

San Luis Obispo Local Agency Formation Commission

Serving the Area of San Luis Obispo County Since 1963



www.slolafco.com

Policies and Procedures **Updated September 17, 2020**



San Luis Obispo Local Agency Formation Commission

Serving the Area of San Luis Obispo County Since 1963



Mission Statement

The San Luis Obispo Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) is committed to serving the residents of San Luis Obispo County and the State of California by discouraging urban sprawl and encouraging the orderly formation and development of local agencies based upon local conditions and circumstances.

LAFCO
1042 Pacific Street, Suite A
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Phone: (805) 781-5795

Fax: (805) 788-2072

www.slolafco.com



San Luis Obispo

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

Chair: Tom Murray, Public Member

Vice Chair: Robert Enns, Special District Member

Debbie Arnold, County Member

Ed Wagge, City Member

Lynn Compton, County Member

Marshall Ochlyski, Special District Member

Roberta Fonzi, City Member

ALTERNATES

Heather Jensen, Public Member

Ed Eby, Special District Member

John Peschong, County Member

Steve Gregory, City Member

STAFF

Mike Prater, Executive Officer

Brian Pierik, Legal Counsel, Burke, Williams, & Sorensen

Imelda Marquez, Commission Clerk



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

Introduction	6
1. Authority and Mandate	6
2. Encourage Orderly Formation of Local Government Agencies	7
3. Preserve Agricultural Lands	7
4. Discourage Urban Sprawl	7
5. San Luis Obispo LAFCO Members	8
6. San Luis Obispo LAFCO Staff	8

CHAPTER 2

Review Policies	9
1. General Policies	9
2. Policies for City Incorporation	12
3. Policies for City Annexation	13
4. Policies for Annexation to Special Districts	14
5. Policies for Formation of Special Districts	14
6. Sphere of Influence Review Policies	15
7. Municipal Service Review Policies	18
8. Memorandum of Agreement Policies	19
9. Agricultural Policies	20



CHAPTER 3

Administrative Policies22

- 1. Application and Indemnification22
- 2. Budget Policies24
- 3. Credit Card Policy24
- 4. Legislative Process Policy27
- 5. Document Retention and Destruction Policy.....28

CHAPTER 4

Procedures 30

- 1. Preliminary Steps.....30
- 2. LAFCO Proceedings.....32
- 3. Conducting Authority Proceedings.....36
- 4. Completion and Effective Date.....37

CHAPTER 5

California Environmental Quality Act Policies 39

APPENDIX A

Municipal Service Review Information Guidelines 40

APPENDIX B

Agricultural Goals and Guidelines 45



CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Local Agency Formation Commissions (LAFCOs) were created to help organize, manage, and regulate the provision of public services to development. LAFCOs were created in 1963 in response to the post World War II development boom and the proliferation of development and local agencies providing public services to California's fast growing communities. The results of this development boom became evident as more of California's agricultural land was converted to urban uses. This premature and unplanned development pattern created inefficient and expensive systems of delivering public services using multiple small units of local government and private companies. Over the years legislation has been passed to help manage this situation. The most recent legislation is the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act of 2000 (CKH Act). The Act has been amended numerous times since 2000 and is reviewed and amended each year.

1. Authority and Mandate

A section of the California Government Code (56000 et. seq), the CKH Act, provides LAFCO with its powers, procedures and functions. This law give LAFCOs the power to "approve or disapprove with or without amendment, wholly, partially, or conditionally" proposals concerning the formation of cities and special districts and other changes of jurisdiction or organization of local government agencies.

The CKH Act made significant changes to LAFCOs' policies, procedures, and operations. It streamlined and clarified procedures and strengthened LAFCOs' role and its powers to prevent urban sprawl and protect open space. The Act included many changes related to making LAFCOs more balanced and independent in representation and operation, making LAFCOs more accountable and visible to the public and enhancing communication among LAFCOs and other local government agencies.

LAFCO reviews and approves or disapproves proposals for boundary changes or governmental reorganizations of cities and special districts, including (1) the formation of special districts and incorporation of cities, (2) the annexation and detachment of territory to cities and special districts,. and (3) determining the Spheres of Influence for jurisdictions. A SOI is a twenty-year growth plan for the probable physical boundary and service area of the agency. After adoption, the SOI is used by the Commission as a key factor to be considered in review of annexations and other proposals.

LAFCO must consider the effect that any proposal may produce on existing agricultural lands. By guiding development toward vacant urban land and away from prime agricultural lands, LAFCO assists with the preservation of valuable agricultural resources. In reviewing proposals, LAFCO is required to consider certain factors such as the conformity between city and county plans, current levels and needs for future



services to the area, and the social, physical and economic effects that agency boundary changes may present to the community. The State of California, through legislation, gives LAFCOs the authority to achieve the following objectives:

2. Encourage Orderly Formation of Local Governmental Agencies

LAFCOs review proposals for the formation of new local governmental agencies and changes of organization (such as annexations) to existing agencies. In California there are 58 LAFCOs, one in each County, working with nearly 4,000 governmental agencies in 58 counties, 500+ cities, and 3,000+ special districts. Agency boundaries are often unrelated to one another, but sometimes can overlap. This can lead to higher service costs to taxpayers and general confusion regarding service area boundaries. LAFCOs' decisions strive to balance the competing needs in California for affordable housing, economic opportunity, and conservation of natural resources.

3. Preserve Agricultural Land Resources

LAFCO must consider the effect that any proposal may produce on existing agricultural lands, especially prime farm lands. By guiding development toward vacant urban land and away from agricultural land, LAFCO assists with the preservation of valuable agricultural resources. The CKH Act strongly discourages the use of prime agriculture land for development. The definition of prime agriculture land is found in the CKH Act and is broadly defined in the Act. In 2008 San Luis Obispo LAFCO adopted Agricultural Goals-Policies-Guidelines developed to help preserve agricultural resources. These policies can be found in Section 2.9 of the Policies chapter. A key policy for preserving agricultural land calls for any conversion of prime agricultural land associated with an annexation to be offset by preserving similar lands at a substitution ratio of 1:1 per acre. This intends that for each acre of prime agricultural land that is converted, one acre of similar land shall be preserved.

4. Discourage Urban Sprawl

Urban sprawl can best be described as irregular and disorganized growth occurring without apparent design or plan. This pattern of development is characterized by the inefficient delivery of urban services (police, fire, water, and sanitation) and the unnecessary loss of agricultural resources. By discouraging sprawl, LAFCO limits the misuse of land resources and promotes a more efficient system of local governmental agencies.



