

From: "Butler, Scott" <BUTLERS@coned.com>
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2015 5:24pm
To: "jbuechler@nyiso.com" <jbuechler@nyiso.com>, "rll@levitan.com" <rll@levitan.com>
Cc: "Hargett, Christopher" <HARGETTC@coned.com>
Subject: Comments on EIPC Target 2 Study

A few brief comments on the report. In reviewing it, we assumed that the time for comments on the methodology and assumptions has passed.

- Redispatch – We understand that the assumed generator dispatch was economically driven and the potential to redispatch the electric system to reduce gas use was not examined. There should be a paragraph in the executive summary making clear that the constraints on the gas system would not necessarily affect electric reliability and that further analysis would be needed to make that determination.
- Maps – The color-coded maps are still a bit confusing as they leave the impression that a constrained area is the area upstream of a constraint, rather than the area downstream of a constraint.
- Affected Generation Graphs – The bar charts showing “affected MW” are misleading because they make it appear that generation that is cannot be completely served is all affected. The fact that only some affected MW would not be served is explained in the text, but we question whether the visuals really provide any useful information. It would make more summarize the percentage of generation gas load that can be served with existing capacity (assuming firm load is entirely served).
- Gas and Electric Load Assumptions – We understand the origin of the loads from the text of the report, but it would be easier to grasp the significance of the load levels if they were put in context, e.g., equivalent to an average winter or a design winter. If that’s in there and we missed, our apologies.

Scott Butler
Energy Policy and Regulatory Affairs
Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc.
4 Irving Place – Room 2315S
New York, NY 10003
(212) 460-3862 (Office)
(917) 531-2728 (Mobile)

