**Palynology Research Laboratory**
Department of Anthropology
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843-4352
(979) 845-5242   FAX (979) 845-4070

March 11, 2016

Mary E. Klein
T.M. Klein & Sons
11785 Wahl Rd.
St. Charles, MI  48655-8554

Dear Mary,

I have completed the pollen study of the honey sample you submitted for analysis. Specific details about the extraction and analysis procedures I used for this sample is mentioned below and are identical to those I normally use on other such samples.  These procedures are outlined below.

**EXTRACTION PROCEDURE:**

To conduct a pollen study of raw honey we first must dilute it before the pollen can be removed for analysis.  For our study, we use a 10g sample of raw honey for the analysis.  The sample of raw honey is diluted with 10 ml of distilled water and 100 ml of ETOH, and then heated to $100^{\circ}$ F to ensure a complete mixture.  This is a technique that we developed and has now been adopted by most others (Jones and Bryant, 2004, **The use of ETOH for the dilution of honey** *Grana* 43: 174–182).

Next, we add one tablet containing a total of 18,583 *Lycopodium* spores to enable us to conduct a pollen concentration study for each sample.  We use these lycopod spores because they are not utilized by bees for any purpose and thus we do not have to worry about these being found in natural honey sources.  Once these initial stages are complete, the pollen sample is dehydrated with glacial acetic acid and then heated in a mixture of a sulfuric acid and acetic anhydride.  This chemical treatment, called *acetolysis*, is designed to remove lipids, waxes, and cytoplasm thereby making the pollen easier to identify.

Once the acetolysis process is complete, each sample is again dehydrated in glacial acetic acid and treated with a series of distilled water rinses.  The resulting pollen residue is stained to create contrast for microscopic analysis and photography.  Finally, we mix a few drops of glycerin into the sample and mount one drop of it on each microscope slide for analysis.  To ensure an accurate representation of the overall sample we stir the sample for one minute on a Vortex stirrer before removing each drop for analysis.  Our laboratory experiments and published results have demonstrated that this technique ensures that each drop is a true reflection of the original sample.

Analysis of a honey sample follows a two-step procedure.  First, the sample is scanned at 400x under a microscope, initial identifications are made of each pollen type, and key

photographic images are taken of each pollen type.  During this procedure if a pollen grain is not one we are familiar with, we will compare it with our extensive modern pollen reference samples on file in our laboratory in hopes of finding a match.  Second, a quantitative pollen count is conducted for each sample to determine the pollen types present and the frequency of each taxon.

A statistically valid quantitative pollen count of 200+ pollen grains is conducted for each sample as originally recommended for honey specimens in 1978, by Louveaux, Maurizio, & Vorwohl (**Bee World, Vol. 59:139-157**).  Quantitative counts are used because testing has shown that these offer an accuracy of greater than 95% as to the actual composition of pollen taxa within a given honey sample. The result of our pollen count for your sample is included below (Table 1).

We have followed the reporting system recommended by Louveaux *et al.* (op. cit.) and others who stress that pollen results should be listed according to percentage classes rather than actual percentages when counts of between 200-1200 grains per sample are conducted. We show the actual percentage counts for general reference but these are not deemed totally accurate for honey samples until a total count in excess of 1,200 pollen grains per sample is reached.  We rarely count this many pollen grains for a honey sample because in most cases it is not needed and because larger counts add cost and time considerations.

**The recognized pollen percentage's classes used for honey analysis are**:
•        A= >45%, called predominant pollen types
•        B= 16-45%, called secondary pollen types
•        C= 3-15%, called important minor pollen types
•        D= <3%, called a  minor pollen types

In making quantitative counts, each pollen type is identified to the family, genus, or in some cases species level.  Sometimes the pollen types within one plant family (such as the **Asteraceae** [composites]; **Liliaceae** [lilies], **Myrtaceae** [gum family], **Poaceae** [grasses], **Rhamnaceae** [buckthorns], **Rosaceae** [rose family] and **Ericaceae** [ericades]) are diagnostic at the family level yet often many of their genera are not easily separated into specific types or species because of their morphological similarity with one another.  In some other large plant families, such as the **Fabaceae** (legumes), we are able to identify some taxa to the generic level yet others in this family produce pollen types that are too similar to one another to distinguish at the genus level without extensive reference collections and studies at levels of higher resolution using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

A pollen concentration value (PC) of pollen grains per 10g of honey was calculated for your sample.  This value usually ranges from a few thousand pollen grains to more than one million.  As Maurizio (1975) has noted, the number of pollen grains in individual honey samples can vary greatly, therefore, she recommends using a set of concentration categories.  Honey pollen counts in **Category I:**  contain less than 20,000 grains/l0 g.  Often, honey in this category represents samples that have been pressure-filtered, honey from floral sources that produce little pollen, honeys that were partly produced by sugar-feeding bees, or honey that has been adulterated by adding high-fructose syrup or adding highly-filtered honey with no pollen.

Usually, honeydew honey samples also fall into this first category. Pollen concentration counts in **Category II:** contain between 20,000-100,000 grains/10 g, which includes the majority of honey produced in the world from most floral sources. **Category III:** pollen concentration values range from 100,000-500,000 grains/10 g and represent floral sources that are high pollen producers or indicate that some of the comb storage cells containing pure pollen may have been mixed with the extracted honey. **Category IV:** includes pollen concentrations between 500,000-1,000,000 grains/10 g. That category along with honey in **Category V:** (containing pollen concentrations of more than 1,000,000 grains/10 g) indicate honey that is produced from a few floral sources that are extremely rich in pollen (i.e., *Myosotis sylvatica*, *Cynoglossum officinale*, etc.).