5. San Luis Obispo LAFCO Members

The Commission is comprised of seven regular members (two County Board of Supervisors, two City Council Members, two Special District Members, and one Public Member) and four Alternate Commissioners (one County Board of Supervisor, one City Council Member, one Special District Member, and one Public Member). The CKH Act calls upon members to use their “independent judgment” when considering LAFCO decisions. Current membership is shown below:

Member	Type	Term Expiration
Robert Enns	Special District Member	December 2020
Marshall Ochylski	Special District Member	December 2022
Debbie Arnold	County Member	December 2021
Lynn Compton	County Member	December 2023
Tom Murray	Public Member	December 2020
Ed Waage	City Member	December 2023
Roberta Fonzi	City Member	December 2021

Alternates	Type	Term Expiration
Heather Jensen	Public Member	December 2020
Steve Gregory	City Member	December 2022
Ed Eby	Special District Member	December 2021
John Peschong	County Member	December 2023

The County Board of Supervisors appoints two regular representatives and one alternate representative from the County. The cities convene a special committee composed of the mayors from each city to appoint two regular and one alternate representative. The Special Districts elect representatives through a process implemented by the Executive Officer pursuant to the CKH Act. The LAFCO Commission appoints the public member representatives to serve on the Commission.

6. San Luis Obispo LAFCO Staff

The Commission’s staff serves in an administrative capacity reviewing proposals, preparing special studies, and acting as the liaison with state and local agencies as well as the public. Staff may be contacted by phone at (805) 781-5795, via e-mail through the Commission’s website at www.slolafco.com, or by the e-mail addresses listed below:

Mike Prater, Executive Officer
Brian Pierik, Legal Counsel
Imelda Marquez, Commission Clerk

mprater@slolafco.com
Burke, Williams & Sorensen
imarquez@slolafco.com



CHAPTER 2 POLICIES

The CKH Act requires that each LAFCO establish policies and procedures. The CKH Act also states that LAFCOs are to exercise their powers consistent with those policies and procedures. The policies should encourage and provide for urban development patterns balanced with the goals of preserving open space and agricultural land while discouraging urban sprawl. In this chapter the policies are broken into nine different sections:

- 2.1. General Policies
- 2.2. City Incorporations
- 2.3. City Annexations
- 2.4. Special District Annexations
- 2.5. Formation of Special Districts
- 2.6. Sphere of Influence and Service Reviews
- 2.7. Municipal Service Review Policies
- 2.8. Memorandum of Agreement Policies
- 2.9. Agricultural Policies

These policies reflect the legislative intent of the CKH Act and provide for its consistent implementation based upon local conditions and circumstances. This chapter also incorporates by reference (1) policies and procedures that are explicitly required by the CKH Act, (2) the definitions stated in the CKH Act, and (3) implementation of any new LAFCO authority. The CKH Act clarified the many factors that LAFCOs must consider and balance in making decisions as is stated in the CKH Act:

“The Legislature recognizes that the logical formation and determination of local agency boundaries is an important factor in promoting orderly development and in balancing that development with the sometimes competing state interests of discouraging urban sprawl, preserving open space and prime agriculture lands, and efficiently extending government services.”

2.1 General Policies

The following General Policies are to be used by the San Luis Obispo LAFCO in making decisions as applicable and appropriate:

1. The Commission shall endeavor to balance the need to efficiently provide public services with the sometimes-competing interests of discouraging urban sprawl, preserving prime agriculture land and open space (CKH Act 56001 and 56301).



2. While serving on the Commission, all members shall exercise their independent judgment on behalf of the interests of residents, property owners, and the public as a whole, to implement the CKH Act (CKH Act 56325.1).
3. Cities and Special Districts are discouraged from annexations outside of their Sphere of Influence unless the need for services is clearly demonstrated (CKH 56375.5).
4. Jurisdictions are encouraged to create places to live that integrate various land uses as a way of providing for a diverse social and economic community.
5. Cities and special districts are encouraged to annex unincorporated islands as well as land that is mostly surrounded by a jurisdiction. (CKH 56001, & 56375.3).
6. The Commission encourages development of vacant land within a municipality over development in fringe areas and discourages strip or noncontiguous annexations to Cities (CKH 56301).
7. The Commission prefers urban development within Cities and the Urban Reserve Line of unincorporated communities as opposed to development in the unincorporated area (CKH 56001).
8. The Commission will recognize and preserve clearly defined, long-term agricultural and open space areas established by the County or other jurisdictions to preserve critical environmental areas and to bolster local economies (CKH 56001). This may be accomplished using agricultural easements, open space easements, conservation easements, or other mechanisms, that preserve agricultural or open space lands in perpetuity.
9. The Commission favors annexation to an existing agency over creation of a new agency. When the formation of a new government entity is proposed, the Commission shall make a determination as to whether existing agencies can feasibly provide the service in a more efficient manner (CKH 56301).
10. The Commission discourages special districts from extending services by agreement without annexation. A municipality or district may provide new or extended services by contract or agreement outside its boundaries only if it requests and receives written approval from LAFCO (CKH 56133).
11. The Commission normally will require annexation to a municipality rather than annexation to a sanitation, sanitary, community service or water district in the unincorporated area (CKH 56001).



12. The Commission will require, as a condition to city annexation, detachment of the annexed territory from the special district where appropriate (CKH 56001).
13. The Commission prefers the merger of a special district with a municipality upon incorporation, whenever possible, as being in the best interest of the local citizens.
14. In any proposal, the impacts on affordable housing must be considered. The Commission will consider the impact of the creation of new jobs on affordable housing stock, not only in the jurisdiction to which the annexation is proposed, but also in neighboring jurisdictions. The agency to which the annexation is proposed should demonstrate to the Commission that the effects of the proposed project on affordable housing have been mitigated (CKH 56001).

The Commission recognizes that providing a range of housing opportunities for persons and families of all incomes is an important factor in promoting orderly development.

15. Prior to annexation of territory within an agency's Sphere of Influence, the Commission encourages development on vacant or underutilized parcels already within the boundaries of a jurisdiction. The agency should provide LAFCO with a build-out estimate or inventory and document how it was prepared.
16. In any proposal requiring water service, the Commission requires that the agency to which the annexation is proposed should demonstrate the availability of an adequate, reliable and sustainable supply of water. In cases where a phased development is proposed, the agency should demonstrate that adequate service capacity will be provided as needed for each phase. In cases where a proposed annexation will be served by an onsite water source, the proponent should demonstrate its adequacy (CKH 56668 (k)).
17. The Commission shall review and update the spheres of influence for all applicable jurisdictions in the County every five years, or as necessary, pursuant to the CKH Act and an approved annual local work plan. (CKH 56425 (g))
18. A municipal service review (MSR) shall be prepared to update the SOIs using the guidelines adopted by San Luis Obispo LAFCO and in accordance with the CKH Act.
19. The Commission shall give "great weight" to a proposal that is supported by a community's long-range vision for its growth and development. This may include a Memorandum of Agreement that has been approved by the County and another jurisdiction regarding a Sphere of Influence or other proposal.



