

No Constitutional Rights for Lillian Glasser

Mark Glasser appeared pro se at a teleconference of attorneys in the Lillian Glasser Guardianship case.

He invited a Judicial Watch employee to listen and take the following notes. Lillian Glasser's Guardian Ad Litem stated that she no longer had her constitutional rights (ANNOTATED EMPHASIS)

I took brief notes during the conversation you invited me to listen to on Monday September 26, 2005.

Before all parties were on line Sharon complained about inflammatory communications and specified a communication from Mr. Glasser to a Rabbi.

Jody announced he had sent out a settlement proposal and wanted feedback and wanted to square away timing for depositions.

Mr. Glasser said he would probably have new counsel by Friday.

Sharon said she was not in her office, she was attending her child in a hospital, had not discussed the settlement agreement with her client and did not have her calendar available to discuss dates for depositions.

Sharon announced she had received a call from the FBI inquiring about the alleged kidnapping of Mrs. Glasser.

Jody suggested that Mark Glasser contact the mediator, Sam Graham 512 476 1178.

Jack Emmett said he will discuss the settlement proposal with Rick.

Karen said she had a brief one half hour visit with Mrs. Glasser but has been unable to get back in touch with her.

Sharon said if Karen's problem persisted she should contact someone in Sharon's office but it might be better to contact some man close by or best just to drop by. Sharon said she would discuss the settlement agreement with her client.

Jody said that Dr. Leatherman advised him she does not want to work on Mrs. Glasser's case out of fear that one or more of the participants might file a complaint with Medicare that could jeopardize her practice which relies heavily on Medicare patients. She recommended another person whose geriatric psychology practice does not rely on Medicare patients. Jody is trying to schedule an evaluation by this replacement and is not willing to allow unfettered communication by friends and family with Mrs. Glasser until the evaluation is complete and any necessary restrictions are put in place. He believes that at the least all visitations will be video taped and phone calls will be recorded. He visited with Mrs. Glasser for about 1 1/2 hours last Wednesday and Mrs. Glasser informed him she was getting phone calls from New Jersey. He does not want to get into a similar situation as occurred in New Jersey. He said while she was in NJ someone told Mrs. Glasser not to take her medications. If the parties can not reach a settlement agreement he will file pleadings prior to the October 7 hearing asking the judge to order certain elements of the settlement agreement he has circulated among the parties. He stated that there were Doctors' bills to be paid by Mrs. Glasser's estate amounting to about \$14 to 15,000 pending court approval and his billing amounted to about \$42,000 through the end of August.

During a post conference discussion between Mr. Glasser and Jody, Mr. Glasser asked if his mother still had her constitutional rights. Jody responded by saying "no" because she has been ruled incompetent by the court she does not have constitutional rights which she can exercise, only the Guardian ad litem can exercise Mrs. Glasser's rights. Mr. Glasser specifically asked for the right to call his mother and talk to her on the phone and Jody denied the request. Mr Glasser said he recognized that every meeting his mother has is monitored. Mr Glasser said he would fly to San Antonio as soon as possible to visit with his mother if Jody would authorize him to visit with his mother. Jody refused to authorize the visit. Jody said he was unaware there had been an ex parte hearing in New Jersey on the Wednesday before Mrs. Glasser was returned to Texas, but he did indicate he was aware there was a NJ court hearing scheduled for the Friday when Mrs. Glasser was returned to Texas. Jody agreed to send a copy of the billings to Mr. Glasser.