

THE POLAR VORTEX

PART I

THE NARRATIVE

Commentary By
Editor TPATH Dwight Kehoe

Note from TPATH: *At first glance this article will seem too long and too time consuming to read. Please settle back, grab a hot tea or a cool beverage and take some time to read it. We have spent months of time and effort to prepare and present this for you. We promise you won't be disappointed and you will have some powerful talking points for your liberal friends.*

January 14, 2014 ~TPATH~ Alright, it's time to admit it. I'm a cynic. You will have to excuse me for not accepting, without proof, anything people or groups preach without seeing some facts. Especially if a particular group has an agenda aimed at confiscation and distribution of property they don't own or have any right to.

Having a business background in engineering, architecture, mathematics and software design, I may tend to require a bit more, shall we call it, tangible proof, than the average Joe. So when I'm told that my activity is causing the end of the earth and man's existence thereon, you might say that I'm not willing to be herded into some theoretical vortex, without hard and tangible facts.

Despite having to deal with so much the leftists and progressives are relentlessly assaulting us with, I have spent the last several months acquiring data, analyzing it and as we say in mathematics, running the numbers. Nothing one could do or say so clearly unveils preposterous claims as taking those claims to a final product and then examining them.

The information we will present here is accurate and has been checked and rechecked. All of our calculations will be made available to anyone who requests them, but it is highly doubtful anyone from **Al Gore's** circles will want to be anywhere near this article. For certain many of the calculations we did, would pretty much bore the furry pants off a polar bear, but I will try to present them in an interesting way that should keep you fascinated while providing you with facts for that crazy liberal uncle. (*Don't deny it, we all have a crazy lib somewhere in the family.*)

Before we get into numbers, percentages, sea levels and carbon dioxide properties, it seems prudent to share (share, what a nice word!) with you some interesting facts and some of the many misrepresentations we discovered the global warming hoaxers have been using for many years, long before they discovered their new favorite word, **vortex**.

THE POLAR BEAR AND THE ICE SHELF

How many of us and our children have seen the pictures of the forlorn and desperate, cuddly polar bear stranded on an ice berg not much larger than a lily pad, starving to death and hopelessly floating out to sea? The phony story line for that is, because you drive an SUV all the land ice has disappeared and the polar bear is clinging to the last known piece of solid footing.

The truth about that polar bear and all polar bears is that aside from whales and dolphins, they are the most proficient swimmers in the mammal world. In fact they spend more time in the water than do seals, walruses or even penguins. Polar bears have been known to swim over 600 miles. It's more likely that the polar bear in that picture was on that ice flow because it was fun. And, distinctly opposite from what the global warming scammers have reported, the polar bear population has never been larger than it is today.

GLACIERS DO WHAT GRAVITY DEMANDS

The other pictures we are shown are those where the ice from the polar caps is dropping into the sea. We are told that the rate of this loss is so alarming that in several years all this ice will have melted and raised the sea levels which will put homes in Kansas under water. All that needs to be done to keep this hoax going is for you to forget all the prior ominous predictions which have come and gone and just fret over the new ones.

The ***Sierra Club*** which has made some of these videos and pictures available have refused to release information on where or when they were taken. We found out why. They were taken of glaciers which have not melted into the sea but have traveled to the sea. All glaciers, whether it's cold or warm slide their way to sea level. It's sort of what they do. It's called gravity, not global warming. Here's how it works. Over the centuries, snow builds and compacts in the higher elevations of the Polar Regions. The snow packs and as it gets deeper it becomes more dense and massively heavy. They become formations called *glaciers*.

Because these glaciers are as much as two or three miles above sea level, after they become large enough and heavy enough, they start moving downward towards the sea. The move is slow, relentless and unstoppable. There are several massively huge glaciers and many smaller ones, which at all times, all seasons and all temperatures, are heading down from the upper regions to sea level. When they get to the sea what happens? They break off, fall in, and float away. A polar bear may even find one for that rare photo op. The bottom line? Glaciers move downward toward the sea, regardless of how often you exhale CO₂. Mass, weight and gravity move them. Nothing else.

TEACHING YOUR CHILDREN THE EVILS OF CARBON DIOXIDE

During our research we found that *social scientists* are teaching your children that carbon dioxide (Co2) is a toxin and is killing fish and wildlife and destroying the earth. One experiment we found them using is so blatantly illogical it's hard to imagine even those ideologues would have the nerve to teach it. But they do.