2.2 Policies for City Incorporation

1. Incorporation will be discouraged where a municipal government already exists adjacent to the area. Existing jurisdictional boundaries of other agencies shall be recognized and evaluated.
2. When other municipalities are adjacent, the Commission will consider as preferable, in the order listed, the following actions:
 - a. Annexation to an existing municipality.
 - b. Reorganization, consolidating one or more of the municipalities and the unincorporated area.
 - c. Incorporation.
3. Incorporation will be given more favorable consideration if:
 - a. A community is geographically located some distance from any other municipality.
 - b. There is a demonstrated public need for additional governmental services and controls or a need for a higher level of some or all of those services being provided.
 - c. The needed governmental services can be shown to be most quickly and economically provided by incorporation.
 - d. The area to be incorporated is compact, contiguous, possesses a community identity and includes a variety of land uses that provides for a balanced community.
 - e. The proposed incorporation must reflect and consider the general plan of the County and affected Cities.
 - f. The proposed incorporation must not conflict with the logical growth of adjacent cities as reflected in Commission approved spheres of influence.
 - g. The proposed incorporation does not represent an attempt to incorporate only revenue-producing territories to preempt neighboring cities from receiving those revenues.



- h. The proposed boundaries do not create or result in areas that are difficult to serve.
- i. The proposed boundaries must be definite and certain and wherever possible, should conform to lines of assessment and consider topographic, geographic, and historic boundaries.
- j. The effect of incorporation on a special district must be considered.
- k. Within the proposal there must be a cost-versus-benefits justification of the proposed incorporation.
- l. Sufficient revenue to supply required municipal services is evident in the incorporation proposal.
- m. Consideration will be given to the effect of incorporation upon adjacent landowners, governmental agencies, and the County.
- n. A Comprehensive Fiscal Analysis (CFA) must be completed pursuant to CKH Act 56800 and the LAFCO incorporation guidelines prepared by the State Office of Planning and Research.
- o. The incorporation would result in a similar exchange of both revenue and responsibility for service delivery between the County, the proposed municipality and other involved agencies (CKH 56815).
- p. The incorporation proposal shall fully consider the State Guidelines for incorporation. These guidelines are advisory to the Commission in the review of an incorporation proposal and should be used in preparing any incorporation proposal.

2.3 Policies for City Annexations

- 1. The boundaries of a proposed annexation must be definite and certain and must conform to lines of assessment whenever possible.
- 2. The boundaries of an area to be annexed will not result in any areas difficult to serve.
- 3. There is a demonstrated need for governmental services and controls in the area proposed for annexation.



4. The municipality has the resources capable of meeting the need for services in the area proposed for annexation and has submitted studies and information documenting its ability to serve.
5. There is a mutual social and economic community of interest between the residents of the municipality and the proposed territory.
6. The proposed annexation is compatible with the municipality's general plan. The proposed annexation represents a logical and reasonable expansion of the annexing municipality.
7. The Commission shall determine if a disadvantaged unincorporated community is associated with an application. If a disadvantaged unincorporated community does exist, the procedures for processing the annexation as outlined in the CKH Act shall be implemented.
8. That the City Prezone the area to be annexed and complete CEQA as the Lead Agency for the proposal and/or project. LAFCO should in most instances act as the Responsible Agency with regard to an annexation and CEQA.

2.4 Policies for Annexation to Special Districts

1. A demonstrated need exists for the required services and there is no reasonable alternative manner of providing these services.
2. The proposed annexation represents a logical and reasonable expansion of the district.
3. The proposed annexation reflects the plans of the adjacent governmental agencies.
4. The proposed annexation does not represent an attempt to annex only revenue producing property.
5. The proposed boundaries must be definite and certain and conform to lines of assessment whenever possible.
6. The district has the capability of meeting the need for services and has submitted studies and information documenting its capabilities.

2.5 Policies for Formation of Special Districts

1. There is a demonstrated need for services or controls which can be provided by a special district.



2. There is no alternative which would provide for the required service in a more reasonable manner.
3. There will be sufficient revenue to adequately finance the required services or controls.
4. The proposal does not represent a conflict with the reasonable and logical expansion of adjacent governmental agencies.
5. The boundary configuration will not create or result in areas difficult to serve.
6. The boundaries of the proposed formation must be definite and certain and must conform to lines of assessment whenever possible. The boundaries must not conflict with boundaries of other public agencies possessing the same powers unless properly justified.

2.6 Sphere of Influence Review Policies

The CKH Act provides the legislative authority and intent for establishing a Sphere of Influence and is included by reference in these policies. A Sphere of Influence is the probable 20-year growth boundary for a jurisdiction's physical development. These policies are intended to be consistent with the CKH Act and take into consideration local conditions and circumstances. All procedures and definitions in the CKH Act are incorporated into these policies by reference.

1. LAFCO intends that its Sphere of Influence determination will serve as a master plan for the future organization of local government within the County. The spheres shall be used to discourage urban sprawl and the proliferation of local governmental agencies and to encourage efficiency, economy, and orderly changes in local government.
2. The Sphere of Influence lines shall be a declaration of policy which shall be a primary guide to LAFCO in the decision on any proposal under its jurisdiction. Every determination made by the Commission shall be consistent with the spheres of influence of the agencies affected by those determinations.
3. No proposal which is inconsistent with an agency's adopted Sphere of Influence shall be approved until the Commission, at a noticed public hearing, has considered an amendment or revision to that agency's Sphere of Influence.
4. The adopted Sphere of Influence shall reflect city and county general plans, growth management policies, annexation policies, resource management policies, and any other policies related to ultimate boundary area of an affected



agency unless those plan or policies conflict with the legislative intent of the CKH Act (Government Code Section 56000 et seq.)

Where inconsistencies between plans exist, LAFCO shall rely upon that plan which most closely follows the legislature's directive to discourage urban sprawl, direct development away from prime agricultural land and open space lands, and encourage the orderly formation and development of local governmental agencies based upon local conditions and circumstances.

In accordance with the CKH Act a municipal service review shall be conducted prior to the update of a jurisdiction's Sphere of Influence. The service review is intended to be a basis for updating a jurisdiction's Sphere of Influence.

5. LAFCO will designate a Sphere of Influence line for each local agency that represents the agency's probable physical boundary and includes territory eligible for annexation and the extension of that agency's services within a zero to twenty-year period.
6. LAFCO shall consider the following factors in determining an agency's Sphere of Influence:
 - a. Present and future need for agency services and the service levels specified for the subject area in applicable general plans, growth management plans, annexation policies, resource management plans, and any other plans or policies related to an agency's ultimate boundary and service area (CKH 56425 (e)(1)).
 - b. Capability of the local agency to provide needed services, taking into account evidence of resource capacity sufficient to provide for internal needs and urban expansion (CKH 56425 (e)(2)).
 - c. The existence of agricultural preserves, agricultural land and open space lands in the area and the effect that inclusion within a Sphere of Influence shall have on the physical and economic integrity of maintaining the land in non-urban use (CKH 56426.5 (a)).
 - d. Present and future cost and adequacy of services anticipated to be extended within the Sphere of Influence.
 - e. Present and projected population growth, population densities, land uses, and area, ownership patterns, assessed valuations, and proximity to other populated areas.



