2020 Massachusetts Football Prev Team Profile Rk 2019 Game-By-Game Recap

130

119

129

107

93

103

100

110

2



Head Coach (Yr) Walt Bell (2) Offensive Coord. Walt Bell (2) Defensive Coord. Tommy Restivo (2) Conference/Div Independent

2020 Team Power Rating 36.4 Power Ratings Diff vs Last Year +8.3 2020 Strength of Schedule 58.4 2020 Season Win Projection 1.6 Returning Starters (OFF/DEF) 14 (7/7) 45 Return Starting QB (YES/NO) YES **Returning Production %** 51% Returning Offense Production 52% 51% Returning Defense Production 2020 Recruiting (Signees) 25 2020 Roster Talent Rank

2020 Offense/Defense Analysis

If there was one team that could ill afford not having spring practice this season it was the Minutemen who are coming off one of the worst seasons we've ever seen at the FBS level. Not much was expected of them coming into last season. It was the first year under head coach Walt Bell and the Minutemen were one of the least experienced teams in the country with only 8 returning starters. However, even with a win over Akron, statistically speaking UMass was by far the worst team in the country in most categories (see stat margins below).

This year the Minutemen will be improved but they do lose RB Bilal Ally (853 rushing yards, 7 TD's) who was arguably their best weapon on offense. Three of their top 4 receivers return and they also bring back QB Andrew Brito (830 yards, 7-6 ratio). However, Brito is very small in stature at 5-foot-8 170. Left tackle Larnel Coleman is their best offensive lineman and a 2-year starter.

On defense, the Minuteman return 3 of their top 5 tacklers led by Cole McCu brey (84 tackles). However, they do lose arguably the best player on the team in CB Isaiah Rodgers (4 INT's) who was also their top return man. Their best pass rusher Chinedu Ogbonna (2 sks, 7 TFL's) does return.

The schedule is not overly difficult (#119) but UMass was so far behind the rest of the FBS last year that they will likely be an underdog in every game. Still, they are 2-3 winnable games.

2	020 Schedu	ile v	vith B	P Pr	Diec	ted L	ines	5
Date	Opponent	Line	Win %	Line	Total	Score	W/L	O/U
9/3	at Connecticut	10.3	26%					
9/12	Troy	21.4	7%					
9/19	Albany	14.5	16%					
9/26	at App St	37.2	2%					
10/3	at New Mexico	14.2	17%					
10/10	Temple	24.9	5%					
10/17	at Akron	8.1	30%					
10/24	FIU	17.7	9%					
10/31								
11/7	New Mex St	7.3	32%					
11/14	at Auburn	55.2	0%					
11/21	Army	20.4	7%					
11/28	at Liberty	20.9	7%					
	Projected W	ins	1.58					
Last 5 Voar Rocords				tet2 2TA				

lası j tear kecu 2015 2016 2017 2018 2 Straight Up 3-9 2-10 4-8 4-8 1 Home 1-5 2-4 2 - 33-3 1 Away 2-4 0-6 1-5 1-5 0 Neutral 0-0 0-0 1-0 0-00 Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0Non-Conf 1-3 2-10 4-8 4-8 ATS 4-8 7-5 5-7 6-6 2 Home Fav 1-0 1-1 2-1 1-3 Home Dog 1-1 2-3 1-2 1-2 Away Fav 1-0 0-0 0-3 1-0 1-4 4-2 4-0 Away Dog 1 - 4Conference 2-6 0-0 0-0 0-0 7-5 Non-Conf 2-2 6-6 5-7 4-7-1 7-5 **O/U** 6-4-2 8-4 2015 (SU: 3-9, ATS: 4-8, 0/U: 4-7-1) 2017 (SU: 4-8, ATS: 6-6, 0/U: 6-4-2) 2016 (SU: 2-10, ATS: 7-5, 0/U: 7-5) Opponent

