



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

March - April, 1999

The Christian CHURCH in this cultural war

In the [January-February, 1999 issue](#) we discussed the background showing that the Western European culture is under direct attack. I showed some examples of the secular portion of that war. We must also think of the cultural war as being fought in the spiritual realm. The spiritual is normally thought of as a religious term. But that is not what Paul was referring to in [Ephesians 6:12](#), *"For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places."*

We referred to that passage in the last issue but we need to continue in that thought. This issue will show that the Christian Church, itself, has perpetuated this cultural war which has been raging for 2000 years. It has been because of two concepts, neither of which is Biblical. Those two concepts are "Universalism" and "Assimilation." The way it was accomplished is of the utmost importance to us if we are to return to genuine Christianity. "The Way" which Jesus taught, is not what the early Church fathers developed as dogma as the result of a need.

To make this problem much, much worse, that false theology of the early church has been perpetuated using a technique that is most difficult to contest. Anyone who even speaks out on the subject is made into being evil, full of hate with all of the self-righteousness that can be mustered by the entire Judeo-Christian community.

We will show how the Christian Church, itself, perpetrated this two millenium-long cultural war. In that process, the act of self-righteousness became one of the tools to cause it to work. In discussing these two issues we will understand why we even have self-righteousness in nearly every so-called Judeo-Christian church. That name, itself, is an oxymoron as we have reported many times.

The reason why there is even such a name as Judeo-Christian is because the seminaries have not chosen to teach the definition of the word "Jew." The Apostle Paul was of the tribe of Benjamin. He was also a Roman citizen. But he was also taught the Talmud. He studied at the feet of Gamaliel. [Acts 22:3](#) says, *"I (Paul) am verily a man which am a Jew, born in Tarsus, a city in Cilicia, yet brought up in this city at the feet of Gamaliel, and taught according to the perfect manner of the law of the fathers, and was zealous toward God, as ye all are this day."*

The "law" which Paul spoke about in that verse is the law of the Talmud. It was not the law of the Books of Moses. Paul clearly said, *"law of the fathers."* He didn't say the Law of God. In [Acts 5:34](#) says this, *"Then stood there up one in the council, a Pharisee, named Gamaliel, a doctor of the law (Talmudic law-ed.), had in reputation among all the people, and commanded to put the apostles forth a little space."* Gamaliel was a Pharisee. Paul studied at the feet of Gamaliel. Paul was probably a Pharisee and He was a Jew. Paul, as a student of the Talmud, and probably a Pharisee, persecuted the Christians before he was

converted. He was vicious in his actions because of his belief. This was in typical Talmudic fashion which still exists to this day, notwithstanding any statements otherwise.

So the battle, and the cultural war, was between the Jews and the Christians of the entire area. Zacharias told of that cultural war when he prophesied the future of the baby John. Luke, chapter one tells us that the cultural war had already started. *"Blessed be the Lord God of Israel; for he hath visited and redeemed his people, And hath raised up an horn of the salvation for us in the house of his servant David; As he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began: That we should be saved from our enemies, and from the hand of all that hate us: To perform the mercy promised to our fathers, and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to our father Abraham, That he would grant unto us, that we being delivered out of the hand of our enemies might serve him without fear."* ([Luke 1:68-74](#)).

So how was that prophesy nullified? Jesus gave us a system of government, the way we were to live our lives. It was called "The Way" and it was for Israel and only for Israel. Jesus said so Himself:

In [Matthew 10](#), verses 5 and 6 He said this, *"These twelve Jesus sent forth, and commanded them, saying, Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel."* In [chapter 15](#), verse 24 He said, *"...I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel."* The reason for the confusion in that passage is because of the word "Gentile." Strong's Concordance shows it to have four meanings as do all of the deeper study books on the use of the languages in the Bible. The word "Gentile" means in the Greek, *"ethnos*, a tribe; specifically a non-Jewish (Talmudic) one (usually by implication), *pagan*: Gentile, heathen, nation, people. The Apostle John refers to the word Gentile in [John 7:35](#) *"Then said the Jews among themselves, Whither will he (Paul) go, that we shall not find him? will he go unto the dispersed among the Gentiles, and teach the Gentiles?"* In that passage it means the Greeks.

