



## CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

### Intelligence Newsletter

*"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.*

**September - October, 1994**

### **Thinking Beyond The Crime Bill**

This writer was recently enroute to a conference in Wisconsin. Sitting beside me in the airplane was a man and his wife returning to home from Albuquerque. In our discussions they mentioned that their daughter was a public defender lawyer in a large city. Our discussions naturally turned to the ills of our country. It seems that this is the most talked-about topic in America today.

The lady quickly volunteered the information that their daughter oftentimes discussed with them the evils of the government. She openly declared that our government has become tyrannical. Even public defenders see the problem!

Of course, the discussion would not have been complete without talking about the infamous Crime Bill. Congress had just then passed it. The lady very quickly insisted that the so-called "assault weapons" were not needed by the citizens and she agreed with the banning of them. When the remarks by the founding fathers were given her regarding the real purpose of the second amendment, that it was to keep a tyrannical government in check, she insisted that times have changed and there is no need for citizens to have those types of weapons. We landed in Wisconsin with her repeatedly being told that she should listen to her daughter who keeps telling them that our government has become tyrannical!

In that story we can see the problem in our country. It is the same problem that has been the downfall of our people many times. It has been the cause of the fall of great civilizations of the past. It is the cause of the fall of Rhodesia and South Africa. It is the cause of the decline and fall of the United States of America. This issue of the Intelligence Newsletter is dedicated to addressing that problem. In a single sentence that problem is the lack of unity among the Celto-Saxon peoples of the world. It is the single most prevalent cause of the weakening of the great American Republic.

The Bible addresses that problem. The root of the problem that causes the lack of unity is self-righteousness. That woman on the plane to Wisconsin was a Methodist. She learned about the evils of those "assault weapons" from her minister. When she learned that from him, (or her!), she then had the inclination to be self-righteous.

The great stories of principle in the Old Testament meant nothing because her minister never reads them. Undoubtedly, her minister even glosses over the passage in Luke where Jesus says this: *"When a strong man armed keepeth his palace, his goods are in peace: But when a stronger than he shall come upon him, and overcome him, he taketh from him all his armour wherein he trusted, and divideth his spoils. He that is not with me is against me: and he that gathereth not with me scattereth."* ([Luke 11:23](#)) Unless we gather together with Him it is tantamount to being against Jesus.

The 103rd Congress is history and it will be known as the most evil, corrupt congress known to our country. Neither the Republicans nor Democrats had unity on anything. Each

one of them had his own agenda, which of course was his own personal career and fortune. Contrary to the thought that is spoon-fed us which states that differences of opinion (lack of unity) is healthy, unless we gather together in unity we will always do what Jesus said, "...he that gathereth not with me scattereth."

There were forty-six Republicans in the House of Representatives who voted for the Crime Bill. The final count was 235 to 195. Even with the forty-six Republicans who changed sides, the final vote still shows nearly a 50-50 split in thinking. We can evaluate every issue in America today and we will see a lack of unity on a 50-50 split. There is no national mandate from the people, through their Congressmen, on any issue. We are a ship at sea without a rudder or a compass to steer the course.

In the Senate it was much the same. Senator Bob Dole of Kansas tried to impress the people of his state by trying various tactics, which would defeat the bill in the Senate. Yet, if you will remember, he was one of only three men on the Senate floor when the Brady Bill was passed with a VOICE vote!

There is much, much more to the Crime Bill of 1994 than to stamp out crime! Incidents in the House and Senate indicate that another, more compelling, force was driving them.



**John Dingell**

John Dingell was a member of the Board of Directors of the [National Rifle Association](#). He is also a member of the United States House of Representatives. He resigned his post on the Board of Directors of the NRA and voted for the Crime Bill, along with the ban on "assault weapons." He earlier even made the statement that the bill was a violation of the second amendment and classified it as obnoxious. Yet, he quit his Board of Directors post of the NRA and voted FOR it!

