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## Project Purpose

The purpose of the Road and Transit Cost Study is to understand:

1. The direct cost to provide and use different parts of Utah's transportation system;
2. The cost effectiveness of different parts of the system based on usage; and
3. Sources of funding for each part of the system.

## Study Extent

## Road

- Statewide
-By Ownership
- State
- Local
- By Geography
- Urban (MPOs)
- Rural


## Transit

- UTA Service Area
-By Mode
- Light Rail
- Commuter Rail
- Bus
- Commuter Bus
- Demand Response
- Vanpool

All costs are annual, averaged over 2015-2019

# Preliminary Research \& Literature Review 

The study began with an extensive literature review and research to find similar studies that could guide methodology decisions

## Preliminary Research \& Literature Review

-There was no exactly comparable study.

- Several studies used historical infrastructure investment to get a "fully allocated" cost.
-Different reference units (denominators) emphasize different aspects of cost:
- Per Capita - compares magnitude of costs and investment
- Vehicle-miles - reflect a traffic perspective
- Passenger-miles - reflect a mobility perspective
- Per-trip-reflects an access perspective that gives equal value to automobile, transit, cycling, walking, and telecommuting


## Costing Methods

1. Ongoing Annual Costs
2. Fully Allocated Cost

## Ongoing Annual Cost



Annual Expenditures
Agency maintenance, operations, admin costs

Additional private vehicle costs

## Fully Allocated Cost



Capital Value
Replacement
value today, annualized with a discount rate


Annual Expenditures
Agency maintenance, operations, admin costs

Additional private vehicle costs

## Costing Methods

Fully Allocated Costs
Ongoing Annual Costs


Vs.

The ratio between road and transit costs is effectively unchanged

## Costing Methods

Fully Allocated Costs
Ongoing Annual Costs


Focus will be Ongoing Annual Costs

## Ongoing Annual Expenditures

## Road

-UDOT Spending
-Local Spending

- Private Spending
(Vehicle ownership costs)

Transit

- UTA Spending
- Portion of road cost based on bus/ van VMT


## Usage Statistics

## Road

-VMT estimates

- Average trip lengths
-Vehicle occupancy
-Utah population estimates


## Transit

-Transit trip counts

- Trip lengths
-UTA service area population estimate


## Primary:

## Data Sources

Expenditures
-2019 UTP Financial Model - IRS Vehicle Costs

Other:

- NTD Modal Spending Breakdown


## Road

-Highway Statistics

- Utah Travel Study
- Census
-UDOT \& WFRC Estimates
Transit
- National Transit Database (NTD)
-UTA service area population estimate


## Results: Total Costs

|  | Road Cost | Transit Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 20,141,158,763$ | $\$ 517,725,489$ |
| Per Capita | $\$ 6,470.13$ | $\$ 249.98$ |
| Per Vehicle Trip | $\$ 6.39$ |  |
| Per Person Trip | $\$ 3.00$ | $\$ 11.45$ |
| Per Vehicle Mile | $\$ 0.64$ |  |
| Per Person Mile | $\$ 0.30$ | $\$ 1.41$ |

*Both vehicle- and person-level statistics are used for roads, as both perspectives are often considered in different planning contexts. Vehicle statistics are less meaningful on the transit side for this study's purpose so they are not included.

## Breakdown: Local vs. State Road Costs

|  | State Road Cost <br> Local Road Cost |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 13,251,634,217$ | $\$ 6,889,524,546$ |
| Per Capita | $\$ 4,256.95$ | $\$ 2,213.19$ |
| Per Vehicle Trip | $\$ 6.47$ | $\$ 6.25$ |
| Per Person Trip | $\$ 3.04$ | $\$ 2.93$ |
| Per Vehicle Mile | $\$ 0.65$ | $\$ 0.62$ |
| Per Person Mile | $\$ 0.30$ | $\$ 0.29$ |

## Breakdown: Urban vs. Rural Road Costs

|  | Urban Road Cost <br> $\$ 15,081,632,213$ | Rural Road Cost <br> $\$ 5,059,526,549$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 4,844.81$ | $\$ 1,625.32$ |
| Per Capita | $\$ 5.63$ | $\$ 8.63$ |
| Per Vehicle Trip | $\$ 2.65$ | $\$ 4.05$ |
| Per Person Trip | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.86$ |
| Per Vehicle Mile | $\$ 0.26$ | $\$ 0.41$ |
| Per Person Mile |  |  |

## Breakdown: Transit Modal Costs

|  | Light Rail | Commuter Rail | Bus |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 166,138,264$ | $\$ 119,323,736$ | $\$ 183,524,382$ |
| Per Capita | $\$ 80.22$ | $\$ 57.61$ | $\$ 88.61$ |
| Per Person Trip | $\$ 8.95$ | $\$ 24.53$ | $\$ 9.37$ |
| Per Person Mile | $\$ 1.81$ | $\$ 0.93$ | $\$ 2.13$ |
|  | Commuter Bus | Demand Response | Vanpool |
| Total | $\$ 8,498,621$ | $\$ 183,524,382$ | $\$ 12,580,923$ |
| Per Capita | $\$ 4.10$ | $\$ 12.55$ | $\$ 6.07$ |
| Per Person Trip | $\$ 14.99$ | $\$ 66.76$ | $\$ 10.04$ |
| Per Person Mile | $\$ 0.67$ | $\$ 5.94$ | $\$ 0.28$ |

## Funding Source Analysis



## Funding Sources - 2019



## Funding Source Comparison

Public Revenue Only

Road


## Transit



## Funding Source Comparison

Public Revenue + Personal User Spending

## Road



Transit


## Summary \& Key Takeaways

- Utah invests $25 \times$ more in the road network per resident than in the transit network.
- Transit is approximately $2 x$ to $4 x$ more expensive per trip than road travel, and $2.5 x$ to $5 x$ more expensive per mile.
- Cost efficiency can be improved by reducing costs or increasing marginal usage.
-Private vehicle ownership is the largest cost component of road travel.
-The majority of road travel is funding by user and private costs, while the majority of transit comes from non-user general funds.

