



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

November - December, 1996

A Godly Government?

In the [Sept.-Oct. issue](#) of the Intelligence Newsletter we gave the history of how Talmudic government became the prevalent system throughout the world. That issue has been very popular with copies being circulated throughout the governmental system as well as education and church circles. We are pleased with this response but to God goes the glory.

With this Nov.-Dec. issue, being the last issue this year, we think it only proper to show the concepts of a Godly government. Have we ever had a Godly government? Although we know there is no such thing as perfection except in God, man has a moral, social and lawful right to seek a Godly government. This issue will be dedicated to the history of Adamic man's search for that form of government. There will be an overview of the history of the Bible but it will be a message that is seldom, if ever, given in churches.

In the [last issue](#) we made the statement that "The form of government follows the faith of the people...The battle is over the minds of men." With this in mind, the statement that Paul made in [Ephesians 6:12](#) is of paramount importance. *"For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places."* This verse is perhaps one of the most misunderstood verses of the entire Bible. Again, because of the Talmudic teaching of the ministers in the seminaries, that verse is most often thought of as a battle in Heaven between good and evil angels. Paul is writing about that battle over the minds of men and two forms of government. The entire Bible, including Paul's writings, is an earthly document. What form of government does God want us to have? Certainly it is not Talmudic. If God wanted us to have a Talmudic form of government, which we have, then the entire ministry of Jesus should be discarded because He spent the majority of his time describing and fighting the evils of that system.

Since the Bible is an earthly document, a Operations Manual in practice, then we should be able to read about the history of men and their forms of government. The relationship of a Godly form of government with the Common Law will also be discussed and the history of that will be included.

Nearly all of us recognize (or should) that there is a great battle going on over the system of law that our government is to follow. Most Americans recognize that there is something terribly wrong but there are about as many solutions proposed as there are people discussing them. Past issues of the Intelligence Newsletter have discussed Federalism as compared to Nationalism. We have discussed the New Age movement with Billy Graham and Vice President Gore hugging trees in their Gaia god concept. We have discussed the evils of Communism.

There have also been articles on the myriad of organizations, which sincerely believe that they have the solutions to our problems. There are the specie money folks. We have

the Constitutionlists who give that document a godly stature. There are the income tax folks who are currently meeting with some success in their efforts to reveal the evils of the [Federal Reserve System](#). Then, of course, we have the Democrats, the Republicans, the Populists and the Libertarians.

There is a common thread that runs through nearly all of these organizations. They all believe, to one degree or another, that it is the Common Law that gives them the authority to believe as they do. But the problem is that each has his own idea of what the Common Law is.

There are those who believe that the Common Law is totally the fruit of man's imagination. There are others who believe that the Common Law originated from God. As is the case with so many subjects of discussion today, there is some truth in both concepts. That is because what we call the Common Law has been so modified through the centuries that what we see today is not what it once was. For us to know the answer to these perplexing questions we must turn to the history of the Bible. It is a terrible mistake to believe that the Bible can be separated from the history of Adamic man.

The Bible can be divided into three major periods of time. Up front we must point out that God did not make mistakes and make changes to correct the errors of the previous periods. God rules in the affairs of men, whether those in Washington want to believe it or not, and He knows the end from the beginning. [Chapter One of the Book of Isaiah](#) prophesies the changes and describes the circumstances. In all three of these periods we see the use of the Laws, Statutes and Judgments of God as the correct form of government that we must follow. That statement is contrary to the usual modern teachings of the Church. Since the form of government follows the faith of the people, we see a terrible, terrible mistake in the thinking of modern man.

The first period of time was from Adam to the time of Joseph when he went into Egypt. This is called the Patriarchial period. The Laws, Statutes and Judgments of God were in the hearts of Adamic men. They communed constantly with God. The Laws of God were passed down from father to son throughout the generations. Thus, they knew the Laws intimately and when someone broke a Law, judgment followed swiftly.