Here it is.

They put 100% pure oxygen in one sealed container and put 40% carbon dioxide and 60% oxygen in another. They heat both containers and monitor how long it takes each to cool down once the heat source is removed. Carbon dioxide which is much denser than oxygen will cool more slowly. Of course if they used *cream cheese* in another container it would have cooled even slower. This so called "*teaching aide*" fails to inform the children that carbon dioxide in the air is .04% and about 10,000 times less than in the experiment. It also ignores their main premise and that is, that Co2, a greenhouse gas, blocks heat from escaping the earth which would have to be done under a shield of Co2, not warming it as a heated campfire rock would do in your sleeping bag.

To complete the absurdity of this propaganda it's important to know the reason why Co2 was selected as the producer of global warming. It is actually the only gas or bi-product of living, breathing and industry that can be associated with human activity. Just plain carbon or carbon and chemical bi-products would not serve the purpose because they produced in limited areas of industry. Co2 is the perfect target when you understand that confiscating your money is the objective and has nothing to do with a clean and cooler environment.

Drowning polar bears, sliding glaciers and moronic science class propaganda are the only criteria in which the fools of this world have allowed themselves to be used and misled by leftists, communists and thieves. You may find yourself in a discussion with a caring and concerned citizen environmentalist who may offer one or more of these items as proof, man is heating up the world.

After you explain to them the reality of gravity and polar bear aquatics, and just before they start calling you names and describing what they think of your ancestry, you might try giving them a few of the facts we listed below and see what type of response you get.

This is said, more or less tongue in cheek, because we all know actual facts tend to provoke even more turpitude from the "*true believers*".

BEING WRONG 100% OF THE TIME IS MOOTED BY THE POLAR VORTEX

As you review or print out the data we have researched and the calculations we have provided below, keep in mind that not one, as in none, of the doomsday prognostications have come anywhere near actuality. In fact in almost every case, results are so erroneous it's almost comical. A case in point, as the hoax of all hoaxes is unraveling right under their elitist noses, in a last ditch effort to keep their money

grubbing hands in our pockets, the **New Polar Vortex**, the savior of stupidity, seems to have appeared right out of **Gene Roddenberry's Star Trek**.

All you **Trekkies** out there will remember how the series used a combination of two or three technical words to form terms which in turn made the impossible seem plausible. It was a cute little trick. As in "*Captain, the gravitational vortex is collapsing, I canut' hold er much longer!*" or "*Scottie, can you increase the time warp vortex long enough for the nexus plasma to stabilize?*"

Speaking of holding something together, the inventors of the fabricated "**Hockey Stick**" theory have degenerated into continuing their failed charlatanism using terms **Mr. Spock** would be proud of. If you haven't heard, according to these wizards of smarts, the world is suffering from extreme cold because man made global warming has created, heaven help us, the **POLAR VORTEX**.

Try to stay with us on this, the oceans have so heated up that they are sending huge amounts of globally warmed air and water currents up the North Pole which then forces below freezing arctic temperatures down the center of the continent. And then *prest-o/change-o*, you have warming causing freezing. It's so simple.

Forget if you will, actually you must forget, that global warning people predicted there would be no polar ice caps or freezing temperatures by the year 2014. Another sticky point you must ignore is that the ocean water temperature has not varied by more than a half of a degree in the past 20 years. That warming flow of heat which is supposed to create the Polar Vortex appears to have run out of steam, so to speak.

January 14, 2014 ~TPATH~

END PART I

POLAR VORTEX PART II

Time to check the facts and run some numbers.

February 2, 2014 ~TPATH~ As a result of this past week's compilation of deception and lies, better known as the ***State of the Union Address***, when the felonious fabrication of ***manmade global warming*** was added to the list of other falsehoods, we felt it was time to re-post this earlier article. We have added a few things and edited others for clarity. So even if you read this on the original posting, you might like to review it.

Carbon Dioxide (Co₂) is not a toxic or poisonous compound: If it were, anyone drinking soda, beer or champagne would be dead or sick. Co₂ is as vital to all life on this planet as is oxygen. Without Co₂, plants and vegetation would not exist nor would the animals which feed on it. Algae and plankton (parts of which are plant life) would not exist, nor would the fish and marine mammals which feed on them.

In fact, in experiments, when Co₂ levels in controlled environments were doubled and tripled the amounts found in the atmosphere, vegetables, fruits and all vegetation grew larger and healthier than those subjected to (normal) levels.

