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    ``` 2 we'll move on to the next one which is -- and we'11 3 let our planner, Nick, run that discussion. It's 4 amendments to the Neighborhood Plan for South Seaside Park and its addendums to the 6 Berkeley Township Master Plan. So, we're just only 7 going to discuss the amendments that were made, as 8 the rest of it was previously discussed two months ago.

1 of new dwellings that would be subject to damage 2 from coastal flooding. Establish conditional use 3 standards for multi-unit residential development 4 types to incorporate storm resilience.

And then on page 45, we just add some
6 text there that clarifies that any development
7 should recognize and protect the community character 8 of South Seaside Park, being supportive of the existing densities and features of the neighborhood. Then we add some further clarifications to the residential land use districts with the island residential A land use area, which coincides with the \(\mathrm{R}-50\) zone on the barrier island. Just stipulating that uses not compatible with the existing character of the neighborhood. And, currently, R-50 permits such conditional uses such as mining operations, and then this, I guess, collective term known as hospitals, clinics and charitable institutions. That's not three different things, that's one thing. And there's certain conditions for anything that would go under that banner. So, I put those as two different types of uses, because with the hospitals' one, that requires a contiguous acreage of 25 acres.

Island Residential B, the other

1 change there is just, again, modify, making
2 recommendation to modify the conditional use
3 standards removing such things as the mining
4 operations and the use known as the hospitals, 5 clinics and charitable institutions.

The Island Residential Flex, which
corresponds to the \(\mathrm{R}-4 \mathrm{~F}\) district, which is currently
in place, the recommendation there -- well, there's
some clarification just saying that, you know, what
is currently permitted, how one to four-family is
based on bulk and lot area requirements for its use.
The recommendation here has been modified to say, to
acknowledge that most of the existing houses in the
area are single family in character; it is
recommended that the \(\mathrm{R}-4 \mathrm{~F}\) district be revised to
reflect these conditions, and that two through
four-family development could be eliminated or
permitted as a conditional use, subject to
significant parking, buffer and floor area
standards. And the recommendation would be that those would be conditional uses.

Oceanfront mixed use. This is just a quick clarification just saying that if any changes are made to that zone, while currently it defers any residential development to the R - 50 zone, if the

1 R-50 zone is replaced with a island specific \(B R-50\), this is just -- just acknowledgment that that 3 cross-reference should be adjusted like the island 4 beachfront residential 50 zone.

MR. CAMERA: Excuse me one second, if 6 I can, through the chair. I know sometimes there's 7 issues if too many mikes are on. Thank you.

MR. DICKERSON: Then moving to -- is that better now? All right. And moving on to the island cottage community land use area. Just a few areas of clarification here. A large section of midway is currently zoned for neighborhood business, which, based on some of the recommendations for neighborhood business, it's not necessarily entirely compatible. So, the recommendation there is, if there is any sort of business proposal that should be used in a future zone district for this area, it should really reflect the design characteristics and -- of Midway Beach.

The island townhouse district
corresponds to the island -- the existing
residential townhouse zone. And, again, this -- the
changes here acknowledge that there's -- these
different modular communities have different
ownership management structure, which will dictate
certain types of development on the ground. But,
2 again, similar to the island flex, there's a
3 recommendation that, currently, the area's single
4 family cottages, the recommendation be that any zone
changes include either the elimination of townhomes
from the area or establish significant conditional
use standards. Again, like parking, buffering,
floor area standards. As well as -- and that would
also apply to any permitted two through four-family
uses, which is currently permitted in the townhouse district.
and also, the one other comment that, if appropriate, standards that complement community association rules and standards may be considered as part of the changes to the residential townhouse or through the creation of a separate zone for this area. And so, that takes us through that. and then, finally, the only other change there was with the discussion about the neighborhood business zone in midway Beach, proposed zoning map would remove that, the neighborhood business district there and create -- put that all under the island cottage community land use. And just, again, I just want to clarify that this is a plan. And, as such, these are just recommendations

1 that all of these things, if the plan is adopted.
2 This is not adopting any new zoning at this time.
3 That would come at a later point. The governing
4 body would have to introduce ordinances. They'd be 5 reviewed by the planning board. And then they'd be 6 voted upon at the governing body. So, again, this
7 is just the land use plan and its subsequent
8 recommendations.
The other changes throughout that
errata sheet, again, are either the ones to address
some of the DCA comments, as well as some formatting
typographical errors that we had noticed that we
just wanted to clear up. But we wanted just to make
sure that everyone was aware of, no, this didn't
change by itself. We wanted you to know that, yes, these little changes were made to create some clarity. So, that is about it on those changes. so, I'm open to any questions from the board.

MR. WINwARD: okay. Does anybody
have any questions from the board?
Seeing there's none, what I'd like to do is open up the public portion. Because some of these changes were initiated by comments from the last time we had the hearing.

MR. FULCOMER: Jim Fulcomer,

10
356 Roberts Avenue, Berkeley Township. All right.
The -- first of all, the --
(off the record.)
MR. FULCOMER: Can you hear me?
All right. Jim Fulcomer, 356 Roberts
Avenue, Berkeley Township.
All right. The Island A residential,
it's good that you're recommending the elimination
of mining operations, hospitals, clinics and
charitable institutions. That's correct in every -all those categories, right?

MR. DICKERSON: Uh-hum.
MR. FULCOMER: But you still have, as a permitted use, professional offices and churches in residential A and B. Now, churches, first of all, they'd have to tear down some houses. There is one double lot where you could put a church. But if you had a church, half of South Seaside Park would be inundated with cars. The residents wouldn't like that too much.

Now, the other thing, of course, could be a mosque if it's a church or it could be a temple. But the point is is that it really -- that is not a neighborhood that's appropriate for churches. That really should be eliminated as a
permitted use.
The -- I know from experience what
happens when you put a church without adequate parking, off-street parking. What happens is, blocks end up with homes with cars in front of their homes. Sometimes parking close to the driveways creates a problem. I know that from experience because my father-in-law had that problem. He didn't like it too much. So, I can never forget that.
The other thing, professional
offices. I'm not too sure professional offices is a good thing for that area. Because you can have a professional office on one side of your house, professional office on another. You could end up having a lot of people and a lot of traffic. That is really -- shouldn't be a permitted use in that area. Particularly in the summer when there are -there are, usually, are not enough parking spaces for many of the residents. Because a lot of people bring in their renters. And a lot of people bring in their family. I, for example, have, on the verge of having eight grandchildren. I have three children with their significant others, spouses, et cetera. If they're all there together that -- which
is the case of many other people, because their
2 families come in and take advantage of the
3 recreational pursuits in the area. That would not
4 be good. I don't think that would even be good for
5 a professional office.
Somebody could make the mistake of
7 having a professional office there. And a traffic
engineer come down in the middle of winter when
9 there are practically no cars there and 90 percent
of the people aren't living there, say, oh, it's
great, you know, plenty of room on the street. And
then in the summer, you have a problem. Even the
person with a professional office is going to have a
problem. So, I think that's a big mistake. There's only one permitted use that makes sense. And that is, in \(A\) and \(B\), is one-family homes.

Now, the island residential flex.
Now, you still have professional homes is permitted, according to what I see here in your amendment. You have home occupations. Now, I know some people might think home occupations are all right. Maybe they are. Maybe -- but professional offices, same problem. You're going to have a whole bunch of cars there. They always have more cars than the off-street parking allow for the professional
office. And I ought to know, because when I was a councilman in Rahway, I would get complaints from the neighbors. The doctor's office, all these cars. Then there's another one down the street. None of my guests have a place to park. And that was not an area where people recreate. So, that would be -would not be good for the neighborhood. And unless politicians in town like people complaining, I don't recommend that that be allowed, because you will get complaints.

The other thing is under island residential flex. You correctly recommend that two-family through four-family developments be eliminated. Those were wonderful words. Except you add the word or, or permitted as a conditional use, subject to significant parking, buffering, floor area. Well, they're still going to be, if they meet those conditions, because they are in the zoning ordinance. I was told that you don't go before the board. People are not given a notice that, all of a sudden, there's going to be a four-family house there or three-family or two-family house or -- I don't know about three-family. But, anyway, I think elimination is fine. That's what the people in the neighborhood want. Everyone living in the flex

1 zone, when this was discussed, said they do not want
2 two-family through four-family development in their
3 neighborhood. But they don't want it as a
4 conditional use either. Those words, or permitted
5 as a conditional use, should be eliminated, along
6 with the rest of the sentence if you want to make 7 people happy.

Now, the townhouse zone, island
9 townhouse. Here he says it's recommended that townhouses be eliminated. That's fine so far. But
then you add the words, or significant conditional
use standards may be implemented, or. In other
words, you're saying you can still have townhouses.
I say you should eliminate, or significant
conditional use standards be implemented. There shouldn't be townhouses.

And, by the way, I did a little
research on townhouses. A lot of the -- for
example, there is one townhouse in the flex use
zone. And I think Councilman Bacchione probably
campaigned there. This townhouse is fine as long as you have air conditioning. But on every -- on the east side and the west side, there are no windows. And then -- there are six of them. And they're very close together. I don't know where there are
windows where they're very close together, but I know that if there were windows, I could extend my hand and shake the hand of my neighbor if there were windows. There may not be windows. Now, what happens when there's no air conditioning in those type of houses? There's no cross breeze. I know that, too, because I had a shed built once. And I was advised by the, that if you don't have a window on at least three sides of the shed, there will be no cross breeze. So, whoever granted that zoning application should be ashamed of themselves. Because if the electricity goes down, boy, those people are going to suffer.

Also, townhouses in Leisure village, et cetera, they have that same problem. They don't -- they don't have windows on two sides. I don't think that's the type of home that we would want anybody in Berkeley Township to endure. And I know the president of the -- of our local association has spoken out against townhouses repeatedly over the years. And he spoke out against the townhouse that was built. of course, he did not get his wish. But he was absolutely correct, as the results indicate.

Then it says, in a later sentence, it
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is recommended that two through four-family houses 2 be eliminated. That's fine. That's wonderful. But then, again, you get into, or significant
4 conditional use standards be implemented, which means that you can still have two through 6 four-family houses. Nobody in that area, I can tell you, nobody that I talked to, nobody spoke to me, 8 wants two through four-family houses in that neighborhood. That would greatly upset them. And you haven't seen them. But you wouldn't want them, since they're there mostly during the summer, to come out to a council meeting and complain about the possibility of that happening. So, I just recommend that if you get those changes, that would be good.

The only other thing that concerns me, even though I don't think anybody ever showed up to complain, there's no real protection in the oceanfront mixed use zone for single family homes that I can see. And there's some very nice homes there. They cost a lot of money. More than mine, probably. And it would be a shame to have their neighborhood, their immediate neighborhood disturbed by something they don't want.

So, that's it. Thank you very much
for your time. I am pleased that there has been

MR. WINWARD: Thank you.
MR. FULCOMER: Thank you.
MR. WINWARD: Is there anybody else
5 that would like to comment on the, on this plan and 6 the amendments?

MR. HARDENBURG: I brought ten
8 copies. I'll let you two gentlemen to share. Ask
9 you to hand those out, please.
MR. MCGUCKIN: Get your name on the
record first.
MR. HARDENBURG: Yes. I'11 wait till
the copies are handed out.
MR. MCGUCKIN: You need to do it first, then we'll mark.

MR. HARDENBURG: Okay. That's fine.
Mark Hardenburg, 36 ocean, in the South Seaside Park portion of Berkeley Township.

A major goal of the neighborhood plan
is to improve resilience. And talking to some people, they mistakenly thought that because midway
22 Beach limits the height of houses, that that would
3 force houses down into the floodplain. That's not
4 true. And on the second page of the handout that I gave everyone, you can see Midway Beach's policies
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1 and codes. And I circled the things that apply. It
2 talks about the foundation heights vary due to
3 floodplain requirements. The first floor of the
4 house must start one foot above the required flood zone requirements, base flood elevation.

And then it goes on to say in the
other one, the height of the unit starting at this
one foot above the base flood elevation we just
talked about, up to the peak of the house cannot exceed 16 feet. So, yes, we do limit the height of the house, but it kind of depends on the base flood elevation. so, allowing two-story houses on the lanes in Midway will not increase resilience. Resilience is already baked into midway's considerations for houses on the Lanes. Midway already allows these one-story houses to be raised or built above the floodplain. Allowing two-story houses on the Lanes in Midway will stir up a hornet's nest. And it does that without increasing resilience. I know that there's a vocal minority that wants it. Midway voted on this issue and the majority of people in Midway didn't want it.

There seems to be two issues wrapped up in this neighbor plan, resiliency and zoning. As far as the zoning, from coming to the meetings, my
sense is that most residents looked around and, without knowing what the ordinances said, say, said, I like what I see, keep it the way it is. And what they meant by that, I believe, is what I meant, was, don't keep it the way it's written where you can do strip mining, and all this other. Keep it the way it is when I look around and I see it. And the same applies to Midway. Midway has been two stories on the ocean and one story on the land for years. And we would ask you to keep it that way. As the president of Midway Beach, I, again, ask that you allow -- you do not allow two-story houses on the Lanes.

Midway, people in Midway voted and they didn't want this. If you do introduce it, it will create a number of problems, including parking, extremely intense use in the zone, utility problems, et cetera. And even introducing all those problems, it would not improve resilience. That's it. Any questions?

MR. WINWARD: Do you have anything?
MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. Just to
clarify, and I believe we may have discussed some of
this before. It's just, I think the major
clarification here, and just so that we are on the
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same page is, I don't think that there was any
2 recommendations to increase to a second story. That
3 was something that we had discussed at the last
4 community meeting in April. I think the
clarification here for the resiliency purposes was
to clarify the language in the ordinance. Because
right now, the dual zones that govern Midway
Beach -- and I'm not talking Lanes versus
oceanfront. I'm talking the BR district versus the LR district, which actually permits residential
structures as high as 60 feet in height. Clarifying that language to address the concerns that you have raised.

MR. HARDENBURG: Right. The last one that I saw, and maybe it was out of date, talked about taking the BR zone, which is the beachfront residential zone, which is two stories, and expanding that all over midway. And there was some resistance to that. Now it sounds like what you're saying is that there would be two zones, the BR and the LRL?

MR. DICKERSON: Currently, the -just to clarify, the BR zone has standards for the 4 oceanfront cottages, as well as those on the Lanes. 25 It's, the way the ordinance is currently written --

1 this is not in the plans, this is what is in the 2 books right now, is that there -- the way BR is, you have the -- I forget the term that they use for on the Lanes. But there's oceanfront and then non-oceanfront structures. And there are standards for both. However, the BR zone, as a whole, for both the Lanes and the ocean, are not shown on the zone map. The zone map refers to an area that is called residential low rise. And there's nothing
that defines what a low rise structure is, although
it can be permitted to as high as 60 feet in height, as well as other -- and I think you need like a contiguous acreage, that's something very large, to build this. It's almost like a planned use development.

Mr. HARDENBURG: I think there was a mismatch.

MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
MR. HARDENBURG: where the mismatch
was that the zone plan said one thing and the ordinance said something else. And --

MR. DICKERSON: That might be the case.

MR. HARDENBURG: And what we're asking here is, make it all conform to what it is
1 now.

MR. HARDENBURG: okay. okay. Any
other questions for me while I'm here?
MR. WINWARD: We don't have any.
Thank you.
MR. HARDENBURG: Okay. Thank you.
MS. FuLCOMER: Hi. Cathy Fulcomer,
356 Roberts Avenue in Seaside Park.
Now, I have a couple of questions on
this. Because, when are the residents notified --
were the residents -- okay.
would the residents be notified if
there's any change in conditional uses? Am I
phrasing that right? You know, the conditional uses here, when -- like, I'm always notified if somebody wants to build a dock or something, or their deck is bigger. Is there anything in here any places where
we would be notified?
MR. DICKERSON: I'm going to need you
MS. FULCOMER: Okay. If you're going
MR. DICKERSON: -- if the ordinance

MS. FULCOMER: okay. If someone's
coming forward to apply for a conditional use, are the residents notified?

MR. DICKERSON: That conditional use application does go before the planning board. so, it would require the same notice that a conditional use application would entail.

MS. FULCOMER: But is that just the
people that live around the anticipated conditional
use, like a townhouse going in? Are they notified
that a townhouse -- someone has applied to put a
townhouse here where they aren't usually placed?
That's what I'm trying to get at.
MR. DICKERSON: Again, just like any
other application that goes before the zoning board,
if you are -- if an applicant is looking to develop
something based on a conditional use and seeking
conditional use approval, they would have to provide
4 the notice that would be required of them that --
for any application that would be going before the 6 planning board.

MR. MCGUCKIN: It's a 200 foot notice
MS. FULCOMER: It's, pardon me?
Mr. McGUCKIN: It's a 200 foot notice
requi rement.
MS. FULCOMER: Oh, okay. That clarifies that. Thank you. okay. That's good. Thank you.

All right. Then I have one, another
question about the DHC -- I mean the DCA. I'm
sorry. The DCA. Is there anything in -- just so I
understand the procedure properly -- is there
anything in your proposed land use plan that could
be contrary to DCA standards, recommendations? In
other words, once you, if you adopt the land use
plan, is this ever referred to the Department of
Community Affairs?
MR. DICKERSON: The plan was -- so, when we submitted the draft to the planning board,

1 the draft was also submitted to New Jersey --
MS. FULCOMER: Okay.
MR. DICKERSON: -- Department of
Community Affairs. They provided comment. And we responded to those comments. And those edits are the ones that are detailed in the sheet that was attached to the document.

MS. FULCOMER: Okay. SO --
MR. DICKERSON: And the final plan
ultimately gets sent to DCA as well, for their --
MS. FULCOMER: That was my question.
so, they -- so, it's not really finalized till they
actually approve it, since they're funding the study?

MR. DICKERSON: On their side, for their purposes, for the grants, they -- again, they made their comments. We responded to them. They said, these are great and they -- and there were some comments. And they said, once you address those comments, you upload it to us, and then they sign off.

2 Things that are not related to the use of the 3 property. It's more the design.

MS. FULCOMER: Oh, okay. That's not
5 what I was thinking it was. Okay. Thank you for 6 clarifying that.

And then I have another question
about buffers since, you know, I'm not in this
business or anything. I can understand the word
buffer, but I was wondering -- let's see, let me
make sure I'm on the right page here. Yeah. Island
residential B, let's see, recommended that R-4
districts be revised to reflect conditions that
two-family through four-family development be
eliminated or permitted, the conditional use clause,
subject to significant parking, I understand that, buffer and floor area standards.
what would be a buffer? Even though
I can visualize something, in this particular case, what would be a buffer standard? That's my question.

MR. DICKERSON: Again, at this point, it's a recommendation.

MS. FULCOMER: Uh-hum.
MR. DICKERSON: when it comes down to

1 you're referring to modification of bulk standards, 2 could you give me an example of bulk standards. I
3 mean, I understand what the footprint would be or 4 the, you know, the measurements of the lot. Is bulk
like how big the second story is or the third story or what is that?

MR. DICKERSON: What page are you
referring to? And is this one of the comments
that's -- that was one of the amendments?
MS. FULCOMER: Yeah, island
residential B . There's a number of places where I
see the word bulk and I'd just --
MR. DICKERSON: Bulk refers to --
MS. FULCOMER: -- like to understand
that.
MR. DICKERSON: -- setbacks. That's going to be your front yard setback.

MS. FULCOMER: Oh.
MR. DICKERSON: Your side yard
setback.
MS. FULCOMER: I didn't know that.
MR. DICKERSON: Your lot coverage.
MS. FULCOMER: oh, okay.
MR. DICKERSON: It can be floor area.
MS. FULCOMER: Oh.
the ordinance development, that would be where the
determination of what would constitute a sufficient
3 buffer. And that could be fencing. That could be
just an area of space. That could be plantings.
and I'm sure there are other design elements that 6 could serve as a, quote/unquote, buffer.

MS. FULCOMER: Okay. So, then, that
8 would be done, let's say, by the municipal -- by the
municipality and not the resident, then, right,
10 buffer standards? or are you saying --
MR. DICKERSON: The standards would
be established and be put in the ordinance. And
then, so, any applicant would have to follow those.
MS. FULCOMER: Okay. okay. All
right. So, I think I understand all of that. And I didn't write down anything else.

I would just like to reiterate what, some other comments that have been expressed and what we expressed at the meeting that we had at the first aid center. And thank you for that. That was very good. Thank you for conducting that. Is that we still -- no, not we -- me. I'm not speaking for anybody but myself. I still feel relatively uneasy about conditional. And I still feel that townhouses would make our area too crowded, okay. That was

1 just my last comment on that. Thank you very much 2 for your time.

First of all, I want to thank
9 Berkeley Township for having, Nick having that 0 meeting over at Tri-Boro. That was very helpful.
1 and I think that the amount of people that attended
the meeting to say and -- say that we are concerned
about what's going on in South Seaside Park, I think
you did hear what we were trying to tell you.
5 Though, as I look over some of these changes that
you made, I don't think you exactly heard what we wanted either.

I look at this conditional that you
put in there, this conditional. As far as I know,
conditional means the planning board and the zoning
board. I believe we have one person from
south seaside park on the planning board. on the
zoning board, we have no one. Yet, you are deciding
what's going to happen in South Seaside Park. We
look at it and our only course to take is with what
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1 you're telling us here with this plan, we're looking
2 out for you. South Seaside Park residents, we're looking out for you.

I don't think you're looking out for 5 me when I can still have and someone can still put 6 townhouses from 14th Avenue to Beach Avenue. And those townhouses, because of the floodplain, can be 40 feet there. I don't think you're looking out for 9 us when you didn't tell us the density that can be put in there.

I look at this. I went up to ortley Beach, Toms River Township. I looked on the ocean side. And I see the density of townhouses, the density of condos, the density of four-family houses. Which, to me, conditionally, you can approve that. And I'm shocked that the people from Ortley Beach haven't risen up and say, enough with the density in Ortley Beach. You can't move. You can't park anywhere.

Now, you're telling me that, Mr. Whiteman, we're trying to keep a hold on that down there. Yet, in the flex zone, I still can see multifamily houses being built there. I see that the people of South Seaside Park said, when they 25 said, leave it the way it is, they're talking about,
we want single family houses in the flex zone. We want single family houses on the ocean side.

I said, we want it -- which I thank you for putting that in, Nick -- to put lots that
5 are 31.5 in that area now where the -- between
14th Avenue and Beach. You did make mention of
that. That's something that I think is positive.
But the idea of townhouses in our area, I just look
at the highly dense area in Toms River Township, and
it's horrible. And I go up there during the
summertime to get my bagel at The Bagel and I can't
even find a place to park. That's the first thing.
so, the conditional I don't like. Everything should
have been single family put down. And then if a
change comes, they see you. I don't see that.
I want to ask you, Nick, will you
lose the grant, this grant that the government is
giving you, if this is held up in court for four or
five years? Is there a time limit or did you
already get the money?
MR. DICKERSON: There are time limits
to these grants. But I don't really have an answer
to that kind of question.
MR. WHITEMAN: we are in a
de-annexation situation. You're asking for some
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changes in there. All right. Now, back in 1977,
2 which I will say, when the last de-annexation took place, they had a master plan, Nick. It was held up
4 in court for five years. They couldn't do anything for five years until the de-annexation was settled.
6 They went to a judge. The judge said yes, nothing can change.
money if that occurs?

MR. Whitteman: Okay. Someone, Nick, wants to put a bar in the area. Is this covered under this at all? Restaurant with a bar.

MR. DICKERSON: Is what covered
and --
MR. WHITEMAN: In other words, if someone decides to put a bar in south Seaside Park in the area along Central Avenue, is that something where they have to go before the planning board and zoning board to do that? Is that something that is conditional?