The whole system of Law, during the Patriarchial period and which was known by each and every person, was truly the Common Law. Society can call it anything they want like the Universal Law. Whatever they call it, it is still the Common Law of God.

The usual response to the foregoing paragraph is, "How could they know the Laws, Statutes and Judgments since the Mosaic Law had not yet been codified?" It was because they communed daily with God via the "*Angel of the Lord*", which is simply the Holy Spirit, available to us, also. The laws were in their hearts just as they are supposed to be in ours today.

How did Noah know how to separate the clean animals from the unclean animals as he put them into the Ark? The clean animals, as well as the unclean, are described in the Mosaic Law but Noah preceded Moses in history. How could the statement have been made in Noah's time that, "*Whoso sheddeth man's blood, by man shall his blood be shed: for in the image of God made he man.*" (Adam) ([Gen.9:6](#))

In the [38th chapter of Genesis](#) is the story of Judah and Tamar. Judah had three sons by a Cannanite woman named Shuah. God does not approve of interracial marriages. The first son, named Er, married Tamar. Since God didn't approve of Er, He saw to it that he died. Judah gave Tamar to his second son.. His name was Onan but God didn't approve of him either, so he died. When Judah was afraid to give Tamar to his third son, Tamar played the

harlot and went to Judah himself. She conceived and when Judah found out about Tamar tricking him, he was furious and wanted to kill her. But Tamar prevailed when she showed him Judah's ring that he had given her. Judah said, "*She hath been more righteous than I; because that I gave her not to Shelah my son.*" That is a law of God as found in [Deuteronomy 25:5](#). Again, the Mosaic law hadn't even been heard of.

Another example, and perhaps the most important one, is found in the story of Abram (later named Abraham). The [14th chapter of Genesis](#) tells us the story of the kidnapping of Lot, Abram's brother's son, by the kings of Sodom and Gemorrah. Because of that violation of God's Laws, Abram took 318 of his trained and armed servants (we would call that a militia) and slew the kings that kidnapped Lot. As Abram returned he was met by Melchizedek, king of Salem. Melchizedek brought bread and wine and blessed Abram for what he did in enforcing the Laws, Statutes and Judgments of God. Kidnapping is a death sentence in God's Laws simply because there is no restitution for the act and Abram fulfilled the Law. Yet there was no Mosaic Law! Furthermore, Abram acted in the capacity of peacemaker, which also is a title given to Jesus.

The story of Abram in that chapter is of such importance that the whole purpose of the coming of the Messiah, the New Testament and Christianity rests on it.

The Patriarchial period continued through Joseph and his bringing the families of Israel into Egypt because of a famine. It became a time of bondage. During those 400 years of bondage the significant thing that happened was that the Laws, Statutes and Judgments were taken from the hearts and mind of the people of Israel. They became totally anomial, without the laws of God. They were slaves and all they could do was to make bricks. Remember, 400 years is a long time, long enough for them to lose their personal relationship with God.

This was also the time of Moses. It was here that the second period of time began. When Moses and the children of Israel crossed the Red Sea and went into the wilderness God gave them what are called the Mosaic Laws. As has already been shown, this was not a new idea that God had. He gave them the Laws, Statutes and Judgments IN THE FORM OF ROTE AND RITUAL AND THIS WAS DONE INTENTIONALLY AS A TEACHING TOOL! Since God knows the end from the beginning He knew the outcome of such an arrangement. You CANNOT abide by the Laws, Statutes and Judgments if they are put into a form that man can manipulate. That is exactly what was to happen as a result of the Mosaic period and we call it Talmudism. This period of history abounds with story after story of how Israel attempted to change the Laws of God with the ideas of men. They wanted an earthly king such as had the other peoples around them. God forwarned them as to what would happen and He gave them Saul to prove His point. Certainly Saul decided to play god on many occasions.