For months, the news media had proposed that the main thrust of the Crime Bill was to build more prisons to incarcerate those classed as criminals as a result of the changes in definition in crime. Senator Orin Hatch of Utah informed the public that, "Not one dime in the bill the President supports must be spent on building one prison cell." The public was told that over eight billion dollars would be spent on prisons. Senator Hatch stated, "Yet, \$1.8 billion of that funding is simply to reimburse states for costs associated with incarceration of criminal aliens, funding that will go overwhelmingly to only a handful of states in any event. The remaining \$6.5 billion is to go to "Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing Grants." The money is to go to free existing prison space for early release- type programs, half-way houses and other alternatives, rehabilitation, drug and sex offender treatment, job training, and that sort of effort. Hatch concluded by saying, "In order to satisfy the liberal social spending interests in his party, the President has endorsed squandering billions of dollars in scarce crime-fighting resources to be spent, instead, on liberal social spending pork."

No, there is a much more serious problem than the control of crime that comes out of that bill. First, it federalizes many crimes that are none of their business. Most crimes are state functions and the federal government has no business being involved in them. This is simply another tactic to remove the states as sovereign entities as a part of the Republic and reduce them to simple "regions" within a federal government.

It permits, or mandates, that the federal government will move into the state and local law enforcement agencies. Thus, the slightest infraction of a local ordinance or state law will now become a matter for the Department of Justice to handle. However, they stepped on

their own foot regarding the financial ability to accomplish this. The director of the FBI, Louis Freeh, made the public statement that the money to fund the 100,000 new police officers the bill provides for at the local level was to be taken from the FBI and the DEA! Rest assured that there will be money swapping from other funds to keep these two organizations going and growing!

From the broader aspect, we must consider what the real motive for the Crime Bill is. The outlawing of the 19 so-called assault weapons gives a clue. Another clue is the development of a federal police task force system in the most remote regions of the country. This can only mean the remote sections of the West. There is no other section of the country where the population is downward of three or four people to the square mile!

The Western states provide training grounds for the unorganized militia from all parts of the country. If the United States is to merge into a world order means must be developed to counter the unorganized militia. These militia units are being organized often. They are Constitutionally legal and secured by the Constitution as well as the Declaration of Independence.

A militia is comprised of local men dedicated to the preservation of the Constitution. These men will be equipped with weapons of their choice, availability and ability to purchase. There is no definition of an "assault weapon" (other than the 19 listed). The determination of an assault weapon will be made by the officer in the field. That means, in reality, that any weapon, even an old break-down single shot shotgun is an assault weapon if it is in the hands of a militiaman. The militias that were organized as the need for the Revolutionary War became apparent used just such guns as "assault weapons!"

In regard to the militia, we want to include an interesting article by the editor of a small newspaper here in the West. The title is "Why Did Our Forefathers Fight?"

"There seems to be two extreme views in the Patriot Camp today. I call these the Pacifistic Defeatist view and the Linda Thompson War Hawk View. The Defeatists hold that armed defense of the Constitution is impossible, stating 'It would be shotguns against attack helicopters and missiles.' They have basically told the government 'If you use violence against us, we'll lay down like sheep in the slaughter, but until then we'll use legal devices against you.' That is an open invitation to tyranny and is not consistent with the spirit of the Constitution or the Declaration of Independence."

"The Linda Thompson War Hawk View holds that all is lost unless we precipitate a contest of arms. In fact, Linda Thompson, claiming to be 'Acting Adjutant of the Unorganized Militia' is calling on armed supporters 'in uniform' to march on Washington on September 9th. All this while we are actually moving forward with legal and peaceful actions that are helping to rebuild our Constitutional Republic 'line upon line, precept upon precept.' Linda Thompson, in a rash move to get 'the whole ball of wax,' is willing to plunge us into a bloody war."

"Because we have claimed a heritage which stretches back to our founding fathers and because we recognize our authority as having first been recognized and enforced by these visionary leaders, it is to them we must now turn to for counsel."

"Many people have the impression that in 1775, our founding fathers suddenly decided that enough was enough and decided to fight. In fact, the debate began in earnest in 1765 when men like James Otis and Samuel Adams started opposing the actions of the British King. Long before the 'shot heard around the world' was fired on the Lexington Green, men such as Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Jefferson had made their choice to fight for

freedom. While every effort was made to reach a peaceful conclusion, the men of '75 had already decided when and why they would have to fight."

"Thomas Jefferson summed it up well in speaking to us about the 2nd Amendment, 'Men will not appreciate the 2nd Amendment until they have to fight for it.' It is no coincidence that in April of 1775 when General Gage's 'Redcoats' were marching to Lexington and Concord 'to seize all powder, shot, cannon and muskets' held by the 'illegal' citizen's militias (the Minutemen) there was no turning back. Even before there was a lot of talk about independence, when the majority of Americans still held strong affections for the mother country, the revolution was underway."