Co₂ is heavier and denser than oxygen by almost 4 times. For that reason carbon dioxide exists at the highest percentage levels at or near sea level. As a result, other gases such as ozone, hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen and water vapor reside higher in the atmosphere and are much more likely to trap thermal temperatures, better known as the "*greenhouse effect*".

It is a fact that Co₂ in very high levels, within a sealed enclosure would displace oxygen and could therefore cause suffocation. That person will not have been poisoned, he will simply have expired do to the lack of oxygen. Far different from ***ozone, methane and carbon monoxide***, which are truly toxic compounds.

Co₂, in any *possible* percentage, does not affect global temperature increases:

Actually it's the converse. Global temperatures effect Co₂ levels. Analyzing core samples has proven that Co₂ percentages, spanning centuries, increase following rises in global temperature, not prior to it. This one fact alone, the one the hoaxers work most diligently to obscure, puts an end to ***the manmade global warming*** charade.

Co₂ has not risen significantly over the last 100 years of the industrial age: At the turn of the 19th century Co₂ levels were .038% of the atmosphere. The latest global reading shows levels are now .043%. That means, even if mankind was causing any significant rise in Co₂ levels, which there is no proof of, it has risen just .005% in well over a century.

Referencing the core samples above, Co2 levels, 100's of years before humans could have had any effect on them, were as much as 60% higher than the present, and global temperatures were many degrees cooler.

Global temperature increases in relation to Co2 elevations:

If Co2 levels increased because of humans and if that rise created elevated global temperatures, which neither do, at the rate humans are supposedly rising Co2 levels and comparing the actual global temperature increase during the .005% rise in Co2, the danger to mankind's existence would certainly not be imminent.

For example, during the 100 year period referred to in an above paragraph, global temperatures have increased by just under one degree. With none of that increase coming during the last 17 years. To put this into terms relative to a typical human life span, if the average temperature when you were born, and you are now 50 years old, was 68 degrees, you would now be sweltering in an unbearable 68.5 degrees. And if you lived to be 100, you would have to endure as much as 69 degrees.

Or to put it another way, if humans were the factor in Co2 increases, and at the rate we have been accused of increasing it, it would take approximately 1,425 years to increase Co2 levels by 1%. (Note: *There is no proof man is responsible for this latest rise. We are using their numbers simply to show the absurdity.*)

Man's contribution to Co2:

Still supposing the Co2 humans are putting into the atmosphere is actually contributing to global warming, we have prepared some very interesting calculations. This is where running the numbers gets to be fun. Unless of course facts, calculations and common sense get in the way of emotionally driven ideology. Which, unfortunately for them, they do.

During any given point in a year there is an approximated 186 billion tons of Co2 on the earth. These estimated totals include accumulated Co2 in the land, sea and air. Incorporating the data the fraudsters love to quote"*Humans and human activity contribute 6 billion tons annually to the planet's Carbon Dioxide totals*", running these numbers we come up with some very interesting facts.

Keeping in mind we are using estimates provided by the leftist group known as The Sierra Club and taking into account the agenda they have, it's not difficult to imagine they just may have jacked up their estimates. Those of their ilk have been known to manipulate data and write computer programs to produce predetermined results. Be that as it may, we used their data and have come up with these results.

Here is some math:

6 billion tons divided by 186 billion tons = $.032 \times 100 = 3.2\%$. This means, during any one year period man's contribution to Co2 levels is 3.2% of the total. Now, let's say we are really concerned about cutting back on the "*human carbon footprint*", here could be a globalist's game plan to save the planet.

Propose.....

1. We eliminate half of the world population of 7.2 billion people to 3.6 billion.
2. We shut down half of the world's factories and industry.
3. We remove half of all cars, trains, trucks, tractors, ships and planes from use.
4. We close and shutter half of every fuel consuming building in the world, which would include businesses, schools, hospitals, government buildings (not a bad idea there), and of course half the homes on the planet.

Doing this would cut in half, man's carbon footprint for that year. Man's yearly carbon footprint = 3.2% of the total. Removing half of human activity would reduce that total by 1.6%. The average Co2 percentage is .045%. Reduce that amount by man's 1.6% contribution and the adjusted total will be .0378%.