MR. DICKERSON: Again, we're talking hypotheticals. And, again, I'm trying to understand 25 what part of the plan you are referring to,
8 town is going to look for us -- look out for us.
9 The town didn't look out for us, okay. Then they
put, Ebby's now has alcoholic beverages served
1 there. Never contacted anyone. Never contacted
within 200 foot. There's no parking for it. Yet,
the town didn't look out for the residents in that
area. Now we have the Pier House going for an
alcoholic beverage license to put a bar there also.
There hasn't been letters sent out within 200 feet
to notify the people that there is a bar going up
there also. The only time that they required that,
is when Berkeley Seafood wanted to go with their
alcoholic beverage, they were made to contact people
within 200 feet. The town is looking out for us.
    My point is this: That's all I'm
hearing. You guys are looking out for us. I have
to look at Berkeley Township and say, you're not
looking out for the people of South Seaside Park.

1 We're looking one way about single family. You're
2 looking at it from, we got your back, conditional.
3 You'll come to our planning board, our zoning board.
4 We have everything covered. That's my concern.
5 Because you guys are not looking out for
6 South Seaside Park. Not you, Nick. But
7 Berkeley Township is not. My biggest thing is,
8 again, changing this conditional, this or stuff. It
doesn't help us out. We11, thank you.
MR. WINWARD: Thank you.
MR. DEMARCO: Nick Demarco, Seaside
Park, South Seaside Park resident.
You kind of lost me. I don't know your name, sir.

MR. DICKERSON: Nick Dickerson.
MR. DEMARCO: Nick. Okay. Nick. okay. You kind of lost me with the BRF and the 50
zone, all that stuff. Okay. I went to the meeting in April. And a gentleman had all kinds of maps. And I believe my area was red, an R-50 zone, which is one-family house. Towards the end of the meeting, another gentleman there brought up the fact that there was a green zone on this map, which I 4 believe is, could be or could conditionally be for 25 townhouses. okay. I was unaware of this. I know

\section*{1}

3
4

1 residential low rise zone that I mentioned that
2 permits 60 -foot heights, all residential in
3 South Seaside Park today is permitted a maximum
4 height of 35 feet. Yes, you can elevate for it to 5 comply with flood requirements. But that applies to 6 all houses. That's not just something that applies 7 only to townhomes. That applies to single family 8 residential as well. So, just want to offer those clarifications.
the area you're talking about, Miller's Lane, the
Shore Acres trailers, et cetera, et cetera. I'm totally against townhouses. I would allow -- not I will allow -- I would permit or like to see, single family homes only. Provided that they use the 15 -foot setbacks on the side and the 35 -foot medium height.

Now, someone here mentioned something
about 40 feet or 60 feet. When you go over three
stories, I know the area I came from, if you have a
three-story building, apartment building, whatever, now it's considered a commercial building. so, I don't know, you know, how many people here live on the mainland. How many people live on the barrier island. But there's an old saying, not in my neighborhood. I'll leave it go at that.

MR. WINWARD: Thank you. Nick, did you --

MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, I just want to offer just a few quick clarifications. That townhouse zone is something that is in place. That is not something that's being proposed. Just this quick clarification.

And with regard to these building
heights. With the exception of the existing

MR. WHITEMAN: Don Whiteman, South Seaside Park again.

Nick, I understand what you're
saying, but it's the mean height. so, you could have a height of a building that is 40 foot high at the peak, and the low area here is 36 feet, and what happens is, it's the mean height, it's not the peak height.

Second thing is the height of the
floodplain level you do not count as part of that 35
feet. So, if that 35 feet, if we started and we have to say this property here, your first floor elevation has to be six feet high, it then goes to 35 feet added on to six feet, it makes it 41 feet. For clarification --

MR. DICKERSON: Yes.

MR. DICKERSON: Yes, and that applies
5 to all houses.
MR. WHITEMAN: Right.
MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
MR. WHITEMAN: Right.
MR. WINWARD: Then I have some
questions. Anybody else?
MS. FULCOMER: I just have -- I just
have one thing I'd like to ask if no one else, because I'm up here twice. This is my second time.
I just want to clarify something by asking you a question about this. SO I want to make sure I understand this.

For example, does the DCA mandate the words conditional on places like the townhouse zone? on certain zones, do they mandate the word
conditional be thrown in on any of the land use plan or is that the idea of the planning board/municipality? In other words, can this be changed? could that be eliminated, theoretically? Even though it's there already, as you've indicated, Nick, that it's there already, is there any barrier

1 to taking it out legally, as you draw up the plan?
MR. DICKERSON: Again, the DCA grants
are to, for municipalities, are to develop land use
plans, floodplain plans, and other things that help
promote the idea of resiliency, which is the idea
of, you know, getting back into your house quickly
following a storm, addressing any issues that might
8 be in place that would prohibit that. But then,
9 also, when the next storm hits, you're in better
shape. So, I don't understand necessarily your question on this idea of --

MS. FULCOMER: Okay.
MR. DICKERSON: -- conditional. What
I'm saying is, it's a land use plan. There's
nothing that DCA is requiring for that purpose that you're referring to.

MS. FULCOMER: Okay. Yeah, I can -I tell you where my question's coming from, that's where I -- that's why I jumped up. Because you said to the previous speaker, and we know this because you pointed that out over a couple of meetings, that some of these requirements, the zoning is there already. All right. So, then I'm to understand that this planning board wants to keep the townhouse in there and wants, for whatever reason you have, to

1
keep the word conditional. I just want this for the record.

MR. DICKERSON: It's not keeping the
term conditional. It's a recommendation because,
currently, the townhouses, the townhouse zone
permits townhomes as a permitted use. Meaning, if you check off the boxes, you can build.

Now, if it comes in as a conditional
use, then it's going before the planning board and will be subject to meeting those conditions. And by establishing those conditions, there's going to be a lot more control than if it was just a use variance that had to be -- is entirely much more of a subjective process. By creating certain design standards and goals outlined in the plan, the town can hold certain standards in place as opposed to if a townhome applied to be built in a single family zone and needed that use variance. At which point, it's going to be a lot more difficult to have certain standards in place to affect how that thing goes in.

MS. FULCOMER: okay. It would be more -- I'm sorry. I don't quite follow that line of reasoning.

The point that I wanted to make is,
as we know, this is in your hands. And, apparently,
the draft you have of the proposed land use is, this
3 is what you're going to do. You're going to keep
4 the townhouse and you're going to keep the word up,
5 you know, the word conditional in there. And that's
really what I wanted to know. It's really what you
7 want to do. And whatever reasons the township has for that, okay. I just wanted to clarify that.

MR. McGUCKIN: Cathy, just so we're clear.

MS. FULCOMER: Yeah.
MR. MCGUCKIN: one of the reasons
it's being done is because right now, there's no conditions and it's permitted. so, the board, if it adopts this, is recommending that the township, if you're going to change the zoning, that you come up with conditions so that it's not a permitted use anymore. And it makes it harder to do.

MS. FULCOMER: I understand that it's in there already. I do understand that. My point -- I'm sorry -- my point is that we could take that out. But, again, that's your --

MR. MCGUCKIN: I understand, Cathy.
MS. FULCOMER: That's your decision.
MR. WINWARD: That was my question,
too. I was going to ask. What there seems like I'm 2 hearing they're asking for is they just want those 3 words, townhouse, conditional crossed out. Can that 4 be done?

5 to the govern 7 it's a conditional use or not. But second of all, 8 if you're going to eliminate the use, that's what 9 you'll be doing, completely eliminating the use.
Now you're affecting the people who already have an existing development there. And you're changing what they're permitted to do. So, if they want to put a garage, a shed or anything else, now they're forced to go to a zoning board to get an approval. And, again, that's something the governing body would decide if they ever adopt a change in the ordinance.

Right now, it's permitted. And this would make it harder, if the township goes along with it, would give the town more control over that, as opposed to none that it has currently as a permitted use. That's all it does.

MR. WHITEMAN: Don whiteman again.
My biggest concern is exactly what you're saying.
You can come in there. And I talked to Mr. Cummings
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1 (phonetic), which I'm going to bring up again,
2 before he passed away. And he had been contacted by 3 builders. They want to come in. They want to buy 4 up the property. They want to put townhouses.
Let's change this thing to single family. How do we change it to single family to say, all right, the
lots just like the midway Beach from Beach Avenue or from -- yeah, Beach Avenue all the way to
Sprague Avenue, they're 31.5 area, let's do that and say, hey, let's have a nice community here of single family homes.

The townhouses, again, I'm looking at it and say, that's something that is conditional, leaving it up to my planning board and zoning board, I'm not keen on that.

MR. McGUCKIN: As opposed to now. As long as they meet the requirements that --

MR. WHITEMAN: Exactly. That's why I say, let's --

MR. MCGUCKIN: Our requirements, they're a permitted use and they can build.

MR. WHITEMAN: Right. So, we change it. And we have the governing body change it. Then it can only be single family.

MR. MCGUCKIN: Yeah, when they do an
ordinance, they can -- that's one of the things they can do. That's absolutely perfect if that's what they choose to do.

MR. WINWARD: I need some
clarification here, too. Maybe you can answer this, Greg. What we're discussing here, if I'm not
mistaken, is a plan to help people get back into
homes faster should there be another storm like
Sandy. This isn't the ordinance, the zoning
ordinance for the township.
MR. McGUCKIN: That is correct, yes.
MR. WINWARD: Okay. So, I think
that's some misnomer we have here. This is not the ordinance.

MR. WHITEMAN: Yes, I know that.
MR. WINWARD: This is a plan we're required to get in place because Sandy caught everybody with their pants down, so to speak, in the mainland as well. I'm on the coast. I was flooded. Just right across the bay from you. You know, the water doesn't respect boundaries. And we need guidelines in place for the, you know, so we can move faster, should -- and, hopefully, doesn't happen again, but --

MR. WHITEMAN: when the ex-mayor was
here, we tried to get the -- how can I say it --
what was going to be built in south seaside -- what
was going to be allowed. We could never get it.
That was a big problem. What is the -- what is the master plan? oh, we're working on the master plan.
we don't have it. And this is something where, when
it is shown to us when we just see it, we're saying, where did this come from. I've been going to
meetings way back when Jason varano was mayor. And
0 we were trying to find out what was going on. So, we're concerned about, as I say, the conditional use, you know.

MR. WINWARD: And you have some great
concerns. And, also, I just want to clarify
something, too. This body here, the planning board, we're not the body to initiate the change.

MR. WHITEMAN: Yes.

MR. WINWARD: We only deal with
\(\qquad\) whatever is being brought before us, application-wise. So, you do have some legitimate concerns. I assume you would take that up with the township, you know, at a township meeting, you know. and, you know, have some concerns. There's not much room to work with over there, everybody gets that. and we're paying for a lot of the sins of the past,

1 maybe 100 years ago, maybe 50 years ago. You know, and the people to blame aren't even around anymore.

MR. WHITEMAN: Yeah, but they were
4 Democrats and Republicans. Both parties did it to 5 us.

7 the mess up the best we can.
MR. WHITEMAN: All right. Thank you.
MR. WINNARD: You're welcome.
MR. FuLCOMER: Yes, I had a
conversation -- Jim Fulcomer, 356 Roberts -- with Nick beforehand.

Are you saying that all conditional
use applications must go before the planning board,
or are you saying that if I submit an application
for a conditional use home that meets all the
conditions listed in the planning board, I can just
build without going to the planning board?
MR. DICKERSON: No. If you meet all
the conditions, you're going before the planning board. As soon as you do not meet one of those conditions, you're going before the zoning board for a variance.

MR. FULCOMER: Oh, okay. All right.
so, if there is no permitted use for townhouses and
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no conditional use for townhouses, the only way to
build a townhouse is to go before the planning --
before the zoning board. Which gives you a lot of
protection because the people come out, when there's
a zoning change, before the zoning board. I mean,
that is really --
Mr. McGuckin: Keep in mind that
8 while I understand the concerns you may have, the
people who own those townhomes, obviously, may have a different concern.

MR. FULCOMER: There's nobody who
owns townhomes except at the end of Beach. And that
was granted by the zoning board over the objections of the neighborhood. And the only, the only area in
South Seaside Park --
Mr. McGuckin: Jim, I understand.
what I'm trying to say is, if the township adopts an
ordinance completely eliminating them, in all
likelihood there would be a suit challenging that ordinance from the people who own townhomes in
seaside Park -- South Seaside Park.
MR. FULCOMER: There is nobody --
first of all, there is -- there's, as far as I know, correct me if I'm wrong, Don -- is Don here? well, correct me if I'm wrong. There are no townhouses
anywhere in the area, let's say, west of central Avenue, except at the end of Beach. And that is not zoned for townhouses. The area -- and one of the reasons why it was allowed is because the area right next to it, the island townhouse zone, allowed townhouses. But there are no townhouses there. There are no townhouses there. I've walked through it many times. No townhouses. They don't want townhouses. They don't know -- they don't want what was built at the end of Beach either. They would be very shocked if that happened.

Now, let me just say this: since
there are no townhouses in the island townhouse
zone, I would suggest you take out the word
island -- the word townhouse in that zone. And you
change it to island single dwelling houses. or island, you know, whatever you find. Single dwelling houses. All right. That would be --

MR. MCGUCKIN: I understand.
MR. FULCOMER: And just totally eliminate the conditional use that would allow townhouses and two to four-family homes. This eliminates conditional uses. Then if somebody wants to build a townhouse, they have to go before the zoning board. Everybody, I'm sure, feels more

1 comfortable if the way to get a townhouse,
2 townhouses in there is to go through the zoning
3 board. Because if it's not a permitted use, that's
4 the only way it can happen.
Mr. McGuckin: Two things. Two
6 things I would -- I just want to add. Completely
understand and in many respects agree with everything you just said. This is not a master plan amendment.

MR. FULCOMER: I know. It's --
Mr. McGuckin: if it was a master plan amendment, that would be appropriate for the board to make those recommendations to the changes in the zoning. That's not what this is. This is a grant resiliency plan, neighborhood plan for South Seaside Park. That's what it is. And if we were amending the master plan, I think we would have the right to do exactly what you're saying. And I think the council would then decide if they wish to agree with the master plan amendments that the planning board recommends. But that's not what this is. And if we're going to use this process to amend the master plan, we're going to have a problem, because this was not done as a master plan amendment.

MR. MCGUCKIN: It's not a master plan amendment. There's a big difference. The master plan amendment has to follow a completely different procedure before it could be adopted. And that's why using this plan to amend the master plan, which is really, realistically what you're trying to say, I don't believe is appropriate. As a matter of law, I don't think we can.

MR. FULCOMER: Well, my understanding is, when somebody -- I asked years ago. Give me a master plan for South Seaside Park. Anybody have a copy of a master plan for South Seaside Park under the previous mayor? We don't have any master plan for South seaside Park. oh, we're working on it. well, this is it. This is it. This is the master plan for South Seaside Park.

MR. MCGUCKIN: It's an addendum.
MR. FULCOMER: However you put it
together, that's it. We have zoning regulations.
MR. MCGUCKIN: Right.
MR. FULCOMER: Yes. But we don't
have a master plan. And if so, show me the master plan. I've asked for it for years. It doesn't

1 exist for South Seaside Park. This is it. And
2 whatever is in here is an argument for something
else. And whatever is in here lays a foundation for
zoning changes in South Seaside Park. And that is my understanding of this. And that is why I'm here.

Now, why on earth would you have any
use whatsoever for townhouses in an area where there
are no townhouses, and where the people don't want
townhouses? It's just beyond belief. That has to
be eliminated. Now, why would you have two --
recommend conditional use for two to four-family
houses, in an area where there are no two to
four-family houses and where the people don't want
two to four-family houses? And feel crowded enough.
I rest. Now I rest my case.
MR. MCGUCKIN: I don't disagree.
MR. FULCOMER: But I just have one
question to Nick. Are you -- you're -- so you're
saying that on conditional uses, if you satisfy all
conditional uses when you put in your application, it still has to go to the planning board?

MR. DICKERSON: That is correct.
MR. FULCOMER: All right. And there
is a 200-foot mailing for that?
MR. DICKERSON: Yes, I believe.

MR. MCGUCKIN: Correct.
MR. FULCOMER: Okay. We don't want
conditional uses. We just want permitted use, single family, simple. And I bet if you were in our situation, you'd want the same thing. Thank you.

MR. VERASCA: MY name is
Rich Verasca. I live at 158A Central Avenue in South Seaside Park.

I just want to make an observation. This is my third meeting. I know you've had five or six of them on this topic. But I must have heard 40,50 , maybe 60 or 80 people say they want one-family houses only. And when I go to these meetings, everybody that's sitting -- I've never been in a meeting in front of you guys -- but council people, et cetera, uh-hum, we hear you, yep, we know what you're saying, yep, we feel for you, but every time I come to a meeting, I hear townhouses again.

I have not heard one person -- maybe
there's some guy lurking down the hallway there
that's saying, make sure you keep townhouses in
there because I want townhouses. But everybody, every single person I've heard speak, doesn't want it. I just needed to say that.
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MR. WINWARD: Thank you.
MS. ZOPPI: My name is Leann Zoppi,
I'm 222 21st Avenue in South Seaside.
So, I'm hearing about this master
plan. And I'm hearing about what this gentleman
said and about nobody wanting -- they're here at
these meetings objecting to the single family home
opposed to the townhouses, et cetera. My concern
is, when they're objecting to these things, is it at
a meeting or do you go out to vote to the people?
Because, I got to tell you, I can't tell you how
much it irks me just to come here. It's such an
inconvenience to come from Island Beach state Park all the way here. You got to pay a toll. Takes you half hour to get here. It's obnoxious.
and a lot of people, just like, it's
beautiful out, they can't be bothered and whatnot. And I'm hoping that it's not exclusive only to these meetings that you hear what people have to say. Because there's a lot of people that object. And they're just not present at the meetings.

MR. WINWARD: I don't know if we're
able to address that. I don't know if you heard
what I said before. We're the planning board.
MS. ZOPPI: Right.

MS. ZOPPI: Right.
5 mayor to hear these, to hear applications.

8 doing a public hearing, things are going into the
9 record. But we don't have the power to change
10 ordinances and things like that. That would have to
11 go through the town council, the township
themselves. But, like I said, we care. We're
residents. We all have neighborhoods. You know, we
all have concerns about what's going on in our neighborhoods as well. We have a resident of south seaside Park on our board. And there should be availability of a master plan. I don't know why there isn't. But it would just -- it's a tough section of town to deal with, because of all these things that happened before there were regulations. So, it's kind of hard after the fact. But right now, we're having a hearing not on a master plan but just on a --

MR. DICKERSON: It's an addendum to the master plan.
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MR. WINWARD: okay.
MS. ZOPPI: And then how does it get
decided upon? That's what I'm saying. How does it
get decided upon? Does it get decided upon because
we're out here speaking up about it or because there
a vote of some sort or --
MR. WINWARD: Can you address that, Nick, maybe what the procedure is.

MR. DICKERSON: Yes. We11, this --
development of this plan has, you know, taken place
over the course of many months with numerous public
forums. Actually, three of which were located in --
at Tri-Boro First Aid in Seaside Park to solicit
input and, you know, modify the plans as needed.
so, there has been that process.
There was also the public hearing
that took place on March 2, where, for the first
iteration of the plan that was adopted. And so, this has been the process going forward in building
this plan, again, which is serving as an addendum to the master plan.

What -- what this plan doesn't do, though, is change ordinances. Adoption of this plan doesn't mean that, all of a sudden, you know, townhomes are permitted or townhomes are not
permitted, things like that. There's the ordinance amendment process that then needs to take place, whereby an ordinance would be introduced by the governing body, town council. And it would be referred to this planning board. They would review it. And there would be, again, opportunities for comments.

It would go before the governing body again for second reading at a public hearing. And that's where people would also voice their comments, concerns. And that's where those amendments to zoning would actually take place.

MS. ZOPPI: Okay. I think that, you
know, the objection with the townhomes, I'm with the people, I don't want to see it either. I'd rather see a much less dense area. But I don't know if anybody even knows this answer. But these homes that, back in the day, were allowed to have the back house, once that back house is removed, are they able to expand on that property or do they have to build in the same footprint of what they've taken down?

MR. DICKERSON: I guess to clarify. So, you're saying that if there were two houses on one lot --

MS. ZOPPI: Right.
MR. DICKERSON: -- and one of them
were removed, would the other lot be able to, the
other structure be able to expand?
MS. ZOPPI: No, would that -- the
new, the one that's been taken down, like if there's
a back house --
MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
MS. ZOPPI: -- and you take it down, can you rebuild that back house and make it twice as large?

MR. DICKERSON: No, you cannot,
without seeking a use variance because --
MS. ZOPPI: SO, you can get a
variance.
MR. DICKERSON: -- having multiple
residential units on one lot, if it's a single
family zone, you would need to get a use variance.
MS. ZOPPI: Right. That's probably
how it happened. Because what's happening in the area is, ever since Sandy, these homes that had been allowed to have the back houses, now they're raising
them. And they're atrocious. They're like, they encroach on other people's property and it's just -and then you talk about putting townhouses. Already

1 the two houses on a single family lot, it's so -2 the topography of the area is so ugly. You know, 3 it's a shame. And we just would like to see like a 4 much prettier town with some amenities, you know.
and I know they had mentioned about 6 the bars coming in the area. I'm not necessarily 7 saying I want bars to come in the area. But I 8 certainly would like to see some sort of something 9 coming into the area. Because it's -- I mean, if 0 you're down -- I live down there permanently.
1 That's my permanent home. When you're down there in January and February, it's pretty ugly. It's -thank God for Bum Rogers. But, you know, I'd like to see something, you know, in the summertime to make it like inviting and pretty. And we're the cash cow over here for Berkeley. South Seaside is the cash cow for Berkeley. And we look at this and say really. What did you give us for this? A beach. You know, we'd like to see something. We'd like to get something more into the house -- into the area instead of just townhouses or overpopulation.

Thank you for your time.
MR. WINWARD: You're welcome.
MR. WHITEMAN: Don whiteman again.
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You got to clarify something on me, Nick, here. Are
you recommending an addendum to the master plan with
3 this? I heard you say that. And if this is what
4 we're doing, making a recommendation of an addendum
5 to the master plan, okay, that's what we're doing.
6 That's what you're doing, right?
MR. DICKERSON: That's correct.
MR. Whiteman: okay. So, if you're
9 making that recommendation, then you guys are able
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 r
22 recommendation, to change your recommendation and
23 say, yeah, we hear what the residents of
24 South Seaside Park want. We want to basically agree 25 with them and say, yes, we want single family.
ask the I
MR. WINWARD: Might be a better
choice.
MR. MCGUCKIN: You're correct.
MR. WHITEMAN: Okay. So, you
gentlemen can make that change for us.
MS. FULCOMER: Yeah, that's what I
was going to say. Because you have resolution under
item \(E\) here. And I understand that you don't have
the power to pass the ordinance, that's the
municipality. And I know you're all volunteers.
And I've done that kind of stuff myself. But all
one of you have to do is say, you know, I move that
we adopt the following resolution, start off with a
whereas saying that, whereas, the residents of
South Seaside Park have repeatedly expressed their desire to have single family homes and not
townhouses, however you want to write it. Throw in another whereas, and then say, therefore, be it resolved that we recommend to the municipal council that these items be taken out, the -- oh, gee -- the conditional and the townhouse. Yeah. For a minute I had a blank. But all you got to do is do a few whereas's and a therefore be it resolved, and you're
all set. And one of you can do that. The other one
2 can second. And then you can vote on it. Then
we'll know where everybody stands. Thank you.
MS. luciano: Carol luciano, 103 23rd
Avenue, South Seaside Park.
I have a question. On the plan,
there's what was neighborhood business is being
changed to Main Street, correct?
MR. DICKERSON: Just for the term
referring to the land use designation.
MS. LUCIANO: Okay. Now --
MR. DICKERSON: which is different
from what the zone would be.
MS. LUCIANO: All right. So, but, the buildings that exist there, the businesses, some of the homes, some of the homes, the way I understand it, can now have businesses below, correct?

\section*{MR. DICKERSON: I believe the}
recommendation -- and, again, this was part of the original plan that had been adopted, this is not part of the amendments for tonight -- was that we were looking to accommodate existing residential in the neighborhood business zone. Because, currently, as the way that the neighborhood business zone is

1 written, only permits businesses. so, if you're a
2 homeowner in this area and, say, you know, a storm happens, you're going to have to jump through a couple more hoops just because you live in the neighborhood business zone.