The enemy of Israel wasn't the Roman Empire. It wasn't the people or governments of other nations surrounding the Israel people. It was the Talmudic Pharisees called Jews.

With that introduction, we can now give the history of the Christian Church which has helped to perpetuate the cultural war that had already started at the time of Jesus. It was to be perpetuated for two thousand years. It was localized then but now it is world-wide.

In those early years there were only two ways to carry forth information which was so pertinent to our culture. The first was with scrolls. That method was rather permanent. The bad feature was that there were very few scrolls because they had to be hand copied by scribes. The information was not readily available to everyone.

The other method was to relay information from father to son, down through the ages. This manner could be used by every family but it wasn't very accurate. By the time information passed on down to two or three generations it wasn't the same as when it first started.

So it can readily be understood that in those early days "The Way" became quickly corrupted. We can be sure that those Pharisees of that day did all they could to make the information that Jesus gave into something else. After all, Jesus told us that the Talmud is full of information which makes the Word of God of none effect by the Talmudic traditions. ([Mat. 23](#)).

The book, *The Plot Against The Church*, by Maurice Piney, St. Anthony Press, Los Angeles CA, gives a very detailed account of the penetration of heresies into the Christian movement by the Pharisees.

Because of this totally opposite thinking of the Christian community from that of the Talmudic community, it was natural that animosity broke out between the two groups. It wasn't called "anti-Semitism" in those days but that is exactly what it was. It was Christianity versus the Jews.

As Christianity replaced Talmudism within Israel in those early years, it became necessary for the Jews (Talmudists) to develop a defensive technique to counter the threat. What they did became a standard for them to follow throughout the succeeding centuries. They turned inward within their own people. They became separated. They became a cohesive, well unified organization. It was perpetuated by self-imposed social controls that had powerful influence over its individuals. They developed religious concepts which rationalized their actions.

They developed group economic and political cooperation which utilized the principle of "material interests" which was described in the last issue.. They developed a system which prevented interbreeding with outside groups. At the same time they developed a system of eugenics which practiced inbreeding among themselves. This was done to increase their intelligence. Their Rabbis kept strict family records and detailed historical information. Thus, the brightest boy was saved to marry the brightest girl. To make this unique system work, they viciously fought the idea that any other group could do the same thing.

Again, their power came from the concept of "material interests" which they developed during that period when a small portion of the tribes of Judah and Benjamin were in bondage in Babylon. Remember, the Book of Ezra tells us that only 42,000 returned to Jerusalem to rebuild the temple. There were multiple millions of the children of Israel (the ten northern tribes as well as the greater share of Judah and Benjamin) throughout Europe.

The Talmudic Pharisees tried to impose this system on not only the rest of Israel but the surrounding nations including the Roman Empire.

Much of the information which will be presented in this issue can be read and verified in the book *Separation And Its Discontents* by Dr. Kevin MacDonald, Praeger Publishers, 88 Post Road West, Westport, CT 06881.

We must understand a major difference between the Western European person and nearly all of the rest of the world. The Western European is an **individualist**. He wants to be left alone. He has a "live and let live" mindset. With that mentality, which God gave him, he is creative. Since he always wanted to be left alone, he was required to develop ways to do things with tools. History proves that point. The Western European is the inventor of the world. No one dares argue that point.

When we use the words "Western European" we are referring to all of the Celto-Saxon Teutonic race of people wherever they are currently located.

While the European is individualistic, the rest of the world is basically **collectivist** in nature. They want to do things in a group fashion. When one is in trouble, they all respond in unison. Thus, other peoples will have riots and mass meetings when they don't get their way.

