

Survey Evaluation Results

Dear Marcos,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results of the survey PO30301_22FA Political Inquiry.


The overall indicator is listed first. It consists of the following scales:

General InfoThe StudentThe Course Overall

The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales mentioned above.

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed, along with a comparison to the overall results for the entire school.

Sincerely, College of Arts and Sciences



	Political Science	e (90.9%	
	Psychology	y 🗌		0%	
	Social Services	s		9.1%	
	Sociology	y	_	0%	
	Spanisł	h		0%	
	Theatre Studies	s		0%	
	Undeclared Liberal Arts	s		0%	
	Undeclared Sciences	s		0%	
	Major in the School of Business/Engineering	g		0%	
	Major in the School of Communications	s		0%	
	Major in the School of Health Sciences	s		0%	
	Major in the School of Nursing	g		0%	
1.5)	Class:				
	Freshmar	n		0%	n=10
	Sophmore	e (40%	
	Junio	_		30%	
	Senio	_		30%	
	Othe	er 📃		0%	
1.6)	Expected Grade For This Course:				
		A (50%	n=10
		вГ		50%	
				0%	
				0%	
		F		0%	
				0,0	
$\overline{2}$	The Student				
۷.	The Student				
2.1)	KNOWLEDGE: As a student, I understand the Strongly Agree	е	72.7% 27.3% 0% 0% 0%	Strongly Disagree	n=11 av.=4.7
	central concepts and ideas in this course.				md=5 dev.=0.5
			5 4 3 2 1		
2.2)			80% 20% 0% 0% 0%		
,	COMMITMENT: As a student, I did my part to learn as much as possible in this course.	e		Strongly Disagree	n=10 av.=4.8 md=5
					dev.=0.4
			5 4 3 2 1		
2.3)	LEARNING: As a student I can apply information/ skills learned in this course.	e	54.5% 45.5% 0% 0% 0%	Strongly Disagree	n=11 av.=4.5
					md=5 dev.=0.5
			5 4 3 2 1		
3	The Professor				
0.					

		101	alcos Scauso, PO30301_22FA	Fontical Inqui
^{3.1)} INTELLECTUAL ATMOSPHERE: My professor provided a stimulating atmosphere that facilitated learning.	Strongly Agree	90.9% 9.1% 0% 0%	0% Strongly Disagree	n=11 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.3
^{3.2)} AVAILABILITY: My professor was available outside of class to respond to my questions and interests.	Strongly Agree	72.7% 18.2% 9.1% 0%	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.7
^{3.3)} ENTHUSIASM: My professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.	Strongly Agree	100% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% <t< td=""><td>0% Strongly Disagree 1</td><td>n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0</td></t<>	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{3.4)} TECHNIQUE: My professor communicated the material clearly and effectively.	Strongly Agree	90.9% 9.1% 0% 0%	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.3
^{3.5)} ORGANIZATION: My professor summarized major points and course objectives.	Strongly Agree	100% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% <t< td=""><td>0% Strongly Disagree 1</td><td>n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0</td></t<>	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{3.6)} GRADING PROCEDURES: My work in this course was evaluated in an unbiased way.	Strongly Agree	81.8% 18.2% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.4
^{3.7)} ATTITUDE: My professor was sensitive and respectful to students' needs.	Strongly Agree	100% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% <t< td=""><td>0% Strongly Disagree 1</td><td>n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0</td></t<>	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
4. Class Materials				
^{4.1)} TEXTS: Class resources (i.e., text, other materials effectively contributed to learning.	Strongly Agree	45.5% 27.3% 18.2% 9.1%	0% Strongly Disagree	n=11 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=1
^{4.2)} ASSIGNMENTS & EXAMS: The assignments and/or examinations were relevant and meaningful for the content of the course.	Strongly Agree	63.6% 27.3% 9.1% 0%	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.7
5. The Course Overall				
^{5.1)} Overall, I would rate this course as a valuable learning experience.	Strongly Agree	100% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% <t< td=""><td>0% Strongly Disagree 1</td><td>n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0</td></t<>	0% Strongly Disagree 1	n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{5.2)} Overall, the <u>PROFESSOR</u> was effective.	Strongly Agree		0% Strongly Disagree	n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0

n=11

n=10

n=11

n=9810

n=9852

n=9873

av.=4.7

av = 44

av.=4.8

av.=4.6

av.=4.5

av.=4.4

md=5.0

md=5.0

md=5.0

md=5.0

md=5.0

md=5.0

dev.=0.5

dev = 0.8

dev.=0.4

dev.=0.6

dev.=0.5

dev.=0.8

dev.=0.3 dev.=0.9

dev.=0.7 dev.=0.9

dev.=0.0

dev.=0.7

dev.=0.3

dev.=1.0

dev.=0.0

dev.=0.8

dev.=0.4

dev.=0.7

dev.=0.0

dev.=0.8

Profile

Strongly

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Subunit:

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) College of Arts and Sciences Marcos Scauso PO30301_22FA Political Inquiry

Strongly Agree

Strongly Agree

Comparative line:

Overall Average of Fall 2022 College of Arts and Sciences

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. The Student

ŕ

- 2.1) **KNOWLEDGE:** As a student, I understand the central concepts and ideas in this course. Strongly Agree
- 2.2) **COMMITMENT:** As a student, I did my part to learn as much as possible in this course.
- 2.3) **LEARNING:** As a student I can apply information/skills learned in this course.
- 3. The Professor

3.1)

3.2)

3.3)

3.4)

3.5)

3.6)

3.7)

INTELLECTUAL ATMOSPHERE: My Strongly Agree Strongly **n=11** n=9870 av.=4.9 md=5.0 md=5.0 professor provided a stimulating atmosphere that facilitated learning. Disagrée av.=4.4 AVAILABILITY: My professor was available Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree **n=11** n=9855 av.=4.6 av.=4.4 md=5.0 md=5.0 outside of class to respond to my questions and interests. **ENTHUSIASM:** My professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter. Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree **n=11** n=9856 md=5.0 md=5.0 av.=5.0 av.=4.6 **TECHNIQUE:** My professor communicated the material clearly and effectively. Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.9 md=5.0 n=9844 av.=4.3 md=5.0 **ORGANIZATION:** My professor summarized major points and course objectives. Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree n=11 av.=5.0 md=5.0 n=9843 av.=4.5 md=5.0 **GRADING PROCEDURES:** My work in this course was evaluated in an unbiased way. Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree n=11 av.=4.8 md=5.0 n=9839 av = 4.6md=5.0 ATTITUDE: My professor was sensitive and respectful to students' needs. Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree av.=5.0 md=5.0 n=11 n=9833 av.=4.6 md=5.0

4. Class Materials

4.1) TEXTS: Class resources (i.e., text, other Strongly Agree Strongly n=11 n=9866 av.=4.1 av.=4.4 md=4.0 md=5.0 dev.=1.0 dev.=0.9 materials effectively contributed to learning. Disagree 4.2) **ASSIGNMENTS & EXAMS:** The assignments Strongly Strongly Agree n=11 n=9824 av.=4.5 av.=4.5 md=5.0 md=5.0 dev.=0.7 and/or examinations were relevant and Disagree dev.=0.8 meaningful for the content of the course. 5. The Course Overall 1 1 1 1 I.

5.1)	Overall, I would rate this course as a valuable learning experience.	Strongly Agree			Strongly Disagree	n=11 n=9873	av.=5.0 av.=4.4	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.0 dev.=0.9
5.2)	Overall, the <u>PROFESSOR</u> was effective.	Strongly Agree	-		Strongly Disagree	n=11 n=9855	av.=5.0 av.=4.4	md=5.0 md=5.0	dev.=0.0 dev.=0.9

Profile Line for Indicators

Ι	Subunit: Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)	ne of the instructor: Marcos Scauso ne of the course: PO30301 22FA Political Inquiry				
_	Comparative line:	Overall Average of Fall 2022 College of Arts and Sciences				
2. The Student 5. The Course Overall		+ - av.=4.7 dev.=0.5 av.=4.5 dev.=0.7 + - av.=5.0 dev.=0.0				
		av.=5.0 dev.=0.0 av.=4.4 dev.=0.9				

Comments Report

1. General Info

- ^{1.1)} Course Name and Number:
- - PO30301_22FA Political Inquiry
- PO 303 01
- PO 303 Political Inquiry
- PO 303 Political Inquiry
- PO30301_22FA Political Inquiry (2 Counts)
- Political Inquiry 303
- Political Inquiry PO303 (3 Counts)
- Political Inquiry PO30301_22FA
- ^{1.2)} Semester:
- Fall (2 Counts)
- Fall 2022 (6 Counts)
- Fall 2022
- Fall 22
- 1
- ^{1.3)} Professor's Last Name:
- Scauso (10 Counts)
- Scauso

2. The Student

- ²⁴⁾ Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey to the instructor about <u>YOUR</u> performance in this class.
- I do wish my attendance was better this semester, however I certainly learned a lot from this course and enjoyed how it was structured.
- I put a lot of work into my research and assignments and I hope it shows in the final product.
- I tried My best in the class, and I consistently attend office hours with our student peer catalyst throughout the semester for extra help and feedback.

3. The Professor

- ^{3.8)} Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey about the professor's teaching:
- Professor Scauso did a great job teaching this class, while some of the work was very dense due to the nature of the research, our Professor handled the task of teaching it well. He brought a positive and welcoming atmosphere to the classroom that helped us to be comfortable when asking for help.
- Professor Scauso has been very enthusiastic
- Professor Scauso was always very respectful and came into class with a positive attitude. This is the second course I have taken with professor Scauso and I have very much enjoyed both.

The Professor is one of the best ones at QU. He is great at explaining complicated material, makes class interesting and entertaining to go to, and is fair in his grading.

4. Class Materials

- ^{4.3)} Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey relevant to class materials:
- I don't think most of the books are necessary, especially not for the amount of money they cost. They could be interesting extra material for the interested student but I don't think they should be required, they are not necessary to do well in the course.

5. The Course Overall

- ^{5.3)} Please discuss any and all strengths and weaknesses related to this course:
- This course seems scary at first glance but the professor made it manageable and I actually ended up really enjoying it.