

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: ed johnston <edjohnston2003@yahoo.com>
To: "portland@ic.fbi.gov" <portland@ic.fbi.gov>; "portland@ic.fbi.gov" <portland@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: US Marshals (USMS) <us.marshals@usdoj.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019, 7:23:39 PM PDT
Subject: Fw: file number 2019-FOI-00189 department of irs inspectors general office

State officers have no constitutional authority to practice law as lawyers, barristers, advocates, or solicitors. Americans should begin formally charging these false lawyers with unlawfully practicing the profession of law since their BAR licenses only give them the privilege to be Attorneys and Squires over land transfers. The Unauthorized Practice of Law

Fire your BAR Attorney. Refuse to acknowledge their corrupt inner-bar courts of thievery. Formally charge them with the illegal act of practicing law without lawful authority. Why? A BAR Attorney is not a lawyer by lawful definition. An Esquire is an officer of the State with the duty to carry out State activities, including "attornment."

State officers have no constitutional authority to practice law as lawyers, barristers, advocates, or solicitors. Americans should begin formally charging these false lawyers with unlawfully practicing the profession of law since their BAR licenses only give them the privilege to be Attorneys and Squires over land transfers.

The following is an example of a case law defining the term idiot:

‘An idiot is a person who has been from birth or infancy deficient in mental capacity, and destitute of the ordinary intellectual powers.’ [Sandefur v. Commonwealth, 143 Ky. 655 (Ky. 1911)]

Federal Judge Declares ‘Policing for Profit’ Unconstitutional

[https://www.aclu.org/blog/criminal-law-reform/reforming-police-practices/federal-judge-declares-policing-profit?](https://www.aclu.org/blog/criminal-law-reform/reforming-police-practices/federal-judge-declares-policing-profit?fbclid=IwAR2TDdKls5y9yn6p9F3IO6D_WiC78hWq0ZboP0aMIHMVAWAGRpHd2tBOIQE)

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: ed johnston <edjohnston2003@yahoo.com>
To: "OIGCounsel@oig.treas.gov" <OIGCounsel@oig.treas.gov>; "check.claims@fms.treas.gov" <check.claims@fms.treas.gov>; "inquiries@usmint.treas.gov" <inquiries@usmint.treas.gov>; "oregon.treasurer@ost.state.or.us" <oregon.treasurer@ost.state.or.us>; "inquiries@usmint.treas.gov" <inquiries@usmint.treas.gov>; "licensing@usmint.treas.gov" <licensing@usmint.treas.gov>; "SBLFInstitutions@treasury.gov" <SBLFInstitutions@treasury.gov>; "SBLFInstitutions@treasury.gov" <SBLFInstitutions@treasury.gov>; "SSBCIquestions@treasury.gov" <SSBCIquestions@treasury.gov>; "press@treasury.gov" <press@treasury.gov>; "Amy.Wojcicki@ost.state.or.us" <Amy.Wojcicki@ost.state.or.us>; "James.Sinks@ost.state.or.us" <James.Sinks@ost.state.or.us>; "Kasey.Krifka@ost.state.or.us" <Kasey.Krifka@ost.state.or.us>; "Hotline@oig.treas.gov" <Hotline@oig.treas.gov>; "deqinfo@deq.state.or.us" <deqinfo@deq.state.or.us>; "EDFOIManager@ed.gov" <EDFOIManager@ed.gov>; "pmoulder@doc.gov" <pmoulder@doc.gov>; "uscis.foia@dhs.gov" <uscis.foia@dhs.gov>; "CRCL@dhs.gov" <CRCL@dhs.gov>; "foia@opm.gov" <foia@opm.gov>; "FOIA.Reading.Room@tigta.treas.gov" <FOIA.Reading.Room@tigta.treas.gov>; "foia@ustr.eop.gov" <foia@ustr.eop.gov>; "OMBFOIA@omb.eop.gov" <OMBFOIA@omb.eop.gov>; "sos@state.us.or" <sos@state.us.or>; "attorneygeneral@doj.state.or.us"

<attorneygeneral@doj.state.or.us>; "dojmedia@doj.state.or.us" <dojmedia@doj.state.or.us>;
"Hotline@oig.treas.gov" <Hotline@oig.treas.gov>

Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019, 7:18:10 PM PDT

Subject: Fw: file number 2019-FOI-00189

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: ed johnston <edjohnston2003@yahoo.com>

To: "julissa.hurtdo@tigta.treas.gov" <julissa.hurtdo@tigta.treas.gov>; "Reading.Room@tigta.treas.gov" <Reading.Room@tigta.treas.gov>; "edi.civil.rights.division@irs.go" <edi.civil.rights.division@irs.go>; "fara.public@usdoj.gov" <fara.public@usdoj.gov>; "fara.public@usdoj.gov" <fara.public@usdoj.gov>

Cc: "ng.ncr.arnng.mbx.office-of-the-chaplain@mail.mil" <ng.ncr.arnng.mbx.office-of-the-chaplain@mail.mil>; "ng.ncr.arnng.mbx.eo-support@mail.mil" <ng.ncr.arnng.mbx.eo-support@mail.mil>; "ng.ncr.arnng.mbx.ngb-congressional-inquiries@mail.mil" <ng.ncr.arnng.mbx.ngb-congressional-inquiries@mail.mil>; "Michael.pace@cityoftoledo.org" <Michael.pace@cityoftoledo.org>; "rod.cross@cityoftoledo.org" <rod.cross@cityoftoledo.org>; "bill.dalbey@cityoftoledo.org" <bill.dalbey@cityoftoledo.org>; "joshua.smith@cityoftoledo.org" <joshua.smith@cityoftoledo.org>; "betty.kamikawa@cityoftoledo.org" <betty.kamikawa@cityoftoledo.org>; "reference.archives@oregon.gov" <reference.archives@oregon.gov>; "edjohnston2003@yahoo.com" <edjohnston2003@yahoo.com>; "Adminrules.Archives@oregon.gov" <Adminrules.Archives@oregon.gov>; "stu.strom@cityoftoledo.org" <stu.strom@cityoftoledo.org>; "heather.jukich@cityoftoledo.org" <heather.jukich@cityoftoledo.org>; "jackie.kauffman@cityoftoledo.org" <jackie.kauffman@cityoftoledo.org>

Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019, 7:15:40 PM PDT

Subject: Fw: file number 2019-FOI-00189

Report Fraud, Waste, & Abuse If you are aware of fraud, waste, mismanagement, and abuse in the IRS programs and operations, report it to the TIGTA Hotline.