- f. The agency's capital improvement or other plans that delineate planned facility expansion and the timing of that expansion.
 - g. Social or economic communities of interest in the area (CKH 56425 (e)(4)).
 - h. For an update of a Sphere of Influence of a city or special district that provides public facilities or services related to sewers, municipal and industrial water, or structural fire protection, a written determination regarding the present and probable need for those public facilities and services of any disadvantaged unincorporated communities within the existing Sphere of Influence shall be prepared.
7. LAFCO may adopt a zero Sphere of Influence encompassing no territory for an agency. This occurs if LAFCO determines that the public service functions of the agency are either nonexistent, no longer needed, or should be reallocated to some other agency of government. The local agency which has been assigned a zero Sphere of Influence should ultimately be dissolved.
 8. Territory not in need of urban services, including open space, agriculture, recreational, rural lands, or residential rural areas shall not be assigned to an agency's Sphere of Influence unless the area's exclusion would impede the planned, orderly and efficient development of the area.
 9. LAFCO may adopt a Sphere of Influence that excludes territory currently within that agency's boundaries. This occurs where LAFCO determines that the territory consists of agricultural lands, open space lands, or agricultural preserves whose preservation would be jeopardized by inclusion within an agency's Sphere of Influence. Exclusion of these areas from an agency's Sphere of Influence indicates that detachment is appropriate.
 10. Where an area could be assigned to the Sphere of Influence of more than one agency providing needed service, the following hierarchy shall apply dependent upon ability to serve:
 - a. Inclusion within a municipality Sphere of Influence.
 - b. Inclusion within a multipurpose district Sphere of Influence.
 - c. Inclusion within a single-purpose district Sphere of Influence.

In deciding which of two or more equally capable agencies shall include an area within its Sphere of Influence, LAFCO shall consider the agencies' service and financial capabilities, social and economic interdependencies, topographic



factors, and the effect that eventual service extension will have on adjacent agencies.

11. Sphere of Influence boundaries shall not create islands or corridors unless it can be demonstrated that the irregular boundaries represent the most logical and orderly service area of an agency.
12. Nonadjacent publicly owned properties and facilities used for urban purposes may be included within that public agency's Sphere of Influence if eventual annexation would provide an overall benefit to agency residents.
13. At the time of adoption of a city Sphere of Influence LAFCO may develop and adopt in cooperation with the municipality, an urban area boundary pursuant to policies adopted by the Commission in accordance with Government Code Section 56080. LAFCO shall not consider any area for inclusion within an urban service area boundary that is not addressed in the general plan of the affected municipality or is not proposed to be served by urban facilities, utilities, and services within the first five years of the affected city's capital improvement program.
14. LAFCO shall review Sphere of Influence determinations every five years or when deemed necessary by the Commission consistent with an adopted work plan. If a local agency or the County desires amendment or revision of an adopted Sphere of Influence, the local agency, by resolution, may file such a request with the LAFCO Executive Officer. Any local agency or county making such a request shall reimburse the Commission for the actual and direct costs incurred by the Commission. The Commission may waive such reimbursement if it finds that the request may be considered as part of its periodic review of spheres of influence.
15. LAFCO shall adopt, amend, or revise Sphere of Influence determinations following the procedural steps set forth in CKH Act 56000 et seq.

2.7 Municipal Service Review Policies

The following policies are meant to guide San Luis Obispo LAFCO in the preparation of the municipal service review for jurisdictions:

1. The Commission shall use the Municipal Service Review Guidelines found in Appendix A as a framework for preparing a municipal service review for a jurisdiction.
2. In order to prepare an update of spheres of influence in accordance with Section 56425, the Commission shall conduct a municipal service review of the municipal services provided by the local agency or service jurisdiction.



3. LAFCO shall complete a municipal service reviews consistent with the provisions contained in the CKH Act including identification of disadvantaged unincorporated communities located contiguous to the Sphere of Influence of a jurisdiction.

2.8 Memorandum of Agreement Policies

1. LAFCO shall work together with the affected jurisdictions and facilitate a constructive dialogue of the issues related to a service review with the goal of achieving an agreement which can be given “great weight” by the Commission in its deliberations. The following steps shall be taken to encourage such discussions and develop a memorandum of agreement (MOA) between a city (or district if applicable) and the County regarding a jurisdiction’s Sphere.
 - a. Prepare agendas and facilitate initial meetings between representatives of the City, District and the County to identify potential Sphere of Influence areas and possible land use development standards. The County Agriculture Commissioner’s Office and the property owners should be included in these discussions as appropriate.
 - b. The negotiation period shall begin when the preparation of the Municipal Service Review is initiated prior to adoption of the resolution of application.
 - c. LAFCO staff shall prepare a draft memorandum of agreement that includes a map of the proposed Sphere of Influence along with provisions which address development processes and/or zoning requirements.
 - d. Conduct a series of meetings to discuss issues and agree to specific language provisions and SOI boundaries, if possible.
 - e. Provide the jurisdictions and County with a final memorandum of agreement for a public hearing and consideration by the respective legislative bodies.
 - f. If the jurisdiction and County Board of Supervisors approve the memorandum of agreement, the Commission is required to give the agreement “great weight” in making a decision regarding the Sphere of Influence.
 - g. If the Sphere of Influence approved by the Commission is consistent with the agreement, the city and the county shall implement the provisions of the agreement by amending their respective general plans.



- h. If the Commission changes the Sphere of Influence agreed upon by the city and the County, the agreement shall not be implemented; however, it may be renegotiated if both parties agree to further discussions.

2.9 Agricultural Policies

The policies in this section are designed to assist LAFCO in making decisions that achieve the Goals stated in the previous section. A policy is a statement that guides decision making by indicating a clear direction on the part of LAFCO. The following policies support the goals stated above and shall be used by San Luis Obispo LAFCO when considering a proposal that would involve agricultural resources:

1. Vacant land within urban areas should be developed before agricultural land is annexed for non-agricultural purposes.
2. Land substantially surrounded by existing jurisdictional boundaries should be annexed before other lands.
3. In general, urban development should be discouraged in agricultural areas. For example, agricultural land should not be annexed for nonagricultural purposes when feasible alternatives exist. Large lot rural development that places pressure on a jurisdiction to provide services and causes agricultural areas to be infeasible for farming should be discouraged.
4. The Memorandum of Agreement between a city and the County should be used and amended as needed to address the impacts on and conversion of Agricultural Lands on the fringe of a city.
5. The continued productivity and sustainability of agricultural land surrounding existing communities should be promoted by preventing the premature conversion of agricultural land to other uses and, to the extent feasible, minimizing conflicts between agricultural and other land uses. Buffers should be established to promote this policy.
6. Development near agricultural land should not adversely affect the sustainability or constrain the lawful, responsible practices of the agricultural operations.
7. In considering the completeness and appropriateness of any proposal, the Executive Officer and this Commission may require proponents and other interested parties to provide such information and analysis as, in their judgment, will assist in an informed and reasoned evaluation of the proposal in accordance with these policies.