This was the purpose of the Mosaic period. We must not modify the Laws, Statutes and Judgments of God to meet the perceived needs of man nor to fulfill our emotions. When the Laws of God are given to a government to manipulate, such as was the case of Saul and as is in every government currently on the face of the earth, we get what we deserve. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE. Our people have been badly duped into believing the First Amendment states that there is such a separation.

The third period is, of course, the Christian period. Those who have made a thorough study of this period realize that the system of law under Christ was to return to the Laws of the Patriarchs. It was the same Laws and Judgments as were in the Patriarchal period but without the rote and ritual called Ordinances. The Laws were to be in the hearts, minds and souls of the people and that is exactly what happened during the period of the Patriarchs.

Abraham was blessed by Melchisedec, the High Priest of God, for his actions in carrying out a Patriarchal Law by killing the Kings of Sodom and Gemorrah because they kidnapped Lot and other families of his household.

Jesus Christ was made the "High Priest after that order of Melchisedec." The system of Melchisedec was in existence before Moses. The [seventh chapter of the book of Hebrews](#) tells us about this. *"And as I may so say, Levi also, who receiveth tithes, payed tithes in Abraham. For he was yet in the loins of his father, when Melchisedec met him. If therefore perfection were by the Levitical priesthood, (for under it the people received the law,) what further need was there that another priest should rise after the order of Melchisedec, and not be called after the order of Aaron?"*

"For the priesthood being changed, there is made of necessity a change also of the law. For he of whom these things are spoken pertaineth to another tribe, of which no man gave attendance at the altar. For it is evident that our lord sprang out of Juda; of which tribe Moses spake nothing concerning priesthood. And it is yet far more evident: for that after the similitude of Melchisedec there ariseth another priest." (Heb 7:9-15). Remember, it was Judah who so clearly related Tamar's righteousness to the Mosaic law found in [Deuteronomy 25:5](#). The writer of the book of Hebrews repeatedly relates to the system that existed before the bondage in Egypt and the crossing of the Red Sea.

Again, the form of government follows the faith of the people. The battle is over the minds of men. Today, the fight is still over the argument of whether we truly have returned to the system of the Patriarchs wherein the Laws and Judgments are in our hearts and we act upon them as a form of government or whether we remain under the Talmudic system with its rituals and ordinances? The sad part is that this battle is coming from both sides of the fence. The Talmudic Jews, of course, want to remain under the rituals and rote of the Mosaic period, all the while believing that only they are given the Laws and Judgments of God. Under their thinking all non-Talmudic believers are restricted to the so-called Noahide Laws which ironically were made a matter of law by Congress under Public Law 102-14!

Most Christians have been taught that the Mosaic Laws simply ended with the advent of Christ and we were "put out to pasture" without any law whatsoever. Thus, we have the mistaken teaching that "once saved, always saved." We have met many people who honestly believe that once they have been saved they can murder, steal, rape, etc. and still they are saved. I have met many who honestly believe that even the Ten Commandments were done away with in Christ. No wonder our government can demand that the Ten Commandments be taken from the walls of schools, etc. No wonder prayers in the name of Christ cannot be offered at school functions. Our government is simply following the mandates of the people and unfortunately this includes the One World Order.

The [first chapter of the book of Isaiah](#) prophesies of this change. Isaiah was a prophet to Judah at the time of their bondage in Babylon. It was in Babylon that the Talmud came into existence so Isaiah's prophesies relate to that system of government. Reviewing chapter one we recall that verses 9-11 discuss the wickedness of the kingdom of Judah. Remember, the Assyrians had already taken the Kingdom of Israel, the ten northern tribes, into bondage.

Verses 10-24 tell about repentance. Isaiah talks about how the Ordinances of the Mosaic period did not prevent Israel from sinning. God was telling us that religiosity was not important to Him. He tells us that the Ordinances of the system of rote and ritual were to end.