Pollen concentration values are very important and useful because they give us a general idea of the amount of pollen present and also suggest the geographical location where the honey was produced. In some cases, adulterated honey samples that have been mixed with highly-filtered honey or with quantities of other sugars (i.e., cane sugar or corn syrup) will contain low pollen concentration values. Nevertheless, without chemical isotope testing for possible adulteration, pollen concentration values alone are generally not sufficient to warrant such a claim for added sugar adulteration.

We calculated our pollen concentration value using the formula

PC= $\dfrac{\text{(\# of \textbf{Lycopodium} spores added) x (\# of pollen grains counted)}}{\text{(\# of \textbf{Lycopodium} spores counted) x (amount of honey (grams) processed)}}$

The complete pollen count for your sample is listed below. A summary of the pollen types found and the pollen concentration values is also noted.

## ANALYSIS:

The honey sample you sent is actually a good example of a Multifloral Honey because it is not dominated by any one pollen or nectar type. Some of the primary pollen and nectar sources include composites, soybean, mustards, and clover. There are some other minor pollen and nectar types noted as well. Of special interest is the soybean pollen.

As you may know, soybeans are an important honey plant in some regions of the United States, but not all soybean varieties produce enough nectar to enable bees to make a surplus of honey. The traits that are important to soybean growers, which include yield, nutrition, and tolerance to pests, disease, and droughts, are not always the ones that produce plants with the higher nectar yields. Soybeans in any location often include both early and late season varieties. Planting different fields with different varieties helps the farmer spread out the harvest and also provides longer flowering periods for honeybees.

The importance of honeybees in soybean production is important. In a study conducted by Dr. Matt O'Neal at Iowa State University, he found that when soybeans are planted near beehives the soybean yields often increase from 10% to 30%. Dr. O'Neal also noted that many beekeepers report that when their hives are close to soybean fields, often the honey they produce is dominated by soybean nectar.

The pollen concentration value of 45,777 is within the expected range for normal multifloral honey types from most regions of the US.

**Table 1**
**Relative Pollen Counts of your Honey Sample**

**Klein Honey 2016**

| POLLEN TAXA | 2016 | % |
|---|---|---|
| *Acer* (maple) | 0 | 0.0% |
| AMARANTHACEAE (amaranth & goosefoot) | 2 | 1.0% |
| APIACEAE (umbel family) | 15 | 7.4% |
| ASTERACEAE (ragweed-type) | 5 | 2.5% |
| ASTERACEAE (sunflower-type) | 18 | 8.9% |
| BRASSICACEAE (mustard family) | 14 | 6.9% |
| *Cannabis* (marijuana) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Carya* (pecan, hickory) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Castanea* (chestnut, chinkapin) | 7 | 3.5% |
| *Centaurea* (thistle) | 6 | 3.0% |
| *Cephalanthus* (buttonbush) | 1 | 0.5% |
| *Glycine max* (soybean) | 37 | 18.3% |
| *Impatiens* (touch-me-not) | 1 | 0.5% |
| *Juglans* (walnut) | 0 | 0.0% |
| LAMIACEAE (mint family) | 1 | 0.5% |
| *Ligustrum* (privet) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Liriodendron* (tulip poplar) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Lonicera* (honeysuckle) | 2 | 1.0% |
| *Lythrum* (loosestrife) | 8 | 4.0% |
| *Mimosa* (various mimosa) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Myosotis* (forget-me-not) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Nyssa* (gum) | 1 | 0.5% |
| *Oxydendrum arboreum* (sourwood) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Parthenocissus* (Virginia creeper) | 2 | 1.0% |
| *Phacelia* (scorpion weed) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Pinus* (pine) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Plantago* (plantain) | 5 | 2.5% |
| *Platanus* (sycamore) | 0 | 0.0% |
| POACEAE (grass family) | 3 | 1.5% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *Prosopis* (mesquite) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Prunus* (plum, peach, cherry) | 1 | 0.5% |
| *Quercus* (oak) | 0 | 0.0% |
| RANUNCULACEAE (buttercups) | 0 | 0.0% |
| RHAMNACEAE (buckthorn) | 5 | 2.5% |
| *Rhus /Toxicodendron* (sumac, poison ivy) | 2 | 1.0% |
| *Robinia* (locust) | 0 | 0.0% |
| ROSACEAE (rose family) | 4 | 2.0% |
| *Rubus* (blackberry, dewberry) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Rumex* (dock) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Sagittaria* (arrowhead) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Salix* (willow) | 3 | 1.5% |
| *Sanguisorba* (burnet) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Tilia* (basswood, linden) | 8 | 4.0% |
| *Trifolium/Melilotus* (clover) | 42 | 20.8% |
| *Vicia* (vetch) | 0 | 0.0% |
| *Vitis* (grape) | 1 | 0.5% |
| *Zea mays* (maize) | 1 | 0.5% |
| | | |
| Unknown pollen | 7 | 3.5% |
| | | |
| Totals | 202 | 100.0% |
| | | |
| Lycopodium spores counted | 82 | |
| | | |
| Pollen conc. per 10 grams of honey | 45,777 | |

| Honey Pollen Categories | Honey Pollen Concentration Categories | |
|---|---|---|
| A= >45%  predominant pollen type | Category I | 0-20,000/10 g |
| B=  16-45% secondary pollen type | Category II | 20,000-100,000/10 g |
| C=  3-15% important minor pollen type | Category III | 100,000-500,000/10 g |
| D= <3%  minor pollen type | Category IV | 500,000-1,000,000/10 g |
| | Category V | over 1,000,000/10 g |

.       I hope this summary gives you a better idea about the composition of the honey samples you sent for analysis.  Should you desire additional clarification of this report please let me know. We received your check, thank you.

Sincerely,

Vaughn M. Bryant, Jr.
Professor and Director