8. No change of organization, as defined by Government Code 56021, shall be approved unless it is consistent with the Spheres of Influence of all affected agencies.
9. Where feasible, and consistent with LAFCO policies, non-prime land should be annexed before prime land.
10. The Commission will consider feasible mitigation (found in the following guidelines) if a proposal would result in the loss of agricultural land.
11. The Commission encourages local agencies to adopt policies that result in efficient, coterminous and logical growth patterns within their General Plan and Sphere of Influence areas and that encourage protection of prime agricultural land in a manner that is consistent with this Policy.
12. The Commission may approve annexations of prime agricultural land only if mitigation that equates to a substitution ratio of at least 1:1 for the prime land to be converted from agricultural use is agreed to by the applicant (landowner), the jurisdiction with land use authority. The 1:1 substitution ratio may be met by implementing various measures:
 - a. Acquisition and dedication of farmland, development rights, and/or agricultural conservation easements to permanently protect farmlands within the annexation area or lands with similar characteristics within the County Planning Area.
 - b. Payment of in-lieu fees to an established, qualified, mitigation/conservation program or organization sufficient to fully fund the acquisition and dedication activities stated above in 12a.
 - c. Other measures agreed to by the applicant and the land use jurisdiction that meet the intent of replacing prime agricultural land at a 1:1 ratio.
13. Property owners of agricultural lands adjacent to a LAFCO proposal shall be notified when an application is submitted to LAFCO.



CHAPTER 3

ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES

This section includes general policies, requirements and criteria, regarding the preparation and submission of an application to San Luis Obispo LAFCO.

3.1 Application and Indemnification

1. No proposal shall be deemed complete that does not include a completed San Luis Obispo LAFCO application form accompanied by the required fees, supporting documentation, and maps as specified in the LAFCO filing requirements found in the application.
2. The Executive Officer or designee may, prior to deeming an application complete, require additional information, including but not limited to, complete details for plans for service, property tax redistribution agreements, and similar information necessary for the San Luis Obispo LAFCO to make informed decisions on the factors and determinations required by law.
3. Applications to the Commission must contain all the information and materials required by the CKH Act (G.C. §56652 and 56653), including a plan for services, as well as the applicable fees or deposit toward fees as specified by the LAFCO Fee Schedule.
4. Except when the Commission is the Lead Agency pursuant to the CEQA (as defined in Public Resources Code §21067) an application must also contain complete documentation of the Lead Agency's environmental determination.
5. Tax Exchange Agreement
 - a. No application for a change of organization or reorganization will be deemed complete and scheduled for public hearing until proof of a property tax exchange agreement, in the form of adopted resolutions, is provided by the local agencies whose service area or service responsibility will be altered by the proposed jurisdictional change pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 99(b)(6).
 - b. To facilitate the tax exchange process, upon receipt of applications requiring the tax exchange agreement, LAFCO staff will provide notification of the application to the County CEO, Auditor and Assessor, the Board of Supervisors, and all affected agencies.
 - c. LAFCO may request information or studies regarding the property tax exchange agreement and/or process to support the processing of the any proposal that requires such an agreement. Studies or additional



information may include, but are not limited to: revenue analysis and projections, future land use studies, buildout projections, and/or other fiscal analysis.

6. It is the policy of this Commission that applicants for LAFCO actions shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless LAFCO to the fullest extent allowed by law, at the sole expense of the applicant, against any and all claims, demands, actions and/or lawsuits that may be filled against LAFCO which challenges any Commission action including, but not limited to, action on an application or proposal (“Claims”). . The application shall also include an agreement by the applicant to defend, indemnify and hold harmless LAFCO to the fullest extent allowed by law, at the sole expense of the applicant, against any and all Claims (“Indemnification Agreement”). The Agreement must be signed by the applicant(s) for the application to be deemed complete.
7. Prior to the Executive Officer deeming an application complete, the applicant(s) shall submit a signed Cost Accounting and Indemnification Agreement.
8. The following policy shall be applied to any applicant and/or jurisdiction that is not in compliance with an existing LAFCO Cost Accounting and Indemnification Agreement as determined by the Executive Officer and Legal Counsel:
 - a. The LAFCO Executive Officer (EO), in consultation with Legal Counsel, shall determine, on review of an application, whether an applicant and/or jurisdiction has previously failed to comply with the LAFCO Indemnification Policy and/or the LAFCO Cost Accounting and Indemnification Agreement.
 - b. Prior to acceptance for processing of an application from an applicant and/or jurisdiction, which the EO determines to have failed to comply with the Policy and Agreement referenced in paragraph a. of this policy above, the LAFCO Executive Officer shall advise the Commission at a regularly scheduled meeting regarding the applicant’s prior breach of the obligations of the Policy, Agreement, or both. The Executive Officer, in consultation with Legal Counsel, shall make a recommendation to the Commission regarding the amount of a bond or other commercially reasonable undertaking to be required of the applicant before the application will be accepted.
 - c. On the basis of the Executive Officer’s recommendation, the Commission shall establish a bond or other commercially reasonable undertaking as a condition for acceptance of the application. The purpose of this security requirement is secure the obligation of the applicant to indemnify LAFCO from future liability in connection with the application. In addition, the applicant shall be required to satisfy any past due obligation owed to LAFCO from previous applications, prior to processing any new application.



- d. Compliance with this policy does not relieve the applicant of responsibility to submit other information as requested by LAFCO to process the application, to otherwise comply with applicable law and these policies, and cure any outstanding non-compliance with the Policy and Indemnification Agreement referenced in paragraph 8 a. of this policy above.

3.2 Budget Policies

Government code 56381, et seq., and the following procedures guide the LAFCO Budget process:

1. The Commission shall consider and adopt annually following noticed public hearings, a proposed budget by May 1 and if necessary a final budget by June 15.
2. The Executive Officer shall transmit the Proposed and Adopted Budgets to the various jurisdictions (Cities, County, and Special Districts) as required in the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act.
3. LAFCO will annually review and adopt a work plan to fulfill the purposes and programs of state law and local policy.
4. The Executive Officer shall submit quarterly budget reports to the Commission at LAFCO's regular meetings. A Year-End Budget Report shall be prepared and submitted to the Commission for its review. All reports shall be submitted to the County Auditor.
5. The LAFCO Executive Officer shall serve as budget administrator to prepare, present, transmit, review and monitor the LAFCO Budget.
6. The annual budget shall endeavor to include a contingency fund of at least 15% of total operating expense.

3.3 Credit Card Policy

The following conditions must be met when using the Credit Card:

1. Each single purchase may be comprised of multiple items, but the total cannot exceed the single purchase dollar limit on the Credit Card. Use of the Credit Card shall be reflected in the quarterly financial reports to the Commission.



2. The Credit Card must not be used for purchases when the Cardholder has a personal interest, or knowledge, regarding any vendor which would create a conflict of interest. An example is buying from a relative or close friend.
3. Intentional use of the Card for other than official LAFCO purposes is not allowed and such use will result in immediate cancellation of your Credit Card, possible referral to the District Attorney, disciplinary action as authorized by applicable LAFCO procedures, and personal liability to LAFCO for the amount of the purchase.

