Enhance LEACH Protocol Based on Best Cluster Head Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Network

Sumit Pandey, Mr. Paurush Bhulania (Assistant Professor)
Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Uttar Pradesh, Noida Campus

Abstract- Wireless sensor network encompasses of distributed autonomous tiny sensor devices that cooperatively works within a specified environmental conditions and detects several physical parameters such as vibration, temperature, pressure, motion, and sound for a number of applications. Network life maximization becomes a primary concern for designing a wireless sensor network. Effective clustering methods are used to protect the valuable battery energy of the sensor nodes and improvise the network longevity. LEACH is furthermost widespread clustering and routing arrangements that can be used to accomplish the sensor network. In this paper, we illustrate an enhanced variant of LEACH protocol which utilizes K -means clustering calculation for choosing better cluster heads. And also deliberates residual energy as an additional parameter. Our proposed arrangement tries to make symmetric clusters and by lessening the normal intra-cluster distance for enhances the system lifetime.

Keywords- Wireless Sensor Network, Hierarchical Clustering Schemes, LEACH Protocol, K-means Algorithm, Network Lifetime Maximization

I. INTRODUCTION

With the headway of adaptable portable web, implanted processing and remote sensor correspondences development, a collection of segments of the sensor hubs, which comprise remote sensor frameworks (WSNs), has invigorated in different people's thought. Each sensor in WSNs, which comprises the whole frameworks, has the limit of correspondence by setting the parameters to achieve the cooperation of the outside condition [1-2].

WSNs are framed by various sensor hubs in self - composed, self-designed, and various multi-bounce ways. Its ability is to accumulate and appreciate outside ecological condition information through the shared participation way regular among sensors and send the data to the base station by dispersed frameworks and various deviations [3-5]. It is essentially depicted by subjective arrangement, unattended activity, restricted battery stockpiling, little size, information centrality, little parcel measure, adaptability, brutal natural conditions and inconsistent correspondence. Given those qualities, the most across the board utilizations of WSN are restorative medicinal services checking, natural observing, urban territory following and common structure observing, brilliant structure, control, and shrewd network and vitality control frameworks, security and reconnaissances, transportations and coordinations and item limitation and following [6-7].

For sparing a lot of vitality, a WSN is partitioned into a few disjoint and non-covering gatherings to diminish the group overhead and afterward spares vitality usage. Bunching calculation dependent on networks, for example, versatility, the quality of center or vitality level select a couple of centers as group heads. Each bunch head communicates their job to their part hubs and total the detected information and transmit towards the base station. Generally, the powerful grouping methods have a few targets, for example, they may give adaptation to non-critical failure, load adjusting, adjustment to non-basic disappointment, boost framework lifetime life span or network [10-11]. Moreover, grouping calculations offer different favorable circumstances like adaptability, decreasing the steering overheads by information accumulation and directing correspondence transmission capacity.

In LEACH or Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy is portrayed by Heinzelman et al [12-14]. It is appropriate for thick WSN for homogenous setting and basically faculties the information and sends it to the base station. Filter take a shot at the round premise makes bunches of sensors by subjectively picked sensors as group heads. Since the sink hub is normally far away, the group heads must spend immense vitality for this transmission. To defeat this issue, LEACH utilizes a randomized revolution of group heads to similarly flow the vitality among the sensors in the framework. Filter calculation depends on the limited coordination to encourage versatility and power for dynamic frameworks. Therefore, it can decrease the vitality consumption and proficient to keep up an even appropriation of vitality all through the sensors to build the system life span [15].

To improve the LEACH convention, in this article we portray a K-implies grouping to make uniform bunches and fundamentally decreases the intracluster remove between the bunch heads and its part hubs. We additionally enhance the determination of group head based on remaining vitality parameter. In LEACH convention bunch head determination performed based on arbitrary choice thus, low vitality hub can be select as a group head and lead more vitality consumption[16-17].

Whatever is left of this paper is composed as pursues: Section II delineates a short framework of the LEACH convention and its highlights, Section III displays our proposed K-Means calculation computation. Also, Section IV gives the evaluation and re-institution outcomes of customary LEACH and the improved LEACH convention. Finally area V gives the closing comments.

Fig.1: Clustering Architecture

II. LEACH PROTOCOL

LEACH (Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy) is clustering and routing protocol introduced by Heinzelman et al [18][21]. The communication architecture of LEACH protocol consists of basically two phases set up phases and steady state phase. Set phase itself divided into three sub phases.

- Advertisement phase.