MS. LUCIANO: Right.
Mr. DICKERSON: So, there was the
8 recommendation in the plan -- excuse me while I pull
this up -- was to permit some additional conditions
for residential so that these folks would be able to rebuild.

There is also the, yes, if you are a business, you could have a second floor residential and business on the ground floor. Again, these -anything with residential, I believe the recommendation would be to establish conditions that would, you know, create buffering and other standards to protect conflicting uses.

MS. LUCIANO: Just, I've been there, so, my question is, so, let's just say we have
several businesses and in your report it says this
area is built out. Then you go on to say that you want to add residences above businesses. So, do you think that that is good? Because it's -- the way it reads, it's that you can have a business with

1 residence above. Now, now, in the new Main Street.
Prior, you could not.
MR. DICKERSON: You could not have
any residential --
MS. LUCIANO: Correct.
MR. DICKERSON: -- on the Main
Street.
really helps to conform to a desired characteristic,
3 which would be developed as part of the ordinance
4 development part of this, in the next phase, not
5 this plan.
on that same, similar to this. On the Main Street
business, second floor business. Yes or no?

MR. DICKERSON: Say again. I'm sorry.

MS. LUCIANO: Second floor business.
MR. DICKERSON: what about it?
MS. LUCIANO: Can that exist?
MR. DICKERSON: There are no
standards that permit that right now.
MS. LUCIANO: And how about in the
Main Street?
MR. DICKERSON: Wait. The first --
you're referring in the first question to, what's
that?
MS. LUCIANO: The neighborhood
business --
MR. DICKERSON: Yes, neighbor
business --
MS. LUCIANO: -- changing to Main
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Street.

3 again, just to clarify, what is being referred to as
4 Island Main Street is the land use plan designation
for the area that is currently zoned neighborhood business.

MS. LUCIANO: Right.
MR. DICKERSON: SO, the
recommendation is for the area that's referred to in
the land use plan as Island Main Street, would be to
make -- to recommend any changes to the neighborhood
business zone. So, yes, it would accommodate the
existing single family that is in the neighborhood business.

MS. LUCIANO: Uh-hum.
MR. WINWARD: And also, based on these conditions, it would permit second floor residential.

MS. LUCIANO: Just residential?
MR. DICKERSON: Residential.
MS. LUCIANO: All right. Okay. I think that's it. Thank you.

MS. DANIS: Christine Danis, 239 22nd Ave., Berkeley Township.

I have a couple of comments on the

1 neighborhood plan, not specifically to townhouses, 2 but, page 35, goal two, ensure safety of buildings 3 and structures. And this is part of the resiliency 4 plan of it. And I'd like to ask your consideration 5 of vacant buildings that still exist after Sandy.
6 These buildings were at one time posted for
7 condemnation. They haven't been condemned,
8 particularly photos 23 and 24, appendix one.
I'm wondering how you could
0 strengthen the language, at least in that part of
11 it , to not only speak to ensuring safety of
buildings for code construction but buildings that
have not been addressed since Sandy that have
been -- actually, are dangerous. And if another
storm comes, they would propose more danger to residents and first responders. And they remain today.

I would also offer that they offer great opportunities, which I did not see reference of, and perhaps I've missed it, to Blue Acre acquisition and to stormwater management and open space. And if there could be a connection between looking at any properties that, to date, are either complicated or frustrated by a development, that maybe that could be solved by becoming a Green Acre

1 or Blue Acre combination open Space and stormwater
resiliency. Particularly those that are on the waterfront.

Another thing, on action seven, page
5 53, bullet two, I live on 22nd Avenue. I ask that
6 you consider further strengthening the language of
7 bullet two. Instead of follow-up to ensure that the
8 22nd Avenue project is done in accordance with the
state plan, that you start off with, ensure that. I don't believe we need to follow-up. I believe we
need to ensure that that structure, and all of those
structures that came about out of that state funding and DOT project, are not followed up on and not encouraged, but ensure that they are done in accordance with the state regulations and protocols that were put in place.

> And, thirdly, my -- is a question.

How does the grandfathering of zone changes affect properties that haven't been developed since Sandy, but have a footprint that is grandfathered by the Sandy destruction? And if a zone change does happen, do they get the zoning of the zone that was in place at the time of Sandy or are they subject to the zone that would -- perhaps if a zone change does happen for that property, do they -- are now
the board's preference that the land use plan go
forward with the proposed zoning?
MR. MCGUCKIN: I think that would be
4 for the governing body to decide, not the planning board. They would decide --

MS. DANIS: But the land use plan is
your decision, correct?
come to the planning board for consideration.

1 recommendation regarding those existing conditions.
2 Am I correct?
4 miss, that's already an ordinance here in Berkeley 5 Township.
you're asking. And it's been thrown around for the
last few months, this ordinance that you're talking about. So, I'm surprised you're asking. It's a good question.

The way it stands now is, you're grandfathered in to the existing footprint unless you have setbacks that exceed the current setbacks. So, if you need seven and ten on your side setbacks and you don't have those, you have to go in front of the zoning board to get those, to get a variance.
But from what I'm hearing, and I'm not on the zoning
board, that most of these applications have been approved since Sandy, Sandy related.

MS. DANIS: Correct. So, there's --
MR. BACCHIONE: It's a great
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question.
3 considerations regarding residents who have not yet
4 rebuilt from Sandy who may be coming in who, their
neighbors have benefited from those grandfathering
setbacks that are sort of zoning and legal
precedence for the community. That now, if they
come through and the zoning change happens -- there
should be clarity is what I'm saying, regarding if
the zone change happens and setbacks change, what it
means for those that were pre-Sandy and didn't do
anything yet. And what it means for those that --
and own that property, and those that buy that
property, to redevelop it, and were not the pre-Sandy owners.

MR. BACCHIONE: Great question.
MS. DANIS: Thank you.
MR. DEMARCO: I have one more quick
question. Nick Demarco, resident of South Seaside Park.

I have two questions, basically. If,
I reiterate if, if the town council powers to be
decided to have townhouses, if, two things, will
there be ten percent put aside for affordable
housing, number one?

\section*{abatements granted to the townhouse developers?}

3 Maybe can't answer that now. I don't know.
MR. DICKERSON: The township -- the
recent housing settlement that the township and
their new housing plan, requires a -- there is an
inclusionary set aside for any multifamily
township-wide.
In terms of tax abatements, tax
abatements are granted only in cases of
redevelopment. And this not a -- nowhere in
South Seaside Park is there a designated
redevelopment area. So, there are no tax abatements being granted.

MR. DEMARCO: Okay. Thank you.
MR. WHITEMAN: DOn Whiteman. This
will be very short.
So, from what I heard tonight, I
heard Mrs. Fulcomer's, that she'd like the changes. I heard that you guys can recommend those changes. And I heard you can change what is said in here to basically say, we hear what the public says. We'll make those changes.

Is there any of you who will make a
motion to do that? And do we have anyone who will

72
want to second the motion to do that?
MR. WINWARD: I'm writing something
to that effect now.
MR. WHITEMAN: Okay.
MR. WINWARD: Because I have to read
it. And then somebody seconds.
MR. WHITEMAN: All right.
MR. WINWARD: But we're also --
MR. WHITEMAN: That's -- I didn't
know whether you were doing it --
MR. WINWARD: We're also giving the
public the due diligence to be heard, too.
MR. WHITEMAN: Yes.
MR. WINWARD: We're not trying to cut
you off.
MR. WHITEMAN: I understand. I just
wanted to make sure that, you know, what you heard
tonight is, and what she said, is something that
these changes are what -- what we would like, you know.

Thank you.
MR. WINWARD: Does anybody on the
board have any questions or any -- care to clarify or comment or ask any of the professionals? Fred?

MR. BELL: I do have a question.

MR. FULCOMER: Well, I think the
attorney is not aware of what really exists for South Seaside Park.

MR. BELL: Okay. Then my question
is, is there a master plan? And if it is, why can't
you get it or why can't we get it?
MR. DICKERSON: The township's last
master plan was adopted in, I believe, 1997. That's
actually on the township's website.
MR. BELL: And it includes
South Seaside?
MR. DICKERSON: It's the township
wide. So that includes the mainland, it includes
Pelican Island and it includes South Seaside Park.
MR. FULCOMER: There's practically

1 nothing for South Seaside Park.
MR. DICKERSON: It's a township-wide
plan.
MR. FULCOMER: Right. Uh-hum.
MR. BELL: okay.
MR. FULCOMER: This addendum would be
a more comprehensive master plan for South Seaside Park.

MR. DICKERSON: That is correct.
MR. BELL: That's all I had.
MR. WINWARD: okay. seeing there's
no more testimony from the public, we're going to
close the public portion. And seeing there's no
more questions from the board, I believe what we're doing here is, we're recommending adoption of the plan. And it goes to the town council for approval.

MR. MCGUCKIN: No, it would be your
plan.
MR. WINWARD: okay. Well, then, I'd
like to redirect the resolution.
MR. DICKERSON: And just quickly.
And this is dealing with the amendments to the
neighborhood plan? Just a quick clarification
there. Sorry.
MR. WINWARD: Okay. We're -- what

1 we're doing is recommending the adoption of the
amendments to the South Seaside Park neighborhood plan.
Whereas, the residents are in
5 opposition to the townhouses as conditional or
permitted, and we recommend removing of the
terminology.
Do I have a second? I mean, I'm
sorry. A motion and a second?
MR. MACKRES: Motion.
MR. CALLAHAN: Question. Why only
eliminate the townhouse? Eliminate three-family,
four-family house and two-family houses.
MR. WINWARD: I think they're
already -- that was already in there, wasn't it?
MR. CALLAHAN: Well, no.
MR. WINWARD: Okay.
MR. FULCOMER: They're a conditional
use for that. Be nice to eliminate that, too.
MR. CALLAHAN: Eliminate the whole --
MR. WINWARD: Yeah. So, what we're
hearing is, residents are in opposition to
townhouses or anything other than single family
houses, as conditional, or permitted, and we recommend the removal of these terms.
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So, I'll need a motion and a second.
MR. GINGRICH: I'd like to question
for, a legal question, to Mr. McGuckin or whoever.
Is this even the avenue for this? Are we -- are
they in the right spot for this?
MR. MCGUCKIN: Yes. The board has
the right to take this plan that's been presented to
you after the public hearing and make
recommendation -- make changes to it or vote on
whatever changes you think is appropriate. Because
I understand one of the changes that are proposed is
to eliminate multifamily or townhouse uses as a
permitted or conditional use in South Seaside Park.
That's what I understood from what was just
discussed. And you can make that -- you could adopt
the plan with those changes, if that's your choice,
if that's your choosing.
MR. WINWARD: I think we had a motion
to adopt with the changes.
MR. MACKRES: That was townhomes,
multi-family?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
MR. MACKRES: I'll put the motion in.
MR. WINWARD: And we need a second.
MR. CALLAHAN: HOW about the
elimination of the residence above the businesses on the --

MR. WINWARD: There aren't any.
MR. CALLAHAN: If they're allowed,
what is it?
MR. DICKERSON: There is a
7 recommendation. This wasn't part of the amendments.
8 But, yes, there was a recommendation to permit
second floor residential above.
MR. McGUCKIN: Mixed use in a
commercial zone?
MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
MR. WINWARD: Can we put that in the
resolution?
MR. MCGUCKIN: That's up to the board, whatever you would like.

MR. WINWARD: what are your guys'
feelings on that part?
MR. MACKRES: Isn't it grandfathering if somebody has it already?

MR. WINWARD: There is nobody right now.

MR. MACKRES: Nobody has it.
MR. WINWARD: No. So, we'd also like to add the resolution that there be no second story
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businesses on the Main Street.
MR. MCGUCKIN: I'm sorry. Two
different things. I understood the question being
residential on the second or third floor on top of a commercial use?

MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
MR. MCGUCKIN: And the recommendation
8 is to include that as a mixed use, but only in that
one zone, correct?
MR. DICKERSON: Let me just double
check the language here just to confirm. The
recommendation is, recommended that NB zone be
revised to permit residential and -- residential and mixed use, which is residential over first floor retail, as conditional uses in the district, incorporating standards that would provide
sufficient buffering from nonresidential uses and off-street parking.

MR. MCGUCKIN: And the basis of that is there are some that are like that now or there was a question as to whether that could be?

MR. DICKERSON: I don't believe there are any in place right now. I think it was largely to permit the existing residential on the ground, but also permitting this other, newer thing, being
the multifamily -- not multifamily but the mixed use.

MR. WINWARD: How do you guys feel
about that?
MR. CAMERA: Through the chair. Is
it appropriate if I add something?
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
MR. CAMERA: I know I'm sitting as
secretary. Okay. Good. Just in my position tonight.

Nick and I were involved in these discussions. I just want to make sure I add to the record the -- every meeting I've been at and the discussion that has come forward has been clear that the majority -- and all the notes that were taken -the majority of the people that spoke out want this taken a little further, like you're already recommending. Right now, the townhouses and the two to four-family homes are allowed, are allowed there. The planner's recommendation, which I think was great, was to make it more difficult for them to be here. The people, I think, to clarify,
have largely and consistently recommended to go a
step even further, which is what now you're
recommending, and just say not eliminate it or keep
4 it conditional, just eliminate those uses. But when
5 it comes to the mixed use in that neighborhood
6 business, the only thing I wanted to make the board
aware of is that there was discussion and there were
comments from both sides, in fact, you heard some
tonight, about the idea of wanting that neighborhood business zone a little more developed so that there were some nice little stores, maybe nice little places to eat, so there was something more than just residential.

So, the two reasons that I remember, just so you know, the rationale, like Mr. McGuckin
was getting to as to why, the addition of
residential as an allowed use was because there are
some residential properties there now, I believe, in the neighborhood business. But the idea of the allowing as a conditional use the second story
residential over business, the rationale there was, and nobody knows if it will work, but the theory was that you may have more luck getting somebody to open 24 a new little restaurant that because of the seasonal 25 use, it's very hard to open businesses down there.

1 Because, like you said, in the winter, it's dead.
If -- the idea was that this could stimulate 2
somebody to then be able to make it economically,
excuse me, viable, to possibly have another small
business there if they could also have a unit on top
6 for either their own use, the manager, rental, that.

I just wanted to make the board aware 8 of the discussion I've heard and we have heard from
9 residents. It has not been consistent from
everybody that, no, we want all single family, even
in that neighborhood business zone. We have heard
that people would like a little neighborhood
business or main street area. Thank you.
MR. WINWARD: okay. What I'm going
to do is, I'm going to re-read what I originally asked for, then.
we recommend the adoption of the South Seaside Park neighborhood plan amendments. whereas, the residents prefer single family only and are in opposition to multifamily or townhouses as conditional or permitted uses, and we recommend removal of these terms.

So, now, with that amended, I'd like a motion and a second.

MR. MACKRES: Excepting --
.

MR. WINWARD: I didn't put that in

MR. MACKRES: okay. So, we're fine
MR. WINNARD: So, we need a motion
MR. MACKRES: Make sure that we have
MR. GINGRICH: So, now you are
allowing the businesses and the residence, over the
residence? You have business over residence? This is what John was saying.

MR. McGuckin: A residence over
business in that one zone.
MR. GINGRICH: In one zone, you're
allowing that?
MR. MCGUCKIN: That was the
recommendation. Chairman's suggestion was to leave it as it is.

MR. WINwARD: Yeah. Yeah.
Mr. Callahan: I don't think there's
any in there.
MR. CAMERA: No, there are not.
Mr. CALLAHAN: So, why add it?
MR. WINWARD: if it comes up.

MR. CALLAHAN: So, why add it?
MR. WINWARD: We're not adding it.
MR. CALLAHAN: Well don't.
MR. WINWARD: He just said we're
allowing it. Like, if somebody came in and wants to
have his business and anyone live upstairs or rent it out --

MR. BELL: During the wintertime.
MR. WINWARD: -- when it's closed.
Yeah.
MR. BELL: Can't stay there
otherwise. Can't sustain the building.
MR. WINWARD: And that doesn't seem
to be an objection.
MR. CALLAHAN: I object to it anyway.
MR. WINWARD: Any more questions or
concerns?
MR. MACKRES: I put that motion in.
MR. WINWARD: okay. Then we need a
second. Then we can vote.
MR. BELL: I'll second it.
MR. WINWARD: okay. John, could we
have a vote?
MR. CALLAHAN: Could we read the
motion first?


adoption of the South Seaside Park neighborhood plan
amendments. whereas, the residents prefer single
family only and are in opposition to multifamily or
townhouses as conditional or permitted uses, and we
recommend removal of these terms.

MR. CAMERA: And we have Mr. Mackres
made the motion and Mr. Bell seconded?
MR. WINWARD: Yes. That's correct.
MR. CAMERA: And you'd like a roll
MR. WINWARD: we need a vote.
MR. CAMERA: Mr. Mackres.
MR. MACKRES: Yes.
MR. CAMERA: Mr. Gingrich.
MR. GINGRICH: Yes.
MR. CAMERA: Mr. Lorelli.
MR. LORELLI: Yes.
MR. CAMERA: Councilman Bacchione.
MR. BACCHIONE: Yes.
MR. CAMERA: Mr. Bell.
MR. BELL: Yes.
MR. CAMERA: Mr. Callahan.

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
MR. CAMERA: And Chairman Winward.
MR. WINWARD: Yes.
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\(\begin{array}{llllll} & 47 / 4 & 50 / 7 & 50 / 12 & 55 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 21 & 57 / 2 & 57 / 6 & 57 / 7 & 57 / 9\end{array}\) 57/21 61/2 61/22 64/5 64/9
67/9 71/13 81/13
area's [1] 8/3
areas [3] 4/30 7/11 33/2
aren't [4] 12/10 23/22
45/2 77/3
argument [1] 50/2
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { around [5] } & 19 / 1 & 19 / 7 & 23 / 19\end{array}\)
45/2 69/11
as [93]
ashamed [1] 15/11
aside [2] 70/24 71/7
ask [10] \(17 / 8\) 19/10 \(19 / 11\)
31/16 37/12 41/1 59/2 65/4
66/5 72/24
asked [3] 49/12 49/25
81/16
asking [7] 21/25 31/25
37/14 41/2 69/11 69/13
73/5
ASSOCIATES [1] 1/23
association [2] \(8 / 14\) 15/20 assume [1] 44/21
atrocious [1] 56/23
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { attached [1] } & \text { 25/7 } \\ \text { attended } & \text { [1] } & 29 / 11\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { attorney [2] } & 59 / 2 & 73 / 12\end{array}\)
Attorneys [2] 2/5 2/8
availability [1] 53/17
Ave [1] 64/24
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { avenue [19] } & 10 / 1 & 10 / 6\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 16 & 29 / 6 & 30 / 6 & 30 / 6 & 31 / 6\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}32 / 19 & 33 / 6 & 42 / 7 & 42 / 8 & 42 / 9\end{array}\)
47/2 51/7 52/3 60/5 66/5
66/8 76/4
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { aware [4] } & 9 / 14 & 73 / 12 & 80 / 7\end{array}\) 81/7
away [1] 42/2
B
Bacchione [3] 1/12 14/20 84/21
back [12] 3/24 32/1 34/2
38/6 43/7 44/9 55/18 55/18 55/19 56/7 \(56 / 10 \quad 56 / 22\)
bage1 [2] 31/11 31/11
baked [1] 18/14
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { banner [1] } & 5 / 22 \\ \text { bar [6] } & 32 / 13 & 32 / 14 & 32 / 18\end{array}\)
33/6 \(33 / 15\) 33/17
Barnegat [1] 29/6
barrier [3] 5/13 35/14 37/25
bars [2] 57/6 57/7
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { base }[3] & 18 / 5 & 18 / 8 & 18 / 11\end{array}\)
based [5] 6/11 7/13 24/2
49/2 64/16
based on [1] 49/2
bases [1] 82/8
basically [3] 58/24 70/21 71/22
basis [1] 78/19
bay [1] 43/20
Bayville [1] 1/8
be [131]
beach [18] 7/19 8/20 17/22