Use Policies

Authorized Uses

1. The Credit Card may be used to pay for authorized purchase transactions, up to \$5,000, and are made through any legal means: over the counter, by telephone, or online.
2. The Credit Card may be used to pay for purchases that are certain high value (over \$1,000) equipment (e.g. business computing devices or software); leased equipment; fixed assets; maintenance services; professional services, etc.
3. Authorized uses may be limited by the Executive Officer to specific categories or merchant types, single purchase dollar limit, and monthly spending limits.
4. The Credit Card must not be used in a manner intended to circumvent the formal procurement process or other limits imposed on the Card.

Responsibilities

Individual cardholders, Executive Officer, Senior Analyst, Commission Clerk, are responsible for:

1. Maintaining proper documentation and supporting receipts for all transactions.
2. Reviewing and certifying the correctness and the business necessity of transactions listed on the monthly statements.
3. Resolving exceptions and disputes directly with the vendors.
4. Notifying the Executive Officer of any suspicious transactions.
5. Establishing internal controls to ensure the proper use of Credit Cards within LAFCO, including additional restrictions on the types of purchases and dollar limits that apply to individual cardholders, and other review procedures.
6. Reviewing monthly transaction reports to ensure overall compliance with policy, including proper disposition of exceptions.



7. Selecting the financial institution (the bank) which provides the most cost-effective purchase card services and maintaining optimal relations with such institution. This responsibility includes regular communication and proper follow up with the bank.
8. Administering the Credit Card Program to ensure that it is fully used and results in efficiencies in procurement and spending.
9. Prescribing and maintaining adequate internal control over the Credit Card Program to ensure accountability. This responsibility includes setting LAFCO criteria for proper usage and regular monitoring of usage to ensure compliance with policies.

Emergency Use

10. The Credit Card may provide a mechanism for procuring goods and/or services during an emergency, disaster response, or other unforeseen events.
11. An emergency purchase may be warranted to prevent a hazard to life, health, safety, welfare, property, or to avoid undue additional cost to LAFCO, and/or disruption of service.
12. Emergency purchases of goods and services should not exceed the scope or duration of the emergency.
13. In view of the potential use of the purchase card during emergency conditions, the Executive Officer may authorize higher limits and wider purchasing scope for certain LAFCO staff who may be called on during emergencies.
14. Failure to plan for normal operations does not constitute an emergency use. Failure to plan refers to circumstances in which LAFCO personnel, in the normal course of their activities, have reasonable knowledge of a need but did not take the proper action to procure for the needs. This does not refer to unforeseen circumstances that are clearly beyond their control or knowledge.

Suspension and Revocation

1. Upon the discovery of an unusual spending pattern, the Executive Officer may temporarily suspend a cardholder's privilege until investigations are complete or exceptions are resolved.
2. LAFCO Staff are notified of all cases of suspension or revocation.



3. In addition to the revocation, the Executive Officer may authorize the referral of the case to Internal Audit or the District Attorney for investigation and/or other actions as appropriate.

List of Prohibited Purchases

These items are prohibited:

- Wire Transfer-Money Orders
- Cash Advances
- Convenience Checks
- Non-Financial Institutions-Foreign Currency, Money Orders, Travelers Checks
- Digital Currency
- Security Brokers/Dealers
- Savings Bonds
- Timeshares
- Betting, Casino Gaming Chips, Off Track Betting
- Fines
- Bail and Bond Payments
- Ammunition and weapons
- Hazardous materials (other than gasoline for business use)

Restricted Uses

The Credit Card is restricted from being used to purchase the following items:

- Splitting of purchases to circumvent the dollar limitation
- Payment of existing invoices
- The Credit Card may never be used for personal purchases.

3.4 Legislative Process Participation

1. In situations when a legislative bill affecting LAFCO cannot be considered by the full Commission due to timing, the Executive Officer, in consultation with Legal Counsel, is authorized to provide written or email comments communicating the Commission's position.
2. The Chair and Legal Counsel would review the letter or email prior to it being submitted for consideration.
3. The Executive Officer will forward the email or letter to the Commissioners as soon as possible.
4. The item will be discussed at the Commission's next regular meeting.



3.5 Document Retention and Destruction Policy

A. Document Retention

Except as otherwise provided herein, and subject to the conditions contained in this policy, all original records and documents maintained by LAFCO will be retained for a period of five (5) years. A true copy of all documents shall be kept in a safe and separate place for security purposes.

As used in this policy, the term “record” (or “record of proceedings”) is defined to mean documents that show decisions or actions taken by the Commission in fulfillment of its statutory responsibilities. Records maintained by the Commission include the following: Records of proceedings (LAFCO application, petition or other initiating documents; statement of property valuation; statement of tax rate area assignment; indemnification and agreements to pay; Certificates of Filing and Completion; copies of public hearing notice; environmental review documents prepared for purposes of complying with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"); plan for service; map and legal description; staff reports; impartial analysis; order for change of organization/ reorganization; documentation of election and results; Statement of Boundary Change; State Board of Equalization acknowledgement letter, LAFCO meeting minutes, Municipal Service Reviews) ; and Administrative/Financial documents (budgets, accounts payable, accounts receivable, audits, invoices, ledgers, registers, reimbursements, Commissioner policies and procedures, agreements, contracts, leases, purchase orders, requisitions, recruitment/selection/resumes, claims).

B. Limited Exceptions to Five Year Retention Period

Original statements of economic interest (Form 700) will be retained by the Commission for a period of seven (7) years. Environmental review documents that are prepared by a lead agency other than LAFCO (i.e., Environmental Impact Reports and other CEQA documents that are approved or adopted by LAFCO but are not prepared by LAFCO as the lead agency for the project), will be retained by the Commission for a period of two (2) years. Environmental review and CEQA documents prepared by LAFCO as the lead agency for the environmental review of the project will be retained for a period of (5) five years.

C. Destruction Authorized Following Required Retention Period

At the conclusion of the applicable required retention period, the Executive Officer is authorized to destroy records as needed, provided that a photographic or electronic copy of the original record is first made and preserved in the manner specified in Government Code section 56382. The reproductions must be made as accessible for public reference as the original records were.



D. Social Media Records Retention Period

Social media site content is not (1) “kept”, (2) required to be kept by law, and (3) is not necessary to be kept in discharge of a public official’s duties or made/retained for the purposes of preserving content for future reference. If SLOLAFCO as a public agency is using social media for public input (for example, to solicit public input on LAFCO issues) SLOLAFCO will capture the input provided for the administrative record.



Chapter 4

PROCEDURES

The following is a step-by-step guide to the basic procedures followed by San Luis Obispo LAFCO in considering proposed changes in local government organization. More detailed procedures can be found in the CKH Act and “A Guide to LAFCO Procedures for City and Special Districts Change of Organization and Reorganization” which are incorporated by reference into this policy document. All references in this section are to the Government Code unless otherwise specified.