The European thinks as the eagle thinks. He hunts alone. The European thinks as the lion thinks. Other peoples think as a hive of bees or an ant colony. That is not belittling

them. They have Unity and Cohesiveness. If only the European Christian could really develop a little of that. David, in [Psalm 133](#) tells us:

"Behold, how good and how pleasant it is for brethren to dwell together in unity! It is like the precious ointment upon the head, that ran down upon the beard, even Aaron's beard: that went down to the skirts of his garments; As the dew of Hermon, and as the dew that descended upon the mountains of Zion: for there the Lord commanded the blessing, even life for evermore."

The Jewish author Herzog wrote about the lack of unity among what he calls gentiles. "A series of contrasts is set up in the mind of the *shtetl* child, who grows up to regard certain behavior as characteristics of Jews, and its opposite as characteristic of gentiles. Among Jews he expects to find emphasis on intellect, a sense of moderation, cherishing of spiritual values, cultivation of rational, goal-directed activities, a beautiful family life. Among gentiles he looks for the opposite of each item: emphasis on the body, excess, blind instinct, sexual license, and ruthless force. The first list is ticketed in his mind as Jewish, the second as goyish." That is a rather ugly discription which they have of the European culture. That is the way they think, apparently, and it has been that way for 2000 years.

They developed the Ghetto life as they turned inward. They were proud of it because of the system of cooperation, unity and cohesiveness which was built into it. So the Ghetto did not start out as a sort of prison. The Christian community acquired the thought that it may have been the Jew's desire but it also would be a good place to have them separated. As the natural animosity which flowed both ways between the two groups, the Ghetto became a standard method of separation, voluntary or otherwise.

Throughout the first three centuries the Christians were able to keep the Jews from overly influencing their communities. When Constantine became Emperor, and converted to Christianity, he declared the Roman Empire to be officially Christian. This was a huge benefit for the fledgling Church. Contantine simply countered this highly unified and cohesive Jewish culture with laws prohibiting them from marrying Christians, eating with Christians, owning Christian slaves, or even being a part of the Roman government functions.

Regardless of how powerful that deterrent was, the power of unity and cohesiveness was able to overcome the Roman laws. During the fourth and fifth centuries, again because of cohesive unity, the Jewish community came out on top. They took complete control of the Roman Imperial and Munucipal governments. The Roman Empire became top-heavy with larger and larger segments of society working in government offices. During this time the Jews again became prominent in the money markets of banking along with national and international trade. They were the leaders in the law profession, fine clothes, jewelry. They were the leaders in the slave trade.

The European Christian society was relegated to the peasantry of farm work and manual labor. These people were so poor that they couldn't even afford to have children. They were forced to practice abortions and infanticide. To further degrade the Christians, they were forced to pay the larger share of the taxes which were extremely high.

We today should be able to understand and have compassion for these poor people. The same thing happens today. Because of the 16th Amendment to the Constitution, our "graduated" income tax is proportionately higher for the poorer people. It is the "little things" such as the marriage clause penalty which causes so many people to stay unmarried. So many couples refuse to have children because they can't believe modern

society to be conducive to a good family life. It now takes both parents in most families to work just to pay the bills, including the taxes.

To "pour salt in the wound" and to bring it home to modern America, the Roman government was forced by those in control to develop laws which made anti-semitism, or anything associated with an anti-Jewish concept to be politically incorrect. The government reacted harshly to such practices.

Another comparison to modern America can be found in the attitudes of the Roman Christian community itself. The practice of Judaizing became common. Then, as now, it was a matter of self-preservation. Christians would view wealthy Jewish families with envy and they simply reasoned that the best way to improve their own status would be to learn of Jewish ways and to emulate them. They started accepting the Jewish religious rituals as their own.

The Christian Church tried to counter this untenable situation with a change in Theology. A "dualistic system" which defined Christianity as the *thesis* and the Jews as the *antithesis*. Jews were considered evil and represented the beast. They taught that the Jews were an offspring of the devil and a Jewish prostitute! They considered the Jews the predator and the Christian were considered to be the lambs and the prey.

