

**2017 Volusia Flagler(FL 504 CoC) Scoring Sheet
RENEWALS**

THRESHOLD CRITERIA		YES	NO
	The Application proposed serves eligible project type?		
	The Appropriate documentation of match and Leverage has been included		
	The Standard Forms (SF 424) have been updated in esnaps		
	The Proposed budget is consistent with the GIW		
	The applicant has passed the annual CoC monitoring by the Peer review Committee		
	If applicant has not passed the CoC annual monitoring, was there a corrective action plan completed		

Section I	Project Narrative	Max Points: 35	MAX POINTS	SCORE
1.a	Priority population & numbers served			
	100% chronically Homeless individuals : (PSH)		12	12
	Dedicated PLUS(PSH)		12	
	100% chronically Homeless Households: (RRH)		12	
	Literally Homeless Households: (RRH)		10	
	Unaccompanied Youth		3	3
	Victims of Domestic Violence		2	
	Veterans		2	
b.	Demonstrated commitment to Coordinated Entry: prioritization / Notice CPD 14-012 as superseded by Notice 16-11 and Notice 17-01		3	3
c.	How your agency interfaces and coordinates with other community resources: Workforce, DCF, corrections, mental health, substance abuse, foster care, child care, in order prevent homelessness and assist clients to gain self - sufficiency		4	4
d.	Best Practices: specific to HOUSING FIRST MODEL	10 max		
i	Accepts all referrals directly from Coordinated Entry:		1	1
ii	Does not discriminate based on race, color, religion, national origin, disability, sex, sexual orientation, or domestic violence		1	1
iii	Program provides equal access for transgender people		1	1
iv	Applicants are not rejected based on criminal background (unless prohibited by terms of grant funding)		1	1
v	Tenant selection promotes acceptance regardless of sobriety, use of substances, Treatment completion		1	1
vii	INCOME IS NOT a condition of admission		1	1
vi	applicants are not rejected based on poor credit history or rental history		1	1

viii	Use of alcohol or drugs of itself is not a condition for eviction		1	1	
ix	tenants should not be evicted based on their participation/lack of participation or ability/inability to follow through with services of the service plan		1	1	
x	Supportive services are informed by a HARM REDUCTION philosophy, whereby substance, mental health and other issues are addressed in a non-judgmental, non-confrontational method promoting tenant engagement.		1	1	
e.	Please describe what evidenced based / proven/ promising practices your program incorporates in concert with the Housing First Model—justify evidenced based and/or proven practices		3	3	
TOTAL PROJECT NARRATIVE					35
Section II	Budget / Narrative	Max Points: 10	Max POINTS	SCORE	
2a.	Program Budget is accurate and realistic		4		
b.	Administrative costs do not exceed 7% of requested funding		1		
c.	LEVERAGE: 100% OR HIGHER		3		
	50-99%		2		
	LESS THAN 50%		1		
d.	Match Documentation included/25%match required		2		
TOTAL BUDGET					0
Section III	ADMINISTRATION / COC PATICIPATION	Max Points: 5	Max POINTS	SCORE	
3a	Spent down all program funds		1		
b	ELOCCS - Draw down at least quarterly		1		
c	Participation in the HUD Point in Time Count		1		
d	Commission on Homelessness(CoC) Board Representation		1		
e	Commission committee/subcommittee representation		1		
TOTAL ADMIN					0
Section IV	HMIS	Max Points: 10	Max POINTS	SCORE	
4a.	Data completeness Report Card; Grade: A		5		
	Grade: B		4		
	Grade's		3		
	Grade: D		0		
b.	Applicant has demonstrated /implemented security practices and procedures.		3		
c.	Does the applicant enter into HMIS Database for OTHER NON- HUD FUNDED PROGRAMS		2		
TOTAL HMIS					0

Section 5	PROGRAM PERFORMANCE Max Points: 40 (scored by Applications Committee subcommittee)		Max POINTS	SCORE
1	Housing Stability: Percent who maintained or exited into permanent housing for the reporting period			
	90% or greater		4	
	80 to 89 %		3	
	70 to 79%		2	
	60 to 69%		1	
	59% and below		0	
2	TOTAL INCOME : percent of persons over 18 who maintained or increased their total income for the reporting period			
	80% or greater		4	
	60 to 79 %		3	
	40 to 69%		2	
	39% and below		0	
3	EARNED INCOME: percent of individuals 18 or older who maintained or increased their EARNED income			
	54% or greater		4	
	40 to 53 %		3	
	20 to 39%		2	
	10 -19%		1	
	below		0	
4	NON CASH BENEFITS: Percent of individuals linked to at least one source of non-cash benefits for the reporting period			
	100%		4	
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% and below		0	

5	UTILIZATION RATE: Average percent bed utilization rate (last Wednesday in January, April, July, and October)			
	90% or greater		4	
	80 to 89 %		3	
	70 to 79%		1	
	59% and below		0	
6	Residence prior to entry: percent of households who entered the program from June 1, 2016 to May 31, 2017 that met criteria for chronic homelessness as reported in HMIS			
	100%		4	
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% and below		0	
7	Percent of households who entered the program from August 1, 2016 to March 31, 2017 that came through coordinated entry			
	90-100%		4	
	80 to 89 %		3	
	70 to 79%		2	
	60 to 69%		1	
	59% and below		0	
8	Submitted most recent APR on time:			
	yes		4	
	no		0	
9	Return to homelessness: percentage of households who exited permanent housing April 1, 2016 to March 31, 2017 that returned to homelessness			
	none		4	
	1 to 5%		3	
	5-10%		2	
	more than 10%		0	
10	Percent of interim/annual updates completed per funding type (RRH/PSH)			
	100%		4	
	90 to 99%		3	
	80 to 89%		2	
	70 to 79%		1	
	69% and below		0	
TOTAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE				0
GRAND TOTAL				0