FAR Contractor Reporting If you are a contractor and are aware of a violation of Federal criminal law involving fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, or gratuity violations found in Title 18 of the United States Code or a violation of the civil False Claims Act, submit a FAR Contractor Report.

Whistleblower Retaliation Reporting It is unlawful for Federal agencies to take or threaten to take a personnel action against an employee or applicant because he or she disclosed allegations of wrongdoing or gross mismanagement (i.e., whistleblowing activities). If you feel you have been the subject of whistleblower retaliation, you may make a Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint.

Thursday, August 15, 2019 4.45 pm

Aaron Pitcher trespassed on my soil again Armed including Tony Bour

Threaten my safety again armed attempt to harm Criminal Assault and Battery Law, informed I have no rights for my personal property on my soil

I a Server disabled lawful bloodline native ,Severally Disables ,8 lung and heart failures, Three month in ICU ,also have Kidney failure , had two broken necks on the employment as a policy officer for a privet corps , 19blow dices five in the cord ,crushed lower back , five blown dices and a crushed lower back by Newport police beating for telling them no ,5USC2906-3331 I'm Falsely accused and Kidnap and held for ransom by the Elected and public employees.. 5USC2906-3331.. .. No Victim No Crime,As Lincoln county DA says the state of Oregon Corporation is the Victim A CORPS . As I was released from the hospital with heart attacks June 7th 30 hour in jail with out

medical I did not die in the cover up on there attempt on my life.. Claim I Bump into him What next for profits I filed on the property tax fraud..Lars Larson has the files he doesn't care for the disabled and elderly unless its a profit adventure..NO Heart. so folks please read my case I'm like you just won't live life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness correct. . Its based on Commune law.. Read my Case.I'm disabled I have the right to travel and subsistence hunt and fisheries food gathering on public rights of water ways and on land as sea for personal use of life liberty and the pursuit of happiness free water food and basic needs that our lawful for father wrote in-place for lawful American 1866 civil rights act. . has it Tell the folks why Lincoln county DA Attempted to physical harm me in jail know my medical Because I have recalled the Lincoln county School district board members in 2002 first time I'm told entire School board of misguided embarrass for seeing thy souls for popularity , For filing on the Fraud of Elected and public servants.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3UrR7PTqlpc>

Further harassment from City of Toledo Chief of Police David ...

www.youtube.com/watch?v=HTXTZOI8Ogg Cached

Further harassment from City of Toledo Chief of Police David Enyeart ... 2:40 FOIA on Toledo Police for video of Unlawful Arrest on Ed Johnston by Laura ...

.Play Video

1st and 5th Amendment Violated at Toledo Oregon Council ...

www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fbu0vRwpf_k Cached

15:02 Ed Johnston Running for Sheriff 06/05/2013 by Laura Walker 1,030 views;

.Play Video

Links of facts in this email ,

Still harassing Aaron Pitcher ,me with hes gun put his gun to my head when he is around I dont know hes attempt t o harm

Ed's Kidnapping 09/11/2013 by Toledo Police Department Corporation

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nEm5wkwJzEU>

even tied to rd kill me in jail

Ed's unlawful kidnapping by Ruark's camera part 1

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3UrR7PTqlpc>

Dial 911 And You Could Die! – PoliceCrimes.Com

<http://policecrimes.com/policeprotection-html/>

The Supreme Court Ruled Police Do Not Have a Duty to Protect You or Your Family You have no right to expect th e police to protect you from crime. The police don't have to come when you call 911.

Edward M Johnston Has Filed in State of Oregon secretary office including this filed with the senate and house as you can see, Not one of the Elected and Public servants have disagree with the facts this public notice published fo r three weeks in the newspapers , public notice boards and museums please read <https://olis.leg.state.or.us/liz/2015R1/Downloads/CommitteeMeetingDocument/72439>
olis.leg.state.or.us

Justices Rule Police Do Not Have a Constitutional Duty to Protect Someone

<https://www.nytimes.com/2005/06/28/politics/justices-rule-police-do-not-have-a-constitutional-duty-to-protect.html>

file number 2019-FOI-00189

Given name Edward M Johnston II Date August 18th day 2019

In re: dba "EDWARD MALONE JOHNSTON II."

Lawful bloodline Native of forty eight States united

c/o Non-Corporation 1540 North Nye Street

1846 Native Treaty Non-Corporation Toledo Oregon

541 336 1233

Severally Disables ,8 lung and heart failures, Three month in ICU ,also have Kidney had two broken necks on the employment as a policy officer for a privet corps , 19blow dices five in the cord ,crushed lower back , five blown dices and a crushed loer back by Newport police beating for telling them no Links of facts in this email ,

All Rights Reserved without prejudice ucc 1-207 ucc 1-308
u.c.c 1-308 formerly 1-207.....reservation of rights.....,plus {jnt naturale},- {jus soli}...The Greatspirit , Mother Earth , The Creator rights for man,earths rights for man....the other that is illegal and unlawful is the {B.A.R} statutes-codes-ordinances that are in repugnance of the primary protocol of your rights or marbury v. madison 5 u.s. 137 {1803}..... " Byars v. United States - 273 US 128 "Any constitutional provision intended to confer a benefit should be liberally construed in favor of the clearly intended and expressly designated beneficiary"

We are the the Beneficiaries.....

edjohnston2003@yahoo.com

To: FOIA liaison officer

Julissa Hurtado

For Amy L Jones FOIA.Reading.Room@tita.treas.gov

Julissa.hurtado@tigta.treas.gov

Disclosure Officer And FOIA Public Liaison

Affidavit for record FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUIST

Without prejudice, Lawful recourse

Reserved Rights U.C.C, 1-207.1-308. NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS. NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS IS NOTICE TO AGENTS Foreign and or Domestic
TAKE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING

The Doctrine of Respondent Superior, which means "let the master answer."