"The minutemen were not a centralized national militia. That title was descriptive of the fact that militia members could act 'in a minute' to repel an enemy. These militias were created primarily by private individuals. Some were organized around a town, such as the militia of Lexington and Concord, some around a person, such as Franklin's Associates, which was organized in 1745 to protect Pennsylvania from the French and Indians and in 1774 gave birth to the first Philadelphia Cavalry Troop. There were literally dozens of such militias and hundreds of smaller armed groups all of which had different reasons for their founding but all had one similar ideal as a reference; the only sure defense for a people is that the people themselves should be armed. In *Federalist* #46, Madison explains that the chief protection we have against tyranny is to be armed."

"For the Minutemen, this was not a light matter. Many lost their fortunes and, worse, their lives in the bloody war. When the government moved to disarm the people, the only choices were to fight and hope to win or surrender and lose all. The minutemen could not, with a clear conscience, throw away the freedoms won by their own forefathers."

"You must remember that the men who came to America were seeking freedom and knew that even before the Bill of Rights was written, it existed as a powerful unwritten law. It is a testament to our forefathers that they understood their rights, as given by God, even without a 'written Bill of Rights while we, with such a list of rights encoded in our law do not seem to clearly understand them!"

"Our forefathers had old muskets, pistols and, in 1775, around 8 small-caliber cannons with which to fight the huge warships. The well-trained and then-modernly-equipped Red-Coats had large and plentiful cannons. For the first three years, with a few local successes, the hodgepodge patriots were hard-pressed just to survive. Bad odds were not a part of the calculations our forefathers made as many said along with Nathaniel Hale, who was murdered by the British, 'I regret that I only have one life to give for my country' and Patrick Henry who said, 'As for me, give me liberty or give me death!' "

"The Continental Army evolved essentially out of a tossing together of small groups and militias. It was an outgrowth of a common effort to repel the foe and did not take shape until well into the initial stages of the battle. That was the problem the British had in quelling the Patriots, they could knock out one force or militia only to have another one crop up. There was no 'capitol' or headquarters that they could destroy to eliminate the Patriots."

"Because the various parts were guided by a common principle, and therefore worked cohesively, there was no need for a formal 'central' authority. It was not until the battle tipped in the Patriot's favor that a real centralized 'army' was created under George Washington's leadership. By the time this occurred, even though the Continental Army went through such hard times as Valley Forge, there was no real danger of the British being capable of totally destroying the American Army; it was too big."

"While our forefathers worked feverishly to prevent any need for war, they quietly and deliberately armed themselves against that day. Why did they not create a `national militia?' If you recall in Israel during the days of the judges when a war was on, there was a general call for `men who were skilled in war' to come to fight."

"Our founding fathers created localized militias or even smaller groups of `men who could shoot straight and duck.' Many groups, surprisingly, were organized in churches. Pastors quietly told the men to arm themselves and learn to be `skilled in war.' There was no formal organization, only a call to be prepared. That is why many congregations were recorded to have marched off to war when the fighting began."

"As long as they retained their means of self-defense, they were not calling for war. The legal battle raged in the courts and parliament while protests and pamphlets were used to expose the enemy. When General Gage moved against one small groups of citizens to take away their means of self-defense, the whole country sprang to life and within a week the British were facing a formidable array of militias and small bands of angry Americans."

"I shudder to think that were Patrick Henry, Nathaniel Hale and George Wahington alive today they would accuse us of the basest form of cowardice and treason and demand we account for the freedom they won for us."

"When the men at Waco defended themselves against the tyrant's boot, we were all caught napping with our heads on our ammo boxes. As the old saying goes, `You fool me once, shame on you, you fool me twice, shame on me.'"

"Even now, General Gage's ghost rides with Janet Reno, Bill Clinton and the goons of the Waco Massacre, but like the Boston Massacre, let that give us pause and let us send out a warning far and wide `The Red Coats are coming!'" End of the article. (*News Scope*, Kross Information Service, 205-A W. Santa Fe, Grants, NM. \$30/yr.)