What do these numbers mean? Two things. The first thing it proves, is if half of mankind and everything associated with it were removed from existence, the amount of Co2 would be reduced by .0072%. The second thing it proves is man-made global warming is nothing more than hoax and a sinister scheme to confiscate from the productive, skim off huge amounts and then distribute the stolen wealth to those who have not earned it.

Polar ice and sea levels:

Let's run the numbers on some of these global warming alarms.

We have been told that 12 cubic miles of **Greenland's** ice is melting away every year and that will cause the sea levels to rise by 24 feet. **Al Gore** has warned, by the winter of 2014, there will be no ice on the North Pole. Since that breathlessly proffered prognostication has not exactly come to fruition, as the northern hemisphere has just endured the coldest winter in over 100 years, they now need a new explanation to explain the disappointment of the old one. Enter, the **Polar Vortex**. This, according to them, explains how global warming is forcing warm air up to the pole and the result is that frigid weather is being forced south. There you have it, global warming is causing global freezing. No word from **Al Gore** as to how a 2014 non ice covered North Pole is able to freeze the entire northern hemisphere. But he's working on it.

Now, back to the sea levels. Let's run a few more numbers. Greenland, at last estimate, has 604,800 cubic miles of ice. If, as they say, 12 cubic miles are melting every year, get your row boats ready, because at that rate it will take **50,400 years** before it's all melted. Sounds a bit further into the future than **Al Gore's** 2014 weather forecast. One can never prepare too early so you may want to consider selling your beach front property sometime in the next, in say 25,000 years or so.

Of course, typical with most information the warming swindlers pretend to have calculated, this 24 foot rise is also much exaggerated. We have done real and complete

calculations based upon the world's connected waterways which has included calculating the density of ice as compared to water and the total ice mass of the entire northern hemisphere. What we found was the sea levels would rise just under 11 feet, but only after more than 2,500 generations. That of course would depend on the unfounded and unsupported idea that the ice caps are melting at the rate they claim. And as time has shown us, that is not happening.

Here is the basis, without showing all the calculations here, which you can do yourself or see ours upon request. There are 150,826,300 square miles of connected sea level bodies of water on this earth. If every single particle of ice, in the entire northern hemisphere were to melt, the sea levels would rise just 19 feet. This takes into account that large percentages of northern ice are incorporated in the oceans and seas. Water volume increases by about 20% when frozen. A large percentage of all melting ice resides in the oceans and seas and the volume would actually decrease where that is so. Those areas would actually see a negative rise in sea levels.

A little about what really causes global warming. Hint, it's a big hot ball in the sky. As we have shown, Co2 plays no consistent role in planetary heating. So what does?

Our planet is kept warm or cold by three major natural phenomena.

A. The Sun

B. Ozone

C. H₂O (Water or more precisely, water vapor)

When the sun shines, it not only creates thermal heat which reaches the earth, but more importantly, solar particles crash into the oxygen in the upper atmosphere and creates **Ozone**. These solar particles vary in intensity as a result of sun activity known as solar flares. The more active the solar flares, the more intense the particle collisions and the more Ozone is created. Less solar activity, less Ozone. Less Ozone = a cooler earth, more Ozone = a warmer earth.

Ozone is the number two rated greenhouse gas which follows the number one greenhouse gas. No it's not Co₂. It's H₂O, water vapor better known as humidity. Ozone serves two major purposes.

1. The most important is its ability to filter harmful radiation from the sun and other dangerous space generated dangers. Without it, all life on this planet would cease to exist.
2. The second is its ability to block echoed or bounced heat from leaving the earth and dissipating into outer space. Ozone is very toxic to humans as well as viruses and bacteria, better known as germs. It also has a very short life span as it breaks down into other elements less than 14 days after it's created. Because of its ability to kill germs and its property of quick dissipation, hospitals and industry use it to disinfect entire operating rooms, laboratories and buildings.

Bad news for manmade global warming pirates:

The sun has fairly consistent cycles of solar flare activity with long and short periods of more and less activity. The sun has been moving towards a less active period for several years now, and if patterns of the past continue, many years of less active solar flares are ahead. Which in simple terms means, it's going to get cold.

As we continue to cool, as we have been doing for several years, you will need to decide if it's the Polar Vortex or the lack of a Solar Vortex.

Original Printing- February 2, 2014

[CONTACT TPATH HERE](#)

[VISIT THIS WEBSITE FOR SOME VERY INTERESTING AND IMPORTANT FACTS](#)

http://www.geocraft.com/WVFossils/ice_ages.html