4.1 Preliminary Steps

1. Proponent reviews proposal with LAFCO staff. Although this step is not required, a brief discussion with LAFCO staff before application submittal could save the proponent time. These following steps are suggested:
 - a. Call for an appointment.
 - b. Submit the following information:
 - (1) Assessor’s parcel numbers (APNs) for individual lots or project maps for complex proposals.
 - (2) General plan and zoning designations.
 - (3) Development plans, if applicable. LAFCO generally requires approved development plans, such as tentative maps, specific plans, etc., when vacant territory is proposed for annexation to a municipality or district. A key consideration of LAFCO’s review of annexation requests is the timing of the action. LAFCO discourages the annexation of vacant land until it can be demonstrated that services are required. Approved development plans also provide the information necessary to evaluate a proposal. The plans show what land uses are planned, the level of services required, how services will be provided, and the conditions under which service will be extended. They also enable LAFCO to evaluate the impact of a jurisdictional change on adjacent areas.
 - c. LAFCO staff will review procedures, information requirements, and fees.
 - d. Proponent should obtain application forms and ascertain what environmental documentation will be necessary.



2. Proponent prepares application material for proposal. More complex proposals may need additional information, but all proposals must include the following:
 - a. A certified resolution or petition of landowners/registered voters making the application. A petition or resolution of application shall include all of the following (56700):
 - (1) State that the proposal is made pursuant to the CKH Act.
 - (2) State the nature of the proposal and list all proposed changes of organization.
 - (3) Set forth a legal description of the boundaries of the affected territory accompanied by a map showing the boundaries.
 - (4) Set forth any proposed terms and conditions.
 - (5) State the reason(s) for the proposal.
 - (6) State whether the petition is signed by registered voters or landowner(s). (Not applicable to a resolution of application.)
 - (7) Designate not more than three persons as chief petitioners, setting forth their names and mailing addresses. (Not applicable to a resolution of application.)
 - (8) State whether the proposal is consistent with the spheres of influence of any affected municipality or district.
 - (9) Request that proceedings be taken for the proposal pursuant to this part.
 - (10) A resolution of application shall include a plan for services prepared according to CKH 56653.
 - b. One copy of a metes and bounds legal description of the perimeter of the subject proposal and a surveyed map that is consistent with the State's Tax and Fee Administration's requirements. A minimum of three copies should be provided and additional maps as requested.
 - c. Two copies of a vicinity map of the subject property.



- d. One copy of any environmental documents (pursuant to CEQA) associated with the proposal if, however, an environmental impact report (EIR) associated with the subject proposal was prepared, 15 hard copies of the certified EIR must be submitted with the application along with one digital copy. Only one copy of the EIR appendices is required.
 - e. One large-scale topographical map of the subject property and a clear 8.5 by 11 inch map of the property.
 - f. If the proposal includes annexation to a municipality, indicate that the annexing municipality has rezoned the property, such as a city council resolution approving the rezoning.
 - g. Processing fees.
3. As with other public agencies, San Luis Obispo LAFCO is required to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for purposes of considering the environmental impact of its actions. Each proposal must receive the appropriate environmental review for consideration by the Commission in making its decision. For a detailed discussion of environmental requirements, please refer to Section IV of these Guidelines.

4.2 LAFCO Proceedings

1. Proponent delivers a complete application to the LAFCO Executive Officer (Section 56652).
2. The LAFCO Executive Officer determines if:
 - a. The application is sufficient as required by law and issues a determination on its sufficiency within 30 days of submittal.
 - b. If LAFCO is to be the lead agency, then the environmental review is undertaken by LAFCO.
 - c. A satisfactory exchange of property tax has taken place. Master property tax agreements may be applicable or separate property tax exchange resolutions may be required. If negotiations leading to adoption of separate resolutions are required, either the County or any affected municipality must agree to a tax exchange or the County negotiates a property tax exchange on behalf of any Special District (Revenue and Taxation Code Section 99).
3. The LAFCO Executive Officer reviews the proposal within 30 days of its receipt and either:



- a. Determines that the application is complete (if all required property tax agreements are on file) and issues a Certificate of Filing and sets the Commission hearing within 90 days; or
 - b. Determines that the application is not complete and notifies the proponent (56658).
4. The LAFCO Executive Officer requests review of any information for the proposal from affected County Departments, affected agencies, and other affected counties' LAFCOs (56378).
 5. Proponents and/or LAFCO staff provides for a meeting with affected residents or landowners to give information and receive comments on the proposal (optional).
 6. The LAFCO Executive Officer, at least 21 days prior to the date set for hearing, gives notice by:
 - a. Publication in a newspaper of general circulation;
 - b. Posting near the door of the hearing room; and
 - c. Mailing/Emailing to each affected agency which contains territory or whose sphere of influence contains territory within the proposal, chief petitioner(s), persons requesting notice, each municipality within three miles, and the County in the case of incorporation or formation.
 - d. Posting on the LAFCO website at www.slolafco.com.
 - e. For proposals requiring a public hearing, mailing to each registered voter and landowner within the affected territory and within 300 feet of the exterior boundary of the property that is the subject of the hearing. (This requirement may be waived if individual notices have already been provided by the initiating agency.) If this would require that more than 1,000 notices should be mailed, notice may be provided pursuant to Section 56157.
- Note:** Some Commission actions can be made without a noticed hearing, such as annexations and detachments with written consent of all landowners. Notice and opportunity to request a public hearing must be given to agencies whose boundaries are affected (56662 & 56663).
7. The LAFCO Executive Officer reviews the application and any comments received and prepare the written report and recommendation. The report



reviews pertinent factors and policies, spheres of influence, and general and specific plans.

8. The Executive Officer mails the report at least five days prior to the hearing to each Commissioner, each person named in the application to receive a report, each affected local agency requesting a report, each agency whose boundaries or spheres of influence will be changed, and the Executive Officer of the LAFCOs of any other affected county (56665).
9. The Commission hears the proposal on the noticed date and time. The hearing may be continued for up to 70 days. The Commission must consider a number of factors and policies in compliance with state law. Among the factors considered by the San Luis Obispo LAFCO in making its determination are (56668):
 - a. Population, density, land area and land use, assessed valuation, topography, natural boundaries, drainage basins, proximity to populated areas, likelihood of significant growth during the next ten years.
 - b. Need for organized community services, present cost and adequacy of government services and controls, probable future needs, probable effect of change of organization and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the area and adjacent areas.
 - c. The effect of the proposed action or alternative actions on adjacent areas, on mutual social and economic interests, and on the local governmental structure of the County.
 - d. Conformity of the proposal to Commission policies on providing planned, orderly, efficient patterns of urban development, and with state policies and priorities on conversion of open space uses.
 - e. Effect of the proposal on maintaining the physical and economic integrity of lands in an agricultural preserve in open space uses.
 - f. Definiteness and certainty of the boundaries of the territory, the nonconformance of proposed boundaries with lines of assessment and ownership, the creation of islands or corridors of unincorporated territory, and other similar matters affecting the proposed boundaries.
 - g. A regional transportation plan adopted pursuant to Section 65080 and consistency with appropriate City or County general and specific plans.