Verses 25-31 tell us of the change that was to take place. That change was to return to the Patriarchal system, the system of Melchisedec. *"And I will turn my hand upon thee, and*

purely purge away thy dross, and take away all thy tin: And I will restore thy judges as at the first, and thy counsellors as at the beginning: afterward thou shalt be called, the city of righteousness, the faithful city." ([Isaiah 1:26](#)). It was Isaiah who also prophesied of the coming of the Messiah, how he was to come and how he was to die.

Unfortunately, when it comes to understanding the Common Law, most of our people don't know of these three, distinct periods of time in the history of our people. Furthermore, they don't know that all three periods of time were to use the identical law. It is the method of communicating the law that is different in all three systems. The basis of Common Law is obvious. After all, Jesus said that He did not come to change one dot or tittle of the Law but to fulfill the prophecies concerning Him.

The reason why the Common Law has been so modified down through the years is because of the misunderstanding and conflicts that have existed between the Mosaic system and the Christian system. These modifications were accomplished by the Pharisees and that was one reason Jesus came. He came to save Israel from the corrupt law system, which had been developed by man.

In the last verses of [chapter one of Luke](#) we can read about this. Zacharias prophesied about his son, John the Baptist, and the coming of the Messiah. He said this, *"Blessed be the Lord God of Israel; for he hath visited and redeemed his people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for us in the house of his servant David; As he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began: That we should be saved from our enemies, and from the hand of all that hate us; to perform the mercy promised to our fathers, and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to our father Abraham, That he would grant unto us, that we being delivered out of the hand of our enemies might serve him without fear, In holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of our life."* ([Luke 1:68-75](#)).

Again, reference is made to the action of Abraham and the blessings that were bestowed on him by Melchisedec. It is of utmost importance that we understand that the enemies and those who hated Israel, as discussed by Zacharias, were the Pharisees who were the Talmudists. That, in this writer's opinion, shows a sharp demarcation between Talmudic government and the Christian system of Melchisedec. We cannot be both at the same time.

That conflict exists today. The Common Law is definite. It is black and white with no shades of gray. The reason why there are so many laws on the books today is because we have returned to the Phariseeical system. It has not always been that way during this, the Christian era. In the history of our own country there was a period of time when the Common Law was supreme.

The Common Law, or Patriarchal Law, is simplicity itself. You can do anything you are man enough to do but do not hurt or harm anyone in any way in doing it. This is the hard part. If you do harm anyone, you are responsible for your actions. When you analyze any facet of life from the environmental laws to the speed laws on the highway, that simple definition should be all it takes.

But man is not perfect and we can so easily harm someone. God's law, or the Common Law, provides for that. The system of law is based on restitution. By paying restitution for damages, physical or mental, to the victim, and not fines to the state, the responsibility for your actions has been fulfilled. You may have to provide for someone for the rest of their life because of your actions but the law has been fulfilled.

There are situations when restitution is not possible. If restitution is not possible, then the sentence is death. There are only a few of these situations but they are definite.

Premeditated murder, rape, kidnapping and homosexuality are all death sentences because restitution is not possible for any of these. God's law (and the Common Law agrees) simply says to "*put the evil away from among you*" for these situations. ([Deu. 17:7](#) and elsewhere).

There are many, particularly those in the Constitutional law field, who teach that the Common Law comes from the [Magna Carta](#) which was put into effect at the time of King John at Runnymede, England. If you will remember past history lessons, England had lost its Common Law freedoms after the conquest of William the Conqueror in 1066. He replaced the FORM of government of Celtic, Angile, Saxon England with a Talmudic system he brought with him from France. The people of England no longer owned their land in Allodial title, which means they had owned it without paying taxes to the government and also, the land could not be taken from them. There were many other freedoms they lost such as the use of the forests. William, and his sons who followed him, took the forests as reserves and only the king and his deputies had a right to them (identical to the national forests, Biosphere Programs and wilderness areas today). National taxes were imposed to pay for social welfare programs. This all comes from the Talmud as revealed in the [last newsletter](#).