19/11 \(20 / 8 \quad 30 / 6 \quad 30 / 12\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 17 & 30 / 18 & 31 / 6 & 42 / 7 & 42 / 7\end{array}\)
42/8 46/12 47/2 47/10 52/13 57/19
Beach's [1] 17/25
beachfront [2] 7/4 20/16
beautiful [1] 52/17
Beaverson [1] 2/7
because [45] 5/23 9/22
\(\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 8 & 11 / 13 & 11 / 20 & 12 / 1 & 13 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}13 / 9 & 13 / 18 & 15 / 7 & 15 / 12\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 21 & 20 / 6 & 22 / 18 & 30 / 7 & 34 / 5\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 13 & 38 / 19 & 38 / 20 & 39 / 4\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 13 & 43 / 17 & 46 / 4 & 47 / 4 & 48 / 3\end{array}\)
48/24 51/23 52/11 52/20
53/19 54/4 54/5 56/13
56/20 57/9 59/9 60/24 61/4 \(\begin{array}{llll}61 / 24 & 67 / 18 & 72 / 5 & 76 / 10\end{array}\) 80/17 80/24 81/1
becoming [1] 65/25
been [25] 6/12 16/25 19/8 \(\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 18 & 31 / 14 & 33 / 16 & 42 / 2\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}44 / 8 & 51 / 15 & 54 / 15 & 54 / 19\end{array}\)
56/6 56/21 60/21 61/19 65/7 65/13 65/14 66/19 69/11 69/22 76/7 79/16 79/17 81/9
before [21] \(13 / 19\) 19/24 23/15 23/25 24/5 32/20 39/9 42/2 44/19 45/14 45/20 45/22 46/2 46/3 46/5 47/24 49/6 52/24 53/20 55/8 86/7
beforehand [1] 45/12
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { being [11] } & 5 / 8 & 30 / 23 & 33 / 2\end{array}\) 35/22 40/13 44/19 60/7 64/3 71/14 \(78 / 3 \quad 78 / 25\) belief [1] 50/9
believe [16] 19/4 19/23
29/21 34/20 34/24 49/9 50/25 60/19 61/15 66/10 66/10 67/16 73/18 74/14 78/22 80/18
Be11 [3] 1/13 84/10 84/23
below [1] 60/17
benefited [1] 70/5
BERKELEY [15] \(1 / 1 \quad 1 / 5 \quad 3 / 6\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 1 & 10 / 6 & 15 / 18 & 17 / 18 & 29 / 9\end{array}\)
33/19 33/24 34/7 57/16
57/17 64/24 69/4
Berkeley Township [8] 3/6
 33/24 34/7
best [1] 45/7
bet [1] 51/4
better [3] 7/9 38/9 59/3
between [2] 31/5 65/22
beverage [2] 33/15 33/20
beverages [1] 33/10 beyond [1] 50/9
big [4] \(12 / 14\) 26/5 44/4
49/4
bigger [1] 22/25
biggest [2] 34/7 41/24
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { blame [1] } & 45 / 2 \\ \text { blank } & {[1]} \\ \text { blocks } & 59 / 24 \\ \text { blo } & 11 / 5\end{array}\)
blocks [1] \(11 / 5\) 65/1
Blue [2]
Blvd [1] 2/7
board [56] \(1 / 1\) 2/5 3/12
9/5 9/18 \(9 / 20 \quad 13 / 20 \quad 23 / 15\)
23/25 24/6 24/25 29/20
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline B & \[
\begin{array}{ccc}
80 / 25 & 82 / 10 \\
\text { buy [2] } & 42 / 3 & 70 / 13
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 76 / 9 \\
& 76 / 19
\end{aligned} 76 / 10 \quad 76 / 11 \quad 76 / 16
\] \\
\hline board... [44] 29/21 29/22 & C & changing [3] 34/8 41/11 \\
\hline 29/23 \(32 / 20 \quad 32 / 21 \quad 34 / 3\) & C & 63/25 [3] \\
\hline \(34 / 3\) 37/22 38/24 39/9 & cal1 [1] 84/13 & character [5] 4/12 4/18 \\
\hline  & Callahan [2] 1/14 84/25 & 5/7 5/15 6/14 \\
\hline  &  & characteristic [2] 4/15 \\
\hline  & 83/5 & characteristics [1] 7/18 \\
\hline 48/21 50/21 52/24 53/16 & Camera [1] 2/13 & charitable [3] 5/19 6/5 \\
\hline 55/5 58/12 58/15 68/5 68/9 & campaigned [1] 14/21 & 10/10 \\
\hline 69/20 69/22 72/23 74/14 & can [59] 7/6 10/4 11/9 & check [2] 39/7 78/11 \\
\hline 76/6 77/16 79/4 80/6 81/7 & 11/13 \(14 / 13\) 16/5 16/6 & CHERKOS [1] 2/3 \\
\hline board to [1] 58/15 & 16/19 17/25 \(19 / 5\) 12/11 & children [1] 11/ \\
\hline board's [2] 67/24 68/1 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll} \\ 26 / 24 & 27 / 9 & 27 / 19 & 30 / 5 & 30 / 5\end{array}\) & choice [2] 59/4 76/16 \\
\hline board/municipality [1] &  & choose [1] 43/3 \\
\hline 37/22 &  & choosing [1] 76/ \\
\hline 41/15 42/23 44/15 44/16 & 42/24 \(43 / 1 \begin{array}{llll} & 43 / 2 & 43 / 5 & 43 / 22\end{array}\) & church [4] 10/17 10/18 \\
\hline 55/4 55/8 68/4 & 44/1 45/7 \(45 / 17\) 48/4 49/10 & 10/22 11/3 \\
\hline books [2] 21/2 69/8 & 54/7 56/10 56/14 58/11 & churches [3] 10/14 10/15 \\
\hline Boro [2] 29/10 54/13 & 58/18 59/7 60/1 60/2 60/2 & 10/25 \\
\hline both [4] 21/6 21/7 45/4 & 60/17 61/25 62/9 63/13 & circled [1] 18/1 \\
\hline 80/8 & 67/9 67/10 71/20 71/21 & clarification [9] 6/9 6/23 \\
\hline bothered [1] 52/17 & 76/15 77/13 83/20 & 7/11 19/25 20/5 \(35 / 23\) \\
\hline boundaries [1] 43/21 & can't [12] 30/18 30/19 & 36/24 43/5 74/2 \\
\hline boxes [1] 39/7 & 31/11 52/11 52/17 71/3 & clarifications [3] 5/11 \\
\hline boy [1] 15/12 & 73/4 73/4 73/15 73/16 & 35/20 36/9 \\
\hline BR [7] 7/1 20/9 20/16 & 83/11 83/12 & clarifies [2] 5/6 24/13 \\
\hline 20/20 20/23 21/2 21/6 & cannot [3] 18/9 56/12 & clarify [13] \\
\hline BR-50 [1] 7/1 & 67/10 & 20/6 20/23 \(23 / 3\) 37/14 40/8 \\
\hline  & care [2] 53/12 72/23 & 44/14 55/23 58/1 64/3 \\
\hline BRF [1] 34/17 & carol [1] 60/4 & 72/23 79/25 \\
\hline Brian [1] 1/15 & Carol Luciano [1] 60/4 & clarifying [2] 20/11 27/6 \\
\hline Brick [1] \(2 / 7\) & cars [6] 10/19 11/5 12/9 & clarity [2] 9/17 70/9 \\
\hline bring [3] 11/21 11/21 42/1 & 12/23 12/24 13/3 & clause [1] 27/15 \\
\hline brought [3] 17/7 34/22 & case [5] 3/1 12/1 21/23 & clean [1] 45/6 \\
\hline 44/19 & 27/19 50/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { clear [4] } & \text { 9/13 } & 40 / 10 & 67 / 20\end{array}\) \\
\hline buffer [9] 4/22 6/19 27/10 & cases [1] 71/10 & 79/17 \\
\hline 27/17 27/18 27/20 28/3 & cash [2] 57/16 57/17 & clinics [3] 5/18 6/5 10/9 \\
\hline 28/6 28/10 & categories [1] 10/11 & close [4] 11/6 14/25 15/1 \\
\hline buffering [4] 8/7 13/16 & Cathy [3] 22/15 40/9 40/23 & 74/13 \\
\hline 61/17 78/17 & caught [1] 43/17 & closed [1] 83/9 \\
\hline buffers [1] 27/8 & center [1] 28/20 & coast [1] 43/19 \\
\hline build [9] 21/14 22/24 39/7 & Central [3] 32/19 47/1 & coastal [5] 3/11 3/13 3/20 \\
\hline 42/21 45/18 46/2 47/24 & 51/7 & 4/11 5/2 \\
\hline 55/21 68/22 & certain [7] 5/20 8/1 37/19 & code [1] 65/12 \\
\hline builders [1] 42/3 & 39/14 39/16 39/20 67/21 & codes [1] 18/1 \\
\hline building [9] 35/11 35/11 & certainly [1] 57/8 & coincides [1] 5/13 \\
\hline 35/12 35/24 36/14 37/3 & CERTIFIED [2] 1/24 86/4 & collective [1] 5/18 \\
\hline 54/19 67/4 83/12 & certify [1] 86/5 & combination [1] 66/1 \\
\hline buildings [6] 60/15 65/2 & cetera [7] 11/25 15/15 & come [17] 9/3 12/2 12/8 \\
\hline 65/5 65/6 65/12 65/12 & 19/18 \(35 / 2\) 35/2 51/16 52/8 & \(16 / 12\) 34/3 40/16 41/25 \\
\hline built [8] 15/7 15/22 18/17 & Chair [3] 7/6 69/3 79/8 & 42/3 44/8 46/4 51/18 52/12 \\
\hline 30/23 39/17 44/2 47/10 & Chairman [2] 1/12 85/2 & 52/13 57/7 68/9 70/8 79/17 \\
\hline 61/22 & Chairman's [1] 82/18 & comes [6] 27/25 31/15 39/8 \\
\hline bulk [6] 6/11 26/1 26/2 & challenging [1] 46/19 & 65/15 80/5 82/25 \\
\hline 26/4 26/12 26/13 & change [29] 6/1 8/19 9/15 & comfortable [1] 48/1 \\
\hline bullet [2] 66/5 66/7 & 22/21 31/15 32/7 40/16 & coming [6] 18/25 23/12 \\
\hline Bum [1] 57/13 & 41/16 42/5 42/6 42/22 &  \\
\hline bunch [1] 12/23 & 42/23 44/16 46/5 47/16 & comment [5] 8/12 17/5 25/4 \\
\hline business [34] 7/12 7/14 & 53/9 54/23 58/10 58/18 & 29/1 72/24 \\
\hline 7/16 8/20 8/22 27/9 60/7 & 58/20 58/22 59/7 66/21 & comments [13] 3/15 9/11 \\
\hline 60/24 60/25 61/5 61/13 & 66/24 67/8 70/8 70/10 & \(\begin{array}{llll}9 / 23 & 25 / 5 & 25 / 17 & 25 / 19\end{array}\) \\
\hline 61/14 61/25 62/12 62/14 & 70/10 71/21 & 25/20 26/8 28/18 55/7 \\
\hline 62/14 \(63 / 863 / 8 \quad 63 / 11\) & changed [3] 37/23 60/8 & 55/10 64/25 80/8 \\
\hline 63/22 63/24 64/6 64/12 & 67/7 & commercial [4] 4/22 35/12 \\
\hline 64/14 80/6 80/10 80/19 & changes [25] 4/2 6/23 7/23 & 77/11 78/5 \\
\hline 80/21 81/5 81/11 81/13 & 8/5 8/15 \(9 / 9\) 9/16 \(9 / 17\) & Commission [1] 86/13 \\
\hline 82/11 82/14 83/6 &  & communities [2] 4/15 7/24 \\
\hline businesses [9] 60/15 60/17 & 48/13 50/4 64/11 66/18 & community [10] 3/16 5/7 \\
\hline 61/1 61/21 61/23 77/1 78/1 & 71/19 71/20 71/23 72/19 & 7/10 8/13 8/23 20/4 24/23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
compatible [3] 4/13 5/14 7/15
complain [2] 16/12 16/17 complaining [1] 13/8 \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { complaints [2] } & 13 / 2 & 13 / 10 \\ \text { complement } & {[1]} & 8 / 13 & 46 / 18 \\ \text { completely }[4] & 41 / 9 & 46 / 18\end{array}\) completely
\(48 / 649 / 5\)
complicated [1] 65/24
comply [1] 36/5
comprehensive [1] 74/7
concern [4] \(34 / 4\) 41/24 46/10 52/8
concerned [3] 29/12 33/5 44/11
concerns [9] 16/15 20/12 \(\begin{array}{llll}44 / 14 & 44 / 21 & 44 / 23 & 46 / 8\end{array}\) 53/14 55/11 83/17
condemnation [1] 65/7 condemned [1] 65/7
\(\begin{array}{cccc}\text { condition } \\ 3 / 214 / 3 & {[5]} & 3 / 14 & 3 / 19\end{array}\) conditional [61] 5/2 5/16 \(6 / 2 \quad 6 / 18 \quad 6 / 218 / 6 \quad 13 / 15\) \(\begin{array}{llll}14 / 4 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 11 & 14 / 15 \\ 16 / 4\end{array}\) 22/21 \(22 / 22 \quad 23 / 9 \quad 23 / 12\) \(\begin{array}{llll}23 / 14 & 23 / 16 & 23 / 19 & 24 / 2\end{array}\) 24/3 \(27 / 15\) 28/24 \(29 / 18\) 29/19 29/20 \(31 / 13 \quad 32 / 22\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 2 & 34 / 8 & 37 / 18 & 37 / 20\end{array}\) \(38 / 13 \quad 39 / 1 \quad 39 / 4 \quad 39 / 8 \quad 40 / 5\) 41/3 41/7 \(42 / 13\) 44/11 \(45 / 13 ~ 45 / 16 ~ 46 / 147 / 21\) \(47 / 23\) 50/11 50/19 50/20 \(51 / 3\) 59/23 62/20 75/5 75/18 75/24 76/13 78/15 80/4 80/20 81/21 84/7
conditionally [2] 30/15 34/24
conditioning [2] 14/22 15/5
conditions [22] 3/13 5/21 \(6 / 1613 / 1823 / 7\) 27/13 39/10 39/11 40/14 40/17 45/17 \(45 / 2045 / 22 \quad 61 / 9\) 61/16 64/17 67/14 67/15 68/18 68/19 68/22 69/1 condos [1] 30/14
conducting [1] 28/21
confirm [1] \(78 / 11\)
conflicting [1] 61/18
conform [2] 21/25 63/2
connection [1] 65/22
CONNORS [1] 2/3
consider [2] 4/24 66/6
consideration [2] 65/4 68/9
considerations [2] \(18 / 15\) 70/3
considered [2] \(\quad 8 / 14 \quad 35 / 12\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { consistent }[2] & 4 / 13 & 81 / 9\end{array}\)
consistently [1] 80/1
constitute [1] 28/2
construction [1] 65/12
contact [1] 33/20
contacted [3] 33/11 33/11 42/2
contiguous [2] 5/24 21/13
contrary [1]
control 24/20
control [2] 39/12 41/20
conversation [1] 45/11
copies [2] 17/8 17/13

\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { correct } & {[21]} & 3 / 10 \\ 10 / 10\end{array}\)
15/23 43/11 \(46 / 24 \quad 46 / 25\)
50/22 \(51 / 1 \begin{array}{llll}58 / 7 & 59 / 2 & 59 / 5\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}60 / 8 & 60 / 18 & 62 / 5 & 62 / 8 & 68 / 7 \\ 69 / 2 & 69 / 24 & 74 / 9 & 78 / 9 & 84 / 11\end{array}\)
correctly [1] 13/12
corresponds [2] 6/7 7/21
cost [1] 16/20
cottage [2] 7/10 8/23
cottages [2] 8/4 20/24