We must remind ourselves that these tactics weren't done to keep Israel intact and "*be saved from our enemies and from the hand of all that hate us.*" ([Luke 1:71](#)). It is probable that the leaders in Christianity still knew who Israel was but not the common person in society. The major thrust of those leaders was not to keep Israel intact but to keep the Church intact. This was an un-Biblical decision of major importance. It is only one of the reasons why we have this millstone called "self-righteousness" hanging around our necks. But the worst is yet to come!

Eusebius, the man who is credited with being the first Christian historian developed a theology which is one of the major reasons for self-righteousness. His theology became, and has remained, the hallmark of Judeo-Christianity.

Eusebius taught that the coming of Christ resulted in a Universalist Community that would eventually include **all** of mankind. He totally discounted the racial teachings of the Old Testament. He taught that Christianity was the Primeval Religion and it embraced all of mankind. He taught that Abraham was the progenitor of the religion for all peoples of the earth.

The sole purpose of this was to expand the Church in its attempt to counter this power-base which the Jews had developed through cohesiveness, unity, collectivism and "material interests." The Church reasoned "one man, one vote" and all they had to do was obtain numbers, huge numbers.

The Church added to the new founded un-Biblical theology the concept of assimilation. The term "assimilation" is not the same as "universalism." To assimilate meant to them as bringing others into the gene pool by marrying them. Universalism means to include all other peoples as being qualified to become Christians. They developed the concept of assimilation at first in the attempt to convert Jews to Christianity. Then it was expanded to all peoples.

Later, during the middle ages, Spain tried it again with the *converso* concept which was simply to assimilate them into the Church. The entire project was such a failure that Queen Isabella and King Ferdinand expelled the entire bunch from Spain in 1492!

In simple terms, they taught that the best way to come out on top is "to breed them out of existence." Today, its the other way around. We officially now have the concept of breeding us into a nice light shade of brown and it isn't to make everyone Christian either! Isn't it amazing what we can learn from history?

So those three concepts (the importance of who was Israel, universalism and assimilation) became the reasons for self-righteousness. If anyone doubts those three concepts, the entire Church structure becomes violent in opposition. The governments at all levels are most willing to assist them in their self-righteousness, just as in the days of the Roman Empire.

During that early period of time in the Christian Roman Empire, the entire empire became a diverse "Chaos of People." It was the historian Houston Chamberlain who created that phrase. The empire became an open door to all of the peoples of the earth, black, white, brown or yellow. But through all of this, the Church was still officially anti-Semitic but it was simply Christianity versus the Jews. They just couldn't, or wouldn't, understand that Jesus taught "The Way" and it was a government, a community, a way of life. It is totally incomprehensible that Church and State can ever be separate. All of our culture is at stake.

In his book *Separation and its Discontents*, Professor MacDonald states this, "It is argued on theoretical and empirical grounds that powerful group strategies tend to beget opposing group strategies that in many ways provide a mirror image of the group which they combat."

Christianity had become a mirror image of Judaism. The Jews taught racial purity, especially among the Sephardics. In reality though, they were, and are of a diverse ethnic culture. Yet they teach racial purity and cling to their Talmud and Phariseism which is totally different from the Bible.

Christianity has become a diverse culture because it clings to a theology that Jesus didn't teach. The Old Testament is not even read by many "New Testament Christians." It has become an *antithesis* of Judaism. So the two systems are a mirror image.

In this issue we will give an example of a true opposite between the two. History will show us the reason why those in Christianity who refuse to believe these teachings of the early Church are considered to be like terrorists. Such minded Christians are considered to be "racists, white supremacists, extremists, neo-Nazi," etc.

