

Continuum of Care Renewal Project Rating Form [enter year]

Project Name: _____ Date _____

Evaluator Name: _____ Org: _____

Max 100

| Threshold Requirements: | | |
|---|---------------|--------------|
| Does application propose serving an eligible population? | Yes | No |
| <i>-See eligible population definitions for this year's competition</i> | | |
| Does the application include appropriate documentation of required Match and Leverage? | Yes | No |
| Does the application propose an eligible project type? <i>[insert eligible project types]</i> | Yes | No |
| Has the SFS 424 documentation been updated and completed? | Yes | No |
| Is the proposed project budget less than or equal to the amount of available funding? | Yes | No |
| IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS IS NO THE APPLICATION WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED FOR FUNDING | | |
| | | |
| Part A: Housing Emphasis (Maximum Points – 20) | | |
| | Points | Score |
| Permanent Supportive Housing | 10 | |
| Rapid Rehousing | 10 | |
| Transitional Housing | 5 | |
| | | |
| Housing first approach employed | 10 | |
| Low/very few barriers at intake /moving towards housing first | 8 | |
| Some barriers | 2 | |
| | | |
| Total for Housing Emphasis | | |
| Part B: HMIS Data Quality & APR (Maximum Points – 15) | | |
| Participant Programs | Points | Score |
| 1. Data Completeness Report | | |
| A | 10 | |
| B | 8 | |
| C | 6 | |
| D | 4 | |
| E | 2 | |
| F | 0 | |
| | | |
| 2. Does applicant provide HMIS or aggregate data for non-McKinney funded projects? | | |
| Yes | 5 | |
| No | 0 | |
| | | |
| Total Points for APR & HMIS Data Quality | | |
| Part C. Target Population (Maximum Points - 10) | | |
| (Multiple choices allowed) | Points | Score |
| Individuals or Families Experiencing Chronic Homelessness OR Long Term Stayers | 5 | |
| Homeless Households with Dependent Children | 3 | |
| Unaccompanied Youth/Veteran/Victims of DV | 2 | |

| | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Total Points for Target Population | | |
| Part D. Leverage | (Maximum Points – 5) | |
| Documented leverage included in renewal application package | Points | Score |
| Match | 1 | |
| Leverage 100% or higher | 4 | |
| Leverage 50-100% | 2 | |
| Leverage less than 50% | 1 | |
| Total Points for Leveraging | | |

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Part E. Performance | (Maximum Points – 40) | Points | Score |
| Refer to the CoC PM chart for established target by program type | | | |
| Participant Project Performance (if an initial APR has not had time to be completed then the outcomes reported score should be n/a and total score adjusted to bring it up to 100%) | | | |
| 1. % maintaining or exiting to permanent housing: | Met or exceeded goal | 10 | |
| % maintaining or exiting to permanent housing: | 80% to 99% of goal | 5 | |
| % maintaining or exiting to permanent housing: | 79% or less of goal | 0 | |
| | | n/a | |
| 2. % of households that have non-employment income: | Met or exceeded goal | 10 | |
| % of households that have non-employment income: | 80-99% of goal | 5 | |
| % of households that have non-employment income: | 79% or less of goal | 0 | |
| | | n/a | |
| 3. % participants with employment income : | Met or exceeded goal | 10 | |
| % participants with employment income : | 80-99% of goal | 5 | |
| % participants with employment income : | 79% or less of goal | 0 | |
| | | n/a | |
| 4. % of participants with mainstream benefits: | Met or exceeded goal | 10 | |
| % of participants with mainstream benefits: | 80-99% of goal | 5 | |
| % of participants with mainstream benefits: | 79% or less of goal | 0 | |
| | | n/a | |
| Total Points for Performance | | | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Part F. Administration | (Maximum Points – 10) | Points | Score |
| Drawdown from HUD monthly | | 3 | |
| Program Utilization 80% or higher | | 4 | |
| Attend CoC meetings - 80% or higher | | 2 | |
| Attend CoC subcommittee meetings | | 1 | |
| Total Points for Administration | | | |

Selection Committee comments:

FINAL SCORE

NOTE: The section points are examples and will be set by the CoC each year to reflect local priorities