\(\begin{array}{llll}10 / 22 & 10 / 22 & 11 / 15 & 12 / 6\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 2 & 24 / 19 & 26 / 2 & 28 / 3 & 28 / 3\end{array}\)
28/4 28/6 \(34 / 24 \quad 34 / 24\)
36/13 37/23 40/21 44/3
49/6 61/13 62/2 62/3 65/9
65/22 65/25 68/17 76/15
78/21 81/2 81/5 83/22
83/24
couldn't [1] 32/4
counci1 [10] 16/12 48/19
51/16 53/11 55/4 58/15
58/20 59/21 70/22 74/16
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { councilman [4] } & 1 / 1213 / 2\end{array}\) 14/20 84/21
Councilman Bacchione [1] 14/20
count [1] 36/19
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { couple } & {[6]} & 4 / 9 & 22 / 17 \\ 25 / 23\end{array}\)
38/21 61/4 64/25
course [4] 10/21 15/22
29/25 54/11
court [4] 1/24 31/18 32/4 86/4
coverage [1] 26/22
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { covered } & {[4]} & 32 / 13\end{array} 32 / 15\) 34/4 82/8
cow [2] 57/16 57/17
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { create [4] } & 8 / 22 & 9 / 16 & 19 / 16\end{array}\) 61/17
creates [1] 11/7
creating [1] 39/14
creation [1] 8/16
cross [3] 7/3 15/6 15/10
cross-reference [1] 7/3
crossed [1] 41/3
crowded [2] 28/25 50/14
Cummings [1] 41/25
current [1] 69/17
currently [13] \(5 / 16\) 6/7 6/10 6/24 \(7 / 12 \quad 8 / 3 \quad 8 / 10\) 20/22 20/25 39/5 41/21 60/24 64/5
cut [1] 72/14
D
damage [1] \(\quad 5 / 1\)
dangerous [1] 65/14
Danis [1] \(64 / 23\)
DASTI [1] \(2 / 3\)
date [3] 20/15 65/23 86/8
Dated [1] \(86 / 15\)
day [1] 55/18
DCA [8] \(\quad\) [8/11 \(24 / 16 \quad 24 / 17\)
24/20 25/10 \(37 / 17 \quad 38 / 2\)
38/15
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { de }[3] & 31 / 25 & 32 / 2 & 32 / 5 \\ \text { de-annexation } & {[3]} & 31 / 25\end{array}\) 32/2 32/5
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { dead [1] } & 81 / 1 & \\ \text { dea } & \text { [2] } & 44 / 18 & 53 / 19 \\ \text { dealing } & {[1]} & 74 / 22 \\ \text { decide } & {[4]} & 41 / 16 & 48 / 19\end{array}\)
decided [5] \(\quad 54 / 3 \quad 54 / 4 \quad 54 / 4\)
\(\begin{array}{cc}\text { 67/7 70/23 } \\ \text { decides [1] } & 32 / 18\end{array}\)
deciding [1] 29/23
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { decision } & {[3]} & 40 / 24 & 68 / 7\end{array}\) 68/12
deck [1] 22/24
defers [1] 6/24
defines [1] 21/10
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { degree [1] } & \text { 67/19 } & \\ \text { Demarco [2] } & 34 / 11 & 70 / 19\end{array}\)
Democrats [1] 45/4
dense [2] 31/9 55/16
densities [3] 4/14 4/25 5/9
density [6] \(4 / 23\) 30/9 30/13 30/14 30/14 30/18
Department [3] 3/15 24/22 25/3
depends [1] 18/11
design [4] 7/18 27/3 28/5 39/14
designated [1] 71/12
designation \([2]\) 60/10 64/4
desire [1] 59/18
desired [1] 63/2
destruction [2] 66/21 67/3
detailed [1] 25/6
determination [1] 28/2
develop [2] 24/1 38/3
developed [3] 63/3 66/19 80/10
developers [1] 71/2
development [17] 3/24 4/16 \(\begin{array}{lllll}1 / 17 & 5 / 3 & 5 / 6 & 6 / 17 & 6 / 25 \\ 8 / 1\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 2 & 21 / 15 & 23 / 8 & 27 / 14 & 28 / 1\end{array}\) 41/11 54/10 63/4 65/24 developments [1] 13/13
DHC [1] 24/16
Dickerson [2] \(2 / 14\) 34/15
dictate [1] 7/25
did [11] 14/17 15/22 29/14 \(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 6 & 31 / 19 & 35 / 17 & 44 / 8 & 45 / 4\end{array}\) 57/18 65/19 84/1
didn't [12] \(9 / 14\) 11/9
18/22 19/15 26/21 28/16
\(\begin{array}{llllll} & 30 / 9 & 33 / 9 & 33 / 13 & 70 / 11 & 72 / 9\end{array}\) 82/1
difference [1] 49/4
different [8] 5/19 5/22
7/24 7/24 \(46 / 10 \quad 49 / 5 \quad 60 / 12\) 78/3
difficult [3] 39/19 62/25 79/24
diligence [1] 72/12
disagree [1] 50/16
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { discuss [1] } & 3 / 7 & \\ \text { discussed [5] } & 3 / 8 & 14 / 1\end{array}\)
19/23 20/3 76/15
discussing [1] 43/6
discussion \([6]\) 3/3 3/22
\(8 / 19\) 79/17 80/7 81/8
discussions [1] 79/15
district [10] 6/7 6/15
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline D & E & \[
\text { ex [1] } 43 / 25 \text { ex-mayor [1] } 43 / 25
\] \\
\hline district... [8] 7/17 7/20 & earth [1] 50/6 & exactly [4] 29/16 41/24 \\
\hline 8/11 8/22 20/9 20/10 62/12 & east [1] 14/23 & 42/18 48/18 \\
\hline 78/15 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { example } & {[4]} & 11 / 22 & 14 / 19\end{array}\) \\
\hline districts [2] 5/11 27/13 & Ebby's [2] 33/6 33/10 & 26/2 37/17 \\
\hline disturbed [1] 16/22 & economically [1] 81/3 & exceed [2] 18/10 69/17 \\
\hline do [50] 9/22 14/1 17/14 & edits [1] 25/5 & except [3] 13/14 46/12 \\
\hline 18/10 \(19 / 519 / 1219 / 15\) & effect [1] 72/3 & 47/2 \\
\hline  & eight [1] 11/23 & Excepting [1] 81/25 \\
\hline 37/19 40/3 40/7 40/18 & either [9] 8/5 9/10 14/4 & exception [1] 35/25 \\
\hline 40/20 41/12 \(42 / 542 / 9\) & 29/17 47/10 55/15 65/23 & exclusive [1] 52/18 \\
\hline 42/25 43/2 \(43 / 3\) 44/20 & 68/19 81/6 & excuse [4] 7/5 29/6 61/8 \\
\hline 45/21 48/18 \(52 / 10\) 54/22 & electricity [1] 15/12 & 81/4 \\
\hline 55/20 58/15 58/15 59/14 & elements [1] 28/5 & exist [7] 50/1 60/15 63/13 \\
\hline 59/24 59/24 60/1 61/23 & elevate [1] 36/4 & 65/5 68/17 73/8 73/10 \\
\hline 62/21 63/1 66/22 66/25 & elevation [4] 18/5 18/8 & existing [18] 4/14 4/17 \\
\hline 67/16 70/11 71/25 71/25 & 18/12 36/22 & 4/20 5/9 5/15 6/13 7/21 \\
\hline 72/1 \(72 / 25\) 75/8 79/4 79/6 & eliminate [10] 14/14 41/8 & 35/25 41/11 60/23 64/13 \\
\hline 81/15 86/5 & 47/21 75/12 75/12 75/19 & 68/18 68/19 68/20 68/22 \\
\hline dock [1] 22/24 & 75/20 76/12 80/3 80/4 & 69/1 69/16 78/24 \\
\hline doctor's [1] 13/3 & eliminated [9] 6/17 10/25 & exists [1] 73/12 \\
\hline document [2] 4/5 25/7 & 13/14 14/5 \(14 / 1016 / 2\) & expand [2] 55/20 56/4 \\
\hline does [16] 9/19 18/19 22/4 & 27/15 37/23 50/10 & expanding [1] 20/18 \\
\hline 23/15 37/17 41/22 54/2 & eliminates [1] 47/23 & experience [2] 11/2 11/7 \\
\hline 54/3 54/4 66/18 66/21 & eliminating [2] \(41 / 9\) 46/18 & expires [1] 86/13 \\
\hline 66/24 67/22 68/18 72/22 & elimination [4] 8/5 10/8 & expressed [3] 28/18 28/19 \\
\hline 73/10 & 13/24 77/1 & 59/17 \\
\hline doesn't [11] 34/9 43/21 & else [7] 17/4 21/21 28/16 & extend [1] 15/2 \\
\hline 43/23 \(49 / 25\) 51/24 54/22 & 37/10 37/12 41/13 50/3 & extremely [1] 19/17 \\
\hline 54/24 \(62 / 23\) 73/7 \(73 / 8\) & encouraged [1] 66/14 & F \\
\hline  & Encouraging \({ }^{\text {encroach [1] }} 56 / 24\) & fact [3] 34/22 53/21 80/8 \\
\hline 58/5 58/6 72/10 74/15 75/1 & end [6] 11/5 11/15 34/21 & families [1] 12/2 \\
\hline Domenick [1] 1/13 & 46/12 47/2 47/10 & family [58] 3/23 6/10 6/14 \\
\hline Don [7] 29/5 36/10 41/23 & endure [1] 15/18 & 6/17 \(8 / 48 / 9\) 11/22 \\
\hline 46/24 46/24 57/25 71/16 & engineer [2] 2/13 12/8 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 13 & 13 / 13 & 13 / 21 & 13 / 22\end{array}\) \\
\hline Don whiteman [1] 71/16 & enough [3] 11/19 30/17 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 22 & 13 / 23 & 14 / 2 & 14 / 2 & 16 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline don't [53] \(12 / 4 \quad 13 / 813 / 19\) & 50/14 & 16/6 16/8 16/18 27/14 \\
\hline 13/23 14/3 \(14 / 25\) 15/8 & ensure [5] 65/2 66/7 66/9 & 27/14 30/14 31/1 31/2 \\
\hline 15/16 15/16 15/17 16/16 & 66/11 66/14 & 31/14 34/1 34/21 35/5 36/7 \\
\hline 16/23 19/5 \(20 / 1 \quad 22 / 12\) & ensuring [1] 65/11 & 39/17 42/5 42/6 42/11 \\
\hline 25/24 29/16 30/4 30/8 & entail [1] 23/17 & 42/24 47/22 50/11 50/13 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}31 / 13 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 22 ~ 32 / 10\end{array}\) & entirely [2] 7/14 39/13 & 50/14 51/4 51/13 52/7 \\
\hline 34/13 \(35 / 13\) 38/10 39/23 & environment [1] 68/23 & 56/18 \(57 / 1{ }^{58 / 13} 588 / 14\) \\
\hline 44/6 47/8 47/9 47/9 49/9 & Ernie [1] 2/13 & 58/25 59/18 \(64 / 13\) 75/12 \\
\hline 49/10 49/15 49/23 50/8 & errata [2] 4/4 9/10 & 75/13 75/13 75/23 76/21 \\
\hline 50/13 \(50 / 16\) 51/2 \(52 / 22\) & errors [1] 9/12 & 79/22 81/10 81/19 84/6 \\
\hline  & especially [1] 33/ & far [6] 14/10 18/25 29/19 \\
\hline 55/16 58/19 59/10 66/10 & ESQ [2] 2/5 2/8 & 46/23 68/24 73/8 \\
\hline 69/19 71/3 78/22 82/21 & ESQS [2] 2/3 2/6 & faster [2] 43/8 43/23 \\
\hline 83/3 & establish [3] 5/2 8/6 & father [1] 11/8 \\
\hline done [9] 28/8 40/13 41/4 & & father-in-1aw [1] 11/8 \\
\hline 48/24 58/19 59/13 63/1 & established [1] 28/12 & features [2] 4/14 5/9 \\
\hline 66/8 66/14 & establishing [1] 39/11 & February [1] 57/12 \\
\hline DOT [1] 66/13 & establishment [1] 4/21 & fee1 [6] 28/23 28/24 50/14 \\
\hline double [2] 10/17 78/10 & et [7] 11/24 15/15 19/18 & 51/17 58/19 79/6 \\
\hline down [18] 10/16 12/8 13/4 & 35/2 35/2 51/16 52/8 & feelings [1] 77/18 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{lll}
\(15 / 12\) & \(17 / 23\) & \(27 / 25\) \\
\hline \(18 / 16\)
\end{tabular} & even [12] 12/4 12/12 16/16 & feels [1] 47/25 \\
\hline 30/22 \(31 / 14 \quad 43 / 18\) 51/21 & 19/18 27/18 \(31 / 12\) 37/24 & feet [17] 18/10 20/1 \\
\hline 55/22 56/6 56/9 57/10 & 45/2 55/17 \(76 / 480 / 2\) 81/10 & 21/11 \(30 / 8 \quad 33 / 16 \quad 33 / 21\) \\
\hline 57/10 57/11 80/25 & ever [4] 16/16 24/22 41/16 &  \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{llll}56 / 21 & \\ \text { ll }\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}36 / 20 & 36 / 22 & 36 / 23 & 36 / 23\end{array}\) \\
\hline draw [1] 38/1 & every [5] 10/10 14/22 & 36/23 37/2 \\
\hline DRIVE [1] 1/24 & 51/18 51/24 79/16 & fencing [1] 28/3 \\
\hline driveways [1] 11/6 & everybody [7] 43/18 44/24 & few [4] 7/10 35/20 59/24 \\
\hline dual [1] 20/7 & 51/14 51/23 60/3 & 69/12 \\
\hline due [2] 18/2 72/12 & 81/10 & filed [1] 67/4 \\
\hline during [3] 16/11 31/10 & everyone [4] 4/1 9/14 & fina [1] 25/9 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lcc}
83 / 8 \\
\text { dwelling [2] } & 47 / 16 & 47 / 18 \\
\text { dwellings [1] } & 5 / 1
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
13 / 2517 / 25 \\
\text { everything [3] } \\
48 / 8
\end{gathered} \quad 31 / 13 \quad 34 / 4
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
finalized [1] 25/12 \\
finally [1] 8/18 \\
find [3] 31/12 44/10 47/17
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline F & gee [1] 59/22 &  \\
\hline fine [6] 13/24 14/10 14/21 & \(\underset{52 / 5}{\text { gentleman [3] }} 34 / 19\) 34/22 &  \\
\hline 16/2 17/16 82/3 & gentlemen [2] 17/8 59/7 & GREGORY [1] \(2 / 5\) \\
\hline first [18] 10/2 10/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { get [29] } & 13 / 2 & 13 / 9 & 15 / 23\end{array}\) & ground [3] 8/1 61/14 78/24 \\
\hline  & \(\begin{array}{llll}16 / 316 / 14 & 17 / 10 & 23 / 23\end{array}\) & group [1] 58/17 \\
\hline 29/8 31/12 \(36 / 2141 / 5\) & 31/11 \(31 / 20 \quad 37 / 2 \quad 41 / 14\) & guess [2] 5/17 55/23 \\
\hline 46/23 54/13 54/17 63/18 & 43/7 43/17 \(44 / 144 / 3\) 48/1 & guests [1] 13/5 \\
\hline 63/19 65/16 78/14 83/25 & 52/15 54/2 54/4 54/4 56/14 & guidelines [1] 43/22 \\
\hline five [5] 4/9 31/19 32/4 & 56/18 57/20 66/22 69/20 & guy [1] 51/21 \\
\hline 32/5 51/10 & 69/20 73/4 73/16 73/16 & guys [6] 33/23 34/5 51/15 \\
\hline flex [8] 6/6 8/2 12/17 & gets [2] 25/10 44/24 & 58/9 71/20 79/6 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 12 & 13 / 25 ~ 14 / 19 ~ 30 / 22 ~\end{array}\) & getting [3] 38/6 80/16 & guys' [1] 77/17 \\
\hline  & 80/23 & H \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { flood } \\
18 / 11 & 36 / 5
\end{array} \text { 18/4 } 18 / 518 / 8
\] & Gingrich
give [2] \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(1 / 15\) & \(84 / 17\) \\
\(26 / 2\) & \(41 / 20\) & \(49 / 12\)
\end{tabular} & had [19 \\
\hline flooded [1] 43/19 & 57/18 \({ }^{\text {gin }}\) & \(\begin{array}{ll}11 / 815 / 7 & 20 / 3 \\ 28 /\end{array}\) \\
\hline flooding. [1] 5/2 & given [2] 13/20 33/1 & \(\begin{array}{llll}34 / 19 & 39 / 13 & 42 / 2 & 45\end{array}\) \\
\hline floodplain [6] 17/23 18/3 & gives [1] 46/3 & 51/10 56/21 57/5 59/24 \\
\hline 18/17 30/7 \(36 / 19 \quad 38 / 4\) & giving [2] 31/18 72/11 & 60/21 74/10 76/18 \\
\hline floor [15] 6/19 8/8 13/16 & go [24] 5/21 13/19 23/15 & half [2] 10/18 52/15 \\
\hline 18/3 26/24 27/17 36/21 & 31/10 32/20 33/19 35/9 & hallway [1] 51/21 \\
\hline 61/13 61/14 63/8 63/11 & 35/16 41/14 45/14 46/2 & hand [3] 15/3 15/3 17/9 \\
\hline 4/17 77/9 78/4 78/14 & 47/24 48/2 50/21 51/13 & handed [1] 17/13 \\
\hline folks [1] 61/10 & 52/10 53/11 55/8 58/19 & handout [1] 17/24 \\
\hline follow [5] 28/13 39/23 & 61/22 68/1 68/24 69/19 & hands [1] 40/1 \\
\hline 49/5 66/7 66/10 & 80/1 & happen [5] 29/24 43/24 \\
\hline follow-up [2] 66/7 66/10 & goal [4] 4/9 4/11 17/19 & 48/4 66/22 66/25 \\
\hline followed [1] 66/13 & 65/2 & happened [3] 47/11 53/20 \\
\hline following [2] 38/7 59/15 & goals [1] & 56/20 \\
\hline foot [10] 18/4 18/8 24/7 & God [1] 57/13 & happening [2] 16/13 56/20 \\
\hline 24/10 \(33 / 12\) 35/6 35/6 36/2 &  & happens [7] 11/3 11/4 15/5 \\
\hline 36/14 50/24 & 36/22 39/21 41/19 74/16 & 36/16 61/3 70/8 70/10 \\
\hline footprint [4] 26/3 55/21 & going [47] 3/7 3/24 4/8 & happy [1] 14/7 \\
\hline 6/20 69/16 & 12/13 12/23 13/17 13/21 & hard [2] 53/21 80/25 \\
\hline force [1] 17/23 & 15/13 23/2 \(23 / 4 \quad 23 / 9\) 23/20 & Hardenburg [1] 17/17 \\
\hline forced [1] 41/14 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 24/5 } & 26 / 17 & 29 / 13 & 29 / 24\end{array}\) & harder [2] 40/18 41/19 \\
\hline foregoing [1] 86/5 &  & has [23] 6/12 15/20 16/25 \\
\hline forget [2] 11/9 21/3 & 39/11 39/19 40/3 40/3 40/4 & 19/8 20/23 23/21 33/10 \\
\hline Forked [1] 2/4 & 40/16 41/1 41/8 42/1 44/2 & 36/22 40/7 41/21 49/5 50/9 \\
\hline formatting [1] 9/11 & 44/3 44/8 \(44 / 10\) 45/18 & 50/21 54/10 54/15 54/19 \\
\hline forth [1] 86/8 & 45/20 45/22 48/22 48/23 & 62/24 76/6 77/20 77/23 \\
\hline forums [1] 54/12 & 53/8 53/14 54/19 59/9 61/3 & 79/17 79/17 81/9 \\
\hline forward [5] 23/9 23/12 & 62/24 74/12 81/14 81/15 & hasn't [1] 33/16 \\
\hline 54/19 68/2 79/17 & good [12] 10/8 11/13 12/4 & have [129 \\
\hline foundation [2] 18/2 50/3 & 12/4 13/7 16/14 \(24 / 13\) & haven't [4] 16/10 30/17 \\
\hline four [18] 6/10 6/17 8/9 & 28/21 61/24 69/10 69/14 & 65/7 66/19 \\
\hline 13/13 \(13 / 21 \quad 14 / 2 \quad 16 / 1 \quad 16 / 6\) & 79/12 & having [7] 11/16 11/23 \\
\hline 16/8 27/14 \(30 / 14\) 31/18 & got [5] 34/2 52/11 52/14 & 12/7 29/9 29/9 53/22 56/16 \\
\hline 47/22 50/11 50/13 50/14 & 58/1 59/24 & he [8] 11/8 14/9 15/21 \\
\hline 75/13 79/22 & govern [1] 20/7 & 15/22 15/23 42/2 42/2 83/4 \\
\hline four-family [17] 6/10 6/17 & governing [9] 9/3 9/6 41/6 & hear [9] 10/4 29/14 51/16 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}8 / 9 & 13 / 13 & 13 / 21 & 14 / 2 & 16 / 1\end{array}\) &  & 51/18 \(52 / 19\) 53/5 53/5 \\
\hline  & 58/12 68/4 & 58/23 71/22 \\
\hline 47/22 50/11 50/13 50/14 & government [1] 31/17 & heard [16] 29/16 51/11 \\
\hline 75/13 79/22 & grandchildren [1] 11/23 & 51/20 51/24 52/23 58/3 \\
\hline red [1] 72/24 & grandfather [3] 67/9 67/10 & 71/18 71/19 71/20 71/21 \\
\hline Frederick [1] 1/13 & 67/25 & 72/12 72/17 80/8 81/8 81/8 \\
\hline front [4] 11/5 26/17 51/15 & grandfathered [4] 66/20 & 81/11 \\
\hline 69/19 & 67/1 69/9 69/1 & hearing [14] \\
\hline frustrated [1] 65/24 & grandfathering [8] 66/18 & 33/23 41/2 52/4 52/5 53/7 \\
\hline Fulcomer [5] 9/25 10/5 & 67/3 67/13 67/18 67/20 & 53/8 53/22 54/16 55/9 \\
\hline 22/15 45/11 73/5 & 67/22 70/5 77/19 & 69/21 75/22 76 \\
\hline ulcomer's [1] 71/19 &  & height [13] 17/22 18/7 \\
\hline funding [2] 25/13 66/12 & 32/8 48/15 & 18/10 \(20 / 11 \begin{array}{lllll} & 11 / 11 & 35 / 7\end{array}\) \\
\hline further [4] 5/10 66/6 & granted [5] 15/10 46/13 & \(36 / 4\) 36/13 36/14 36/16 \\
\hline 79/20 80/2 & 71/2 71/10 71/14 & 36/17 36/18 37/2 \\
\hline future [1] 7/17 & grants [3] 25/16 31/22 & heights [3] 18/2 35/25 \\
\hline G & 号 & 36/2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { garage [1] } 41 / 13 \\
& \text { gave }[1] \quad 17 / 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 44 / 13 \\
& 79 / 24
\end{aligned} 65 / 1969 / 25 \quad 70 / 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { help }[3] \quad 34 / 9 \text { 38/4 } 43 / 7 \\
& \text { helpful }[1] \quad 29 / 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline H & \[
\text { I'11 [7] } 37 / 8 \text { 17/12 } 33 / 4
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
24 / 9 & 24 / 10 & 25 / 12 \\
27 / 27 / 3 \\
21 / 10 & 35 / 12 & 36 / 13
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline helps [1] 63/2 &  & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}36 / 16 & 36 / 16 & 37 / 24 & 37 / 25\end{array}\) \\
\hline here [43] 4/9 6/12 7/11 & 20/8 20/9 22/11 22/23 23/2 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 14 & 39 / 3 & 39 / 4 & 39 / 9 & 39 / 19\end{array}\) \\
\hline 7/23 12/19 14/9 19/25 20/5 & 23/23 24/16 27/8 27/11 & 40/6 40/13 40/14 40 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 25 & 22 / 11 & 22 / 23 & 22 / 25\end{array}\) & 28/5 28/22 \(30 / 16\) 32/24 & 40/19 41/5 41/7 \(41 / 18\) 48/3 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 22 & 27 / 11 & 30 / 1 & 35 / 8\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 5 & 33 / 22 & 35 / 2 & 37 / 13\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline 35/13 36/15 36/21 37/13 & 38/14 38/23 39/23 40/21 & 52/12 \(52 / 15\) 52/16 \(52 / 18\) \\
\hline 42/10 43/5 43/6 43/13 44/1 & 41/1 42/1 42/12 42/15 43/6 & 53/18 53/21 53/24 56/17 \\
\hline 44/15 46/24 50/2 50/3 50/5 & 43/19 46/17 46/24 46/25 & 56/24 57/1 57/3 57/9 57/12 \\
\hline 52/6 52/12 52/14 52/15 & 47/25 \(50 / 5 \quad 52 / 3 \quad 52 / 4 \quad 52 / 5\) & 57/12 58/14 61/24 61/25 \\
\hline 54/5 57/16 58/1 59/10 69/4 & 52/18 54/3 55/14 57/6 63/9 & 62/19 68/11 69/7 69/10 \\
\hline 71/21 74/15 78/11 79/25 & 65/9 67/20 69/13 69/21 & 69/11 69/13 69/25 73/22 \\
\hline hereby [1] 86/5 & 69/21 70/9 72/2 75/8 78/2 & 74/2 80/25 81/1 83/9 \\
\hline hereinbefore [1] 86/8 & 79/11 81/14 81/15 & item [1] 59/10 \\
\hline hey [1] 42/10 & 've [10] 44/8 47/7 49/25 & items [3] 4/6 4/9 59/22 \\
\hline Hi [1] 22/15 & 51/14 51/24 59/13 61/19 & iteration \\
\hline high [5] 4/23 20/11 21/11 & 65/20 79/16 81/8 & [3] 3/5 6/11 9/7 \\
\hline 36/14 36/22 & idea [8] 31/8 37/21 38/5 & itself [1] 9/15 \\
\hline highlighted [1] 4/3 & 38/5 38/11 80/9 80/19 81/2 & J \\
\hline high7y [1] 31/9 & immediate [1] 16/22 & JACKSON [1] 1/25 \\
\hline HILL [2] 1/23 86/3 & implemented [3] 14/12 & JACKSON [1] 1/25 \\
\hline his [2] 15/23 83/6 & 14/15 16/4 & January [2] 57/12 86/13 \\
\hline hits [1] 38/9 & improve [2] 17/20 19/19 & Jason [1] 44/9 \\
\hline hold [2] 30/21 39/16 & include [2] 8/5 78/8 & Jason Varano [1] 44/9 \\
\hline home [6] 12/20 12/21 15/17 & included [1] 4/12 & Jersey [8] 1/8 1/25 2/4 \\
\hline 45/16 52/7 57/11 & includes [4] 73/20 73/23 &  \\
\hline homeowner [1] 61/2 & 73/23 73/24 & Jim [4] 9/25 10/5 45/11 \\
\hline homes [16] 11/5 11/6 12/16 & including [1] 19/16 & 46/16 \\
\hline 12/18 \(16 / 18\) 16/19 \(35 / 5\) & inclusionary [1] 71/7 & Jim Fulcomer [3] 9/25 10/5 \\
\hline 42/11 43/8 47/22 55/17 & inconsistencies [1] 3/18 & 45/11 \\
\hline 56/21 59/18 60/16 60/16 & inconvenience [1] 52/13 & John [4] 1/12 2/13 82/12 \\
\hline 79/22 & incorporate [1] 5/4 & \\
\hline hoops [1] 61/4 & incorporating [1] 78/16 & JOSEPH [1] 2/8 \\
\hline hopefully [1] 43/23 & increase [2] 18/13 20/2 & judge [2] 32/6 32/6 \\
\hline hoping, [1] 52/18 & increasing [1] 18/ & \\
\hline hornet's [1] 18/19 & indicate [1] 15/24 & jumped [1] 38 \\
\hline horrible [1] 31/10 & indicated [1] 37/24 & June [2] 1/8 86/15 \\
\hline hospitals [3] 5/18 6/4 & indicates [1] 68/18 & just [90] \\
\hline 10/9 & infilı [1] 4/16 & K \\
\hline hospitals' [1] 5/23 & initiate [1] 44/16 & \\
\hline hour [1] \({ }_{\text {house }}[15] \begin{array}{lll}52 / 15 \\ 11 / 14 & 13 / 21\end{array}\) & initiated [1] 9/23 & \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
keen \([1]\) & \(42 / 15\) & & \\
keep & {\([12]\)} & \(19 / 3\) & \(19 / 5\) & \(19 / 6\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline house \(\left[\begin{array}{llll}15] & 11 / 14 & 13 / 21\end{array}\right.\) & input [1] \({ }^{\text {instead [2] }}\) 54/14 \(57 / 21\) 66/7 & \begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
keep [12] \\
\(19 / 10\) & \(30 / 21\) & \(38 / 24\) & \(19 / 5\) & \(19 / 6\) \\
\(39 / 1\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 33/14 \(34 / 21 \quad 38 / 6{ }^{55 / 19}\) & institutions [3] 5/19 6/5 & 40/3 40/4 46/7 51/22 80/3 \\
\hline 55/19 56/7 56/10 57/20 & 10/10 & keeping [1] 39/3 \\
\hline 75/13 & intense [1] 19/17 & Keswick [1] 1/7 \\
\hline houses [31] 6/13 10/16 & intent [1] 22/2 & kind [6] 18/11 31/23 34/13 \\
\hline 15/6 16/1 16/6 16/8 17/22 & introduce [2] 9/4 19/15 & 34/17 53/21 59/13 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 23 & 18 / 12 & 18 / 15 & 18 / 16\end{array}\) & introduced [1] 55/3 & kinds [1] 34/19 \\
\hline  & introducing [1] 19/18 & know [72] 3/17 6/9 7/6 \\
\hline \(31 / 1 \quad 31 / 2 \quad 36 / 6 \quad 37 / 547 / 16\) & inundated [1] 10/19 &  \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}47 / 18 & 50 / 12 & 50 / 13 & 50 / 14\end{array}\) & inviting [1] 57/15 & 13/1 13/23 14/25 15/2 15/6 \\
\hline 51/13 55/24 56/22 57/1 & involved [1] 79/14 & 15/19 18/20 22/22 25/24 \\
\hline 58/13 75/13 75/24 & irks [1] 52/12 & 26/4 26/21 27/8 29/19 \\
\hline housing [3] 70/25 71/5 & is [242] & 34/13 \(34 / 25\) 35/10 35/13 \\
\hline 71/6 & island [28] 5/12 \(5 / 13\) 5/25 & 35/13 \(38 / 6\) 38/20 40/1 40/5 \\
\hline how [18] 6/10 26/5 35/13 & 6/6 7/1 7/3 7/10 7/20 7/21 & 40/6 43/15 43/20 43/22 \\
\hline 35/14 39/20 42/5 44/1 & 8/2 8/23 10/7 12/17 13/11 & 44/12 44/22 44/22 44/23 \\
\hline 52/11 54/2 \(54 / 3\) 56/20 & 14/8 25/25 26/10 \(27 / 11\) & 45/1 46/23 47/9 47/17 \\
\hline 63/16 65/9 66/18 68/12 & 35/15 47/5 47/13 47/15 & 48/10 51/10 \(51 / 17\) 52/22 \\
\hline 73/3 76/25 79/6 & 47/16 47/17 52/13 64/4 & 52/23 53/13 53/17 54/10 \\
\hline however [3] 21/6 49/20 & 64/10 73/24 & 54/14 \(54 / 24 \quad 55 / 14 \quad 55 / 16\) \\
\hline 59/19 & island's [1] 4/12 & 57/2 57/4 57/5 57/13 57/14 \\
\hline hum [5] 10/12 27/24 51/16 & isn't [3] 43/9 53/18 77/19 & 57/19 59/12 59/14 60/3 \\
\hline 64/15 74/4 & issue [4] 18/21 68/13 & 61/2 61/17 \(71 / 3\) 72/10 \\
\hline hypotheticals [1] 32/24 & 68/14 68/1 & 72/17 72/20 73/8 79/11 \\
\hline I & issues [3] 7/7 18/23 38/7 & 80/15 \\
\hline & & knowing [1] 19/2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{llll}
55 / 15 & 57 / 13 \\
76 / 2 & 65 / 4 & 74 / 19
\end{array}
\] & \[
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { it's } & {[70]} & 3 / 3 & 7 / 14 \\
10 / 22 & 10 / 8 \\
19 / 24 & 20 / 10 & 14 / 9 & 19 / 5 \\
121 / 14 & 24 / 7
\end{array}\right.
\] &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline L & longer [1] 67 & 49/15 49/17 49/24 49/24 \\
\hline LAKEVIEW [1] 1/24 & 29/18
\(29 / 25\) &  \\
\hline 1and [22] 4/13 5/11 5/12 & 33/8 33/8 33/9 33/13 33/24 & 73/6 73/15 73/18 74/7 \\
\hline 7/10 8/23 9/7 19/9 24/19 & 57/17 & master plan [1] 44/5 \\
\hline 24/21 37/20 \(38 / 3\) 38/14 & looked [2] 19/1 30/12 & matter [2] 1/3 49/9 \\
\hline 40/2 \(60 / 10 \quad 64 / 4 \quad 64 / 10\) & 1ooking [15] 24/1 30/ & maximum [1] 36/3 \\
\hline 67/14 68/1 68/6 68/16 & \(30 / 3\) 30/4 \(30 / 8 \quad 33 / 133 / 21\) & may [9] \(8 / 1414 / 1215 / 4\) \\
\hline 68/21 68/23 & \(33 / 23 \quad 33 / 25 \quad 34 / 1 \quad 34 / 2 \quad 34 / 5\) & 19/23 46/8 46/9 68/24 70/4 \\
\hline Lane [1] 35/1 & 42/12 60/23 65/23 & 80/23 \\
\hline 1 anes [9] 18/13 18/15 & Lorelli [2] 1/13 84/19 & maybe [13] 12/21 12/22 \\
\hline 18/18 19/13 20/8 20/24 & lose [2] 31/17 32/8 & 20/15 \(25 / 24 \begin{array}{llllll} & 43 / 5 & 45 / 1 & 45 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline 21/4 21/7 22/5 & lost [2] 34/13 34/17 & 51/12 51/20 54/8 65/25 \\
\hline 1anguage [5] 20/6 20/12 & 1ot [20] 6/11 10/17 11/16 & 71/3 80/11 \\
\hline 65/10 66/6 78/11 & 11/16 11/20 11/21 14/18 & mayor [4] 43/25 44/9 49/15 \\
\hline 1arge [3] 7/11 21/13 56/11 & 16/20 26/4 26/22 39/12 & 53/5 \\
\hline 1argely [2] 78/23 80/1 &  & MCGUCKIN [4] \(2 / 3\) 2/5 76/3 \\
\hline 1 ast [7] 9/24 20/3 20/14 & 52/20 55/25 56/3 56/17 & 80/15 \\
\hline 29/1 32/2 69/12 73/17 & 57/1 & me [26] \(7 / 5\) 10/4 \(16 / 7\) \\
\hline later [2] 9/3 15/25 & 1ots [2] 31/4 42/7 & 16/16 22/11 24/9 26/2 \\
\hline law [2] 11/8 49/9 & 1ow [4] 21/9 21/10 36/1 & 27/10 \(28 / 122\) 29/6 \(30 / 5\) \\
\hline 1ays [1] 50/3 & 36/15 & \(30 / 15\) 30/20 \(34 / 13\) 34/17 \\
\hline Leann [1] 52/2 & LR [1] 20/10 & 46/24 46/25 47/12 49/12 \\
\hline 7east [2] 15/9 65/10 & LRL [1] 20/21 & 49/24 52/12 \(58 / 1 \quad 61 / 8\) \\
\hline 1eave [4] 30/25 35/16 & Luciano [1] 60/4 & 78/10 81/4 86/7 \\
\hline 58/19 82/18 & luck [1] 80/23 & mean [14] \(22 / 5\) 24/16 \(26 / 3\) \\
\hline leaving [1] 42/14 & lurking [1] 51/21 & 33/1 36/13 36/16 46/5 \\
\hline 1egal [2] 70/6 76/3 & M & 54/24 57/9 58/15 62/23 \\
\hline legally [1] 38/1 & & 73/3 73/4 75/8 \\
\hline legitimate [1] 44/20 & Mackres [3] 1/14 84/9 & Meaning [1] 39/6 \\
\hline Leisure [1] 15/14 & 84/15 & means [4] 16/5 29/20 70/11 \\
\hline less [1] 55/16 & made [9] 3/7 4/6 6/24 9/16 & 70/12 \\
\hline 1et [5] 3/3 17/8 27/10 & 17/1 \(25 / 17\) 29/16 33/20 & meant [2] 19/4 19/4 \\
\hline 47/12 78/10 & 84/10 & measurements [1] 26/4 \\
\hline 1et's [11] 27/10 27/12 & mailing & medium [1] 35/6 \\
\hline 28/8 37/2 42/5 42/9 42/10 & main [10] 60/8 62/1 62/6 & meet [4] 13/17 42/17 45/19 \\
\hline 42/19 47/1 61/20 62/14 & 63/7 63/17 63/25 64/4 & 45/21 \\
\hline letters [2] 33/7 33/16 & 64/10 78/1 81/13 & meeting [15] 16/12 20/4 \\
\hline 1eve1 [3] 36/19 67/23 & mainland [3] 35/14 43/19 & 28/19 \(29 / 10 \quad 29 / 12 \quad 34 / 18\) \\
\hline 68/25 & 73/23 &  \\
\hline 1icense [1] 33/15 & maintain [2] 4/19 22/8 & 51/15 51/18 52/10 68/19 \\
\hline light [1] 4/19 & major [2] 17/19 19/24 & 79/16 \\
\hline like [57] 3/11 3/18 7/3 & majority [3] 18/22 79/18 & meetings [7] 18/25 38/21 \\
\hline 8/7 \(9 / 21\) 10/19 11/9 \(13 / 8\) & 79/19 & 44/9 51/14 52/7 52/19 \\
\hline 17/5 19/3 20/19 21/12 & make [34] 3/25 9/13 12/6 & 52/21 \\
\hline 21/14 22/23 23/20 23/24 & 14/6 21/25 27/11 28/25 & meets [1] 45/16 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll} & 21 / 25 & 26 / 5 & 26 / 14 & 27 / 1\end{array}\) & 31/6 37/15 \(39 / 25 \quad 41 / 19\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Member } & {[5]} & 1 / 13 & 1 / 13 & 1 / 14\end{array}\) \\
\hline 28/17 31/13 35/4 37/12 & 48/13 51/9 51/22 56/10 & 1/14 1/15 \\
\hline  & 57/15 58/11 58/18 58/20 & mention [1] 31/6 \\
\hline 53/2 \(53 / 10\) 53/12 \(55 / 1\) 56/6 & 59/7 64/11 68/17 71/23 & mentioned [3] 35/8 36/1 \\
\hline 56/23 57/3 57/3 57/8 57/13 & 71/24 72/17 76/8 76/9 & 57/5 \\
\hline 57/15 57/19 57/20 65/4 & 76/15 79/15 79/24 80/6 & mess [1] 45/7 \\
\hline 71/19 72/19 74/20 76/2 & 81/3 81/7 82/7 & met [2] 62/22 67/21 \\
\hline 77/16 77/24 78/20 79/20 & makes [5] 12/15 36/23 & MICHELINI [2] 2/6 2/8 \\
\hline 80/15 81/1 81/12 81/23 & 40/18 62/25 63/1 & middle [1] 12/8 \\
\hline 83/5 84/12 & making [5] 6/1 58/4 58/9 & midway [18] 7/12 7/19 8/20 \\
\hline likelihood [1] 46/19 & 58/16 58/17 & 17/21 17/25 \(18 / 1318 / 15\) \\
\hline limit [3] 4/25 18/10 31/19 & management [2] 7/25 65/21 & 18/18 18/21 18/22 19/8 \\
\hline 1imited [1] 22/5 & manager [1] 81/6 & 19/8 \(19 / 1119 / 141914\) \\
\hline limits [2] 17/22 31/21 & mandate [2] 37/17 37/19 & 20/7 20/18 42/7 \\
\hline LINDA [2] 1/23 86/3 & many [9] 7/7 11/20 12/1 & Midway Beach [3] 7/19 \\
\hline line [3] 4/5 4/6 39/23 & 35/13 35/14 47/8 48/7 & 19/11 42/7 \\
\hline listed [1] 45/17 & 54/11 73/3 & Mìdway Beach's [1] 17/25 \\
\hline little [8] 9/16 14/17 & map [4] 8/21 21/8 21/8 & Midway's [1] 18 \\
\hline 79/20 80/10 80/11 80/11 & 34/23 & might [4] 12/21 21/22 38/7 \\
\hline 80/24 81/12 & maps [1] 34/19 & 59/3 \\
\hline Tive [8] 23/19 35/13 35/14 & March [2] 3/12 54/17 & mikes [1] 7/7 \\
\hline 51/7 57/10 61/4 66/5 83/6 & mark [2] 17/15 17/17 & Miller's [1] 35/1 \\
\hline living [2] 12/10 13/25 & master [33] 1/6 3/6 32/3 & mind [1] 46/7 \\
\hline loca1 [1] 15/19 & 44/5 44/5 48/8 48/11 48/17 & \\
\hline located [1] 54/12 & 48/20 48/23 48/24 49/3 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { mining [4] } & 5 / 17 & 6 / 3 & 10 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline 1ong [2] 14/21 42/17 & 49/4 49/7 49/13 49/14 & \[
19 / 6
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
minority [1] 18/20
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { minute [2] } & 29 / 7 & 59 / 23 \\ \text { mismatch }[2] & 21 / 17 & 21 / 19\end{array}\)
misnomer [1] 43/13
Miss [1] 69/4
missed [1] 65/20
mistake [2] 12/6 12/14
mistaken [1] 43/7
mistakenly [1] 17/21
mixed [8] 6/22 16/18 77/10 78/8 78/14 79/1 79/4 80/5 modification [1] 26/1 modified [1] 6/12
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { modify [3] } & 6 / 1 & 6 / 2 \quad 54 / 14\end{array}\) modular [1] 7/24
money [3] \(16 / 20 \quad 31 / 20 \quad 32 / 9\)
months [3] 3/8 54/11 69/12
more [24] \(3 / 16 \quad 12 / 24 \quad 16 / 20\) 27/3 39/12 \(39 / 13 \quad 39 / 19\) 39/23 41/20 47/25 57/20 61/4 62/25 65/15 68/13 70/18 74/7 74/12 74/14 79/24 80/10 80/12 80/23 83/16
mosque [1] 10/22
most [3] 6/13 19/1 69/22
mostly [1] 16/11
motion [12] 71/25 72/1 75/9 75/10 76/1 76/18 76/23 81/24 82/5 83/18 83/25 84/10
move [4] 3/2 30/18 43/23 59/14
moving [2] 7/8 7/9
\(\begin{array}{llllllll} & \text { Mr [4] } & 41 / 25 & 80 / 15 & 84 / 9\end{array}\) 84/15
Mr. [8] 30/21 73/5 76/3 84/10 84/17 84/19 84/23 84/25
Mr. Bell [2] 84/10 84/23
Mr. Callahan [1] 84/25
Mr. Fulcomer [1] 73/5
Mr. Gingrich [1] 84/17
Mr. Lore11i [1] 84/19
Mr. McGuckin [1] 76/3
Mr . Whiteman [1] 30/21
Mrs. [1] 71/19
Mrs. Fulcomer's [1] 71/19
\(\begin{array}{llll} \\ m u c h & {[9]} & 10 / 20 & 11 / 9 \\ 16 / 24\end{array}\) 29/1 \(39 / 13\) 44/23 \(52 / 12\) 55/16 57/4
multi [2] 5/3 76/21
multi-family [1] 76/21
multi-unit [1] 5/3
multifamily [7] 30/23 71/7 76/12 \(79 / 1 \quad 79 / 181 / 20 \quad 84 / 6\) multiple [1] 56/16
municipa1 [3] 17/1 28/8 59/21
municipalities [1] 38/3 municipality [3] 28/9 37/22 59/12
MURPHY [1] \(2 / 3\)
must [4] 18/4 45/14 51/11 67/21
my \(\left[\begin{array}{llll}34] & 11 / 8 & 13 / 5 & 15 / 2\end{array}\right.\) 15/3 18/25 25/11 27/20 \(\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 1 & 31 / 11 & 33 / 22 & 34 / 4 & 34 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 20 & 35 / 15 & 37 / 13 & 38 / 18\end{array}\) 40/20 40/21 40/25 41/24