35 Am J1st M & S § 543

The doctrine of "Superior/Command Responsibility" here after applies to herein said Individual(s)

The chain of command principle, the scaler chain

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST (FOIA) Toledo Oregon city hall and police department

Pursuant to but not limited to, The Privacy Act of 1974 Public Law 93-579, 5 U.S. Code § 301 - Departmental regulations, The Freedom of Information Act Public Law 89-487 80 Stat. 250 (codified as amended at 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976, Pub. L. No. 94-409, 90 Stat. 1241 (codified at 5 U.S.C.A. § 552b), Electronic Freedom of Information Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-231, 110 Stat. 2422 (codified as amended at 5 U.S.C.A. § 552), Openness Promotes Effectiveness in our National Government Act of 2007, OPEN FOIA Act of 2009, 5 U.S.C. § 552, As Amended By Public Law No. 110-175, 121 Stat. 2524, and Public Law No. 111-83, § 564, 123 Stat. 2142, 218, Michigan Freedom of Information Act 442 of 1976, Oregon Statute 192 Public Records the following request are hereby being made to the above named alleged Government Office, Agency, Department, Board, Commission or Instrumentality thereof.

Notice is hereby given, any failure to perform and complete this Freedom of Information Act by law as stated herein with in twenty (20) business days and return all items, material, information, documents,

evidence ect.. herein requested to the above address for any reason what so ever, including but not limited to any form of payment, negotiation, barter, trade will be construed as willful conspiracy, willful suppression of evidence, evidence tampering, fraud, willful official oppression and will enforce a suit to compel performance causing all items material, information, documents, evidence ect.. stated herein to be subpoenaed . If such suit to compel performance is instigated suited party (defendant) agrees to accept full and complete liability for all including but not limited to, any expenses incurred by plaintiff what so ever, court cost, Attorneys fees, travel expenses, labor, clerical fees, research, Notary fees, ect...

To establish the "Rule of Law" being used for other purposes.

To establish any and all including but not limited to, Laws, Codes, Ordinances, Rules, Regulations and procedure used in this court to prevent misconception or vagueness (see The "vagueness" doctrine below) as to the accrual procedure and to establish the due process as defined by this court

Please provide the following information:

- 1) Provide the Constitutional authority for this Law Enforcement agency existence.
- 2) Provide certified copies of the oath of office of this agencies Sheriff or Chief of Police
- 3) Provide certified copies of Governors commissions of this agencies Sheriff or Chief of Police
- 4) Provide certified copies of certificates of competency of this agencies Sheriff or Chief of Police
- 5) Provide certified bona fide proof with substantial supporting evidence that this Law Enforcement agency conforms to the Constitution of the United States or the State of Oregon Constitution
- 6) Provide certified bona fide proof with substantial supporting evidence of this Law Enforcement agency and its Employees or agent's constitutional authority to be armed over the People
- 7) Indicate all laws, Codes, Ordinances, rules, regulations and procedure enforced by this Law Enforcement or Police agency
- 8) copy Registration to OMB No. 1124-0001; Expires May 31, 2020 U.S ... - fara.gov
www.fara.gov/forms/2017/OMB_1124_0001.pdf

Registration Statement. Washington, DC 20530. Pursuant to the Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938, as amended . INSTRUCTION SHEET-READ CAREFULLY. 1. Use. All persons required to register under this Act shall use this form in submitting the information required by Section 2(a). 2. Read Act and Rules.

United States Constitution, Original Oregon Constitution, Statutes at Large, United States Codes, United States Rules of Civil procedure, United States Rules of Criminal procedure, Oregon Session Law, Oregon Statutes, Oregon Rules of Civil procedure, Oregon Rules of Criminal procedure, Uniform Law Commission Acts, American Legislative Exchange Council acts (ALEC), American Law Institute, Restatements of the Law, Model Penal Code (MPC), Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), Uniform Code Of Military Justice (UCMJ), Model State Code of Military Justice - American Bar Association, The Model Code of Professional Responsibility ABA, Admiralty and Maritime Law, Case Law, Natural Law, Biblical Law, Civil Common Law, Laws of War, International Law, Treaty Law, Equity Law, United Nation Declarations, UNIDRIOT, Municipal Codes, Municipal Ordinances, Administrative Law, Lex fori, Law of Contracts, Law of Agencies, Title 32 National Defense, An agency policy and procedure manual, Title 10 USC, Title 32 CFR, Magna Carta, Policy and procedure manual, General Orders
others _____

Cite all claims _____

8) Indicate all laws, Codes, Ordinances, rules, regulations and procedure which apply to this Law Enforcement or Police agency and its Agents and employees.

United States Constitution, Oregon Constitution, Statutes at Large, United States Codes, United States Rules of Civil procedure, United States Rules of Criminal procedure, Oregon Session Law, Oregon Statutes, Oregon Rules of Civil procedure, Oregon Rules of Criminal procedure, Uniform Law Commission Acts, American Legislative Exchange Council acts (ALEC), American Law Institute, Restatements of the Law, Model Penal Code (MPC), Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), Uniform Code Of Military Justice (UCMJ), Model State Code of Military Justice - American Bar Association, The Model Code of Professional Responsibility ABA, Admiralty and Maritime Law, Case Law, Natural Law, Biblical Law, Civil Common Law, Laws of War, International Law, Treaty Law, Equity Law, United Nation Declarations, UNIDRIOT, Municipal Codes, Municipal Ordinances, Administrative Law, Lex fori, Law of Contracts, Law of Agencies, Title 32 National Defense, An agency policy and procedure manual, Title 10 USC, Title 32 CFR, Magna Carta, Policy and procedure manual, General Orders

others _____

Cite all claims _____

9) Your Law Enforcement or Police agency serves and protects who?