Note that the writer stated: "There was no Capitol or headquarters that they could destroy to eliminate the Patriots. Because the various parts were guided by a common principle, and therefore worked cohesively, there was no need for a formal central authority." That is called unity. You know that our forefathers didn't agree on everything. There were differences of opinion. They went to different churches but they were of a single mind when it came to defining the enemy and what had to be done about it. Note also that these militias were organized from the consideration of their being defensive. They also recognized that when the time came the best defense is a good offense. WE MUST LEARN UNITY!

We wonder if the author realized how closely his quote "The Red Coats are coming!" parallels the problem of today. We know that the New World Order brainchild of the Anglo-American elite is still attempting to re-gather the United States back to England. Together they are going forth to conquer the world. This concept started immediately after the Revolutionary War but it wasn't openly discussed and publicly described until Cecil Rhodes and his partner in the U.S., Andrew Carnegie, wrote about their plans. That effort has continued all these years. George Bush talked openly about it and he is a fourth cousin to Queen Elizabeth. Bill Clinton is carrying forward and he was a Rhodes Scholar. His relationship between being a Rhodes Scholar and Communism is no accident. All of them, from the very beginning have used Communism as a dialectical tool!

To understand why the United States is the world's policeman, why we hear so much about foreign troops on American soil, why we hear of cooperative maneuvers between U.S. and Russian troops, we need to read a very well written and concise article by Norman

Franz, Senior Staff Economist, FAMC. His article is found in the *Monetary and Economic Review* magazine for February, 1994. His organization can be contacted at 1-800-325-8919.

"During the Iraq war, humanity witnessed the public announcement of the insiders' age-old intent to create a one world government that they affectionately call the 'New World Order.' The fact that this announcement was made from the plains of Shinar in Iraq reveals the same Babylonian roots that were present when the leaders of the ancient city of Babel (Babylon) announced. 'Come let us build for ourselves a city and a tower whose top will reach into heaven, and let us make for ourselves a name; lest we be scattered abroad over the face of the whole earth,' ([Genesis 11:4](#)). Ancient Babel was the first attempt to establish a New World Order, and that same spirit is at work among financial and political leaders today."

"The Iraq war also marked a renewed effort on the part of insiders to implement their thirty-year-old plan for creating a New World Army under UN command. Most Americans do not realize that this plan was presented to the UN by President John F. Kennedy on September 25, 1961, and now serves as official U.S. policy ([public Law 87-297](#))." (It is important to realize that all presidents from Kennedy to Clinton, Democrat and Republican alike, have continued in the spirit of that speech and the public law 87-287. -Ed.)

"Kennedy called it 'Freedom From War: The United States Plan for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World' (State Department Publication 7277). Created by one world strategists in Dean Rusk's (CFR) State Department and Robert McNamara's (CFR) Defense Department, 'Freedom From War' is a three stage plan that outlines global military maneuvers designed to transfer the military power of sovereign nations, including the U.S. and Russia, over to UN control."

"**Stage I Maneuvers** declare that 'Measures would be taken to develop and strengthen UN arrangements for arbitration, for development of international law, and for the establishment, in Stage II, of a permanent UN Peace Force.' These measures are manifesting as insider created internal strife that erupts into economic, political and religious chaos and civil war that the UN is then called on to arbitrate. Enforcement of UN arbitration by military force is made possible in Stage II."

"**Stage II Maneuvers**, the stage we are in now, calls for the 'Establishment of a permanent international peace force within the UN.' It goes on to say that 'The UN Peace Force shall be established and progressively strengthened... to the end that the UN can effectively, in Stage III, deter or suppress any threat or use of force in violation of the purposes and principles of the UN.' In plain English, they plan to disarm sovereign nations while simultaneously building up UN forces with the armaments from those nations."

"Phase II was officially approved by the U.S. on September 21, 1992 when then President and insider George Bush addressed the UN, saying, 'I welcome the Secretary-General's call for a new agenda to strengthen the United Nations' ability to prevent, contain and resolve conflict across the globe...and...to best employ our considerable life, logistics, communications and intelligence capabilities.'"

"We witnessed America's actual entry into Stage II on May 4, 1993, when President and insider Bill Clinton transferred command of U.S. troops in Somalia over to Cevik Bir, a UN officer from Turkey. This was the first time in American history that U.S. troops have ever been put under foreign command, and it marked the beginning of the transfer of U.S. military power over to the UN."