42/14 49/11 50/5 50/15
\(51 / 6 \quad 51 / 10 \quad 52 / 2 \quad 52 / 8 \quad 57 / 11\) 61/20 66/17 73/14 79/12 86/13
myself [2] 28/23 59/13
N
name [4] 17/10 34/14 51/6 52/2
NB [2] 78/12 79/5
necessarily [3] 7/14 38/10 57/6
need [14] \(17 / 14\) 21/12 \(23 / 2\) 43/4 43/21 56/18 66/10 66/11 69/18 76/1 76/24
82/5 83/19 84/14
needed [3] 39/18 51/25 54/14
needs [1] 55/2
neighbor [3] 15/3 18/24 63/23
neighborhood [43] \(1 / 4 \quad 3 / 4\) \(3 / 11\) 3/14 \(3 / 20 \quad 4 / 12\) 4/18 5/9 5/15 7/12 7/14 8/20
\(\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 21 & 10 / 24 & 13 / 7 & 13 / 25 & 14 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}16 / 9 & 16 / 22 & 16 / 22 & 17 / 19\end{array}\)
35/16 46/14 \(48 / 15 \quad 60 / 7\)
60/24 60/25 61/5 62/12
63/21 64/5 64/11 64/13
65/1 74/23 75/2 80/5 80/9
80/19 81/11 81/12 81/18 84/4
neighborhoods [2] 53/13 53/15
neighbors [2] 13/3 70/5 nest [1] 18/19
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { never }[5] & 11 / 9 & 33 / 11 & 33 / 11\end{array}\) 44/3 51/14
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { new }[16] & 1 / 8 & 1 / 25 & 2 / 4 & 2 / 7\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}3 / 15 & 4 / 16 & 5 / 1 & 9 / 2 & 25 / 1\end{array}\)
56/6 62/1 67/2 71/6 80/24
86/5 86/12
New Jersey [2] 3/15 25/1
newer [1] 78/25
next [4] 3/2 38/9 47/5 63/4
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { nice [5] } & 16 / 19 & 42 / 10 & 75 / 19\end{array}\) 80/11 80/11
Nicholas [1] 2/14
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Nick }[24] & 1 / 14 & 3 / 3 & 29 / 9\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 4 & 31 / 16 & 32 / 3 & 32 / 12 & 33 / 4\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 5 & 34 / 6 & 34 / 11 & 34 / 15\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 16 & 34 / 16 & 35 / 17 & 36 / 12\end{array}\)
37/25 45/12 \(50 / 18\) 54/8
58/1 59/1 70/19 79/14
Nick Demarco [1] 34/11
no [36] 9/14 \(12 / 9\) 14/23
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}15 / 5 & 15 / 6 & 15 / 10 & 16 / 17\end{array}\)
28/22 29/23 33/12 37/12
\(\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 13 & 45 / 19 & 45 / 25 & 46 / 1\end{array}\)
46/25 47/6 \(47 / 7\) 47/8 \(47 / 13\)
50/8 50/12 56/5 56/12 63/8
63/14 67/1 71/13 74/12
74/13 74/17 75/16 77/24
77/25 81/10 82/23
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { nobody } & {[9]} & 16 / 6 & 16 / 7 \\ 16 / 7\end{array}\)
46/11 46/22 52/6 77/21
77/23 80/22
non [1] 21/5
non-oceanfront [1] 21/5 none [3] 9/21 13/4 41/21
Nonpaid [1] 53/2
nonresidential [1] 78/17 not [94]
Notary [2] 86/3 86/12 \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { notes }[1] \\ \text { nothing }[4] & 79 / 18 \\ 21 / 9 & 32 / 6\end{array}\) 38/15 74/1
notice [5] \(13 / 20 \quad 23 / 16\) 24/4 24/7 24/10
noticed [1] 9/12
notified [6] 22/18 22/20
\(\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 23 & 23 / 1 & 23 / 13 & 23 / 20\end{array}\) notify [1] 33/17
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { now [56] } & 7 / 9 & 10 / 15 & 10 / 21\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}12 / 17 & 12 / 18 & 12 / 20 & 14 / 8\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llllllll}15 / 4 & 20 / 7 & 20 / 19 & 21 / 2 & 22 / 1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 17 & 30 / 20 & 31 / 5 & 32 / 1 & 33 / 3\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}33 / 10 & 33 / 14 & 35 / 8 & 35 / 12\end{array}\)
\(39 / 8 \quad 40 / 1341 / 1041 / 13\)
41/18 \(42 / 16 \quad 47 / 12 \quad 50 / 6\)
50/10 50/15 53/22 56/22
58/18 58/21 60/11 60/17
62/1 \(62 / 1 \quad 62 / 8 \quad 62 / 15 \quad 63 / 15\)
66/25 \(67 / 2 \quad 69 / 15\) 70/7 \(71 / 3\)
72/3 77/22 78/20 78/23
79/21 80/2 80/18 81/23
82/9
nowhere [1] 71/11
number [5] 4/25 19/16 26/11 70/25 71/1
numbers [1] 3/17
numerous [1] 54/11

\section*{0}

O'MALLEY [1] 2/6
object [2] 52/20 83/15
objecting [2] 52/7 52/9
objection [2] \(55 / 1483 / 14\)
objections [1] 46/13
objectives [1] 4/10
obnoxious [1] 52/15
observation [1] 51/9
obviously [1] \(46 / 9\)
occupations [2] 12/20 12/21
occurs [1] 32/9
ocean [5] \(17 / 17\) 19/9 21/7
30/12 31/2
oceanfront [6] 6/22 \(16 / 18\)
20/9 20/24 21/4 21/5
off [9] 10/3 11/4 \(12 / 25\)
25/21 39/7 59/15 66/9
72/15 78/18
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { off-street [3] } & 11 / 4 & 12 / 25\end{array}\) 78/18
offer [4] 35/20 36/8 65/18 65/18
office [7] 11/14 11/15
\(\begin{array}{llll}12 / 5 & 12 / 7 & 12 / 13 & 13 / 1 \\ 13 / 3\end{array}\)
offices [4] 10/14 11/12 11/12 12/22
oh [11] 12/10 24/12 25/22
26/18 26/23 26/25 27/4
44/5 45/24 49/16 59/22
okay [61] 9/19 17/16 22/4
22/10 22/10 22/14 22/19
\(\begin{array}{llll}23 / 4 & 23 / 11 & 24 / 12 & 24 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}25 / 2 & 25 / 8 & 25 / 22 & 26 / 23 & 27 / 4\end{array}\)
27/5 28/7 28/14 \(28 / 14\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}28 / 25 & 32 / 12 & 33 / 5 & 33 / 9\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}34 / 16 & 34 / 17 & 34 / 18 & 34 / 25\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 12 & 38 / 17 & 39 / 22 & 40 / 8\end{array}\)
43/12 45/24 51/2 54/1
okay... [25] 55/13 58/5
58/8 58/10 \(59 / 6 \quad 60 / 11\)
62/13 63/6 64/2 64/21
71/15 72/4 73/1 73/14 74/5 74/11 74/19 74/25 75/17
79/12 \(81 / 1482 / 3 \quad 83 / 19\) 83/22 84/3
old [1] 35/15
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { once }[4] & 15 / 7 & 24 / 21 & 25 / 19\end{array}\) 55/19
one [51] 3/2 5/20 5/23 6/10 7/5 8/12 10/17 11/14 \(\begin{array}{llll}12 / 15 & 12 / 16 & 13 / 4 & 14 / 19\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 4 & 18 / 7 & 18 / 8 & 18 / 16 & 19 / 9\end{array}\) 20/14 21/20 22/6 24/15 \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}26 / 8 & 26 / 9 & 29 / 21 & 29 / 23 & 34 / 1\end{array}\) 34/21 37/12 37/12 40/12 43/1 45/21 \(47 / 3\) 50/17 51/13 51/20 55/25 56/2 56/6 56/17 59/14 60/1 60/1 65/6 65/8 70/18 70/25
76/11 78/9 82/14 82/15
one-family [3] 12/16 34/21 51/13
one-story [1] 18/16
ones [2] 9/10 25/6
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
only & {\([24]\)} & \(3 / 6\) & \(8 / 18\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}16 / 15 & 29 / 25 & 33 / 18 & 35 / 5\end{array}\)
36/7 42/24 44/18 46/1
46/14 46/14 48/4 51/13
52/18 61/1 65/11 71/10
\(\begin{array}{llll}55 / 11 & 78 / 8 & 80 / 6 & 81 / 19 \\ 84 / 6\end{array}\)
open [7] 4/19 9/18 9/22 65/21 66/1 80/23 80/25
operations [3] 5/17 6/4 10/9
opportunities [2] 55/6 65/19
opposed [4] 39/16 41/21 42/16 52/8
opposition [4] 75/5 75/22 81/20 84/6
order [2] 4/18 4/25
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { ordinance [23] } & 13 / 19 & 20 / 6\end{array}\) 20/25 21/21 \(23 / 6 \quad 28 / 1\) 28/12 41/17 \(43 / 1 \quad 43 / 9\) \(43 / 10 \quad 43 / 14 \quad 46 / 18 \quad 46 / 20\) 55/1 55/3 59/11 63/3 67/8 67/11 68/8 69/4 69/12
ordinances [4] 9/4 19/2
53/10 54/23
original [1] 60/21
originally [1] 81/15
Ortley [3] 30/11 30/17 30/18
other [32] 3/19 4/17 5/25
8/12 8/18 \(9 / 9\) 10/21 11/11
\(\begin{array}{llll}12 / 1 & 13 / 11 & 14 / 12 & 16 / 15\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}18 / 7 & 19 / 6 & 21 / 12 & 22 / 11\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}23 / 25 & 24 / 21 & 28 / 5 & 28 / 18\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 17 & 37 / 22 & 38 / 4 & 56 / 3 & 56 / 4\end{array}\)
56/24 58/11 60/1 61/17 68/20 75/23 78/25
others [1] 11/24
otherwise [1] 83/12
ought [1] 13/1
our [12] \(\quad 3 / 3 \quad 15 / 19 \quad 28 / 25\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}29 / 25 & 31 / 8 & 34 / 3 & 34 / 3\end{array} 42 / 20\) \(51 / 4 \quad 53 / 14 \quad 53 / 16 \quad 82 / 8\)
out [35] 15/20 15/21 16/12

17/9 17/13 20/15 30/2 30/3
\(\begin{array}{lllll}170 / 4 & 30 / 8 & 33 / 7 & 33 / 8 & 33 / 9\end{array}\) \(33 / 13 \quad 33 / 16 \quad 33 / 21 \quad 33 / 23\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 25 & 34 / 5 & 34 / 9 & 38 / 1 & 38 / 21\end{array}\) 40/22 \(41 / 3\) 44/10 \(46 / 4\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 14 & 52 / 10 & 52 / 17 & 54 / 5\end{array}\) 59/22 61/22 66/12 79/19 83/7
outlined [1] 39/15
over [16] 15/21 20/18
29/10 \(\quad 29 / 15 \quad 35 / 9 \quad 38 / 21\) 41/20 44/24 46/13 54/11 \(\begin{array}{llll}57 / 16 & 78 / 14 & 80 / 21 & 82 / 10\end{array}\) 82/11 82/13
overpopulation [1] 57/22 own [4] 46/9 46/20 70/13 81/6
owners [1] 70/15
ownership [1] 7/25
owns [1] 46/12
P
p.m [1] 1/9
page [9] \(3 / 17\) 4/10 5/5
17/24 20/1 26/7 27/11 65/2 66/4
pants [1] 43/18
pardon [1] 24/9
\(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { park } & {[51]} & 1 / 5 & 3 / 5 & 3 / 21 & 5 / 8\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}10 / 18 & 13 / 5 & 17 / 17 & 22 / 16\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}10 / 6 & 29 / 13 & 29 / 22 & 29 / 24\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 2 & 30 / 19 & 30 / 24 & 31 / 12\end{array}\)
\(32 / 18 \quad 33 / 25 \quad 34 / 6 \quad 34 / 12\)
\(34 / 12 \quad 36 / 3 \quad 36 / 1146 / 15\)
46/21 46/21 48/16 49/13
\(49 / 14 \quad 49 / 1649 / 18 \quad 50 / 1\)
50/4 51/8 \(52 / 13 \quad 53 / 16\)
54/13 58/24 59/17 60/5
70/20 71/12 \(73 / 13 \quad 73 / 24\)
74/1 74/8 75/2 \(76 / 13\) 79/5
81/18 84/4
parking [13] \(4 / 22\) 6/19 8/7
11/4 11/4 \(11 / 6\) 11/19 12/25
13/16 19/16 27/16 33/12
78/18
part [11] \(8 / 15\) 32/25 \(36 / 19\)
60/20 60/22 63/3 63/4 65/3
65/10 77/7 77/18
particular [2] 27/19 67/9
particularly [3] 11/18
65/8 66/2
parties [1] 45/4
pass [1] 59/11
passed [1] 42/2
past [1] 44/25
path [1] 62/24
pay [1] 52/14
paying [1] 44/25
PB [1] \(1 / 6\)
peak [3] \(18 / 9 \quad 36 / 15\) 36/16
Pelican [1] 73/24
people [44] 11/16 11/20
\(\begin{array}{llll}11 / 21 & 12 / 1 & 12 / 10 & 12 / 20\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 6 & 13 / 8 & 13 / 20 & 13 / 24 & 14 / 7\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}15 / 13 & 17 / 21 & 18 / 22 & 19 / 14\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}23 / 19 & 29 / 11 & 30 / 16 & 30 / 24\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 17 & 33 / 20 & 33 / 25 & 35 / 13\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 14 & 41 / 10 & 43 / 7 & 45 / 2 & 46 / 4\end{array}\)
46/9 46/20 50/8 50/13
\(\begin{array}{lllll}51 / 12 & 51 / 16 & 52 / 10 & 52 / 16\end{array}\)
52/19 52/20 \(55 / 10 \quad 55 / 15\)
58/12 79/19 79/25 81/12
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { people's s. } & {[1]} & 56 / 24 \\ \text { percent } & {[2]} & 12 / 9 \\ \text { berfect } & 717 & 43 / 24\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { perfect }[1] & 43 / 2 & \\ \text { perhaps [2] } & 65 / 20 & 66 / 24\end{array}\) permanent [1]
permanently [1]
57/11
\(57 / 10\)
permit [12] 35/4 61/9 62/23 62/23 63/15 64/17 67/5 67/19 77/8 78/13 78/24 79/4
permits [5] 5/16 20/10 36/2 39/6 61/1
permitted [31] 6/10 6/18 \(\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 9 & 8 / 10 & 10 / 14 & 11 / 1 & 11 / 17\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 15 & 12 / 18 & 13 / 15 & 14 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 11 & 27 / 15 & 36 / 3 & 39 / 6\end{array}\)
40/14 40/17 41/12 41/18 \(41 / 22\) 42/21 45/25 48/3 51/3 \(54 / 25 \quad 55 / 1 \quad 75 / 6 \quad 75 / 24\) 76/13 81/21 84/7
permitting [2] 62/24 78/25
person [4] 12/13 29/21
51/20 51/24
pertaining [1] 67/17
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Peters [1] } & 2 / 13 & \\ \text { Petitioners } & {[1]} & 2 / 8\end{array}\)
phase [1] 63/4
phonetic [1] 42/1
photos [1] 65/8
phrasing [1] 22/22
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Pier [1] } & 33 / 14 \\ \text { Pinewald } & \text { [1] } \\ 1 / 7\end{array}\)
place [21] 6/8 \(13 / 5\) 31/12
\(32 / 3\) 35/21 38/8 39/16 39/20 \(43 / 17\) 43/22 \(54 / 10\) \(54 / 17 \quad 55 / 2 \quad 55 / 12 \quad 62 / 21\)
66/16 66/23 67/18 67/23 78/23 86/8
placed [1] 23/22
\(\begin{array}{lllll} & \text { places } & {[4]} & 22 / 25 & 26 / 11\end{array}\) 37/18 80/12
p1an [96]
planned [1] 21/14
planner [2] 21/14 3/3
planner's [1] 79/23
planning [27] 1/1 9/5 \(\begin{array}{llll}23 / 15 & 24 / 6 & 24 / 25 & 29 / 20\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llll}29 / 22 & 32 / 20 & 34 / 3 & 37 / 21\end{array}\)
38/24 39/9 42/14 44/15
45/14 45/17 45/18 45/20
46/2 48/21 50/21 52/24
\(\begin{array}{llllll}55 / 5 & 58 / 14 & 68 / 4 & 68 / 9 & 68 / 13\end{array}\)
plans [4] \(21 / 1 \quad 38 / 4 \quad 38 / 4\) 54/14
plantings [1] 28/4
please [1] 17/9
pleased [1] 16/25
plenty [1] 12/11
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { point }[8] & 9 / 3 & 10 / 23 & 27 / 22\end{array}\) 33/22 39/18 39/25 40/21