United States Government, Oregon Government, County government, municipal Government, corporate government, military government, We the people, a foreign government, An occupying military force, The inhabitation off the State, the State court, The State Prosecutor, State Governor, State Legislators, County commission, city council

Other _____

10) Who is your Law Enforcement or Police agency accountable to?

United States Government, Oregon State Government, County government, municipal Government, corporate government, military government, We the people, A foreign government, An occupying military force, The inhabitation off the State, the State court, The State Prosecutor, State Governor, State Legislators, County commission, city council, We the People

Other _____

Cite exist claim _____

11) Is this Law Enforcement agency and its agents and employees officers of the court? Yes No

12) Per your Law Enforcement or Police agency provide your use of force authority.

Policy and procedure manual, General Orders, Force continuum, Graham v. Connor, 490 U.S. 386 (1989), U.S. Constitution, State Constitution, United States Codes, State Statutes,

Other _____

13) Describe in exact detail the proper procedure including provide all necessary proper paper work for a individual to bring criminal charges against this Law Enforcement or Police agency and its Officers, agents or employees.

14) Provide your Law Enforcement or Police Agencies Constitutional authority over a civilian.

13)[] Do you agree

“Every State law must conform in the first place to the Organic Constitution of the United States, and then to the subordinate constitutions of the particular state; and if it infringes upon the provisions of either, it is so far void.” *Houston v. Moore*, 18 US 1, 5 L.Ed 19 (1840). It is abiding truth that “nothing can destroy a government more quickly than its failure to observe its own laws, or worse, its disregard of the charter of its own existence.” *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643, 659 (1961). *HARRIS V. NEW YORK U.S*

. Supreme Court 401 U.S. 222 (1971).

14[] Are you Filed and Registered By the requirement to the law with the 1938 FARA Act Agents of foreign principals

Any agent of a person described in section 611(b)(2) of this title or an entity described in section 611(b)(3) of this title if the agent has engaged in lobbying activities and has registered under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 [2 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.] in connection with the agent's representation of such person or entity.

(June 8, 1938, ch. 327, § 3, 52 Stat. 632; Aug. 7, 1939, ch. 521, § 2, 53 Stat. 1245; Apr. 29, 1942, ch. 263, § 1, 56 Stat. 254; Pub. L. 87-366, § 2, Oct. 4, 1961, 75 Stat. 784; Pub. L. 89-486, § 3, July 4, 1966, 80 Stat. 246; Pub. L. 104-65, § 9(2), (3), Dec. 19, 1995, 109 Stat. 700; Pub. L. 105-166, § 5, Apr. 6, 1998, 112 Stat. 39.) All "public servants," officials, Congressmen, politicians, judges, attorneys, law enforcement officers, States and their various agencies, etc., are the express agents of these foreign principals - see Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938; 22 USC 286 et seq, 263A, 185G, 267J, 611(C) (ii) & (iii); Treasury Delegation Order #91 information how to file and education Whereas : " Failure to file the " Foreign Agents Registration Statement " goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the Court and is a FELONY" pursuant to 18 US 219, 951 -" Failure to file the " Foreign Agents Registration Statement " goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the Court and is a FELONY" pursuant to 18 US 219, 951

The touchstone of Fourth Amendment protections against unreasonable police searches and seizures is the requirement that such invasions be based on "probable cause". *DUNAWAY v. NEW YORK*, 442 U.S. 200, 208, 60 L. Ed. 2d 824, 99 S. Ct. 2248 (1979). Those cases authorizing "seizures" of persons on lesser cause are narrowly drawn and carefully circumscribed. *SEE ADAMS v. WILLIAMS*, 407 U.S. 143, 32 L. Ed. 2d 612, 92 S. Ct. 1921 (1972); *TERRY v. OHIO*, 392 U.S. 1, 20 L. Ed. 2d 889, 88 S. Ct. 1868 (1968); *STATE v. GLUCK*, 83 Wn.2d 424, 518 P.2d 703 (1974)

14TH AMENDMENT

The Fourteenth Amendment provides that no State shall "deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." We have long recognized that the Amendment's Due Process Clause, like its Fifth Amendment counterpart, "guarantees more than fair process." *Washington v. Glucksberg*, 521 U.S. 702, 719, 138 L. Ed. 2d 772, 117 S. Ct. 2258 (1997). The Clause also includes a substantive component that "provides heightened protection against government interference with certain fundamental rights and liberty interests." 521 U.S. at 720; see also *Reno v. Flores*, 507 U.S. 292, 301-302, 123 L. Ed. 2d 1, 113 S. Ct. 1439 (1993).

The liberty interest at issue in this case--the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children--is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this Court. More than 75 years ago, in *Meyer v. Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390, 399, 401, 67 L. Ed. 1042, 43 S. Ct. 625 (1923), we held that the "liberty" protected by the Due Process Clause includes the right of parents to "establish a home and bring up children" and "to control the education of their own." Two years later, in *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, 268 U.S. 510, 534-535, 69 L. Ed. 1070, 45 S. Ct. 571 (1925), we again held that the "liberty of parents and guardians" includes the right "to direct the upbringing and education of children under their control." We explained in *Pierce* that "the child is not the mere creature of the State; those who nurture him and direct his destiny have the right, coupled with the high duty, to recognize and prepare him for additional obligations." 268 U.S. at 535. We returned to the subject in *Prince v. Massachusetts*, 321 U.S. 158, 88 L. Ed. 645, 64 S. Ct. 438 (1944), and again confirmed that there is a constitutional dimension to the right of parents to direct the upbringing of their children. "It is cardinal with us that the custody, care and nurture of the child reside first in the parents, whose primary function and freedom include preparation for obligations the state can neither supply nor hinder." 321 U.S. at 166.

