## TODAY'S "SHORT TOPIC" CLIMATE ACCORDS: It's All About International Wealth Redistribution!



By Stephen L. Bakke December 18, 2015

## *Here's what provoked me:*

I took the opportunity to proclaim my profound agreement with a writer who recognized the "much ado about nothing" evaluation of the Paris Climate Accord. But my focus was on his intuitive belief that these accords are all about international wealth redistribution. He's right and I presented some facts which support his conclusion.

## Here's my response:

## Climate Accords: It's All About International Wealth Redistribution!

I commend Bob Jentges for his "right on" letter on 12/17, "Paris Climate Accord - Insignificant, or an awesome, glorious future for all?" His correct conclusion was that nothing of significance really happened because the Accord isn't legally binding or enforceable, and there are no consequences for non-compliance. I'm sure it's just a "feel-good" agreement for the U.N. and President Obama.

Mr. Jentges made an astute observation that I'd like to expand upon. He wrote: "It has long been my thought that this human-caused apocalyptic climate-change scare was more about U.N.-forced redistribution of wealth than saving the planet."

I've been following these accords very closely for several years and have read major portions of them. Let me give you some quotes I've noted over recent years:

- I found reference to statements at the Bali Climate Conference of several years ago that were admissions that climate change is being used as a tool for achieving "global equity."
- I made note of one U.N. official who actually used the "redistribution" term in conversation.
- Maurice Strong, founder of a U.N. Eco-Summit and at one time Undersecretary General stated the following: "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrial civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring about?"
- Environmental activist Paul Ehrlich stated: "Economic growth in rich countries like ours is the disease, not the cure."

Make your own interpretations, but I believe Mr. Jentges is "right on!"