- Cluster setup phase

- Broadcast schedule phase

- **Cluster setup sub-phase:** After every node resolved to be cluster head in the current round, it instructs the neighboring nodes by communicating advertisement packet. The neighbor nodes which would prefer not to be cluster head, pick the packet with strongest received signal strength and in the group setup stage, they join to the cluster head utilizing a CSMA protocol. The system sets the ideal number of clusters in view of a couple of parameters, for example, network topology, the cost of communication and calculation operations (Generally CHs characterize to 5% of system nodes).

- **Broadcast schedule sub phase:** In the broadcast schedule sub phase the cluster head knows the quantity of member's node and develops a TDMA plan, picks a CDMA code arbitrarily and communicates this data to its member's nodes.

- **Steady state phase:** This stage is longer than the past stage, and empowers the gathering of sensor information. Utilizing the TDMA multiplexing schedule, members transmit their gathered information for their own particular slots. Aggregated information collected by cluster head send to the base station.

The arbitrarily made clusters by LEACH may not be preferably perfect. For instance, numerous cluster head might be put close to each other which this diminish the effectiveness of clustering and the cluster members must send to cluster heads situated a long way from them. Furthermore, clusters generated by LEACH are uneven and cluster head is not situated in the center point of cluster member nodes. Such clusters increment the aggregate transmission distance amongst nodes and the cluster head and this makes more energy be devoured in the intra-cluster communications. To overcome this problem those should be selected as cluster head which is near to the center of the cluster. Intra cluster distance of ith cluster can be calculated by the following equation as given below [19-20]:

$$ICD = \prod_{j=1}^{Ni} \sqrt{(Xsj - xCHi)2 + (Ysj - YCHj)2} \qquad (2)$$

Fig.2: LEACH Phases

- **Advertisement sub phase:** In the Advertisement stage, sensor nodes autonomously decide to be clustered by picking an irregular number in the range of 0 and 1. On the off-chance that the created arbitrary number is less than a threshold

T(n)? at that point, sensor nodes turn into a cluster head just for one round.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{P}{1-P(r \bmod \frac{1}{P})} & : n \in G \\ 0 & : otherwise \end{cases}$$ (1)

P = the Desired level of CHs,

r = Current round,

G = Set of nodes that have been chosen as CH in the last (1/P) rounds.
Sj = jth sensor node

Chi = jth cluster head

Besides, the LEACH protocol does not consider the sink position in cluster head election process lastly a node might be chosen as cluster head which devours more energy to communicate with the sink.


Fig.3: Cluster of LEACH Protocol

III. K- MEANS CLUSTERING

Clustering of sensor hubs is one of the paramount approaches that can optimize the lifetime of the entire system by aggregating information to the cluster head. K-means is an unsupervised learning algorithm for data clustering introduced by MacQueen in 1967. It delivers a specific number of clusters from information and tries to limit the following objectives [22-23]:

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \| x_j - c_i \|^2$$ (3)

Where $\|x_j - c_i\|$ = Indicate the distance between a data point and the cluster center c_j . $x_i^{(j)}$

Algorithm Steps:

1. Place input as per the specific criteria to algorithm is number of K groups (Clusters)
2. Assign K initial centers to arbitrarily K groups.

3. Calculate the distance between the every data points from the centers includes to them in the closest center points.
4. When all points have been assigned, recalculate the positions of the K centers.
5. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 until convergence. This yields a separation of the points into clusters from which the metric to be limited can be determined.

6. Updating the centers by the following formula

$$c_i = \frac{1}{|k_i|} \sum_{x_j \in k}^{k} x_j$$ (4)

7. Convergence is obtained when there is no longer variation in cluster center.

IV. ENERGY DISSIPATION MODEL

Fig.4: Energy Dissipation Model

A. Network Model

Various clustering algorithm has distinctive application condition, furthermore, the energy demonstrates additionally has its own particular qualities and shortcomings. There is 100m × 100m sensing area where the 100 sensor nodes are dispersed. LEACH algorithm used first order radio transmission energy model. The model considers the assumptions as per the following:

1. The position of the base station is stationary, and the sensor field is a long way from the base station.
2. Transmitting and receiving energy consumption in every transmission is equal.
3. Nodes in the sensor field are homogenous.

4. The communication channel has no deviation, which guarantees the consistency of the energy utilization of the each transmission sides.