In subsequent cases also, we have recognized the fundamental right of parents to make decisions concerning the care, custody, and control of their children. See, e.g., *Stanley v. Illinois*, 405 U.S. 645, 651, 31 L. Ed. 2d 551, 92 S. Ct. 1208 (1972) ("It is plain that the interest of a parent in the companionship, care, custody, and management of his or her children 'comes to this Court with a momentum for respect lacking when appeal is made to liberties which derive merely from shifting economic arrangements'" (citation omitted)); *Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 406 U.S. 205, 232, 32 L. Ed. 2d 15, 92 S. Ct. 1526 (1972) ("The history and culture of Western civilization reflect a strong tradition of parental concern for the nurture and upbringing of their children. This primary role of the parents in the upbringing of their children is now established beyond debate as an enduring American tradition"); *Quilloin v. Walcott*, 434 U.S. 246, 255, 54 L. Ed. 2d 511, 98 S. Ct. 549 (1978) ("We have recognized on numerous occasions that the relationship between parent and child is constitutionally protected"); *Parham v. J. R.*, 442 U.S. 584, 602, 61 L. Ed. 2d 101, 99 S. Ct. 2493 (1979) ("Our jurisprudence historically has reflected Western civilization concepts of the family as a unit with broad parental authority over minor children. Our cases have consistently followed that course"); *Santosky v. Kramer*, 455 U.S. 745, 753, 71 L. Ed. 2d 599, 102 S. Ct. 1388 (1982) (discussing "the fundamental liberty interest of natural parents in the care, custody, and management of their child"); *Glucksberg*, supra, at 720 ("In a long line of cases, we have held that, in addition to the specific freedoms protected by the Bill of Rights, the 'liberty' specially protected by the Due Process Clause includes the right ... to direct the education and upbringing of one's children" (citing *Meyer* and *Pierce*)). In light of this extensive precedent, it cannot now be doubted that the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment protects the fundamental right of parents to make decisions concerning the care, custody, and control of their children. Vreeken #ParentsRights Are #ConstitutionalRights this is why it has to be done in Admiralty law: In Title 28 U.S.C. Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, in the chapter and section that defines "court," "debt," "judgment," and "United States" (Chapter 176 Federal Debt Collection Procedure, Section 3002), "United States" means a Federal corporation (28 U.S.C. 3002(15)). Title 28 United States Code" means, literally, Title 28 District of Columbia Municipal Corporation Code. the states and district courts are all run by privately hired corporation.. we are treated in court as: Executive Order 6 and 7 Vol XV app-45 Only has signature of the Secretary of the state (William H Seward) Andrew Johnson the president was against the 14th Amendment He stated it creates a DE FACTO GOVERNMENT. Then, in 1868, the 14th Amendment created a different citizen making all "PERSONS", corporations, citizens of the "UNITED STATES" and "SUBJECT TO" the "JURISDICTION" "THEREOF"

.the two constitution civil war , Lawful Bloodline Native and thor Religious Vatican's Church's

It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen from falling into error, it is the function of the citizen to keep the Government from falling into error."

— American Communications Association

v. Douds, 339 U.S. 382, 442 (1950)

Birth Certificates Are Federal Bank Notes _ Dr | Banknote ...

<https://www.scribd.com/document/382233990/Birth-Certificates-Are-Federal-Bank-Notes-Dr>

Birth Certificates Are Federal Bank Notes _ Dr - Free download as PDF File (.pdf), Text File (.txt) or read online for free. Birth Certificates Are Federal Bank Notes

Subject: Certified copy HJR 192PUBLIC LAW 10, CH.48,STAT 48-112-1.pdf <https://www.scribd.com/document/293704724/Certified-copy-HJR-192PUBLIC-LAW-10-CH-48-STAT-48-112-1-pdf>

Because artificial entities cannot take oaths, they cannot make affidavits. See, e.g., *In re Empire Refini*

ng Co., 1 F. Supp. 548, 549 (SD Cal. 1932) ("It is, of course, conceded that a corporation cannot make an affidavit in its corporate name. It is an inanimate thing incapable of voicing an oath"); Moya Enterprises, Inc. v. Harry Anderson Trucking, Inc., 162 Ga. App. 39, 290 S.E.2d 145 (1982); Strand Restaurant Co. v. Parks Engineering Co., 91 A.2d 711 (D.C. 1952); 9A T. Bjur C. Slezak, Fletcher Cyclopaedia of Law of Private Corporations § 4629 (Perm. ed. 1992) ("A document purporting to be the affidavit of a corporation is void, since a corporation cannot make a sworn statement") (footnote omitted). ROWLAND v. CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY • 506 U.S. 194, 203 (1993)

A corporation can neither practice law nor hire lawyers to carry on the business of practicing law for it (People v. California Protective Corp'n, 76 Cal. App. 354, 244 Pac. 1089).

Title 42 § 408(a)(8) Title 42 § 408

(a) In general Whoever -

(8) discloses, uses, or compels the disclosure of the social security number of any person in violation of the laws of the United States; shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof shall be fined under title 18 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both. Title 42 § 408(a)(8)

All so-called "US citizens" should be aware that the 14th amendment is null and void, being never ratified by the states in 1868 (in spite of lies to the contrary). Case cites affirm the 14th amendment is a lie, and its lie is also presented in six copious pages in the Congressional Record, of July 13, 1967, and never rebutted. All so-called "US citizens" should withdraw their voter registrations, as their votes absolutely don't count; the vote having been manipulated by those voting machines earlier supplied by Diebold, which were not designed to give an accurate vote count... in fact, far from it. Congressional Record - House June 13, 1967 H7161 www.constitutionalconcepts.org/rarick.pdf Congressional Record -- House June 13, 1967 H7161 THE 14TH <http://www.constitutionalconcepts.org/rarick.pdf>

Read the Filling on All Oregon Corporation sheriff , Commit , Treason , Thief Of Public Funding Crimes Against Lawful bloodline Naive Five or more generation Americans new.oregontrackers' pass on the Oregon Sheriff and enjoy the knowledgeable teachings
<http://new.oregontrackers.com/public-notice-board.html>