9/12

9/19

9/26

at Colorado

10/10 at Bowl Green

11/7 Akron 11/14 at E Michigan 11/21 Miami, Oh 11/27 at Buffalo

10/17 Kent St 10/24 Toledo 10/31 at Ball St

Temple at Notre Dame FIU

9%	6						
32	%						
0%	6						
7%							
7%							
1.5							
nd	C			TC	Sta	at	
	9	IIMo	00 f	ailed			tho
2019	Total	UMa			to		
l-11	14-46						of 11
1-5	9-20						ing of
)-6	4-26	28.0					years.
)-0	1-0		En	d Of	Sea	SON	
)-0	2-6	Po	wei	Rat	ina	201	i-19
-11	12-40	105					
2-9-1	24-35-	1 95					
)-1	5-6	85					
2-3	7-11	75					
)-0	2-3	65			~	_	
)-5-1	10-15-	1 55 -	_				
)-0	2-6	45					
2-9-1	22-29-	1 35					
8-4	33-24-		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019

	2019	Sta	nte	
Rushing	Umass		Opp	Rk
YPC	3.5	121	6.5	130
YPG	124.6	114	299.1	130
Passing	Umass	Rk	Opp	Rk
Comp %	55.6%	102	68.2%	129
YPA	5.2	129	9.9	130
YPG	168.5	117	262.1	109
TD-INT	15-16		32-10	
Total	Umass	Rk	Opp	Rk
YPP	4.3	127	7.8	130
YPG.	293.1	126	561.2	130
Scoring PPG	Umass	Rk	Opp 52.7	Rk 130
3rd Down	19.8 Umass	118 Rk	Opp	Rk
%	34.6%	109	51.4%	127
Red Zone	Umass	Rk	Opp	Rk
TD %	58.8%	76	79.7%	129
Scoring %	76.5%	105	88.4%	106
KO Ret	Umass	Rk	Opp	Rk
Avg	20.7	66	21.7	88
Punt Ret	Umass		Opp	Rk
Avg	8.5	52	11.9	112
Sacks	By	Rk	Vs	Rk
#	12	126	27	67
TFL's #	By 48	Rk 128	Vs 93	Rk 117
[#] Net Punt	48 Umass	Rk	95	11/
Avg	36.6	104		
4th Down	Off	Rk	Follow	Brad
Att P/Gm	2.4	15	on Twi	
Turnovers		Rk 👩	BradPo	wers
Margin	+1	53		
Penalties		Rk		
Per Game	6.9	102		
	g Quart) 20 1	ter-by 3Q 4		ter Tota
Umass 65			5 0	237
Opp 15		117 9		632
2010				
ZUIS) Sta t			1S
		1	DI	
Margin		-/-	Rk	
	PC -	3.1	Rk 13	
Rush Y	PC -	3.1	13	0
Rush Y Pass Yl	PC - PA -	3.1 4.7	13 13	0 0
Rush Y Pass YI YPP	PC - PA -	3.1 4.7 3.5	13 13 13	0 0 0
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG	PC - PA - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268	13 13 13 13 .1 13	0 0 0 0
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG	PC - PA - -	3.1 4.7 3.5	13 13 13 .1 13	0 0 0 0
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring	PC - PA - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9	13 13 13 .1 .1 13 13	0 0 0 0 0
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019	PC - PA - - g - ndiv	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 idu	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	0 0 0 0 0
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019	PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 idu eturn	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	0 0 0 0 0
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019	PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 idu eturn Att Yo 55 86	13 13 13 .1 13 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 13	0 0 0 0 ats 8