\section*{40/21}
pointed [1] 38/21
policies [1] 17/25
politicians [1] 13/8
portion [4] 9/22 17/18
74/13 79/5
position [1] 79/12
positive [1] 31/7
possibility [1] \(16 / 13\)
possibly [1] \(81 / 4\)
posted [1] 65/6
power [2] 53/9 59/11
powers [1] 70/22
practically [2] 12/9 73/25 pre [4] 67/15 67/25 70/11 70/15
pre-Sandy [4] 67/15 67/25 70/11 70/15
precedence [1] 70/7
prefer [2] 81/19 84/5
preference [2] 67/25 68/1
present [2] 2/12 52/21
PRESENTATION [1] \(1 / 4\)
presented [1] 76/7
president [2] 15/19 19/11
prettier [1] 57/4
pretty [2] 57/12 57/15
previous [2] 38/20 49/15
previously [1] 3/8
Prior [1] 62/2
probably [3] 14/20 16/21 56/19
problem [8] \(11 / 7 \quad 11 / 8\) 12/12 12/14 12/23 15/15 44/4 48/23
problems [3] 19/16 19/17 19/18
procedure [3] 24/18 49/6 54/8
proceedings [1] 86/6
process [5] 39/14 48/22
54/15 54/19 55/2
professiona1 [11] 10/14 11/11 11/12 11/14 11/15 \(\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 5 & 12 / 7 & 12 / 13 & 12 / 18\end{array}\) 12/22 12/25
professionals [1] 72/24
progress [1] 17/1
prohibit [1] 38/8
project [2] 66/8 66/13
promote [2] 4/13 38/5
properly [1] 24/18
properties [4] 65/23 66/19 67/4 80/18
property [8] 27/3 36/21 42/4 55/20 56/24 66/25 70/13 70/14
proposal [1] 7/16
propose [1] 65/15
proposed [6] 8/20 24/19 35/22 40/2 68/2 76/11
protect [3] 4/20 5/7 61/18
protecting [1] 4/11
protection [2] 16/17 46/4
protections [1] 3/23
protocols [1] 66/15
provide [2] 24/3 78/16
provided [2] 25/4 35/5
public [13] 3/22 9/22 53/8 54/11 \(54 / 16\) 55/9 71/22 \(\begin{array}{llll}72 / 12 & 74 / 12 & 74 / 13 & 76 / 8\end{array}\) 86/4 86/12
pul1 [1] 61/8
purpose [1] 38/15
purposes [2] 20/5 25/16
pursuits [1] 12/3
put [30] 5/22 8/22 10/17 11/3 \(23 / 5 \quad 23 / 21 \quad 28 / 12\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 19 & 30 / 5 & 30 / 10 & 31 / 4\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 14 & 32 / 13 & 32 / 18 & 33 / 6\end{array}\)
 49/20 50/20 62/15 62/21

66/16 67/10 70/24 76/23
77/13 82/1 83/18
putting [2] 31/4 56/25
Q
question [28] 24/16 25/11
27/7 27/21 31/23 32/11
\(\begin{array}{lllll}37 / 15 & 38 / 11 & 40 / 25 & 50 / 18\end{array}\)
60/6 61/20 63/6 63/19
66/17 69/10 69/14 70/1
70/16 70/19 72/25 73/14
75/11 76/2 76/3 78/3 78/21 79/3
question's [1] 38/18
questions [12] 3/18 9/18
9/20 19/20 22/11 22/17
25/23 37/10 70/21 72/23
74/14 83/16
quick [5] 6/23 35/20 35/23
70/18 74/23
quịckly [2] 38/6 74/21
quite [1] 39/23
quote [1] 28/6
quote/unquote [1] 28/6
R
R-4 [1] 27/12
R-4F [2] 6/7 6/15
 7/1 34/20
Rahway [1] 13/2
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { raised }[2] & 18 / 16 & 20 / 13\end{array}\)
raising [1] 56/22
rather [1] 55/15
rationale [2] 80/15 80/21
re [2] 81/15 84/2
re-read [2] \(81 / 15 \quad 84 / 2\)
read [5] 62/16 72/5 81/15 83/24 84/2
reading [1] 55/9
reads [1] 61/25
real [1] 16/17
realistically [1] 49/8
really [13] 7/18 10/23
10/25 11/17 25/12 31/22
40/6 40/6 46/6 49/8 57/18
63/2 73/12
reason [1] 38/25
reasonable [1] 4/21
reasoning [1] 39/24
reasons [4] 40/7 40/12
47/4 80/14
rebuild [2] 56/10 61/11
rebuilt [1] 70/4
recent [1] 71/5
recognition [1] 68/21
recognize [1] 5/7
recognizing [1] 4/11
recommend [13] 13/9 13/12
16/13 50/11 59/21 64/11
71/20 75/6 75/25 81/17
81/21 84/3 84/8
recommendation [36] 6/2
6/8 6/12 6/20 7/15 8/3 8/4
\(\begin{array}{llll}22 / 3 & 22 / 8 & 27 / 23 & 39 / 4 \\ \text { 49/2 }\end{array}\)
58/4 58/9 58/10 58/11
58/16 58/17 58/22 58/22
60/20 61/8 61/16 62/19
64/9 67/13 68/17 68/25
69/1 76/9 77/7 77/8 78/7
78/12 79/23 82/18
recommendations [7] 7/13

8/25 9/8 20/2 24/20 48/13 67/17
recommended [6] 6/15 14/9
16/1 27/12 78/12 80/1
recommending [7] \(10 / 8\)
40/15 \(58 / 2\) 74/15 \(75 / 1\)
79/21 80/3
recommends [1] 48/21
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { record [5] } & 10 / 3 & 17 / 11 & 39 / 2\end{array}\)
53/9 79/16
recreate [1] 13/6
recreationa1 [1] 12/3
red [1] 34/20
redevelop [1] 70/14
redevelopment [2] 71/11 71/13
redirect [1] 74/20
reductions [1] 4/24
reference [2] 7/3 65/19
referred [4] 24/22 55/5 64/3 64/9
referring [7] 23/3 26/1
26/8 32/25 38/16 60/10
63/19
refers [2] 21/8 26/13
ref1ect [3] 6/16 7/18
27/13
reflected [1] 68/23
regard [1] 35/24
regarding [5] 67/13 68/17 69/1 70/3 70/9
regulations [3] 49/21 53/20 66/15
reinforce [1] 4/6
reiterate [2] 28/17 70/22
related [2] 27/2 69/23
relatively [1] 28/23
remain [1] 65/16
remember [1] 80/14
removal [3] 75/25 81/22 84/8
remove [1] 8/21
removed [2] 55/19 56/3
removing [2] 6/3 75/6
rent [1] 83/6
renta1 [1] 81/6
renters [1] 11/21
repeated7y [2] 15/21 59/17
replaced [1] 7/1
report [1] 61/21
Reporter [1] 86/4
REPORTERS [1] 1/24
Republicans [1] 45/4
require [1] 23/16
required [4] \(\quad 18 / 4 \quad 24 / 4\)
33/18 43/17
requirement [2] 24/8 24/11
requirements [8] 4/22 6/11 \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}18 / 3 & 18 / 5 & 36 / 5 & 38 / 22 & 42 / 17\end{array}\) 42/20
requires [2] 5/23 71/6
requiring [1] 38/15
research [1] 14/18
residence [6] 62/1 77/1 82/10 82/11 82/11 82/13
residences [2] 61/23 62/15
resident [4] 28/9 34/12
53/15 70/19
residential [45] \(3 / 23 \quad 4 / 20\)
4/23 \(4 / 25 \quad 5 / 3 \quad 5 / 11 \quad 5 / 12\)
5/25 6/6 6/25 7/4 7/22