Cevik Bir

**"America's Facilitation of UN Troops.** In his 1992 UN speech, Mr. Bush also proposed that, 'The United States is prepared to make available our bases and facilities for multinational training and field exercises. One such base nearby, with facilities, is Fort Dix.' This is very much in line with additional Stage II Maneuvers that state, 'Agreed military bases and facilities, wherever they are located, shall be dismantled or converted to peaceful (UN) uses.'"

"As a result, we have seen the U.S. military bases close like retail stores in Los Angeles. Unfortunately, most Americans are oblivious to the fact that most of them are being converted to bases for UN multinational forces. Proof of this came during a recent FAMC conference, when attending military personnel from the local military base testified that the UN troops present at the base were being referred to as 'international students.' (For UN troop deployment in the U.S., see 'One World Government,' March 1993 MER)."

"President Bill Clinton is in full agreement and very much involved with the transfer. Not only has he transferred military command of U.S. troops over to foreign control, but in an August 5, 1993 article in the *Washington Post*, Barton Gellman broke the story on globalist Clinton's '[Presidential Decision Directive 13](#)' (PDD 13) 'endorses the United Nations as ersatz world policeman and commits Washington to support multinational peacemaking and peacekeeping operations 'politically, militarily and financially.'" (We know that Clinton really despises the U.S. military since he stated that years ago. The powers that be therefore made an excellent choice for president in Clinton because he wouldn't have any compunctions in doing that to our military. -Ed.)

"[Under PDD 13](#), the U.S. becomes the promoter, trainer and bill payer of Stage II maneuvers designed to establish a military command structure for the UN. This was evident in President Clinton's September 27, 1993 Address to the UN when he said, 'The United Nations must have the technical means to run a modern world-class peacekeeping operation. We support the creation of a genuine UN peacekeeping headquarters with a planning staff, with access to timely intelligence, with a logistics unit that can be deployed on a moments' notice, and a modern operations center with global communications.' The U.S. military has lost its sovereignty and is now the rubber stamp for UN policy enforcement."

**"Here Come the Russians.** We know that U.S. troops are being handed over to the UN in foreign countries, but who makes up the UN troops that are currently here in the U.S.? The answer is easy. It's the Russians, and here's how it works."

"The UN Under Secretary-General for Political and Security Affairs has always been in command of UN military forces and, with one exception, the Under Secretary-General has always been a Russian. Listed below is the Historic register of UN military commanders.

1946-1949 Arkady Sobolev (USSR)

1949-1053 Konstatin Zinchenko(USSR)

1953-1954 Ilya Tchernychev (USSR)  
1954-1957 Dragoslav Protitch (Yugoslavia)  
1958-1960 Anatoly Dobrynin (USSR)  
1960-1962 Georgy Arkadev (USSR)  
1962-1963 E.D. Kiselev (USSR)  
1963-1965 V.P. Suslov (USSR)  
1965-1968 Alexei Nesterenko (USSR)  
1968-1973 Leonid N. Kutakov (USSR)  
1973-1978 Arkady Shevchencko(USSR)  
1978-1981 Mikhail D. Sytenko (USSR)  
1981-1986 Viacheslav Ustinov (USSR)  
1987-1992 Vasiliy S. Safonchuk (USSR)  
1992- Vladimir Petrovsky (Russia)

"In the September issue of MER, we reported that former Russian president Mikhail Gorbachev's Foundation, located at the Presidio in San Francisco, was creating a task force that would oversee the shut-down of U.S. military bases. Their strategy becomes very clear when you consider that the current UN commander is Vladimir Petrovsky, Gorbachev's former Deputy Foreign Minister."

"Add to this the fact that the new U.S. Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, General John Shalikashvili, is a native of Russia whose accent is so heavy that sometimes you can hardly understand him. He's not an American; he's a globalist serving Russian interests. His father was a member of Hitler's SS Troops and his grandfather was a Russian general."

"The plot thickened when, on September 8, 1993, former Defense Secretary Les Aspin and his Russian counterpart Pavel Grachev signed agreements that, among other things, would:

1. Set up joint military exercises between the two nations' navies and other armed services;
2. In 1994, implement exchanges of enlisted personnel and officers, and would include reciprocating arrangements for military members of the two nations to attend the defense academies of the other nation."



