

Summary of Scenario Planning WG Call #3
Tuesday, Aug 31, 2010, 2:00-3:30 p.m. ET

Official/designated WG members in attendance: David Boguslawski, Steve Gaw, Dan Hartman, Alice Jackson, Seth Kaplan, Mark Kresowik, Matt Lacey, Paul McCurley, Scott Morris, Doug Nazarian, Tim Noeldner, Sonny Popowsky, Maryam Sharif (alt. for Gabe Stern), Robert Stein, Ellen Vancko, Mark Volpe, David Meyer (DOE), Joe Bryson (EPA), Flora Flygt (EIPC Liaison) and Catherine Morris (Keystone)

1. Updates from EISPC

- Diane Barney provided update from recent EISPC meeting which was primarily a brainstorming session of what should be modeled. Next steps are to aggregate, find common themes and start constructing scenarios. They are targeting to produce narrative descriptions (not quantitative) by the end of October.
- In terms of distinguishing between scenarios and sensitivities, EISPC will let it evolve by finding the common themes and seeing what rises to scenario level.
- EISPC is addressing coordination with the SSC by overlapping staff.
- EISPC would like to collaborate in the scenario development process and ultimately will select 4 of the 8 macroeconomic futures.

2. Proposed Work Plan

- General approach is to develop 8 or 9 scenarios at a high level first, run them by the SSC for guidance and direction, and then flesh them out further.
- If the work group is ready with the high level scenarios in advance of the October meeting, they may seek guidance from the SSC via a phone call to keep the work moving forward.
- The latest possible date to get something in writing to the SSC in advance of the October meeting is October 5th (assuming waiver is granted for providing action items 2 weeks in advance).
- It was clarified that by the end of phase 1 in October 2011 the results of the macro work should be complete, meaning the three scenarios should be defined (what they look like from a resource standpoint). The actual transmission analysis work will be done in phase 2.
- It was suggested the group come to a common understanding of terminology and share the definitions with the SSC.
- Revisions to Work Plan:
 - Under ‘Work Group Objectives’ bullets 1 and 2, the words ‘plausible’ and ‘appropriately’ will be removed, respectively, to avoid the statements being misconstrued as too limiting.
 - Similarly, under the second bullet, the wording will be revised with ‘such as’ to avoid the appearance of the potential types of drivers under consideration being limited to the three things listed.
 - Terminology will be made consistent throughout, with the definition of eight “macroeconomic futures” and three “transmission build-out scenarios.”

3. SSC Feedback on Work Plan

- The best way to proceed is for the SSC to review the charges of all work groups as a whole. Keystone will work on coordinating this.

4. Primary Drivers

- Members agreed to begin generating a list of potential drivers via e-mail.
- They agreed to proceed in a manner that keeps the process open and avoids clogging up in-boxes. The e-mail conversation will be limited to the official work group members; others that have comments should go through their official members.
- Mark Kresowik offered to compile the initial round.

5. Coordination with the Modeling Group

- Members thought the current staffing overlap that exists among the workgroups was sufficient for now.

6. Next Steps

- Keystone will redraft the charge and workplan, incorporating changes discussed above.
- Keystone will arrange a conference call with the SSC if needed so WGs can seek endorsement of their workgroup charges/workplans.
- Official members will send proposed key drivers to Mark Kresowik