5. All the nodes are stationary in the sensor field.

6. Communicate between the nodes the base station based on a single hop.

B. Energy Dissipation Model

In the enhanced LEACH algorithm, we utilize the same radio communication energy consumption demonstrate as utilized as a LEACH protocol. This model comprises of two sections: transmitting energy consumption model and receiving energy consumption model. As per the radio communication energy dissipation model, we realize that when sending k bit information, sensor hubs will expand the underneath energy:

$$E_{Tx}(k,d) = E_{Tx}\text{-elect}(k) + E_{Tx}\text{-amp}(k,d) \qquad (5)$$

$$E_{Tx}(k,d) = E_{ele} \times k + \varepsilon_{fs} \times k \times d2 \quad \text{If } d \le d_o \qquad (6)$$

$$E_{Tx}(k,d) = E_{ele} \times k + \varepsilon_{mp} \times k \times d4 \quad \text{If } d \ge d_o \qquad (7)$$

d_o = Threshold distance,
E_{elec} = Electronic energy
ε_{fs} = Parameter for free space model
ε_{mp} = parameter for multi path model

$$do = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon fs}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon mp}} \qquad (8)$$

To receive a k bit data radio dissipates energy as follows:

$$E_{Rx}(k) = E_{Rx}\text{-elec}(k) = E_{elec} \times k \qquad (9)$$

V. PROPOSED APPROACH

While choosing cluster heads, LEACH protocol chooses cluster head as per the arbitrary number the nodes produces and the threshold, while did not take the rest of the energy into account, which may get about the nodes with low energy to be selected as a cluster head, in this way getting premature death of clusters and influencing the lifetime of networks. We present the residual energy parameters based on the LEACH protocol that is:

$$T(i) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{Pi}{1 - Pi(r \bmod \frac{1}{Pi})} & : n\epsilon G \\ 0 & Otherwise \end{cases} \qquad (10)$$

Where

$$P(i) = \frac{(Ei - Er)2}{ER} \qquad (11)$$

E_i = Residual energy of every node of ith round
E_r = Average energy of node ith round

E_R = Total residual energy ith round

Calculating the average energy of rest node as given below:

$$Er = \frac{Er\left(1 - \frac{ri}{rmax}\right)}{N} \qquad (12)$$

r_i = Current round
r_{max} = Maximum round of network simulation

From the above equation (9) and (10), if there is a significant amount of residual energy of the nodes, then the value of P(i) will increase which exhibit that this node has a high extent of energy in the residual energy. With the expansion of P(i), will increment T(i) as well, accordingly, the possibility of this node being a cluster head is extended as well. Along these lines, considering the remaining energy of nodes empower the node with higher remaining energy to be cluster heads, which can shape an enhanced cluster heads, staying away from the sudden premature death of the system lifetime and optimize the system longevity.

VI. SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS

To assess and enhance LEACH protocol, we have simulated and examined the LEACH protocol and enhanced LEACH protocol regarding the number live nodes in each round, total energy consumption of the network and network longevity, and made a comparison. We use MATLAB 2015a for simulations and analysis. There are 100 sensor nodes deployed in the Wireless Sensor Network, in the range of 100m × 100m sensing region. The following parameter settings are given as follows:


Fig.5: Cluster Generated in our Proposed Algorithm

| Specification	Data
Total sensor node	100
Size of network	100×100 m2
Base stationslocation	(50,50)
The probability of cluster heads /%	10
Initial energy level	0.5J
Data length	4000 bits
Eelec	50nJ/bit
εmp	0.0013pJ/bit/m4
εfs	10pJ/bit/m2
Data aggregation (EDA)	5nJ/bit/Signal

Table 1: Simulations Parametres

Fig. 6: Number of Alive Nodes on the Basis Number of Rounds

Fig. 5 illustrates the clusters generated by our proposed algorithm. The generated clusters are symmetric and significantly reduce the intra cluster distance between the nodes and the cluster head which leads the balanced energy consumption of nodes with improved network lifetime.

Fig. 6 & 7 demonstrates that both the protocol is compared to the basis of a number of live nodes. The number of alive nodes reflects the network dynamics and stability in some degree of extent. We deliberate the residual energy of nodes, which distribute the load of the entire system evenly and favorable for improved network longevity and stability of the system.


Fig. 7: Energy Depletion on the Basis of Number of Rounds

VII. CONCLUSION

We abridge our article is to addresses the accompanying points: Optimization of energy utilization of a system and, the expansion of network life span. To begin with, we will address the issue of augmenting the system lifetime by arranging optimal partitioning under imperative constrained, cluster arrangement. Effective clustering diminishes the energy utilization of the sensor nodes because they exchange their information through one or more cluster head to the base station. In this paper, we illustrate an enhanced variant of LEACH protocol which utilizes K-means clustering calculation for choosing better cluster heads and produced symmetric clusters to reduce the energy consumption of nodes. Optimal cluster head selection is another important challenge and directly affects the network longevity. In our improved LEACH protocol, residual energy is also taken as a parameter during the selection of cluster head which helps in network maximization and enables the global management of the network. Proposed approach gives significantly improved results over conventional LEACH protocol.