When the Roman Empire fell, the entire European society fell into what has become known as the Dark Ages. Everyone, Christians and Jews alike, were forced to return to a more primitive lifestyle. The Jews were by far the worse for it because their whole society depended on the system of "material interests" which required the economic well being of the Christians to survive. In simple terms, the principle of material interests is parasitic. Anyone who practices it is parasitic. Their concept of society which included cohesiveness, unity and collectivism couldn't work if there was no one with money.

The Christian Western European people were capable of being self sufficient and families could survive with their individualism. It could be supposed that the idea of the "mule and forty acres" came from this mindset. It certainly worked to America's advantage as we moved west to form this once great Christian Republic.

Official Church anti-Semitism continued unabated through these perilous times. Culture could not be denied. Augustine taught that Jews should be tolerated in only a subservient role. In doing this they could be used as a testimony for the truth of Christianity.

In the 12th. and 13th. centuries the theology of Christianity took another turn. This time it was in the right direction. The Franciscan and Dominican Friars developed a theology which replaced the then so-called traditional Christian teaching about Judaism. This new concept simply taught that the then present Jews were not the same as Biblical Jews. They learned that the Jews replaced the Bible with this thing called the Talmud and that it had become the basic book of theology of the Jews.

This was a very strange turn of events. Why did it take so long to figure out what [Matthew 23](#) was all about? Jesus very clearly taught that the Jews followed the "traditions of men" and He was explicit about that in chapter 23. He thoroughly taught the evils of Phariseism and he described the evils of the scribes.

We must be grateful that they figured that out, even though the Bible was still on scrolls and there were not many copies available. They still, though, couldn't separate the Jews from the Israel of the Bible. That was yet to come.

The Franciscans and the Dominicans did something else that can be considered good for Christianity. They caused the "walls of separation" to come between Christians and Jews. Anti-Semitism became intense. Jews were forced to wear distinctive clothing by the edict of the Fourth Lanteran Council of 1215. The purpose was to make it impossible for any sexual union between Christians and Jews. Intermarriage was out of the question. So they returned to racial separation.

There is an interesting point to be made regarding the distinctive clothing edict. It included a yellow band to be attached to the arm of the coat. Isn't it strange that we now have yellow ribbons wrapped around trees and attached to the clothes whenever any of our people are captured and placed in bondage? The current detainment of the three soldiers in Kosovo is an example. That is what happens when cultures reverse roles in society. No one can really debate that our country and its culture is once again as it was in the 5th. and 6th. centuries in Rome.

It was a strange twist. Assimilation and Universalism were still the accepted theology. Yet, they outlawed intermarriage. One can only assume that assimilation was to come first and then marriage. If they wanted to marry a Christian they first had to become one. That solved the Universalism and Assimilation problem! They in reality, hadn't removed the problem.

The only good that came from that theology was that it gave strength to the Christian community. This new thinking brought forth a strong merchant class reserved solely for the Christian community. The idea was to oppose and destroy the Jewish merchant and banking establishments which practiced usury. The loaning of money at interest was still very much opposed by the Christian clergy.

A strong "*Burgher*" class was developed among the Christians. The hostility between the Christian "*Burgher*" merchants and the Jewish merchants became intense and hostile. That hostility played a part in the start of the Crusades. The Christians then took the teaching of the Jews regarding the ghettos and carried it a step farther. They built ghettos for them and used them as forced enclosures, a sort of prison! Thus, the yellow ribbons. The conqueror and the conquered!

The Franciscans and Dominicans then developed a Christian theology of commerce and trade. This became a powerful bulwark against the Jewish merchants. The Church even named St. Francis as the patron saint of merchants!

Compare this action to an incident which took place in California several years ago. The Christian community in one of the cities, Los Angeles, as we can best remember, decided that they needed a "yellow pages" booklet which restricted all advertisement to only Christian merchants! Of course, that was stopped immediately with threat of court action, all the way to the Supreme Court, if necessary.

