

public notice Capital C = Citizen is in the Constitution 13 times for lawful bloodline Native America, it Change when the 14th amendment went to the lower citizen to define legal citizens and nationals do you research, Education purpose only

please read about the law .The federal Constitution makes a careful distinction between natural Native born and citizens and Nationals of the United States*Incorporation* (compare 2:1:5 with Section 1 of the 14th Amendment). One is an unconditional Sovereign by natural birth on 48 union states soil, who is endowed by the Creator , the Greatspirit and mother earth with certain unalienable rights; the other has been granted the revocable privileges of U.S.** citizenship and nationals , endowed by the Congress of the United States*Incorporation*. One is a citizen and national , the other is a subject. One Native is a Sovereign, the other is a subordinate from religious beliefs . One is a Lawful bloodline American of our constitutional Republic; the other is a citizen and or national of a legislative Democratic democracy (the British Vatican contract 1871 civil war federal zone reference to the British Vatican and king john foreign treaty of 1213 the Devils contract). Notice the superior/subordinate relationship between these two statuses. I don't know how many can hear or comprehend this.... But we lawful bloodline Americans STAND strong, we STAND our ground, we STAND for our rights. Standing is strength, standing is a sign of a Breathing living man and woman, thinking,, Man or Woman. Kneeling and train their bloodline is a sign of enslavement religious worship,..enslavement no rights for freedom

Lean the deference between Article 1 courts Legislative or Article I tribunals v Article 3 District Constitution Court Lawful

I am not a "United States 14th Amendment citizen." I am not a "resident of," an "inhabitant of," a "franchise of," a "subject of," a "ward of," the "property of," the "chattel of," or "subject to the jurisdiction of" any "monarch" or any corporate "commonwealth," "federal," "state," "territory," "county," "council," "city," "municipal body politic," or other "government" allegedly "created" under the "authority" of a "constitution" or other "enactment." I am not subject to any "legislation," department, or agency created by such "authorities," nor to the "jurisdiction" of any employees, officers, or agents deriving their "authority" therefrom. Nor do any of the "statutes" or "regulations" of such "authorities" apply to me or have any "jurisdiction" over me.

THE LEGAL NAME IS "ID THEFT" BY LAWYERS/JUDGES by UNDISCLOSED CONVERSION by use of PATENTS. ***thus - any Elected SHERIFF in this country serving "papers" for Foreclosures is committing TREASON. - any unelected Police revenue agent in this country serving "papers" for Foreclosures is a Domestic Terrorist. ITS THAT SIMPLE, FOLKS. THIS IS WHY WE HAVE A RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS - and why an Executive Order needs to be issued as a MILITARY INJUNCTION on all THEATERS impersonating government buildings. Trafficking of IP's via SSA Securities.

Further, I am not a subject of any "Foreign Agent courts" or bound by "precedents" of any "courts," deriving their "jurisdiction" from said "authorities."Take notice that I hereby cancel and make void from the beginning any such "instrument" or any presumed "election" made by any "government" or any agency or department thereof, that I am or ever have voluntarily elected to be treated as a subject of any "monarch" or as a citizen," or a "resident" of any "commonwealth," "state," "territory," "possession," "instrumentality," "enclave," "division," "district," or "province," subject to their "jurisdiction(s)."

2). "Constitution":The document supposedly setting forth the foundations of a "country" and "its" "government," has no inherent authority or obligation. A "constitution" has no authority or obligation at all, unless as a contract between two or more individuals, and then it is limited only to those individuals who have specifically entered into it. At most, such a document could be a contract between the existing people at the time of its creation, but no-one has the right, authority, or power to bind their posterity. I have not knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally entered into any such "constitution"

contract to oblige myself thereby, therefore such a document is inapplicable to me, and anyone claiming to derive their "authority" from such a document has no "jurisdiction" over me.

3). Use of semantics: There are some immature people with mental imbalances, such as the craving to dominate other people, who masquerade as "government," and call the noises and scribbles that emanate from their mouths and pens "the law" which "must be obeyed." Just because they alter definitions of words in their "law" books to their supposed advantage, doesn't mean I accept those definitions. The fact that they define the words "person," "address," "mail," "resident," "motor vehicle," "driving," "passenger," "employee," "income," and many others, in ways different from the common usage, so as to be associated with a subject or slave status, means nothing in real life.