Dictionary of Law 1893 Christianity. The system of doctrines and precepts taught by Christ; the religion founded by Christ. Christianity is said to be part of the common law. "Christianity is parcel of the laws of England; Vatican that controls your non and not for profits . Committing Treason Against 14 Amendment was and could not ever be ratified like all other after only administration rules and regulations of or servants The Creator and, therefore, to reproach the Christian religion is to speak in subversion of the law." -Taylor's Case, Ventris 293 (1676). "The essential principles of natural religion and of revealed religion are a part of the common law, so that any person reviling or subverting or ridiculing them may be prosecuted at common law". -Case of Evans, 2 Burn. Ec. L. 185 (1780). The maxim can have no reference to the law of the National government, since the sources of that law are the Constitution, treaties, and acts of Congress. See Wheaton v. Peters, 8 Pet. 591 (1831). See further Law, Common; Blasphemy; Policy, 2.; Religion Is Not For Lawful Bloodline Native Americans the Forty Eight States unincorporated from Non American British Vatican England of All Religious states non for profits CORPS Unlawfully Birth Certificate Registration Filings

Presented For Education and for Action to Protect Lawful Bloodline Native of Forty Eight States Untied By The Native Treaties , United States Constitution with The British Vatican Elected and all Public Servant and All Public Subcontractors and Non For Profits Corporation Have to Registration with foreign agents registration act of 1938

The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and the name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it." 16th American Jurisprudence 2d, Section 177, late 2nd, Section 256,,,,,,Personal liberty, or the Right to enjoyment of life and liberty, is one of the fundamental or natural Rights, which has been protected by its inclusion as a guarantee in the various constitutions, which is not derived from, or dependent on, the U.S. Constitution, which may not be submitted to a vote and may not depend on the outcome of an election. It is one of the most sacred and valuable Rights, as sacred as the Right to private property...and is regarded as UNALIENABLE." 16 C.J.S., Constitutional Law, Sect.202, p.987.

Lawful, Due process is Constitutional the private American side 1866 civil rights act to protect lawful Americans from their Elected and public Employees,, Judicial process Fraud and treasonous Fraud appone the Court, Constitutional lawfulness court proceeding of a jury of 12, jury nullification,,, Judicial processes Democracy Courts is the defacto of 1871,

Many of our people seem to believe that their state government has jurisdiction to stop the common law Grand Juries. However, the state government only has authority over statutory (ie. state) law, not common law. The common law of England was used to establish the U.S. Constitution, so it existed before it and, thus, it is superior to it. The common law is time immemorial.

The state government did not create the common law, so it has no authority to abolish it or control it, unless we allow ourselves to be tricked to putting common law under statutory law, where it's "their house, their rules." However, if we operate outside the statutory rules by invoking common law, no state government has the authority or jurisdiction to dictate, control or abolish what we do. They only have authority to enforce our decisions.

If the U.S. Supreme Court acknowledged the authority of the common law Grand Jury (U.S. v. Williams), why would the state have authority to counter that opinion? The common law is superior to all statutory law, and we must only invoke it in the right way to have superior standing. We need to stop putting the common law and the Grand Juries underneath their inferior statutory laws. The people (singular AND plural) have the ultimate authority!

Includes all Agency's and Elected and public employees As well

By the great weight of authority it is acknowledged that generally "public officials" are not immune from suit when they allegedly violate the civil rights of citizens, and that a "public official's" defense of immunity is to be sparingly applied in these kinds of cases. James v. Ogilvie, 1970, DC Ill., 310 F. Sup. 661, 663.

All Citizens have the right to a home and personal property, and this property cannot be taxed unless in accordance with the two forms of Constitutional taxation mentioned above." Personal liberty, or the Right to enjoyment of life and liberty, is one of the fundamental or natural Rights, which has been protected by its inclusion as a guarantee in the various constitutions, which is not derived from, or dependent on, the U.S. Constitution, which may not be submitted to a vote and may not depend on the outcome of an election. It is one of the most sacred and valuable Rights, as sacred as the Right to private property... and is regarded as UNALIENABLE." 16 C.J.S., Constitutional Law, Sect.202, p.987.

All government officials and agencies, including all State legislatures, are bound by the Constitution and must NOT create any defacto laws which counter the Constitution: The U.S. Supreme Court, in 1895, ruled unconstitutional a federal law containing income taxes, Bills, statutes and codes with arguments concerning class warfare and the definition of a direct tax. "Herein...Ohio's Doctrine of Governmental Immunity was held unconstitutional and others to numerous to mention." (Civil Rights) (Krause vs Ohio, app 2d 1 L.N.W. 2d 321 1971.) Reich vs State Highway Dept. 336, Mich 617: 194 N.W. 2d 700 1977 Employees of a city or state are not immune from suit under statute relating civil rights for deprivations of rights on ground that officials were acting within the scope of their ground that officials were acting within the Scope of their responsibilities of performing a discretionary act." (Bunch vs Barnett 376 F.S up. 23.) "Title 28 Section 1391, this section makes it possible to bring actions against government officials and agencies in district court outside D.C." (Civil Rights) (Norton vs Mcshane 14 L.Ed. 2d 274.) A suit in detinue or replevin in personam should lie to gain possession of property seized by the state. (Civil Rights) Stephen, Pleading (3rd Am ed) p. 47, 52, 69, 74; Ames Lectures on legal history, p. 64, 71; Wilkins v. Despard, 5 Term Rep- 112; Roberts v. Withered, % Mod. 193, 12 Mod. 92. "The claim and exercise of a constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime." Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d 486, 489.

Bar Card Bastards "Actual Lawyer's or Private attorney general's perfect law, they literally apply what is Statues lawful, rather than practice it. They do not alter it, defraud it or grammatically coerce it - in their favor. The British Accreditation Registry (BAR) is merely a club, a private foreign 'due paying' card carrying membership that practices codes & statutes as 'color of law' and enforces them by intimidation and coercion. Courts are banks and the priests, aka 'judges', are just the banking administrators in black robes acting on behalf of the Vatican to funnel fiat currencies to it, to them and to all the constitutors involved in the deception."

....(ref JN - rev RB)....]

"State officers may be held personally liable for damages based upon actions taken in their official capacities." Hafer v. Melo, 502 U.S. 21 (1991).