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 Passing Randall W Andrew B	PC - PA - - g - Bold = R A est 1 rito 1	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 idu eturn Att Yo 55 86 70 83	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 0 13 0 13	0 0 0 0 ats 6-6 7-6
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Randall W Andrew B Rushing	PC - PA - - g - Bold = R A est 1 rito 1	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 iclu cturn (tt Yo 55 86 70 83 (tt Yo	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	0 0 0 ats Ratio 6-6 7-6 TD
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Randall W Andrew B Rushing Bilal Ally	PC - PA - - - g - Bold = R A est 1 rrito 1 A	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 iclu cturn Att Yo 55 86 70 83 Att Yo 66 85	13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(al St 460.0 54.7 ds YPC 3 5.1	0 0 0 0 ats 6-6 7-6 TD 7
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Randall W Andrew B Rushing Bilal Ally Cam Rob	PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 iclu eturn Att Yo 55 86 70 83 Att Yo 66 85 16 35	130 130 130 130 130 130 al St al St 0 54.7 0 54.7 0 54.7 3 5.1 3 5.1	0 0 0 ats 6-6 7-6 TD 7 2
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Randall W Andrew B Rushing Bilal Ally Cam Robo Receiving	PC - PA - - - s - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 6 6 70 83 xtt Yo 66 85 16 35 Rec Yo	13(13) 13(13) 13(0 13) 0 13(al St ing ds % 4 60.0 0 54.7 ds YPC 3 5.1 5 3.1 ds YPC	0 0 0 ats 7-6 70 7 2 TD
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Randall W Andrew B Rushing Bilal Ally Cam Robo Receiving Zak Simo	PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 iclu eturn att Yo 55 86 70 83 Att Yo 66 85 16 35 Rec Yo 2 35	130 139 139 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130	0 0 0 0 ats 6-6 7-6 7 2 TD 2
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Randall W Andrew B Rushing Bilal Ally Cam Robe Receiving Zak Simo Sadiq Paln	PC - PA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 60 60 60 70 83 84 70 83 84 70 83 84 70 83 84 85 86 70 83 84 85 86 70 83 84 85 86 70 83 85 86 70 83 84 85 86 83 85 86 83 84 85 86 83 84 85 86 84 85 86 86 85 86 86 85 86 85 86 85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130	0 0 0 0 (ats 7-6 7-6 7 2 TD 2 2
Rush Y Pass YI YPP YPG Scoring 2019 I Passing Randall W Andrew B Rushing Bilal Ally Cam Robo Receiving Zak Simo	PC - PA - - - - Bold = R A est 1 rrito 1 A erson 1 F n 3 mer 2 milus 2	3.1 4.7 3.5 268 32.9 iclu eturn att Yo 55 86 70 83 Att Yo 66 85 16 35 Rec Yo 2 35	13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 14) 14) 15) 11, 15) 11, 15) 11, 10, 13) 11, 14) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(13) 13(11)11(13)(13)(13)(13)(13)(13)(13)(13)	0 0 0 0 ats 6-6 7-6 7 2 TD 2