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline R & said [20] \(\begin{array}{lll}14 / 1 & 19 / 2 & 19 / 2\end{array}\) & ms [2] \\
\hline residentia]... [29] 13/12 & \(\begin{array}{llll} \\ 310 / 24 & 30 / 25 & 31 / 3 & 32 / 6\end{array}\) & seen [1] [1] 16/10 \({ }^{\text {sending [1] }}\) \\
\hline  &  &  \\
\hline 26/11 27/12 \(36 / 1 \begin{array}{llll} & 36 / 2 & 36 / 8\end{array}\) & 53/12 71/21 72/18 81/1 & sent [2] 25/10 33/16 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llllll}56 / 17 & 60 / 23 & 61 / 10 & 61 / 13\end{array}\) & 83/4 & sentence [2] 14/6 15/25 \\
\hline 61/15 62/4 64/18 64/19 & same [8] \(12 / 22\) 15/15 \(19 / 7\) & separate [1] 8/16 \\
\hline 64/20 77/9 78/4 78/13 & 20/1 \(23 / 16\) 51/5 55/21 63/7 & series [1] 62/22 \\
\hline 78/13 78/14 78/24 80/13 & Sandy [18] 43/9 43/17 & serve [1] 28/6 \\
\hline 80/17 80/18 80/21 & 56/21 65/5 65/13 66/19 & served [1] 33/10 \\
\hline residents [21] 10/19 11/20 & 66/21 66/23 67/2 67/3 & serving [1] 54/20 \\
\hline 19/1 22/18 22/19 22/20 & 67/14 67/15 67/25 69/23 & set [3] 60/1 71/7 86/8 \\
\hline 23/13 30/2 \(33 / 13\) 53/1 & 69/23 70/4 70/11 70/15 & setback [2] 26/17 26/20 \\
\hline 53/13 58/23 59/16 62/11 & satisfy [1] 50/19 & setbacks [8] 26/16 35/6 \\
\hline 65/16 70/3 75/4 75/22 81/9 & saw [2] 3/25 20/15 & 68/20 69/17 69/17 69/18 \\
\hline 81/19 84/5 & say [40] 6/12 12/10 & 70/6 70/10 \\
\hline resilience [6] 5/4 17/20 & 18/6 19/2 28/8 29/12 \(29 / 12\) & settled [1] 32/5 \\
\hline 18/13 18/14 18/20 19/19 & 30/17 32/2 33/24 36/21 & settlement [1] 71/5 \\
\hline resiliency [7] 3/11 18/24 & 42/6 42/10 \(42 / 13\) 42/19 & seven [2] 66/4 69/18 \\
\hline 20/5 38/5 48/15 65/3 66/2 & 44/1 44/11 46/17 47/1 & several [1] 61/21 \\
\hline resistance [1] 20/19 & 47/12 \(49 / 8\) 51/12 \(51 / 25\) & shake [1] 15/3 \\
\hline resolution [5] 59/9 59/15 & 52/19 57/18 58/3 58/18 & shame [2] 16/21 57/3 \\
\hline 74/20 77/14 77/25 & 58/23 58/25 59/9 59/14 & shape [1] 38/10 \\
\hline resolved [2] 59/21 59/25 & 59/20 61/2 61/20 61/22 & share [1] 17/8 \\
\hline respect [2] 4/17 43/21 & 62/14 63/9 71/22 80/3 & she [1] 72/18 \\
\hline respects [1] 48/7 & saying [22] 6/9 6/23 14/13 & she'd [1] 71/19 \\
\hline responded [2] 25/5 25/17 & 20/20 28/10 35/15 36/13 & shed [3] 15/7 15/9 41/13 \\
\hline responders [1] 65/16 & 38/14 41/24 44/7 45/13 & sheet [3] \(4 / 4\) 9/10 25/6 \\
\hline response [1] 3/21 & 45/15 48/18 50/19 51/17 & shocked [2] 30/16 47/11 \\
\hline responsibility [1] 58/21 & 51/22 54/3 55/24 57/7 & shore [2] 4/15 35/2 \\
\hline rest [4] 3/8 14/6 50/15 & 59/16 70/9 82/12 & short [1] 71/17 \\
\hline 50/15 & says [5] 14/9 15/25 25/25 & should [17] 4/1 5/7 7/3 \\
\hline restaurant [2] 32/14 80/24 & 61/21 71/22 &  \\
\hline results [1] 15 & scale [1] 4/18 & 15/11 25/24 31/13 43/8 \\
\hline tail [1] 78/15 & screening [1] 4/21 & 43/23 53/16 59/1 68/12 \\
\hline retrofits [1] 4/17 & Seafood [1] 33/19 & 70/9 \\
\hline review [1] 55/5 &  & shouldn't [2] 11/17 14/16 \\
\hline reviewed [1] 9/5 & 5/8 10/18 17/17 22/16 29/6 & show [1] 49/24 \\
\hline revised [3] 6/15 27/13 & 29/13 29/22 \(29 / 2430 / 2\) & showed [1] 16/16 \\
\hline 78/13 & 30/24 \(32 / 18\) 33/25 \(34 / 6\) & shown [2] 21/7 44/7 \\
\hline Rich [1] 51/7 & 34/11 34/12 36/3 36/11 & side [9] 11/14 14/23 14/23 \\
\hline Rich Verasca [1] 51/7 & 44/2 46/15 46/21 46/21 & 25/15 \(26 / 19 \quad 30 / 13\) 31/2 \\
\hline Richard [1] 1/14 & 48/16 49/13 49/14 49/16 & 35/6 69/18 \\
\hline right [56] \(7 / 9\) 10/1 10/5 & 49/18 50/1 50/4 51/8 52/3 & sides [3] 15/9 15/16 80/8 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 7 & 10 / 11 & 12 / 21 & 20 / 7\end{array}\) & 53/16 54/13 57/16 58/24 & sign [1] 25/21 \\
\hline 20/14 21/2 \(22 / 22\) 24/15 & 59/17 60/5 70/19 71/12 & significant [8] 6/19 8/6 \\
\hline 25/22 27/11 28/9 28/15 & 73/3 73/13 73/21 73/24 & 11/24 13/16 14/11 14/14 \\
\hline \(32 / 1 \quad 33 / 3 \quad 37 / 1 \quad 37 / 6 \quad 37 / 8\) & 74/1 74/7 75/2 76/13 79/5 & 16/3 27/16 \\
\hline 38/23 40/13 41/18 42/6 & 81/18 84/4 & similar [4] 3/13 4/15 8/2 \\
\hline 42/22 43/20 45/8 45/24 & seasonal [1] 80/24 & 63/7 \\
\hline 47/4 47/18 48/18 49/22 & second [26] 7/5 17/24 20/2 & simple [1] 51/4 \\
\hline 50/23 \(52 / 25\) 53/3 \(53 / 21\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 26 / 5 & 36 / 18 & 37 / 13 & 41 / 7 & 55 / 9\end{array}\) & since [10] 16/11 \(25 / 13\) \\
\hline 56/1 56/19 58/6 58/18 59/1 & 60/2 61/13 \(63 / 8\) 63/11 & 27/8 47/12 \(126 / 21 \quad 58 / 16\) \\
\hline 60/14 61/6 63/15 64/7 & 64/17 72/1 75/8 75/9 76/1 & 58/21 65/13 66/19 69/23 \\
\hline 64/21 67/10 68/10 69/6 & 76/24 77/9 77/25 78/4 & single [31] 3/23 6/14 8/3 \\
\hline 72/7 74/4 76/5 76/7 77/21 & 80/20 81/24 82/6 83/20 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll} & 16 / 18 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 2 & 31 / 14 & 34 / 1\end{array}\) \\
\hline 78/23 79/21 & 83/21 &  \\
\hline rise [3] 21/9 21/10 36/1 & seconded [1] 84/10 & 42/10 42/24 47/16 47/17 \\
\hline risen [1] 30/17 & seconds [1] 72/6 & 51/4 51/24 52/7 56/17 \(57 / 1\) \\
\hline  & secretary [2] 2/13 79/12 & 58/12 \(58 / 14\) 58/25 \(59 / 18\) \\
\hline Road [2] 1/7 2/4 & section [2] 7/11 53/19 & 64/13 75/23 81/10 81/19 \\
\hline Robert [1] 1/12 & see [26] 4/4 12/19 16/19 & 84/5 \\
\hline Roberts [4] 10/1 10/5 & 17/25 19/3 19/7 \(26 / 12\) & sins [1] 44/25 \\
\hline 22/16 45/11 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 10 & 27 / 12 & 30 / 13 & 30 / 22\end{array}\) & sir [1] 34/14 \\
\hline Rogers [1] 57/13 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 30 / 23 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 15 & 35 / 4\end{array}\) & sitting [2] 51/14 79/11 \\
\hline rol1 [1] 84/12 & \(44 / 7 \quad 55 / 15\) 55/16 \(57 / 3\) 57/8 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { situation } & {[2]} & 31 / 25 & 51 / 5\end{array}\) \\
\hline room [2] \(12 / 11\) 44/24 & 57/14 57/19 58/12 \(58 / 14\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { six [4] } & 14 / 24 & 36 / 22 & 36 / 23\end{array}\) \\
\hline rules [1] \(8 / 14\) & \[
65 / 1973 / 4
\] & 51/11 \\
\hline run [2] 3/3 4/8 & seeing [3] 9/21 74/11 & six feet [1] 36/23 \\
\hline S & 24/2 56/1 & smal1 [1] 81/4 \\
\hline safety [2] 65/2 65/11 & seem [1] 83/13 & solicit [1] 54/13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline S & spouses & ve \\
\hline solved [1] 65/25 & Sprague
Sprague Avenue [1] &  \\
\hline some [41] 3/25 5/5 5/10 & standard [1] 27/20 & 63/1 72/17 79/15 82/7 \\
\hline  & standards [30] 5/3 6/3 & SURMAN [1] \\
\hline 9/22 10/16 12/20 16/19 & 6/20 8/7 8/8 8/13 8/14 & surprised [1] 69 \\
\hline 17/1 17/20 \(19 / 23180 / 18\) & \(\begin{array}{llll}14 / 12 & 14 / 15 & 16 / 4 & 20 / 23\end{array}\) & sustain [1] 83/12 \\
\hline 25/19 28/18 \(29 / 15\) 31/25 & 21/5 22/9 24/20 26/1 26/2 & \\
\hline \(37 / 9\) 38/22 \(43 / 4 \quad 43 / 13\) &  & T \\
\hline 44/13 44/20 44/23 51/21 & 39/16 39/20 61/18 62/20 & take [9] 12/2 29/25 40/21 \\
\hline 54/6 57/4 57/8 60/15 60/16 & 62/22 62/25 63/15 67/18 & 44/21 47/14 55/2 55/12 \\
\hline 61/9 67/19 68/21 70/2 & 67/21 78/16 & \\
\hline 78/20 80/8 80/11 80/18 & stands [2] 60/3 & taken [7] 54/10 55/21 56/6 \\
\hline somebody [9] 12/6 22/23 & start [3] 18/4 59/15 66/9 & 59/22 79/18 79/20 86/7 \\
\hline 47/23 49/12 72/6 77/20 & started [1] 36/20 & takes [2] 8/ \\
\hline 80/23 81/3 83/5 & starting [1] 18/7 & taking [2] 20/16 38/1 \\
\hline someone [6] 23/21 30/5 & state [6] 52/13 66/9 66/12 & talk [1] 56 \\
\hline 32/12 32/18 35/8 62/21 & 6/15 86/4 86/12 & talked [4] 16/7 18/9 20/15 \\
\hline someone's [1] 23/11 & stay [1] 83 & \\
\hline something [29] 16/23 20/3 & stenographically [1] 86/7 & talking [8] 17/20 20/8 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 13 & 21 / 21 & 22 / 24 & 24 / 2\end{array}\) & step [1] 80/2 & 20/9 23/7 \(30 / 25\) 32/23 35/1 \\
\hline 27/19 31/7 32/19 32/21 & still [13] 10/13 12/18 & 69/12 \\
\hline \(35 / 8 \quad 35 / 21 \quad 35 / 22 \quad 36 / 6\) & 13/17 \(14 / 1316 / 5 \quad 128 / 22\) & talks [1 \\
\hline 37/14 41/15 42/13 44/6 & 28/23 28/24 30/5 30/5 & tax [4] 71/1 71/9 71/9 \\
\hline 44/15 50/2 57/8 57/14 & 30/22 50/21 65/5 & 71/13 \\
\hline 57/19 57/20 58/1 72/2 & stimulate [1] 81/2 & tear [1] \\
\hline 72/18 79/9 80/12 & stipulates [1] 23/7 & technical [1] 3/17 \\
\hline sometimes [2] 7/6 11/6 & stipulating [1] 5/14 & te11 [7] 16/6 29/14 30/9 \\
\hline soon [1] 45/21 & stir [1] 18/18 & 33/4 38/18 52/11 52/11 \\
\hline sorry [7] 24/17 39/23 & stores [1] 80/11 & telling [4] 30/1 30/20 \\
\hline 40/21 63/10 74/24 75/9 & stories [3] 19/8 20/17 & 33/7 73/9 \\
\hline 78/2 & 35/10 & temple [1] \\
\hline sort [4] 7/16 54/6 57/8 & storm [6] & ten [3] 17/7 \\
\hline 70/6 & 43/8 61/2 65/15 & ten percent [1] \\
\hline sounds [1] 20/19 & stormwater [2] 65/21 66/1 & term [4] 5/18 21/3 39/4 \\
\hline SOUTH [49] 1/5 1/24 3/5 & story [12] 18/12 18/16 & \\
\hline  & 18/17 19/9 19/12 20/2 22/6 & terminology [2] 25/23 75/7 \\
\hline 29/13 29/22 29/24 30/2 & 26/5 26/5 35/11 77/25 & terms [4] 71/9 75/25 81/22 \\
\hline 30/24 32/18 33/25 34/6 & 80/20 & 84/8 \\
\hline 34/12 \(36 / 3\) 36/11 44/2 & street [15] 11/4 12/11 & testimony \\
\hline 46/15 46/21 48/16 49/13 & 12/25 13/4 60/8 62/1 \(62 / 7\) & text [2] 4/3 5/6 \\
\hline 49/14 49/16 49/18 \(50 / 1\) & 63/7 63/17 64/1 64/4 64/10 & than [5] \({ }^{5} 512 / 24\) 16/20 \(39 / 12\) \\
\hline 50/4 51/8 52/3 53/15 57/16 & 78/1 78/18 81/13 & 75/23 80/12 \\
\hline 58/24 59/17 60/5 70/19 & strengthen [1] 65/10 & thank [29] 7/7 16/24 17/2 \\
\hline 71/12 73/3 73/13 73/21 & strengthening [1] 66/6 & 17/3 \(22 / 13\) 22/14 \(24 / 13\) \\
\hline 73/24 74/1 74/7 75/2 76/13 & strip [1] 19/6 & 24/14 27/5 28/20 28/21 \\
\hline 79/5 81/18 84/4 & structure [4] 7/25 21/10 & 29/1 29/3 29/8 31/3 34/9 \\
\hline South Seaside [44] 3/5 & 56/4 66/11 & 34/10 35/17 45/8 51/5 52/1 \\
\hline 3/21 5/8 10/18 17/17 29/6 & structures [5] 20/11 21/5 & 57/13 57/23 60/3 64/22 \\
\hline 29/13 29/22 \(29 / 24\) 30/2 & 65/3 66/12 67/14 & 70/17 71/15 72/21 81/13 \\
\hline \(30 / 24\) 32/18 \(33 / 25\) 34/6 & study [1] 25/14 & that [444] \\
\hline 34/12 36/3 36/11 44/2 & stuff [ \(313134 / 8 \quad 34 / 18\) 59/13 & that's [77] 3/10 5/19 5/20 \\
\hline 46/15 46/21 48/16 49/13 &  &  \\
\hline 49/14 49/16 49/18 50/1 & 27/16 39/10 66/23 67/1 &  \\
\hline 50/4 51/8 57/16 58/24 & subjective [1] 39/14 & 16/24 17/16 \(17 / 2319 / 19\) \\
\hline 59/17 60/5 70/19 71/12 & submit [1] 45/15 & 21/13 22/2 23/23 24/13 \\
\hline 73/3 73/13 73/21 73/24 & submitted [2] 24/25 25/1 & 26/9 26/16 27/4 27/20 31/7 \\
\hline 74/7 75/2 76/13 79/5 81/18 & subsequent [1] 9/7 & 31/12 33/22 34/4 35/22 \\
\hline 84/4 & such [5] 5/16 5/16 6/3 &  \\
\hline space [4] 4/19 28/4 65/22 & 8/25 52/12 & 40/22 40/24 41/8 41/15 \\
\hline 66/1 & sudden [2] 13/21 54/24 & 41/22 42/13 42/18 43/1 \\
\hline spaces [1] 11/19 & suffer [1] 15/13 & 43/2 43/2 43/13 48/3 48/14 \\
\hline  & sufficient [2] 28/2 78/17 & 48/16 48/21 49/6 49/21 \\
\hline 65/11 68/18 & suggest [1] 47/14 & 51/14 51/22 54/3 55/10 \\
\hline speaker [1] 38/20 & suggestion [1] 82/18 & 55/11 56/6 56/19 57/11 \\
\hline speaking [2] 28/22 54/5 & suit [1] 46/19 & 58/5 58/6 58/7 59/8 59/11 \\
\hline specific [3] 7/1 23/ & SULLIVAN [2] 1/23 86/3 & 62/15 64/9 64/22 68/12 \\
\hline 67/21 & SULLIVAN-HILL [2] 1/23 & 68/13 \(69 / 4 \quad 72 / 9 \quad 73 / 18\) \\
\hline specifically [2] 3/19 65/1 & 86/3 & 74/10 76/7 76/14 76/16 \\
\hline  & summer [3] 11/18 \(12 / 12\) & 76/17 77/15 84/11 \\
\hline spoken [1] 15/20 & & their [21] 11/5 11/21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{T} & 18 77/4 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
44 / 15 & 67 / 20 & 72 / 12 \\
\text { took } & 75 / 19 \\
3
\end{array}\right.
\]} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline their... [15] 16/21 16/22 & thing [17] 5/20 10/21 & \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 24 & 25 / 10 & 25 / 15 & 25 / 16\end{array}\) & 11/11 11/13 13/11 16/15 & topic [1] 51/11 \\
\hline 25/17 \(33 / 19\) 43/18 \(55 / 10\) & \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 21/20 } & 31 / 12 & 34 / 7 & 36 / 18\end{array}\) & topography [1] \\
\hline 59/17 68/11 70/4 71/6 81/6 & 37/12 39/20 42/5 51/5 66/4 & totally [2] 35/3 47/20 \\
\hline them [13] 14/24 16/9 16/10 & 78/25 80/6 & tough [1] 53/18 \\
\hline 16/10 24/4 25/17 46/18 & things [18] 5/20 6/3 9/1 & Towards [1] 34/21 \\
\hline  & 18/1 & town [13] 13/8 33/8 33/9 \\
\hline 62/25 79/25 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll} & 48 / 5 & 48 / 6 & 52 / 9 & 53 / 8 & 53 / 10\end{array}\) & 33/13 \(33 / 21 \quad 39 / 15\) 41/20 \\
\hline themselves [2] 15/11 53/12 & 53/20 55/1 68/20 70/23 &  \\
\hline then [47] 5/5 5/10 5/17 & 78/3 & 70/22 74/16 \\
\hline  & think [36] 12/4 12/14 & townhome [1] 39/17 \\
\hline 14/11 14/24 15/25 16/3 & 12/21 13/23 14/20 15/17 & townhomes [10] 8/5 36/7 \\
\hline 17/15 18/6 21/4 24/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 16 & 19 / 24 & 20 / 1 & 20 / 4\end{array}\) & 39/6 46/9 46/12 46 \\
\hline 25/20 25/23 27/7 28/7 28/9 & 21/12 121/16 22/7 28/15 & 54/25 54/25 55/14 76/20 \\
\hline 28/13 \(31 / 14\) 33/9 36/22 & 29/11 \(29 / 13\) 29/16 \(30 / 4\) & townhouse [29] 7/20 7/22 \\
\hline 37/9 38/8 38/23 39/9 42/23 & 30/8 31/7 \(43 / 12\) 48/17 & 8/10 \(8 / 15\) 14/8 14/9 14/19 \\
\hline 47/23 48/19 54/2 55/2 & 48/19 49/10 55/13 61/24 & \(\begin{array}{llll}14 / 21 & 15 / 22 \quad 23 / 20 & 23 / 21\end{array}\) \\
\hline 56/25 58/9 59/20 60/2 60/2 & 64/22 68/3 73/11 75/14 & \(\begin{array}{lllll} & 33 / 22 & 35 / 21 & 37 / 18 & 38 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline 61/22 72/6 73/14 74/19 & 76/10 76/18 78/23 79/24 & 39/5 40/4 41/3 46/2 47/5 \\
\hline 81/3 81/16 83/19 83/20 & 79/25 82/21 & 47/13 47/15 47/24 48/1 \\
\hline theoretically [1] 37/23 & thinking [1] 27/5 & 58/13 59/23 71/2 75/12 \\
\hline theory [1] 80/22 & third [3] \(26 / 5\) 51/10 78/4 & 76/12 \\
\hline there [146] & thirdly [1] 66/17 & townhouses [45] 14/10 \\
\hline there's [40] 4/4 5/20 6/8 & this [128] & 14/13 14/16 \(14 / 18\) 15/14 \\
\hline \(7 / 6\) 7/23 8/2 9/21 12/14 & those [44] 3/16 4/1 4/9 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 20 & 28 / 24 & 30 / 6 & 30 / 7\end{array}\) \\
\hline 13/4 \(13 / 21 \quad 15 / 515 / 616 / 17\) & \(\begin{array}{llllllllllll} & 5 / 22 & 6 / 21 & 9 / 17 & 10 / 11 & 13 / 14\end{array}\) &  \\
\hline 16/19 18/20 21/4 21/9 &  & 42/4 42/12 \(45 / 25\) 46/1 \\
\hline 22/21 \(26 / 11 \quad 33 / 12 \quad 35 / 15\) & 16/14 \(17 / 9\) 19/18 \(20 / 24\) & 46/25 47/3 47/6 47/6 47/7 \\
\hline 38/14 39/11 \(40 / 13\) 44/23 & 22/8 \(25 / 5 \quad 25 / 5 \quad 25 / 20 \quad 28 / 13\) & 47/8 47/9 47/13 47/22 48/2 \\
\hline 46/4 46/11 46/23 49/4 & \(30 / 7 \quad 36 / 8 \quad 39 / 10 \quad 39 / 11 ~ 41 / 2 ~\) & 50/7 \(50 / 8 \quad 50 / 9 \quad 51 / 19\) 51/22 \\
\hline 51/21 52/20 55/1 56/6 60/7 & 45/21 46/9 48/13 55/11 & 51/23 52/8 56/25 57/21 \\
\hline 67/21 69/24 73/25 74/11 & 62/11 66/2 66/11 69/1 & 59/19 65/1 70/23 75/5 \\
\hline 74/13 82/21 & 69/19 69/20 70/5 70/11 & 75/23 79/21 81/20 84/7 \\
\hline therefore [2] 59/20 59/25 & 70/12 70/13 71/20 71/23 & township [30] 1/1 1/5 3/6 \\
\hline these [33] 6/16 7/23 8/25 & 76/16 80/4 & 10/1 10/6 \(15 / 1817 / 18\) 29/9 \\
\hline 9/1 9/16 \(9 / 2313 / 3\) 18/16 & though [5] 16/16 27/18 & \(30 / 12\) 31/9 \(33 / 2434 / 7 \quad 40 / 7\) \\
\hline 25/18 \(29 / 15\) 31/22 \(35 / 24\) & 29/15 37/24 54/23 & 40/15 \(41 / 19\) 43/10 44/22 \\
\hline 38/22 51/13 \(52 / 7 \quad 52 / 9\) & thought [1] 17/21 & 44/22 46/17 \(53 / 11\) 64/24 \\
\hline 52/18 \(53 / 5 \quad 53 / 1955 / 17\) & three [9] 5/19 11/23 13/22 & 67/8 67/19 67/23 69/5 71/4 \\
\hline 56/21 59/22 61/10 61/14 & 13/23 15/9 35/9 35/11 & 71/5 71/8 73/22 74/2 \\
\hline 62/20 64/17 65/6 69/22 & 54/12 75/12 & township's [2] 73/17 73/19 \\
\hline 72/19 75/25 79/14 81/22 & three-family [3] 13/22 & township-wide [4] 67/19 \\
\hline 84/8 & 13/23 75/12 & 67/23 71/8 74/2 \\
\hline they [85] 12/22 12/24 & three-story [1] 35/11 & traffic [2] 11/16 12/7 \\
\hline 13/17 \(13 / 18 \quad 14 / 1 \quad 14 / 3\) & through [20] 4/8 4/21 6/16 & trailers [1] 35/2 \\
\hline 15/15 15/15 15/16 16/20 & 7/6 8/9 8/16 8/17 13/13 & transcript [1] 86/6 \\
\hline 16/23 17/21 19/4 19/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}14 / 2 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 5 & 16 / 8 & 27 / 14\end{array}\) & Tri [2] 29/10 54/13 \\
\hline 21/3 22/5 23/20 23/22 24/3 & 47/7 48/2 \(63 / 11 \quad 61 / 3 \quad 69 / 3\) & Tri-Boro [2] 29/10 54/13 \\
\hline 25/4 25/12 25/12 25/16 & 70/8 79/8 & tried [2] 33/6 44/1 \\
\hline 25/16 \(25 / 17\) 25/18 \(25 / 19\) & throughout [1] 9/9 & true [3] 17/24 37/2 86/6 \\
\hline 25/20 30/24 \(31 / 15\) 32/3 & Throw [1] 59/19 & trying [10] 23/23 29/14 \\
\hline \(32 / 4 \quad 32 / 6 \quad 32 / 20 \quad 33 / 5 \quad 33 / 9\) & thrown [2] 37/20 69/11 & 30/21 32/24 44/10 45/6 \\
\hline 33/18 33/20 35/5 37/19 & Thursday [1] 1/8 & 46/17 49/8 62/10 72/14 \\
\hline 41/2 41/12 41/16 42/3 42/3 & till [2] 17/12 25/12 & twice [2] 37/13 56/10 \\
\hline 42/4 42/17 42/21 42/25 & time [13] 9/2 9/24 16/25 & two [40] 3/8 3/13 5/22 \\
\hline 43/1 43/1 \(43 / 3\) 45/3 47/8 & 29/2 \(31 / 19\) 31/21 33/18 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}6 / 16 & 8 / 9 & 13 / 13 & 13 / 22 & 14 / 2\end{array}\) \\
\hline 47/9 47/9 47/10 47/24 & 37/13 51/18 57/23 65/6 & \(\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 16 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 5 & 16 / 8 & 17 / 8\end{array}\) \\
\hline 48/19 \(51 / 12\) 52/17 \(55 / 5\) & 66/23 86/7 & 18/12 \(18 / 17181812318\) \\
\hline 55/19 55/20 56/23 57/5 & & 19/12 \(20 / 17\) 20/20 \(27 / 14\) \\
\hline 58/13 62/22 65/7 65/15 & today [2] 36/3 65/17 & 47/22 48/5 48/5 50/10 \\
\hline 65/16 65/18 66/14 66/22 & together [4] 11/25 14/25 & 50/11 50/12 50/14 55/24 \\
\hline 66/23 66/25 67/9 67/10 & 15/1 49/21 & 57/1 65/2 66/5 66/7 70/21 \\
\hline 67/10 68/5 68/24 70/7 73/4 & told [1] 13/19 & 70/23 71/1 75/13 78/2 \\
\hline 76/5 81/5 & toll [1] 52/14 & 79/21 80/14 \\
\hline they'd [3] 9/4 9/5 10/16 & Toms [2] 30/12 31/9 & two-family [5] 13/13 13/22 \\
\hline they're [24] 11/25 13/17 & Toms River [2] 30/12 31/9 & 14/2 27/14 75/13 \\
\hline 14/24 15/1 16/11 25/13 & tonight [5] 60/22 71/18 & two-story [3] 18/12 18/17 \\
\hline 30/25 41/2 \(41 / 12\) 41/13 & 72/18 79/13 80/9 & 19/12 \\
\hline  & \[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { too } & {[12]} & 7 / 7 & 10 / 20 & 11 / 9 \\
11 / 12 & 15 / 7 & 28 / 25 & 41 / 1 & 43 / 5
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text { type [2] } & 15 / 6 & 15 / 17 \\
\text { types [4] } & 5 / 4 & 5 / 22
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & \[
\text { us [2] 20/8 } 2
\] & 43/6 43/16 44/5 44/7 44/11 \\
\hline types... [1] 22/8 &  & \(\begin{array}{llll}44 / 16 & 44 / 25 & 45 / 6 & 48 / 22 \\ 48 / 23 & 49 / 16 & 52 / 22 & 52 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline typographical [1] 9/12 & 29/10 47/11 71/17 80/25 &  \\
\hline U & 1e [1] 81/4 &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|llll}
\hline \text { ug7y } & {[2]} & 57 / 2 & 57 / 12 \\
\text { uh } & {[5]} & 10 / 12 & 27 / 24 \\
64 / 15 & 74 / 4 & &
\end{array}
\]} & Village [1] \({ }_{\text {visualize [1] }}{ }^{\text {15/14 }}\) 27/19 & \[
\begin{array}{llll}
72 / 8 & 72 / 11 & 72 / 14 & 74 / 12 \\
74 / 14 & 74 / 15 & 74 / 75 & 75 / 1
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline & vocal [1] 18/20 & \[
75 / 21 \quad 82 / 3 \quad 83 / 2 \quad 83 / 4
\] \\
\hline & voice [1] 55/10 & website [1] 7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { uh-hum } & {[5]} & 10 / 12 & 27 / 24 \\
51 / 16 & 64 / 15 & 74 / 4
\end{array}
\]} & volunteers [2] 53/2 59/12 & \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { welcome [3] } & 29 / 3 & 45 / 9\end{array}\) \\
\hline & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { vote [7] } & 52 / 10 & 54 / 6 & 60 / 2\end{array}\) & \(57 / 24\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ULAKY [1] } 2 / 3 \\
& \text { ultimately }[1] \quad 25 / 10 \\
& \text { unaware }[1] \quad 34 / 25 \\
& \text { under }[9] 5 / 218 / 23 \quad 13 / 11 \\
& 25 / 2532 / 14 \quad 49 / 14 \quad 59 / 9 \\
& 67 / 1 \quad 67 / 2
\end{aligned}
\]} & 83/23 84/14 & wel1 [2 \\
\hline & voted [4] \(3 / 12\) 9/6 18/21 & \(\begin{array}{lll}9 / 11 & 13 / 17 & 20 /\end{array}\) \\
\hline & 19/14 & 25/10 34/9 36/8 43/19 \\
\hline & W & 46/24 49/11 49/17 53/15 \\
\hline & Wait [2] 17/12 63/18 & 54/9 73/11 74/19 75/16 83/3 \\
\hline underlined [1] 4/3 & walked [1] 47/7 & went [3] \(30 / 11\) 32/6 \(34 / 18\) \\
\hline understand [22] 24/18 26/3 & want [55] \(8 / 2413 / 2514 / 1\) & were [33] 3/7 \\
\hline 26/14 27/9 27/16 28/15 & 14/3 14/6 15/18 16/10 & 4/6 9/16 9/23 13/14 15/2 \\
\hline 32/24 36/12 37/16 38/10 & 16/23 18/22 19/15 29/8 & 15/3 22/19 25/18 29/14 \\
\hline 38/23 40/19 40/20 40/23 & \(31 / 131 / 231 / 3\) 31/16 35/19 & 33/20 44/10 \(45 / 3\) 48/17 \\
\hline 46/8 46/16 47/19 48/7 & 36/8 37/14 \(37 / 15\) 39/1 40/7 & 51/4 \(53 / 20 \quad 54 / 12 \quad 55 / 18\) \\
\hline 59/10 60/17 72/16 76/11 & 41/2 \(41 / 12 \begin{array}{llllll} & 42 / 3 & 42 / 3 & 42 / 4\end{array}\) & 55/24 56/3 60/23 65/6 \\
\hline understanding [2] 49/11 & 44/14 \(47 / 847 / 948 / 6 \quad 50 / 8\) & 66/16 70/11 70/14 72/10 \\
\hline 50/5 & 50/13 \(51 / 2 \begin{array}{lllll} & 51 / 3 & 51 / 5 & 51 / 9\end{array}\) & 73/5 79/14 79/18 80 \\
\hline understood [2] 76/14 78/3 & 51/12 51/23 51/24 55/15 & 80/11 \\
\hline uneasy [1] 28/23 & 57/7 58/12 \(58 / 13\) 58/19 & west [2] 14/23 47/1 \\
\hline unit [3] 5/3 18/7 81/5 & 58/24 58/24 58/25 59/19 & what [102] \\
\hline units [1] 56/17 & 61/23 62/15 72/1 79/4 & what's [5] \\
\hline un1ess [2] 13/7 69/16 & 79/15 79/19 81/10 & 53/14 56/20 63/19 \\
\hline unquote [1] 28/6 & wanted [11] \(9 / 13\) 9/13 9/15 & whatever [9] 35/1 \\
\hline until [1] 32/5 & 29/17 33/19 39/25 40/6 & 40/7 \(44 / 19\) 47/17 50/2 \(50 / 3\) \\
\hline up [34] 9/13 9/22 11/5 & 40/8 72/17 80/6 81/7 & 76/10 77/16 \\
\hline  & wanting [2] 52/6 80/9 & t [1] 52 \\
\hline 18/24 30/11 30/17 31/10 & wants [8] 16/8 18/21 22/24 & whatsoever [1] 50/7 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllll} & 31 / 18 & 32 / 3 & 33 / 17 & 34 / 22\end{array}\) & 32/13 38/24 38/25 47/23 & when [34] 3/12 \(11 / 311 / 18\) \\
\hline  & 83/5 &  \\
\hline 40/16 41/5 42/1 42/4 42/14 & was [82] 3/8 3/14 3/21 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}122 / 18 & 22 / 23 & 24 / 25 & 25 / 24\end{array}\) \\
\hline 44/21 45/7 \(54 / 5 \quad 58 / 14\) 61/9 & \(3 / 22 \quad 8 / 19\) 9/14 \(13 / 113 / 5\) & \(\begin{array}{llllll} \\ 27 / 25 & 30 / 5 & 30 / 9 & 30 / 24 & 32 / 2\end{array}\) \\
\hline 66/7 66/10 66/13 77/15 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 19 & 14 / 1 & 15 / 8 & 15 / 22\end{array}\) & 33/19 35/9 38/9 42/25 \\
\hline 82/25 & 15/23 19/4 \(20 / 1 \begin{array}{llll} & 20 / 3 & 20 / 5\end{array}\) & 43/25 44/6 44/7 44/9 46/4 \\
\hline update [1] 3/17 & 20/15 20/18 21/16 21/20 & 49/12 50/20 51/13 52/9 \\
\hline upload [1] 25/20 & 24/24 25/1 25/6 25/11 26/9 & 53/6 57/11 80/4 83/9 \\
\hline upon [4] 9/6 54/3 54/4 & 27/5 27/5 27/10 28/20 & where [27] [1/25 \(30 / 1713 / 6\) \\
\hline 54/4 & 28/25 29/10 \(32 / 3 \quad 32 / 5\) &  \\
\hline upset [1] 16/9 & 34/20 34/23 34/25 39/12 & 22/25 23/22 25/25 26/11 \\
\hline upstairs [1] 83/6 & 40/25 41/1 43/19 43/25 & 28/1 \(31 / 5 \quad 32 / 20 \quad 38 / 18\) \\
\hline us [17] 8/17 \(25 / 20\) 30/1 & 44/2 44/3 44/4 44/9 44/10 & 38/19 \(44 / 6\) 44/8 \(50 / 7 \quad 50 / 8\) \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 9 & 30 / 9 & 33 / 8 & 33 / 8 & 33 / 9\end{array}\) & 46/13 47/4 47/10 48/11 &  \\
\hline 33/21 33/23 34/9 41/6 44/7 & 48/24 \(54 / 16\) 54/18 \(59 / 9\) & 55/11 \(60 / 3\) 73/2 \\
\hline  & 60/7 60/20 60/22 61/7 61/9 & whereas [6] 59/16 59/16 \\
\hline use [90] & 66/22 67/8 73/5 73/18 & 59/20 75/4 81 \\
\hline used [1] 7/17 & 75/15 76/14 76/20 77/8 & whereas's [1] 59/25 \\
\hline uses [20] \(3 / 1 / 313 / 23\) 5/14 & 78/21 78/23 79/24 79/24 & whereby [1] 55/3 \\
\hline  & 80/7 80/12 80/16 80/17 & whether [3] 41/6 72/10 \\
\hline 22/22 47/23 \(50 / 19\) 50/20 & 80/21 80/22 81/2 82/12 & 78/21 \\
\hline 51/3 61/18 76/12 78/15 & 82/17 82/18 & which [32] 3/2 4/6 4/10 \\
\hline 78/17 80/4 81/21 84/7 & wasn't [2] 75/15 77/7 & /12 \(6 / 6 \quad 6 / 7 \quad 7 / 13\) 7/25 \\
\hline using [1] 49/7 & water [1] 43/21 & \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 8/10 } & 11 / 25 & 16 / 4 & 20 / 10\end{array}\) \\
\hline usually [2] \(11 / 19\) 23/22 & waterfront [1] 66/3 &  \\
\hline utility [1] 19/17 & way [20] \(14 / 17{ }^{\text {l }}\) 19/3 \(19 / 5\) &  \\
\hline V & 19/6 19/10 20/25 21/2 & 39/18 \(42 / 14^{46 / 3} 49 / 7\) 54/12 \\
\hline vacant [1] 65/5 & \(\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 25 & 34 / 1 & 42 / 8 & 44 / 9 & 46 / 1 \\ 48 / 1 & 48 / 4 & 52 / 14 & 60 / 16\end{array}\) & 54/20 60/12 63/3 \\
\hline Varano [1] 44/9 & \[
60 / 25 \quad 61 / 24 \quad 62 / 16 \quad 69 / 15
\] &  \\
\hline variance [7] \(39 / 12 \quad 39 / 18\) & we [112] & 61/8 [4] 6/24 22/11 46/8 \\
\hline 45/23 56/13 56/15 56/18 & we'd [3] 57/19 57/19 77/24 & Whiteman [6] 29/5 30/21 \\
\hline 69/20 & we'11 [5] 3/2 3/2 17/15 & 36/10 41/23 \(57 / 25 \quad 71 / 16\) \\
\hline vary [1] 18/2 & 60/3 71/22 & who [9] 41/10 46/9 46/11 \\
\hline  & \[
\begin{array}{|ccccc} 
\\
\text { we're } & {[43]} & 3 / 6 & 21 / 24 & 30 / 1 \\
30 / 2 & 30 / 21 & 32 / 23 & 34 / 1 & 40 / 9
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
46 / 20 \\
71 / 25
\end{array} 70 / 3 \text { 70/4 } 70 / 4 \quad 71 / 24
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