**Gen. John Shalikashvili**

"In these actions, one can see the attempt to merge U.S. and Russian forces into the UN's New World Army, and both will be used to police each other's citizenry."

"Most people think that Russia is disarming, but senator Ted Stevens (R-Alaska) has presented new evidence that the Russian military is building new road-mobile and fixed-site, land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs) armed with multiple re-entry vehicles (MIRVs). The irony of it all is that they are financing it with U.S. tax dollars from

the Nunn-Lugar aid program that is supposed to provide for Russian defense conversions and nuclear weapons reductions."

**"Stage III Maneuvers state that** `...the progressively controlled disarmament would proceed to a point where NO STATE would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened UN Peace Forces.' This means that Stage II transfer of military will continue until no country, including the U.S. and Russia, has the military power to successfully make war against the UN. At this point we would have the indomitable New World Army with complete dictatorial control over the entire world."

"The *Seattle Post Intelligencer* reported on February 8, 1994, that \$64 million of the 1994 Military Budget is appropriated to replace old World War II barracks at Fort Lewis, in the Seattle area. Barracks are to be used for housing a 4,500- man armored brigade from Europe. A local Seattle radio station identified the troops as British and Scottish."

**"The Beast.** We must remember that the financial and political elite's lust for money, power and control is at the heart of everything the New World Order does. Therefore, it can be reasonably assumed that this one world government will not be one of benevolence, but one like a beast."

"According to the prophet Daniel, the last man-made government is mounting each day, and there really remains little doubt of it. Therefore, it is safe to conclude that, at some point in time, the man anti-Christ will most likely take over the leadership of the UN." (We will discuss this point shortly.-Ed.)

"The office of Secretary-General is the leadership position at the UN, and it is currently held by Boutros Boutros-Ghali of Egypt. According to people on his staff, he is obsessed with trying to establish a standing UN army and the management of current UN military engagements."



**Boutros-Ghali**

"His attitude concerning his role as UN leader was reported in the December 17, 1992 *Wall Street Journal*: `Going into a recent meeting on the Somalia crisis, Secretary of State Lawrence Eagleberger put his arm around UN chief Boutros Boutros-Ghali, calling him *effendi*, an Egyptian term for sir. No, said the Egyptian diplomat, call me *pasha*, a more exalted term, meaning lord." End of the article from the *Monetary & Economic Review*.

It has become most obvious that [public law 87-297](#) and State Department Document 7277, which we have read about for many years is now coming into final fruition. It is also very obvious that the number of traitors in Congress just about includes all of them. The American people sense this and are now talking very seriously about removing them all and starting over again.

It is this writer's opinion that the greatest double-cross that has ever been perpetrated on the Western nations is about to take place. Notice that someone or some group was able to assure that it would be Russia that would provide the leadership for the UN military force. At the time of the Korean conflict, the first time that the United States was fighting a UN police action, we could not understand why a Russian General would be in control. We were deep in the middle of a "cold war" with Russia at the time. We were only concerned about the Communist USSR some day declaring war on the United States. Then we learned that the same financial power created Communist Russia, Nazi Germany and the United States

war machine of World War II. This has been discussed in more detail in past "Lessons in History" of the *Intelligence Newsletter*.

Then, within a very short time, and in a manner as though it was on queue from a higher power, the USSR fell and we were told that communism is dead. For our people to believe that communism is dead is like believing in the tooth fairy!

A very similar situation occurred following World War II when the world watched the British Empire collapse. Starting with India, the Empire came apart, one colony after another, until it was dismantled and there was hardly a peep out of the world community. Certainly, there was no UN action to keep it intact. Doesn't it seem strange that the Anglo American elite is actually in control of the [United Nations](#)? We are sending troops to one brush fire after another under the control of the UN but there was no support for the fall of the British Colonial Empire. However, it is obvious that the United States and England are currently very much in control, at least outwardly, of the UN police actions, including the directing of the Russian military forces.

The UN, outwardly being controlled by the United States and England, passed a resolution in 1957 declaring Zionism, the controlling faction of Israel, to be "A form of racism and racial discrimination." Nothing was ever done about that resolution and it was finally rescinded in 1992 as a result of Zionist pressure in the United States. Compare that total lack of enforcement of a UN resolution to that of the Iraqi conflicts, Somalia, the Serbian-Moslem conflict, Haiti, Panama, etc.