The legal right of an individual to decrease or ALTOGETHER AVOID his/her taxes by means which the law permits cannot be doubted" --Gregory v. Helvering, 293 U.S. 465

"The fact is, property is a tree; income is the fruit; labour is a tree; income the fruit; capital, the tree; income the 'fruit.' The fruit, if not consumed (severed) as fast as it ripens, will germinate from the seed... and will produce other trees and grow into more property; but so long as it is fruit merely, and plucked (severed) to eat... it is no tree, and will produce itself no fruit." Waring v. City of Savannah. 60 Ga. 93, 100 (1878.)

The point being made is that the tree (private property, land, wages, salaries, compensation) is NOT taxable, while the "fruit" (or "income" FROM said property or wages) of the tree CAN possibly be taxed, (but only according to constitutional provisions). Tax upon income derived from, say, rental property, CAN be taxed possibly could be considered interest of the investment if one is a registration voter, [I recommend all Rescind their voter registration contact As I have done Filed and on the public and private side] v but ONLY according to the Constitution, because the tax does NOT diminish "tree," the principal, or lessen the value of the person or property. Property taxation diminishes the "tree" itself, (the wealth of the person) thereby creating a possible situation where the tree could disappear because of the tax. YouTube - Theft By Deception Deciphering The Federal Income ... www.youtube.com/watch?v=xWqf96GqMiI Cached

Challenges to Judge: Universal to all cases. A judge who refuses our law is loyal to some other authority. Ask the "Judge" if he/she is a member of the "STATE BAR ASSOCIATION". If so, challenge the "Judge" under 22 USC 611 as a "Foreign Agent". All "Judges" are lawfully required by 28 USC 372 to have an "Oath of Office". Ask the "Judge" if he/she has an "Oath of Office". If yes, accept the "Oath of Office" in "Admiralty Jurisdiction". Now the "Judge" is subject to criminal prosecution and civil litigation for any injury he/she may cause you. If no, the attorney is not a judge and has no lawful authority to proceed. Your State Representative should be informed by "Petition for Impeachment of Judge". Present the facts of the case, the law is not necessary. Have it notarized and send it by Certified Mail. As we remove the unlawful judges, lawful judges will take their place DETENTION WITHOUT A WARRANT When an individual is detained, without warrant and without having committed a crime (traffic infractions are not crimes), the detention is a false arrest and unlawful imprisonment:

ATTORNEY LICENSE FRAUD ATTORNEY'S LICENSE ??? AIN'T NO SUCH THING!!!

Misuse of public funds I provided some factual evidence of ORS 164.125 - Theft of services - 2017 Oregon Revised Statutes www.oregonlaws.org/ors/164.125

The locals kidnap Edward for personal and public payment to the tax payers also stole moneys from Edward

2006 Inspectors General's report On Edward All Charges dropped. Proven the City of Toledo, Newport servants and family members made false allegation against ed, there is so much more

Johnston v. City of Toledo et al 6:2007cv06302 | US District ...
Civil Rights: Other case filed on October 19, 2007 in the Oregon District Court
Ed's Kidnapping 09/11/2013 by Toledo Police Department ...
www.youtube.com/watch?v=nEm5wkwJzEU

This feature is not available right now. Please try again later.

75,000 bail for this including denying medical in jail, Ed's unlawful kidnapping by Ruark's camera part 1
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3UrR7PTqIpc>, Case drop no Evidence against ed, again identity theft

ACLU stated they don't handle case like this letter enclosed

2017 ORS 164.125¹. Theft of services. (1) A person commits the crime of theft of services if: (a) With intent to avoid payment therefor, the person obtains services that are available only for compensation, by force, threat, deception or other means to avoid payment for the services; or. <https://www.oregonlaws.org/ors/164.125>

Whistleblower Protection

Documents noted as PDF require a special plugin. To obtain the free reader for this format, please visit the Adobe web site. whistle

Whistleblowers perform an important service by reporting what they reasonably believe to be evidence of wrongdoing, and should not be subject to, or threatened with, reprisal for doing so. The Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2012 strengthened protection for Federal employees who blow the whistle on waste, fraud, and abuse in government operations. The Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) designated a Whistleblower Protection Ombudsman in accordance with this Act;

however, the Whistleblower Protection Coordination Act changed the title to Whistleblower Protection Coordinator.

Agents of foreign principals

Any agent of a person described in section 611(b)(2) of this title or an entity described in section 611(b)(3) of this title if the agent has engaged in lobbying activities and has registered under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 [2 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.] in connection with the agent's representation of such person or entity.

(June 8, 1938, ch. 327, § 3, 52 Stat. 632; Aug. 7, 1939, ch. 521, § 2, 53 Stat. 1245; Apr. 29, 1942, ch. 263, § 1, 56 Stat. 254; Pub. L. 87-366, § 2, Oct. 4, 1961, 75 Stat. 784; Pub. L. 89-486, § 3, July 4, 1966, 80 Stat. 246; Pub. L. 104-65, § 9(2), (3), Dec. 19, 1995, 109 Stat. 700; Pub. L. 105-166, § 5, Apr. 6, 1998, 112 Stat. 39.) All "public servants," officials, Congressmen, politicians, judges, attorneys, law enforcement officers, States and their various agencies, etc., are the express agents of these foreign principals - see Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938; 22 USC 286 et seq, 263A, 185G, 267J, 611(C) (ii) & (iii); Treasury Delegation Order #91 information how to file and education Whereas : " Failure to file the " Foreign Agents Registration Statement " goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the Court and is a FELONY" pursuant to 18 US 219, 951 -" Failure to file the " Foreign Agents Registration Statement " goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the Court and is a FELONY" pursuant to 18 US 219, 951 - FARA Registration Statement on file, Yes No

Title 22 USC §611, a Public Official is considered a foreign agent. In order to hold public office, the candidate must file a true and complete registration statement with the State Attorney General as a foreign principal.

