

Summary of Scenario Planning WG Call #12
Tuesday, Nov. 23, 2010, 2:00 p.m. EST

Official/designated WG members in attendance: David Boguslawski, Steve Gaw, Michael Goggin, Matt Lacey, Scott Morris, Diane Barney, Marya White, Bob Pauley, Gabe Stern, Maryam Sharif (alt. for Paul McCurley), Tim Noeldner, Alice Jackson, Sonny Popowsky, Herb Healy, Robert Stein, Ellen Vancko, Seth Kaplan, Terry Black (alt. for Mark Kresowik) David Meyer (DOE), Joe Bryson (EPA), Flora Flygt (EIPC Liaison), David Whiteley (EIPC) and Caitlin Connelly (Keystone)

RUWG Members: Jeff McKinney, Fred Plett, Wil Burns, Paul Peterson

1. Updates from other WGs, SSC, EIPC

- Futures Criteria minor change: SSC added a clarification to the plausibility criterion, but otherwise accepted the criteria.
- Dec. 14-15 meeting reminder: Two key action items for SPWG: 8 descriptions of Futures and give input on Baseline Infrastructure Criteria. These items are due Dec. 6. Reminder: Deliverables Chart on website.

2. Feedback from SSC conference call related to BAU

- The SSC didn't make any decisions about the BAU; rather it will look at all Futures descriptions at the December meeting.
- The SPWG agreed that they would recommend that the BAU be the first case to be specified and sent to CRA for modeling.

3. Baseline Infrastructure Criteria

- This is a decision item for the Dec. 13 & 14 SSC meeting.
- There are three proposals being considered by the RUWG, and the RUWG members are running the list of projects through the different sets of criteria to get an approximate understanding of which are included/excluded in each proposal.
- The results of these comparisons will be shared with the SPWG.

4. Discuss EISPC feedback on Futures and finalize recommendations for SSC
(1.5 hrs)

- The SPWG discussed EISPC's feedback and proposed changes to the Futures descriptions. Specific items discussed and decided upon included:
- Future 1. BAU
 - Policies with sunsets/expiration dates
 - In the SPWG BAU description, these policies would all expire, whereas EISPC would have them all continue.
 - The SPWG decided that it would recommend that these be renewed on a case-by-case basis.
 - Load growth/ EE/DR
 - EISPC wanted the description to say "each region reviews growth rates." Additionally, States wanted EE/DR to be considered separately.

- Some were concerned this was infeasible, given that different PAs handle EE/DR differently, and they also wanted to clarify that the states would be the entities reviewing the growth rates.
 - The group adopted a modified version of EISPC's language, including the following adjustments: EE/DR will be treated separately from load growth "if feasible and within the time frame allowed." States will "review PA's growth rates/ forecast and provide input prior to finalization."
- Future 2. Federal Carbon Constraint: Nat'l Implementation
 - EISPC would have CCS become "commercially viable" after 2020. The SPWG did not reach consensus on adopting that language, therefore the EISPC proposal was not adopted.

5. **Next Steps**

- Next Call at 1:30-4:30 EST Tuesday 11/30.