These are just a few important points to consider to understand what is taking place behind the scenes. Who, or what, is it that is actually pulling the strings?

It is the money behind the world-wide Zionist movement. Zionists from the Ashkenazi branch of Judaism, along with their fellow travelers, possess nearly all of the world's money supply. We think of the Bank of England and the [Federal Reserve](#) as controlling the world's money market. This is not true and the fall of the British Empire proves the point.

We discussed the change in the control of the world's money and the manner in which money is made in a past history lesson. Briefly, the change started to take place around the turn of the century and all of the wars of this century were brought about by this new controlling faction. They don't make money by family type corporations and banks such as Henry Ford, the Dodge family, Carnegie Steel, J.P. Morgan banks, etc. The old system considered production and long-term investment and growth as the means of accruing a fortune. But now money is made with money for the sake of money. The new system is manifested by the actions of the Derivatives stock market, the Bosky stock market buy-out scheme, the Milliken affair. These actions were actually illegal but they were able to succeed in destroying old time family corporations.

It was probably Anchel Meyer Rothschild who started the system. Even though he and his family were accepted by the Bank of England and the Federal Reserve, they and other Ashkenazi families like them pulled away. Now a new colonial system is in place wherein corporations are moving into third and fourth-world countries and setting up shop while leaving each country ostensibly in control of their own nation. However, it is to be all under the control of the UN and it won't be England and the United States pulling the strings behind the scenes. It is the world-wide Zionist Movement who believe that they will be in total command. It is a new colonial system which is much more extensive than the old system. It will be much more brutal also.

In a document called the Nuturei Karta (Guardians of the City), written by devout orthodox Jews, we extract the following quotes: "Zionism is a radical break with the Jewish

past and Jewish tradition, a perversion of the true nature of the Jewish people and a most dangerous development in Jewish history...Judaism and Zionism are completely incompatible and mutually exclusive. If you are a good Jew, you cannot be a Zionist. If you are a Zionist, you cannot be a good Jew...The birth of the Zionist State is to be deplored, a state which is known to be conceived in atheism, based on materialism, nurtured by anti-semitism, led by Marxism, ruled by chauvinism, and trusting in militarism." End of quote.

We must consider that Russia is being allowed, and even encouraged with money, to continue to build their strategic capabilities. We must consider that Gorbachev is in the Presidio developing the plans for the dismantling of America's military might. We must consider that Russia and the United States military are conducting maneuvers together in such a manner as to allow the Russians to know intimately our tactics for warfare. We must consider that we have allowed the Russian military to come into the United States to learn of our internal capabilities and to better understand the geography of our land mass. Who needs KGB agents gumshoeing in the dark stealing our secrets?

We must also consider that it was Zionism that created the Atheistic Communist Russia in 1917. It was the Zionist faction of Wall Street money that kept Russia afloat and developed it into the monster that it is.

Russia is that monster that is being preserved and even expanded by the real power behind the UN that is to be used as the "hammer" for the final *coup de grace* if it is needed.

The Zionist Utopia is the revolutionary. All Americans who intend to stand up against those revolutionaries can properly be termed the counter-revolutionaries. It would appear logical to us here at *Christian Crusade for Truth* that those in government who understand this, along with those in the military and the various police departments who likewise understand, would encourage and quietly support any efforts to develop the counter-revolutionary capability. It would seem that the myriad of local militias such as were developed before the Revolutionary War would be the centerpiece of the counter-revolutionary movement.

The American people have finally become aware of this terrible threat to our nation. More and more newspapers all over the country are now writing editorials such as the article included at the first of this issue. The beginning thrust to regain our constitutional system are the numerous counties and state governments which are declaring state sovereignty. Militias are forming in many communities and others are being contemplated.

This is not going unnoticed by the New World Order advocates in Washington. Just as they used the Constitution to supposedly allow the UN Treaty to take authority over the Constitution, they are now using the same technique to attempt to quash these efforts. On August 30, 1994, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), FBI, BLM and the Forest Service met at some undisclosed location in Nevada to discuss methods and capabilities to destroy these county and state sovereignty efforts. A memorandum, written by a Forest Service Ranger stated this: "The purpose of this meeting was to inform the agencies that the 'States Rights' movement has been made a priority issue for the U.S. Attorney's office, as well as the Department of Justice. In our discussions on August 30th, the request for a declaratory judgment was discussed as the preferred action to take to stop the State's Rights movement. The U.S. Attorneys Office and the U.S. Department of Justice recognize this, not as an isolated incident, but a national problem." End of quote.