Public Notice ,Required Facts, ,, Registration number with the 1938 FARA , " Failure to file the " Foreign Agents Registration Statement " goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the Court and is a FELONY" pursuant to 18 US 219, 951 -All "public servants," officials, Congressmen, politicians, judges, attorneys, law enforcement officers, States and their various agencies, etc., are the express agents of these foreign principals - see Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938; 22 USC 286 et seq, 263A, 185G, 267J, 611(C) (ii) & (iii); Treasury Delegation Order #91

This is insertion of public rights The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, is a federal freedom of information law that allows for the full or partial disclosure of previously 7 Days to respond too

FARA Registration Statement on file, Yes No

File you complaint on servant for none registration

Discrimination Complaint against DOJ employee or DOJ funded organization

<https://www.justice.gov/actioncenter/submit-complaint>

All "Judges" are lawfully required by 28 USC 372 to have an "Oath of Office". Ask the "Judge" if he/she has an "Oath of Office". If yes, accept the "Oath of Office" in "Admiralty Jurisdiction". Now the "Judge" is subject to criminal prosecution and civil litigation for any injury he/she may cause you. If no, the attorney is not a judge and has no lawful authority to proceed. Your State Representative should be informed by "Petition for Impeachment of Judge". Present the facts of the case, the law is not necessary. Have it notarized and send it by Certified Mail. As we remove the unlawful judges, lawful judges will take their place

Without Prejudice All Rights Reserved UCC I 207 . I -308 Lawful Bloodline Native American Confidentiality Notice:

I am not an attorney, medical professional or financial adviser and all the exchanges contained in this e mail are for personal use only. This private email message, including any attachment[s] is limited to the sole use of the intended recipient[s] and may contain Privileged and/or Confidential Information. Any and All Political, Private or Public Entities, Federal, State, or Local Corporate Government[s] , et. al. ,and/or Third Party[ies] working in collusion by collecting and/or monitoring My email[s] and collecting these communications Without my Exclusive Permission are Barred from Any and All Unauthorized Review,Use, Disclosure or Distribution. With Explicit Reservation of All My Rights, Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me, Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights & Reserved Rights. It is my hope that the things within this email are a blessing unto every reader without exception, for I desire peaceful co-existence with ALL!

An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no right; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." Norton v Shelby County 118 US 425 p.442 *Confidentiality Notice. *The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination,

Notice: All Rights Reserved. Permission to distribute for non-commercial purposes is hereby granted, in whole or part, provided attribution and a link to this article is included. Commercial distribution without the written permission of the author is prohibited. This Public email message, including any attachment(s) is limited to the sole use of the intended recipient and may contain Privileged and/or Confidential Information. Any and All Political, Private or Public Entities, Federal, State, or Local Corporate Government(s), Municipality(ies), International Organizations, Corporation(s), agent(s), investigator(s), or informant(s), et. al., and/or Third Party(ies) working in collusion by collecting and/or monitoring My email(s),and any other means of spying and collecting these Communications Without my Exclusive Permission are Barred from Any and All Unauthorized Review, Use, Disclosure or Distribution. With Explicit Reservation of All My Rights,Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me. Any omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights or Reserved Rights Notice. * The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized lawful bloodline Americans aka lawful Americans carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch. Anything stated in this email may be limited in the content and is not to be taken out of context.**Wireless Copyright Notice**. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this

It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen from falling into error, it is the function of the citizen to keep the Government from falling into error."

— American Communications Association
v. Douds, 339 U.S. 382, 442 (1950)

UCC 1-201. General definitions

44. "Value". Except as otherwise provided with respect to negotiable instruments and bank collections (sections 3-303, 4-210 and 4-211) a person gives "value" for rights if he acquires them:

(b) As security for or in total or partial satisfaction of a preexisting claim; Usually a person gives value when he is exchanging them for rights he is acquiring as security for that one transaction. Those rights might be in the title to real property, or capacity to sue, or for performance. According to subsection (b), a person (United States) can give value (benefits) for rights (pledge) he (United States) is acquiring from a U.S. citizen, as security for satisfaction of a claim that already exists (national debt). The rights the United States gets from the U.S. citizen secure payment or performance on that preexisting claim the international bankers have against the United States and its sureties. The person giving the value (United States) has supposedly already received a promise of some sort from the U.S. citizen

Clean Hands

Doctrinen. a rule of law that a person coming to court with a lawsuit or petition for a court order must be free from unfair conduct (have "clean hands" or not have done anything wrong) in regard to the subject matter of his/her claim. His/her activities not involved in the legal action can be abominable because they are considered irrelevant. As an affirmative defense (positive response) a defendant might claim the plaintiff (party suing him/her) has a "lack of clean hands" or "violates the clean hands doctrine" because the plaintiff has misled the defendant or has done something wrong regarding the matter under consideration. Example: A former partner sues on a claim that he was owed money on a consulting contract with the partnership when he left, but the defense states that the plaintiff (party suing) has tried to get customers from the partnership by spreading untrue stories about the remaining partner's business practices."We have previously held that under § 1983 the qualified immunity defense is inapplicable when never an official "does an affirmative act, participates in another's affirmative acts, or omits to perform an act which he is legally required to do that causes the deprivation [of an individual's rights]." Johnson v. Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978) (emphasis added). Under § 1983 when an official fails to take an action that he has a clearly established duty to take and that failure is a foreseeable contributing factor to the violation of a plaintiff's constitutional rights, the defense is similarly unavailable. Id. We see no reason that same rule should not apply in Bivens cases. See Butz v. Economou, 438 U.S. 478, 504, 98 S.Ct. 2894, 2909, 57 L.Ed.2d 895 (1978) (for purposes of immunity analysis no distinction should be drawn between suits brought against state officials under § 1983 and suits brought under the Constitution against federal officials); Lonneker Farms, Inc. v. Klobucker, 804 F.2d 1096, 1097 (9th Cir. 1986) (same)." ALEXANDER V. PERRILL United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit. 916 F.2d 1392 (9th Cir. 1990)

Lawful bloodline native Given Name Edward Malone Johnston II

Edward M Johnston Has Filed living rights man in State of Oregon secretary office including this filed with the senate and house as you can see, Not one of the Elected and Public servants have disagree with the facts this public notice published for three weeks in the newspapers , public notice boards and museums please read <https://olis.leg.state.or.us/liz/2015R1/Downloads/CommitteeMeetingDocument/72439>
olis.leg.state.or.us

Autograph

Seal

