was a king of Mesopotamia whom they served. When they dwelt among these other peoples, they did so in all probability to obtain cheap labor. In all probability we will be serving the "kings" of China, Indonesia, Taiwan, Mexico, and from wherever we are using this cheap labor! We just can't seem to stay within God's simple but Omnipotent Laws and we repeatedly go into bondage to Baalim under the system of ancient Mesopotamia. The worst part is that we insist it is all right because we can make money doing it and that, we think, is what counts!

Why was World War I so important to the history of the world? From the perspective of the United States, our Civil War caused far more destruction and loss of life and happiness as did World War I. The reason for the importance of World War I is that "The war to end all wars" was only the start of the bloodiest, most destructive century the world has ever known.

That war was the start, in earnest, for the fight between Imperialism (internationalism) and Nationalism. Yes, the American Civil War was between those two concepts but it was local, effecting the people of the United States. It was the Imperialism of the British Empire with their lackeys among the Boston Brahmins against the Nationalism of the South. World War I expanded that fight and made it world wide.

The conniving and secret agreements of the [Versailles Treaty](#) at the end of World War I put into motion the remainder of the entire 20th century. The people of the United States became an unknowing partner in this because the banks of New York, with J.P. Morgan leading, loaned our people's money to the British Empire! They, in turn, loaned some of that money to the other allies. It is always the same. The internationalists (imperialists) somehow think they have the right to manipulate the money of private citizens for their own best interests. On occasion this practice might be to the best interests of the nation but that is purely secondary. Mr. Engdahl explained this very well when he said that the indebtedness of this new informal British Empire was quietly transferred over to the general debt of the U.S. Treasury. This is tantamount to the American public having to earn the same money twice!

For this charade to work, the [Royal Institute of International Affairs \(RIIA\)](#) had to have a partner in crime in America. Therefore, the members of the [RIIA](#) made the decision to start a branch office in the United States. It was named the [New York Council on Foreign Relations](#). With this act, the imperialists or internationalists, could now be considered a

quasi part of the United States Government. The once great Nationalist nation started the slippery path toward Internationalists and just another Imperial Empire in scope.

The entire decade of the 1920's saw the bitter rivalry between England and the United States over the repayment of the war debt. Our military was more than once alerted in this effort to obtain repayment of these huge debts. The Allied Powers owed the United States banks twelve and one-half billion dollars. As important as that money was, the more pressing arguments were over the control of the oil producing regions of the world. The United States became deeply involved in the piece-meal carving of sovereign nations all over the world to obtain, and protect, those oil rights.

The good sense of the American Congress saw through this and refused to become a signatory to the [Versailles Treaty](#) nor the League of Nations that went with it. But that didn't stop the [Federal Reserve](#) and the New York Banks! They continued in their involvement of the Allied debts to the New York banks. All of this was under the watchful eye of the [Council on Foreign Relations \(CFR\)](#). The [Federal Reserve](#) and the Morgan banks became involved in the \$33 billion dollars debt which the Versailles negotiations determined that Germany owed.

This avarice on the part of the New York banks and the [CFR](#) totally destroyed the financial arrangement of the European nations. The now infamous inflation of the German economy was directly due to payment of only the interest on this astronomical debt. The New York banks refused to compromise on a debt which was entirely punitive without substance.

The one major point that a true study of history tells us is that God knows what He is talking about when He says, "*Take thou no usury of him, or increase: but fear thy God; that thy brother may live with thee.*" ([Lev.25:36](#)).

We must end this issue with this knowledge taken from the pages of *The Century of War* by the brilliant historian F. William Engdahl.. With your permission we will end this history with the [next issue](#). We will end with this bombshell! With enormous historical facts, *The Century of War* shows that it was the Bank of England's Montagu Norman, the Roysl Dutch Shell magnate Sir Henry Deterings, the Reichsbank President Hjalmar Schacht and with the help of the [Federal Reserve](#) of the U.S. that started what became known as the "Hitler Project!" Yes, without reservation, it was these banks which gave us World War II. The manipulation was intricate and detailed and should be told in much greater detail. Everything we are today can relate to the decisions made during this fateful period. It is now the Empire of the United States which has taken over from the British in advocating and controlling the new attempt of the League of Nations, now known as The [United Nations](#).