It is obvious that this ground swell of Americans is bothering the internationalists who have decided to relinquish our national sovereignty to a world government. So, to counter this federal action, the citizens of that part of Nevada who are involved have filed a suit in

Federal Court using the services of the Individual Rights Foundation with the active attorney being John W. Howard of The Foundation, based in Los Angeles.

This will be a very interesting court action. In all probability the federal government will prevail in court as is usually the case in such matters. But either way, win or lose, the citizens of the country will win in that more Americans will be awakened to the enormous threat that our country is facing. Even if the citizens of Nevada win the court case, the federal government probably won't abide by the judgement. Again, this will send a message to the people.

In several lessons in history we showed the life style, social customs, laws and religion of England prior to the invasion by William the Conqueror from France. We then showed what happened after the invasion in 1066 and the tremendous change in these same functions. The citizens of England enjoyed freedom and liberty before 1066. They owned their land in allodium, which means owned it completely without payment of taxes to anyone. After William the Conqueror all that changed because they changed to a land of laws and regulations. They owned their land in fief which means they had to pay taxes to William. They were deeply in debt as a nation because of the system of tax and spend with the myriad of regulations enforcing the system. This came about because William the Conqueror brought with him the Jewish moneylenders from Roen France. They were his advisors. They collected the taxes. They developed the new projects on which to spend money. It was they who encouraged William to confiscate private property and make it the government's property.

It was these conditions that led to the [Magna Carta](#), a mere 175 years later. It was in 1215 that the people of England, led by the leaders of the church, who forced King John, a descendent of William, to sign the [Magna Carta](#).

Today, our country is forced to endure a very similar situation. This time it is the atheistic Zionist system, which was so well defined by the Neturei Karta quoted earlier. We, in the United States are enduring the same type of conditions that Saxon England had forced upon them after 1066. It has also taken about 175 years here in the United States for the conditions to be similar.

William the Conqueror had to develop a special technique to accomplish his mission. If he was too harsh, the people would rebel. Here, too, the idea is to give a lot of social goodies to tranquilize the population. But they always get greedy.

In closing, we will include a poem, written in 1100 A.D., which depicts how William the Conqueror did it. You will notice the similarities:

***"MY SON," said the Norman Baron, "I am dying, and you will be heir  
To all the broad acres in England that William gave me for my share  
When we conquered the Saxon at Hastings, and a nice little handful it is.  
But before you go over to rule it I want you to understand this:—***

***"The Saxon is not like us Normans, His manners are not so polite.  
But he never means anything serious till he talks about justice and right.  
When he stands like an ox in the furrow with his sullen set eyes on your own,***

***And grumbles, 'This isn't fair dealings,' my son, leave the Saxon alone.***

***"You can horsewhip your Gascony archers, or torture your Picardy spears,  
But don't try that game on the Saxon; you'll have the whole brood round your ears.***

***From the richest old Thane in the county to the poorest chained serf in the field,***

***They'll be at you and on you like hornets, and, if you are wise, you will yield.***

***"But first you must master their language, their dialect, proverbs and songs.***

***Don't trust any clerk to interpret when they come with the tale of their wrongs.***

***Let them know that you know what they're saying; let them feel that you know what to say.***

***Yes, even when you want to go hunting, hear 'em out if it takes you all day.***

***"They'll drink every hour of the daylight and poach every hour of the dark,***

***It's the sport not the rabbits they're after (we've plenty of game in the park).***

***Don't hang them or cut off their fingers. That's wasteful as well as unkind,***

***For a hard-bitten, South-country poacher makes the best man-at-arms you can find.***

***"Appear with your wife and the children at their weddings and funerals and feasts.***

***Be polite but not friendly to Bishops; be good to all poor parish priests.***

***Say 'we,' 'us' and 'ours' when you're talking instead of 'you fellows' and 'I.'***

***Don't ride over seeds; keep your temper; and never you tell 'em a lie!"***