But that ostensibly changed in 1215 when the church leaders showed the barons that the Magna Carta had to be imposed on King John, the grandson of William. Under duress he signed that famous document at Runnymede. But nothing really changed. Life became so desperate in England that a short 75 years later the great eviction of the Jews was imposed by King Edward I because of pressure instigated by the people.

So it is true that the Common Law came through the [Magna Carta](#) but that amazing document was not the origin of it. The Common Law was much, much older than that.

In searching the histories of our people we have found several writings about the early people of Europe. Some of these writings should be shared with our readers because they relate to the Laws of God. At the same time, we can readily see that they did not come from the Mosaic period.

A very interesting system of government came from ancient Ireland. Not too many years ago there was an old document found in Ireland that depicts the system of law that these people used. It was called the *Tanistry*, which means the administration of the law by deputies of the king. The king in those days wasn't born into his position, just as was the case in England prior to William the Conqueror. The king earned his position by his leadership abilities and he was elected by the people in a pure Republican form.

We will present only a few of the laws as stated in the *Tanistry* to show you the relationship of what we understand to be the Common Law with the laws of the Bible.

The *Tanistry* starts with the statement, "To infer that it was in Eire (or Ireland) that this system of government arose would be both false and an affront to history." So they are telling us that the law is much older than the people of Ireland. We must remember that these people did not have Bibles to read!

"In the obscurity of the mists of olden time a desire would arise to replace armed combat with arbitration. And it would seem a desirable thing that land boundaries should be fixed without recourse to moats."

"Henceforth cases involving wrongdoing are to be made over to the wisest men. Any case is to be brought to judgment without delay. Henceforth in any case involving false utterances let amends be paid in compensation for the harm."

"Henceforth if a complainant be merciful, let the judges also be merciful. Any person may enter or depart from Eire if he be Gaul, Scot, or Iberian."

"The common people may eat corn, together with game birds, but they may not hunt bears. They may kill stags, goats and red deer." (These food laws are the same that caused Noah to separate the clean from the unclean animals.)

"And no man shall be put to death except by judgment of a court summoned for him and for the injured parties, all of whom are to be summoned by name. To the high altar, to attend the trial for the duration of its session, distance notwithstanding. Under roof, or a spacious cavern, they are to assemble together, facing the decision-making *Tanists*, each head of a household bearing arms, by nines arranged, and conforming to the two feuding sides, the challenger's and the deedsman's."

"The prosecutor is to make his complaint in a loud voice on the criminal act of violence, and asking whether the crime be one of malice aforethought, or an unremediated act of passion, summoning each freeman by name to the altar to give his opinion in the presence of the assembled people, protected by them, and facing them."

"Then he is to inquire the learned opinion of the *Tanists* and if the reply is an unfavorable verdict, let the condemned man thereupon be bound to the picket to be slain in retribution, his body cast down from a high cliff top. But if on weighing the case, one and all having heard the complaint, they declare a verdict of not-proven, then let the picket be hewn down as needless, for there is no guilt of manslaughter on his part. Thereupon straightway the assembly shall march rejoicing to any of the well-known sacred springs, and to oracle there."

"Let a donative be given larger than is customary, for the sake of the acquittal. Thereupon they are to immerse their man in the depths of the spring."

"The oldest of the sages is to make known the verdict to the assembled people, declaring that all men should know that they may expect justice in misfortune in these precincts, and that whether it be fraud that is the cause of a dispute, or be it lust, or malice aforethought, or the death of a slain man, or a boundry line that is under survey, crime will be countered by justice moderated by clemency."

Were you able to see the Common Law concepts in those passages? If you compare these points with passages of the Bible, you will find them to be very similar. Remember, these people didn't have Bibles to read. These points of common law came down through their people. It's called the system of Melchisidec.

But it is the opinion of many who have studied the Common Law that it was the Saxons in ancient Germany who brought us the Common Law in modern form.