It was during this period of time of the Franciscan and Dominican Friar's new theology that the Christian merchants of England became inflamed over the excesses of the Jewish merchants from Roen, France. They came over with William the Conqueror if you will remember our lesson in history on that subject. The English Christian Merchants took the lead of the Franciscan and Dominican Friars and formed trade associations of various types to restrict the Jewish power. That all lead to the writing of the [Magna Carta](#) and forcing it on King John in 1215 at Runnymede. King John was the grandson of William the Conqueror. If you will read the [Magna Carta](#) very carefully, you will find this story between the lines, so to speak. Of course, we know that the [Magna Carta](#) in 1215 lead to the Great Eviction of Jews from England in 1290. That, too, was forced on King Edward by the Christian Church officials.

Jewish historians are not oblivious to this phenomena. They write many books, tracts, and speeches about it which have influenced their community to acquire the "Never Forgive, Never Forget" mentality. One of the better known historians, Israel Cohen, wrote this about the Christian society in those days. "All of society came to be viewed as an organic unity, whose *'raison d'etere'* consisted of striving for and ultimately realizing the perfect unity of Christ on earth."

It was a simple matter of deductive reasoning on the part of the Dominican and Franciscan Friars. They realized that the European culture was strongly individualistic. They also observed that the Jewish culture was collectivist, cohesive and unified. They saw the strengths of each culture. They reasoned that some cohesiveness and unity would be good for Christians. A little collectivism where the Christian culture thought as a group would be good. They taught that the Christians should strive for the benefit of the entire society.

By persisting **solely** in an individualistic manner, all of us have played a large part in the current destruction of our Christian culture. When a person, or a group of persons such as a church, seminary, or even the dogma of a denomination, becomes so self or individualistic oriented, it can be considered self-righteousness.

The common phrase we so often hear is, "It is just between me and my God." That could better be said, "It is between **us** and **our** God." When we do not believe that, we are failing the Commandment of God when Jesus said, *"Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind. This is the first and great commandment."* That would be in agreement with the statement, "It is just between me and my God." But what about the second part of that Commandment that Jesus gave, *"And the second is like unto it, thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself, On these two commandments hang all the law and the prophets."* That is the part which explains why, "It is between **us** and **our** God."

These Franciscan and Dominican Friars observed the powerful individualistic philosophy which was inherent within European society. They also observed the collectivist, or cohesive philosophy of the Jews. These friars taught, as Christian Theology, that the group, not the individual, was paramount. They taught that Christians were to strive for the benefit of the entire society. In other words, "Love your neighbor as yourself."

The Old Testament says the same thing. In [Leviticus, chapter 19:18](#), *"Thou shalt not avenge, nor bear any grudge against the children of thy people, but thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself: I am the Lord."*

These Franciscan and Dominican Friars were extremely influential in creating a system that at least somewhat utilized the concepts of unity, cohesiveness and cooperation among the entire Christian society. The extremely strong individualistic characteristic among the people would remain and whenever a country would begin following the teaching of these theologians, the society would prosper. The Jewish society was not large enough, or powerful enough, to overcome this course of action. Consequently, that country would rise to the top within the European society. Then, they would return to their old ways and fail. Another country would then pick up on it and that country would prosper. That phenomena remains with us today.

This remained the normal for Europe between the 13th century and the end of the 18th century. Overall, the failure of the church to comprehend the mistakes that the earlier church leaders made regarding the un-Biblical theology of Assimilation and Universalism allowed the Jewish society to remain in control, economically and politically.

The situation changed starting at the beginning of the 19th century. Because of a monumental change in Christian theology which developed, the 19th century was violent. The two cultures, Christian and Jewish, became an exact opposite of each other. Remember, we stated that the earlier churches were only a mirror image. This time it was different and the Jewish community reacted violently.

It took the entire 19th. century for the new theology to develop. At the beginning of the century, philosophers such as Kant and Hegel demanded assimilation of the Jews into the culture. By the end of the century, there was a definite shift to an ethnic divide between Christians (especially Germans) and the Jews. Assimilation hadn't worked from the time of Eusebius and they reasoned that it would never work.