VIII.   REFERENCES

[1]. Wenliang Wu, Naixue Xiong, Chunxue Wu,"Improved clustering algorithm based on energy consumption in wireless sensor networks", IET Journals, Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp.47-53, 2017.
[2]. Abbasi, A.A., Younis, M.,"A survey on clustering algorithms for wireless sensor networks", Pattern
[3]. Recognition Letters, Science Direct, Elsevier, Computer Communications, Vol. 30, No. 14, pp. 2826–2841, 2007.
[4]. H. Wang, Nazim Agoulmine, Maode Ma,"Network lifetime optimization in wireless sensor networks", IEEE
[5]. Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol. 28, No. 7, pp. 1127–1137, 2010.
[6]. F. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam,"A survey on sensor networks," IEEE Communications Magazine, Vol.40, No. 8, pp. 102-114, 2002. "An application-specific protocol architecture for wireless micro sensor networks", IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 660-670, 2002.
[7]. Lindsey, S., Raghavendra, C.S.,"PEGASIS: Power-efficient gathering in sensor information systems", IEEE AerospaceConference Proceeding., pp. 48–59, 2002.
[8]. Tilak, S., Abu-Ghazaleh, N.B., Heinzelman, W., "Infrastructure tradeoff for sensor networks", WSNA02, Atlanta, Georgia, 2002.
[9]. Li, J., Gao, H.,"Survey on sensor network research", Journal of Computer Research and Development, Vol. 45, No. 1, pp.1-15, 2008.
[10]. Pottie, G., Kaiser, W.,"Wireless integrated network sensors", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 43, No. 5, pp. 551–558, 2000.
[11]. OssamaYounis, Sonia Fahmy,"HEED: A hybrid energy efficient distributed clustering approach for ad-hoc sensor networks", IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 366-379, 2004.
[12]. S. Soro, W. B. Heinzelman,"Cluster head election techniques for coverage preservation in wireless sensor networks,"Ad-hoc Networks", Vol. 7, No. 5, pp. 955-972, 2009.
[13]. Heinzelman, W. R., Chandrakasan, A., Balakrishnan, "Energy Efficient Communication Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network", IEEE 33rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2000.

[14]. V. Gungor et al.,"Industrial wireless sensor networks: Challenges, design principles, and technical approaches", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol. 56, No.10, pp. 4258–4265, 2009.

[15]. W. Xu, et al.,"Distributed optimal rate-reliability-lifetime trade-off in time-varying wireless sensor networks", IEEE

[16]. Transactions on Wireless Communications, Vol. 13, No. 9, pp.4836–4847, 2014.

[17]. Peryasamy, S., Khara, S. Thangavelu, S.,"Balance cluster head selection based on modified K-means in a distributed wireless sensor network", International journal of distributed sensor network, pp. 1-11, 2016.

[18]. Thai T. Vu, Viet D. Nguyen Hien M. Nguyen,"An Energy Aware Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network based on K-means Clustering", Springer, Advances in ElectricalEngineering and Related Science, No. 6, pp. 297-306,2014.

[19]. Anindita Ray, Debashis De,"Energy Efficient Clustering Hierarchy Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network", IEEE Communication and Industrial Application (ICCIA), pp.1-4, 2012.

[20]. Ridouane El Ali Choukri Abdellatif Kobane Mohammed El Koutbi,"An Energy –Aware Clustering Approach based on the K-means method for Wireless Sensor Network", Springer, The International Symposium on Ubiquitous, pp. 325-337, 2016.

[21]. Anindita Ray, Debasis De.,"Energy efficient clustering protocol based on K-means (EECPK-means)-midpoint algorithm for enhanced network lifetime in wireless sensor network", IET Wireless Sensors System, Vol. 6, pp. 181-191,2016.

[22]. Elkamel Rabiaaa, Baccar Nourab, Cherif Adnenec, "Improvements in LEACH based on K-means and Gauss algorithms", The International Conference on Advanced Wireless, Information and Communication Technologies (AWICT 2015), pp. 460-467, 2015.

[23]. LI XingGuo, WANG JunFeng, Bai LinLin.,"LEACH Protocol and its Improved Algorithm in Wireless Sensor Network", International Conference on Cyber-Enabled Distributed Computing and Knowledge Discovery, pp. 418-422, 2016.