Because the "courts" have become entangled in the game of semantics, be it known to all "courts" and all parties, that if I have ever signed any document or spoken any words on record, using words defined by twists in any "law" books different from the common usage, there can be no effect whatsoever on my sovereign status in society thereby, nor can there be created any "obligation" to perform in any manner, by the mere use of such words. Where the definition in the common dictionary differs from the definition in the "law" dictionary, it is the definition in the common dictionary that prevails, because it is more trustworthy. Violations of oath of office Capital Treason Under Title 18 USC 2381 Criminal Negligence

Debtors slavery is modern day Slavery Peonage was outlawed by an Act of Congress whereas :

<https://govbanknotes.wordpress.com/2016/04/21/hiring-any-attorney-waives-constitutional-protections-makes-humans-wards-of-court-with-unsound-mind/> Hiring Any Attorney waives Constitutional Protections, makes humans wards of court with unsound mind WHY YOU DON'T WANT AN ATTORNEY explains your tribunal courts

Lawyers and Attorneys Are Not Licensed To Practice Law {For Law Is An Open Practice}
LAWYERS AND ATTORNEYS ARE NOT LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW THE NATURE OF LAWYER-CRAFT IN AMERICA AS PER THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT; The practice of Law CAN NOT be licensed by any state/State. (Schware v. Board of Examiners, 353 U.S. 238, 239)
The practice of Law is AN OCCUPATION OF COMMON RIGHT! (Sims v. Aherns, 271 S.W. 720 (1925))

The "CERTIFICATE" from the State Supreme Court: ONLY authorizes, to practice Law "IN COURTS" As a member of the STATE JUDICIAL BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT. Can ONLY represent WARDS OF THE COURT, INFANTS, PERSONS OF UNSOUND MIND (SEE CORPUS JURIS SECUNDUM, VOLUME 7, SECTION 4.) "CERTIFICATE" IS NOT A LICENSE to practice Law AS AN OCCUPATION, nor to DO BUSINESS AS A LAW FIRM!!!

The "STATE BAR" CARD IS NOT A LICENSE!!! It is a "UNION DUES CARD". The "BAR" is a "PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION";

1. like the Actors' Union, Painters' Union, etc.
2. No other association, EVEN DOCTORS, issue their own license. ALL ARE ISSUED BY THE STATE.
3. The State Bar district courts is a NON-GOVERNMENTAL PRIVATE ASSOCIATION - and dues must be current to sustain membership.

The State Bar district courts is; an unconstitutional Monopoly. AN ILLEGAL Et CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE; Violates Article 2, Section 1, Separation of Powers clause of the Constitution. There is NO POWER OR AUTHORITY for joining of Legislative, Judicial, or Executive within a state as the BAR is attempting. BAR members have invaded all branches of government and are attempting to control de jure government as agents of a foreign entity!

"The privilege against self-incrimination is neither accorded to the passive resistant, nor to the person who is ignorant of his rights, nor to one indifferent thereto. It is a FIGHTING clause. It's benefits can be retained only by sustained combat. It CANNOT BE CLAIMED BY ATTORNEY OR SOLICITOR. It is valid only when insisted upon by a BELLIGERENT CLAIMANT IN PERSON." McAlister vs.

Henkel, 201 U.S. 90, 26 S.Ct. 385, 50 L. Ed. 671; Commonwealth vs. Shaw, 4 Cush. 594, 50 Am.Dec. 813; Orum vs. State, 38 Ohio App. 171, 175 N.E. 876. The term "BAR" is an acronym for British Accredited Registry [see comments below]. ... There are over 30 grievances listed against the King of England in the Kerry are both descendants of Queen Elizabeth II as well as other British royalty. Got a Birth Certificate? You are a Fictitious Corporation Created.. The Constitution is law of the land,, Judges enforce Law. BAR Attorneys enforce International Maritime Law. You should know the difference and how to handle it.

FRC vs. GE 281 U.S. 464, Keller vs. PE 261 U.S. 428, 1 Stat. 138 -178) "Judges do not enforce statutes and codes. Executive Administrators enforce statutes and codes. If a public entity denies an otherwise "qualified individual" "meaningful access" to its "services, programs, or activities" "solely by reason of" his or her disability, that individual may have an ADA claim against the public entity. Id. (citing Alexander v. Choate, 469 U.S. 287, 301-02, 105 S.Ct. 712, 83 L.Ed.2d 661 (1985) (internal citation omitted)).LEE v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES•250 F.3d 668, 690 (9th Cir. 2001) Interstate 60 (Full Movie) 2018 Oscar nominee Gary Oldman <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AdoYBLrq-co> read <http://new.oregontrackers.com/home.html> Under U.S. Code, the president does have the statutory authority to keep anyone out of the country, for any reason he thinks best. Per 8 USC §1182

“Whenever the President finds that the entry of any aliens or of any class of aliens into the United States would be detrimental to the interests of the United States, he may by proclamation, and for such period as he shall deem necessary, suspend the entry of all aliens or any class of aliens as immigrants or non-immigrants, or impose on the entry of aliens any restrictions he may deem to be appropriate.”

Yes, the president has the authority to do exactly what trump is describing. And by the way, this kind of thing has happened before. look