

September 19, 2014

Mr. & Mrs. William S. Lund
Mr. Bradford D. Lund
6632 North 66th Place
Paradise Valley, AZ 85253

Re: File No: 11-2210, Bryan F. Murphy, Respondent
File No. 11-2296, Daniel Cracchiolo, Respondent


Gentlemen and Mrs. Lund:

This acknowledges your letter to State Bar of Arizona Chief Bar Counsel Maret Vessella dated September 4, 2014. I am the bar counsel assigned to the cases that are the subject of your letter. Ms. Vessella and I have spoken and she authorized me to respond on her behalf.

Although the legal issues in your litigation and the ethics issues implicated by your many lawyer misconduct charges differ in some respects, the evidence related to those issues overlaps. Also, since your multiple ethics charges generally track the legal proceedings, the cases are intertwined. We agree with Judge O'Neil's view that resources are best utilized by staying the lawyer ethics cases while the litigation proceeds since the latter will produce a voluminous record that will help distill the valid from invalid claims and defenses, and crystallize the issues. I am monitoring the litigation and when the legal issues are resolved I will ask Judge O'Neil to lift the stay and allow us to proceed in the bar matter.

I have chosen not to address your letter point by point, but you made one allegation to which I must respond. You have alleged that the State Bar "condones the unethical and illegal activity of 'select' attorneys." The State Bar does not condone the unethical and illegal activity of **any** attorney.

Respectfully,



David L. Sandweiss
Senior Bar Counsel

DLS/dds