Cole McCubrey 84 1

Martin Mangram 65

66 0

60

48 1

42 0

7-9 38

0

1

Mike Ruane

Jarvis Miller

Kicking

Punting

Tyris LeBeau

Isaiah Rodgers

Cooper Garcia

Georgopoulos

Teams **Open Close Score ATS Margin** UMass 54.5 21 Over: 14.5 Rutgers -11 -16.5 48 Cover: 10.5 -Rutgers had a 548-307 yard edge and averaged 10.9 yards per pass while Umass only averaged 3.3 yards per pass. -UMass led 21-7 after the first quarter, only to see Rutgers score the game's final 41 points! Open Close Score ATS Margin 79.5 78.5 45 Cover: 30 -4.5 -6 21 Under: 12.5 Teams S Illinois UMass -Southern Illinois had 21-15 first down and 502-321 yard edges including 237-123 on the ground. -Umass actually led 13-10 with under a minute left in the first half. **Open Close Score ATS Margin** Teams 61 66.5 17 -17 -21 52 UMass Over: 2.5 Cover: 14 Charlotte -Charlotte had 22-15 first down and 533-262 yard edges including 338-135 on the ground **Open Close Score ATS Margin** Teams $\begin{array}{rrrr} -14 & -16.5 & 62 \\ 62 & 62 & 28 \end{array}$ Cover: 17 Over: 28 Coa. Caro. UMass -Coastal Carolina had 35-20 first down and 636-329 yard edges including 334-109 on the ground. Teams **Open Close Score ATS Margin** Akron -5.5 -8.5 29 Over: 4.5 UMass 60.5 61.5 37 Cover: 16.5 -Umass had 24-22 first down and 433-406 yard edges including 220-71 on the ground. The Min-utemen were also +2 in TO's. UMass entered the game without six players, T three offensive starters and a special teams starter after coach Walt Bell suspended them for a violation of team rules. Open Close Score ATS Margin 65 69.5 0 Under: 25.5 -25 -27.5 44 Cover: 16.5 Teams 65 -25 UMass FIU -FIU dominated with 26-5 first down and 541-115 yard edges including 278-38 on the ground .-- FIU led 34-0 at halftime and put it on cruise control in the second half. Open Close Score ATS Margin 63 63.5 21 Over: 26.5 -31 -31.5 69 Cover: 16.5 Teams **UMass** La Tech -LT had a 689-347 yard edge including 385-126 on the ground. -LT led 52-14 at halftime. Teams Open Close Score ATS Margin Connecticut -9.5 -9.5 56 Cover: 11.5 UMass 62 62.5 35 Over: 28.5 -Uconn had 25-24 first down and 539-439 yard edges including 326-200 on the ground. Open Close Score ATS Margin -24 -23.5 63 Cover: 18.5 67 70 21 Over: 14 Teams Liberty UMass -Liberty had 31-10 first down and 730-240 yard edges! Liberty easily won and covered despite being -3 in TO's. Liberty led 49-14 at halftime Open Close Score ATS Margin 61.5 60.5 7 Over: 9.5 -33.5 -34.5 63 Cover: 21.5 Teams UMass Armv -Army had 34-7 first down and 546-125 yard edges including 498-26 on the ground. **Open Close Score ATS Margin** Teams UMass 57.5 6 -39 45 Cover: 0 Under: 6.5 58 N'Western -40 Tkl Sks TFL INT -Both teams had 16 first downs but Northwestern 3.5 1.5 0 did have a 410-310 yard edge including 334-83 0 on the ground. 1 0 -NW was -2 in TO's and only led 24-6 entering 3.5 0 the 4Q. 1.5 1 Teams **Open Close Score ATS Margin** 3 4 -42 -41.5 56 71 68.5 24 Over: 11.5 Cover: 9.5 BYU FG LG XP UMass 29-30 -BYU had 26-15 first down and 628-292 yard Avg I20 50+ BLK edges including 320-146 on the ground. 39.422 8 -BYU led 49-0 at halftime 0 2018 (SU: 4-8, ATS: 5-7, 0/U: 8-4) 2019 (SU: 1-11, ATS: 2-9-1, 0/U: 8-4) St. 4-8, 0/U: 4-7-1) 2016 [SU: 2-10, ATS: 7-5, 0/U: 7-5] 2017 [SU: 4-8, ATS: 6-6, 0/U: 6-4-2] 2018 [SU: 4-8, ATS: 5-7, 0/U: 8-4] Line Score WLO/U Date Opponent Line Score VLO/U Line Score VLO/U Date Date Date Date Date Opponent Line Score W/ 8/30 at Rutgers +16' 21-48 L 9/7 Southern Illinois -6 20-45 L 9/14 at Charlotte +21 17-52 L Line Score W/LO/U +16'21-48 L 054' s -6 20-45 L u78' Southern HINGL at Charlotte +21 17-52 L Coastal Carolina +16' 28-62 L Akron +8' 37-29 W at FIU +27' 0-44 L '31' 21-69 L 066 9/21 9/28 10/5 060 062 u69 10/12 at La Tech +31'21-69 L 063 0/1 10/12 at La tech 10/19 u74 10/26 Connecticut u64 11/2 Liberty 067' 11/9 at Army u57' 11/16 at Northwestern 066' 11/23 BYU +9' 35-56 L 062' +23'21-63 L 070 +34'7-63 L 060' +39 6-45 P u57' +41'24-56 W u68'