Emperor Napoleon of France believed strongly in assimilation of the Jews. He organized a convention of the Jewish Sanhedren in 1807. He apparently was confident that he would succeed and everyone would be a big happy family! His bubble was burst at the very outset of the conference when Rabbi Solomon Lipmann gave the opening speech at that convention.

Rabbi Lipmann said this, "Let us not forget whence we sprang. No more talk of German, or of Portuguese Jews. Though scattered over the earth we are nevertheless a single people."

The century began with the official blessing of assimilation by Emperor Napoleon in 1807 and the century ended with the First Zionist Congress in Zurich in 1897!

Assimilation wasn't working and it wasn't going to work, according to the Europeans. The drive for a change started in the leadership and intellectual circles and spread to the ordinary citizen.

The political activist Heinrich Treitschke stated, "Many Germans (and other Europeans) had ceased being active Christians but the time will come, and is perhaps not so far off, when necessity will teach us once more to pray. The Jewish question will not come to rest before our Hebrew fellow citizens have become convinced, by our attitude, that we are a Christian people and want to remain one." As a part of the "never forgive, never forget" attitude, Treitschke was and is called an anti-Semite for that statement! Wouldn't it be more accurate to say "pro-Christian?"

The English born historian Houston Chamberlain, who is also called anti-Semitic, stated this, "Christianity was an indispensable cohesive force in a class-torn nation; religious rebirth alone could renew the spiritual basis of society, reaffirming the principles of monarch, social hierarchy, loyalty, discipline, and race. Religion, not politics, was the basis of a new Germany."

Richard Wagner, who was very popular with the people for his composition of plays and poetry, measurably influenced the people of Europe with this statement, "The Jews had not assimilated to gentile culture, they did not identify with and merge themselves into the deeper layers of that culture, including religious and ethnic influences."

Professor MacDonald, in his book *Separation and its Discontents* wrote this, "The predominant attitude among German intellectuals at the beginning of the century was that granting Jews civil rights was contingent on complete Jewish assimilation. Jews would cooperate in becoming completely assimilated in exchange for their political and economic emancipation. In the minds of their early 19th-century critics, Jews constituted a nation--an atypical nation to be sure, since it was not confined to a particular territory and its criterion of citizenship was birth by a Jewish mother. But it was a nation nonetheless, and such a conceptualization was entirely congruent with Jewish self-conceptions at least since the Middle Ages and widespread among Zionists later in the century. Jews would have to give up this condition in order to be Germans."

Another leader of this new concept of Christianity was Adolf Stoecker. He stated this, "I found Berlin in the hands of Progressives--who were hostile to the Church--and the Social Democrats--who were hostile to God: Judaism ruled in both parties. The Reich's capital city was in danger of being de-Christianized and de-Germanized. Christianity was dead as a public force; with it went loyalty to the King and love of the Fatherland. It seemed as if the great war had been fought so that Judaism could rule in Berlin. It was like the end of the world. Unrighteousness had won the upper hand, love had turned cold."

Christians, not only in the United States, but throughout all of the Celto-Saxon Teutonic lands, should think of just what Adolph Stoecker said, those many years ago. Is not Christianity dead as a public force? We can truthfully say that none of our political parties put God first in any of their proceedings. They all think in Talmudic terms when making law. Certainly Judaism rules in all of the parties. Read the book *Jewish Power* by J.J. Goldberg, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co. ISBN 0-201-62242-4.

The book is full of examples in modern day life. It is a book which could have been titled "Pouring Salt Into the Wound!" Did Judeo-Christianity play the biggest part in this decline of our Christian culture?

In the Magazine *Christianity Today* dated October, 1998 there is an article entitled "How Evangelicals Became Israel's Best Friend." The article starts with this: "In its fiftieth anniversary year, the state of Israel has no better friends than American Evangelicals. So it seemed to Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu when he addressed the Voices United for Israel conference in Washington, D.C., in April, 1998. Most of the 3000 in attendance were evangelicals, including Ralph Reed of the Christian Coalition, Kay Arthur of Precept Ministries, Jane Hanson of Women's Aglo, and Brandt Gustavson of The National Religious Broadcasters. Jerry Falwell and Pat Robertson supported the conference but did not attend.