In his series on the history of Germany the historian Wolfgang Menzel describes the system of law and customs of ancient Saxon Germany. These quotes are found in his Volume One. (*Germany* by Wolfgang Menzel, Volume I, Peter Fenelon Collier and Son, New York).

"Everyone enjoyed personal freedom and had an exclusive right over his own property. In the popular assemblies of each district, the eldest man present presided and the majority decided."

"The free intercourse between citizens, possessed of equal privileges and bound by the same duties, was the soul of the ancient German communities and the foundation on which their whole history rests. `Liberty, said the Roman poet Lucanus, is the German's

birthright.' ` Liberty, observed Montesquieu, that lovely thing, was discovered in the wild forests of Germany.'

"The number ten is found predominant. The largest tribes were divided into communities of one hundred men each, which were subdivided into tens. The confederation of ten times ten, or of every hundred freeborn men, stood between the friborg and the great community." (This is the same system as found in the book of Numbers).

"The government was so arranged that it had no more power over the people than they had over the government. The people always retained the highest authority and the power of revoking the government's choices or actions." (The United States Constitution provides for this and it is called State's Rights or Nullification. However, as we all should understand by now, that concept is totally disregarded in our modern government).

"The father being the legal representative of the whole family, spoke for them before the tribunal and was their guardian." (That, too, was the Common Law system in the United States. That was before the TV series "Bringing up Father" became popular. Now, even the children are heard with more authority than either of the parents, especially the father).

"The laws were chiefly aimed at providing security and indemnity to every individual. They secured his life, his liberty, his honor, and his property; or in case of injury and deprivation, an indemnity or commutation, of which there only two kinds, single combat and fines."

"The injured person was compensated by the offender in cattle or weapons, according to the value of the injured object: for this purpose he could be deprived of all he possessed, except of his allod (homestead property), which, under all circumstances, was inalienable. There were even cases where the offender, unable to make full restitution, was obliged to serve the person he had injured for twenty years, and yet was never deprived of his allod." The word *allod* is the same as *allodial* title in English. The Common Law of England and the [Magna Carta](#) thus obviously came from ancient Germany.

"According to the value of the injured object, honor and liberty were valued higher than life, person, or property. Rape, injuries to guests, ambassadors, hostages, and especially to strangers, besides theft, robbing and insulting the dead, were doubly and trebly more severely punished."

"According to the intention of the offender, an unintentional injury was only lightly rated, and sometimes, according to the circumstances, completely passed over, on which account the mere intention of committing an injury was almost as severely punished as if the injury had in reality been committed."

"The Germans had an axiom, where there is no accuser there is no judge. Prisons were unknown. Capital Punishment was awarded to all traitors, deserters, thieves, and adulterers and all crimes against man's honor or dignity and against female chastity."

"A bargain was concluded by shaking hands, which was so commonly in use that the Germans shake of the hand has become the proverbial sign of cordiality."

"Women, among the Germans, were considered as standing equal in point of honor of the men. The reverence in which women were held depended on the purity of their lives; hence by custom and by law they were judged not only by the outward honor they received but also by their inward innocence. An insult offered to female modesty or honor was deemed an unpardonable crime and punished with death. Slander, if proved, was punished

with unusual severity; rape, under whatever circumstances, was punished by the most degrading death."

`With respect to inheritance, the daughter of the family was portionless, so that a woman's attraction was her virtue and beauty, and not her wealth."

Again, if you analyze each of these points of Common Law in ancient Saxon Germany, you will find the laws of Adamic man. They have been brought down through the days of the Patriarchs as taught by father to son, by word of mouth so that they were in the minds and hearts of everyone.

They were reinstated by Moses after the time of bondage in Egypt when he codified these same laws. Finally, these laws were again to be in the hearts of our people under the high priest of Melchisedec which, of course, is Christ. We returned to the judges and counselors as at the beginning exactly as Isaiah said we would! *"Do we then make void the law through faith? God forbid: yea, we establish the law."* ([Rom 3:31](#)).