- h. The proposal's consistency with city or county general and specific plans.
 - i. The Sphere of Influence of any agency which may be applicable to the proposal being reviewed.
 - j. Comments of any affected local agency or other public agency.
 - k. The ability of the newly formed or receiving entity to provide the services which are the subject of the application, including the sufficiency of revenues for those services following the proposed boundary change.
 - l. Timely availability of water supplies adequate for projected needs as specified in Section 65352.5.
 - m. The extent to which the proposal will assist the receiving entity in achieving its fair share of the regional housing needs as determined by the Council of Governments (COG).
 - n. Any information or comments from the landowner or owners.
 - o. Any information relating to existing land use.
 - q. The extent to which the proposal promotes environmental justice as defined in the CKH Act
 - q. Information contained in a local hazard mitigation plan, information contained in a safety element of a general plan, and any maps that identify land as a very high fire hazard zone pursuant to Section 51178 or maps that identify land determined to be in a state responsibility area pursuant to Section 4102 of the Public Resources Code, if it is determined that such information is relevant to the area that is the subject of the proposal.
10. At the hearing or within 35 days of the hearing, the Commission will adopt a resolution of determination taking the following actions:
- a. Approve or deny with or without conditions or revisions to the proposal. If denied, no new proposal can be made for one year unless waived by LAFCO. If the proposal included incorporation or consolidation of a municipality, no new proposal can be made for two years unless waived by LAFCO (57090);
 - b. Determine if the territory is inhabited or uninhabited (inhabited territory means territory within which there reside 12 or more registered voters);



- c. Designating the Executive Officer as the Conducting Authority (56029);
 - d. Assign a short-term designation; and
 - e. Authorize proceedings without notice, hearing, or an election if there is 100% consent and only annexations, detachments, and CSA formations.
11. The LAFCO Executive Officer sends the Commission's resolution to the proponents, if any, and each agency whose boundaries will be changed by the proposal (56882). In the case of uninhabited territory, the Commission may waive conducting authority proceedings entirely if all of the following conditions apply (56663):
- a. The owners of land (100%) that will gain or lose territory as a result of the change of organization or reorganization have consented in writing to a waiver of conducting authority proceedings.
 - b. No agency has submitted written opposition to a waiver of protest proceedings
12. In the case of inhabited city and district annexations or detachments, or both, the Commission may waive protest proceedings pursuant to Part 4 (commencing with Section 57000) entirely if both of the following conditions apply:
- a. The Commission has provided written notice of Commission proceedings to all registered voters and landowners within the affected territory and no opposition from registered voters or landowners within the affected territory is received prior to or during the Commission's meeting. The written notice shall disclose to the registered voters and landowners that unless opposition is expressed regarding the proposal or the Commission's intention to waive protest proceedings, that there will be no subsequent protest and election proceedings; and
 - b. No affected local agencies have submitted written opposition to a waiver of protest proceedings.

4.3 Conducting Authority Proceedings

- 1. If Conducting Authority Proceedings are not waived, LAFCO (the EO) sets the proposal for protest hearing within 35 days of the Commission's resolution date and gives notice. If authorized by the Commission, the protest hearing may be held without notice and hearing.



2. The date of the hearing shall not be less than 21 days nor more than 60 days after the date the notice is given and shall be:
 - a. Published in a newspaper of general circulation;
 - b. Posted near the hearing room door; and
 - c. Mailed to each affected agency which contains territory or whose sphere of influence contains territory within the proposal, the Executive Officers of other affected LAFCOs, chief petitioners if any, persons requesting notice, and landowners within territory to be formed into or annexed to or detached (57001, 57002 and 57025).
3. The Executive Officer hears the proposal at the noticed time and date. The hearing may be continued for up to 60 days. Any written protests must be filed with the Executive Officer or Commission prior to the conclusion of the hearing and must be signed, have the signature date, and address or location of the property. The value of written protests must be determined and action taken by LAFCO resolution to order the change, with or without an election, or terminate proceedings.
4. The Executive Officer shall perform all Conducting Authority Proceedings in accordance with the provisions of the CKH Act.
5. The Commission delegates to the Executive Officer the authority to act on matters related to the implementation of the Conducting Authority responsibilities as applicable and appropriate.

4.4 Completion and Effective Date

1. Immediately after completion of proceedings ordering a change of organization or reorganization without an election, conditions or confirming an order of a change of organization or reorganization after an election, the Executive Officer prepares a Certificate of Completion and makes the required filings (57200).
2. The Certificate of Completion is recorded with the County Recorder. If no effective date is specified in the Commission resolution, the recordation date is the effective date. A Statement of Boundary Change or Creation is issued by the Executive Officer and filed, with the appropriate fees, with the State Board of Equalization and County Assessor (57202, 57203, 57204). Property tax resolutions, if any, are forwarded to the County Auditor for property tax transfer (Revenue and Taxation Code, Section 99).



3. The Executive Officer distributes the Certificate of Completion to agencies whose boundaries are affected and affected County Departments. A Certificate of Completion may be filed after any adopted conditions of approval are met by the proponent.

4. The affected agencies recognize completion and implementation of the jurisdictional change, with regard to Property and sales tax transfers, police and fire protection responsibilities, planning and inspection controls, and other services.



CHAPTER 5

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT POLICIES

The following policies shall be used to guide LAFCO with regard to implementing the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for proposals evaluated by LAFCO.

1. The Commission shall take actions that maintain a high-quality and healthful environment for the people of San Luis Obispo County now and in the future.
2. The Commission shall take actions necessary to protect and enhance the environmental quality of San Luis Obispo County.
3. The Commission shall take actions that will provide the people of San Luis Obispo County with clean air and water, a vibrant and diverse economy, and enjoyment of aesthetic, natural, scenic, and historic environmental qualities.
4. The Commission shall carry out the environmental review process in an efficient, expeditious manner in order to conserve the available financial and governmental resources with the objective that these resources may be better applied toward the mitigation and avoidance of significant effects on the environment.
5. The Commission shall organize and write environmental documents in such a manner that they will be meaningful and useful to decision-makers and the public and consistent with CEQA guidelines.
6. The Commission shall consider the involvement of the public in actions affecting the environment as an essential and indispensable element of the decision-making process.
7. The Commission shall prefer avoidance of adverse impacts over mitigation. If, however, mitigation is necessary onsite or offsite mitigation should be fully implemented.
8. The Commission shall help prevent the elimination of the County's fish and wildlife species and preserve for future generation's sustainable representations of the County's native plant and animal communities.
9. The Commission shall balance preventing negative environmental effects while providing a decent home and satisfying living environment for every San Luis Obispo County resident.



APPENDIX A

Municipal Service Review Information Guidelines



APPENDIX B

Agricultural Goals and Guidelines