"On the day before he met with President Bill Clinton, who urged him to trade West Bank land for peace with the Palestinians, Netanyahu told the conference: 'We have no greater friends and allies than the people sitting in this room.'

"To many observers, the close relationship between Israel and many American evangelicals seems baffling. Many American evangelicals pledge their love for the state of Israel, support its claims against those of the Palestinians, and resist anything that might undercut Israel's security."

On the bottom of the cover page, are the pictures of C.I. Scofield and John Darby. It was these two men who brought to America the Premillennialist concept which has resulted in an Israeli State at all costs.

In past issues we have covered in some detail the story of "togetherness" which developed in England starting with William the Conqueror in 1066. We described how this ended with the [Magna Carta](#) and then the great eviction in 1290. Then we showed how Oliver Cromwell reintroduced the Jews into England with the agreement between Manasseh ben Israel and Cromwell which began the infamous program, "Together, with the marriage between our money and your nobility we will rule the world." That they did with the famous British Empire.

The British Empire and its colonies, as we have known them, are now defunct. However, a new system of colonialization replaced it with the current "multinational corporations" and the world trade agreements such as [NAFTA](#) and [GATT](#). All of the systems from William the Conqueror, through Oliver Cromwell, to the current malignancy, are examples of the "Principle of Material Interests" which we discussed in the last issue.

We have also given considerable attention to the entire spectacle of creating the state of Israel. This all started in "merry old England" starting with the Earl of Dysart, his wife Elizabeth, the CABAL, Lord Shaftsbury, Lawrence Oliphant and on down to Lord Balfour and the Balfour Declaration. The die was cast with no turning back, no matter how many other peoples and nations opposed it.

When we take all of that story in perspective with the history of the world since, we can understand something perhaps we hadn't thought about. For example, the British Empire and the European Continental nations have been in conflict throughout this period. Germany has been the major threat to England and the antagonism between the two has become legend.

The international wars, revolutions and civil wars throughout the 19th century, can be attributed in one degree or another to this phenomenon. We have discussed the Balkan situation in past issues. We have discussed the need for Germany to build the Bagdad Railroad in their attempt to find a method of shipping goods overseas following the great wars of the 19th century. England prevented that.

Every bit of this can be attributed to this great cultural war. It was the influence of the Christian community in England with its effort of rebuilding the nation of Israel that can be considered the root cause. All of this is why we have such a word as Judeo-Christianity.

The "Principle of Material Interests" which Major Osman Bey defined so well, has a Biblical name. It is called "Mystery Babylon." When we put the two terms together we should be able to understand why all of those wars of the last century and this century turned out the way they did. We have political analysts, historical analysts and Biblical analysts attempting to reason why each of those wars ended the way they did. But, until we relate the "Principle of Material Interests" with "Mystery Babylon," nothing makes sense. God would not permit those wars to be any different until history has run its course. It is **His** show, not ours.

We must remind ourselves, "Mystery Babylon" is a New Testament term. Further, it is described in the very last book of the Christian Bible. We can most readily read the Book of Revelations in the sense of a cultural war. That war is between Christianity and Talmudism.

Without being presumptuous enough to even attempt to prophesy, it doesn't take much deductive reason to relate the unbridled massive immigration into all the Christian countries and the out of control global economics with the tremendous uneasiness everywhere throughout the world. *"And he cried mightily with a strong voice, saying, Babylon the great is fallen, is fallen, and is become the habitation of devils, and the hold of every foul spirit, and a cage of every unclean and hateful bird. For all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication, and the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her, and the merchants of the earth are waxed rich through the abundance of her delicacies."* ([Rev. 18:2-3](#))