The [Sep.-Oct. issue](#) of the Intelligence Newsletter showed conclusively that we do not have a Godly government but rather a government of man. Is it even possible in this day and age to return to a Godly government? That, of course, is up to God but certainly we should be doing our part. First, by repenting for our sins. Then we should be "crying unto the Lord" for deliverance. At the same time we should be rolling up our sleeves and working toward that form of government. Are there groups within the United States trying to do their part? There are many fine Christian people working hard toward such a government.

The following article is found in the *AntiShyster* magazine and is being reprinted with permission of the AntiShyster, POB 580786, Dallas, Texas, 75354-0786 or call (800) 477-5308. Annual subscription (6 issues) is \$30.

(Comments by the editor of the *AntiShyster* magazine are in italics-Ed.)

"In the month after the Oklahoma City bombing, Gallup polls showed that over half the American people believe `government has grown so large and powerful it poses a threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary Americans'. I.e., over half of Americans fear their government. The numbers alone indicate that fear of government is not irrational, and implicitly support the notion that a government which inspires so much fear must be, at least in part, guilty of terroristic acts against its own people.

"As a result of government abuse and growing public anxiety, a number of strategies have evolved to somehow escape the current government. This article offers another expression of America's growing resistance to a government that has grown too big, too remote, too self-serving, and too corrupt. Although this article focuses on Texas, similar state secession movements are taking place in Hawaii, Colorado, and several of the other Western States. Whether any of these movements will succeed remains to be seen, but their very existence shows that the underlying public energy caused by long-term government abuse cannot be safely denied or ignored by government.

"Even if all of these secessionist movements is stopped, the energy will remain in the body politic and then find another, possibly more radical avenue of expression. More importantly, that same energy compels citizens of other states to seek civil solution to their problems in other states. If government continues to ignore or disparage that energy, it's only a question of time before secession movements like that in Texas spring up across the U.S.A. In the long run, government cannot suppress the antigovernment movement, it can only join that movement and return to representing the general welfare of the people.

"The Republic of Texas. by Lauren Lynn Savage.

"Groups of concerned citizens from all over the United States have met for many years to discuss and find solutions to the prevalent lawlessness within our government, judicial system, and society. Such groups have dedicated countless unpaid hours and dollars to try to cure a government and system gone amiss and become corrupt.

"For all the time and money invested throughout the United States by these groups and citizens, has anything made any real difference in the way our government acts and operates? I see that very few accomplishments have been made in the overall picture; only small victories in little battles.

"What choices does a citizen have to repair the system we are living in? What can all of us who are concerned about the lawlessness of our government do to make a difference?

"As the people of `we the people', we have waited too long to correct the injustices. Many of the problems should have been addressed by our fathers and grandfathers. Our government has grown too far removed from the will and wishes of the people to even understand our concern. For government, every act that is criminal, unlawful, abusive, uncaring, immoral is only business as usual. Freedoms we once took for granted as being our right have been stolen from us by all three branches of government. Taxes have risen beyond levels for responsible citizenship and now constitute virtual slavery for the people.

"Here in Texas, the governor has ignored and breached his duties to the people by violating his oath of office. Our judges, as reported by the FBI and many other citizens, are corrupt and taking bribes. The bar association has become a private club for organized crimes against the people of Texas. Our legislators take perks, bribes and positions but ignore the will of their constituents.

"However, the Texas State Constitution's `Bill of Rights' preserved the right of the people to abolish, reform and alter the present form of government. State officials like to tell us this reform can be done only through election. Our Right, preserved in the Texas Constitution, is worded to say we can stop this government at any time and in any manner we deem expedient.

"How can we reform our government without bloodshed or all-out civil insurrection and war? *PERHAPS WE CAN'T!*

"The sovereign citizens of the Republic of Texas are attempting to abolish, reform and alter the present form of government. At the same time, they sincerely believe that peaceful, lawful solutions to take over the government can be accomplished without major disruption to the private person's life and livelihood. Since the present government cannot be mended, the Republic of Texas solution is to start our government all over, completely, by the will of the people.

"This is the only method left to the people of Texas to regain control of their government! The Republic of Texas is our key to ending the abuses of government against the people. Their goals and proposals are sound, just, and workable. The people of Texas realize that positive changes will occur in their lives when the people are once again in control of the government.

"Picture a land, a Texas, with no income taxes, with judges reduced to being one of the twelve jurors on a jury, where the people actually decide what is just and fair. Can you imagine having a banking system once again based on real money with value being in what a person produces; banks in Texas where interest cannot be charged and are used only as a

support tool for a medium of exchange of a person's labors; a nation where it is unlawful for any property taxes to exist, where agriculture is the most important business in the land, and contracts cannot be written to void any of a person's rights?

"This is what the move to free the people of Texas from the STATE and the UNITED STATES is all about. It is the simplest approach to correct our government. *JUST START OVER!*

"The people in the Republic of Texas have made great strides towards accomplishing this goal. All the historical research has been done, all the international papers have been filed, even the judicial and the state and federal governments have been notified. The recognized lawful establishment of the nation of Texas has been completed. Its banks are near opening. Its courts are already operating. The Citizens of the Republic of Texas are the happiest persons living on Texas soil.

"The people of the Republic of Texas have established defense forces to guarantee that rights of the sovereign people are no longer abused by the other governments which have been dethroned in Texas. The defense forces are ready to step in and guarantee a peaceful transition of power if needed. The nation of Texas is now a free and independent country.

"Restoring of the nation of Texas has the support of nearly every person who has come in contact with the individuals who have stepped forward and brought it to reality. Many of these statesmen and women have done this for *all of us* at a great sacrifice to their lives and fortunes. The numbers of persons aligning with the Republic of Texas has become staggering. Estimates of one million people joining the nation of Texas and wanting to start over are not uncommon.

"The Citizens of the free nation of Texas have stood up for what is right! Many of them have moral or religious convictions that have moved them in this direction. The Moral Majority and the Christian Coalition were and are not able to make the old system work, even with their religious ideals. The deep-rooted convictions of the people of the free Republic of Texas are the answer and cure to give back the land and government to the people.

"Want to be a part of what is right? Want to bring a new era of common sense? Want to end government abuse and waste? Want an end to taxes as we know them now? Join the people of the independent and free nation of the Republic of Texas!

"Can the Republic of Texas movement impose itself upon the de facto government of the STATE OF TEXAS?"

"As an act of will, genius, or force, the Republic of Texas is unlikely to compel the surrender or removal of the existing government. But the Berlin Wall can't be knocked down either, and the mighty Soviet Union (a superpower you know) will also last forever. We live in an era when impossible events occur daily.

"Further, like all political movements, as the Republic of Texas gains public support, the existing government will move to regain that support by embracing many of the Republic's goals (like constitutional government). No one gets everything he wants in politics, but virtually no one enters politics (not even pamphleteers) who doesn't influence some folks and thereby achieve some of what he wants. Win, lose, or draw, the Republic of Texas makes Texas (and perhaps even the USA) a better place to live.

"Finally, although the Republic of Texas cannot (yet) 'overpower' the existing Texas government, the Republic can wait patiently in the wings, somewhat like a lifeboat on the

SS Titanic. The mighty U.S. government (it's a superpower, you know) and all its little corporate states are no more eternal or immortal than the mighty Soviet Union. We are the world's biggest debtor nation and the Government Accounting Office estimates that unless radical changes are made in our financial condition, within a generation our tax rates will be 82%.

"The massive national debt and incredible rising tax rates mandate that our government must either 1) get smart and institute real reform NOW, or 2) join the USSR on the ashpile of history. If the federal government and all its little friends go down, the people of Texas will thank God that the Republic of Texas is standing in the wings, waiting to serve.

"For further information on the Republic of Texas, call (214) 471-3584, or (210) 349-8994." End of article.