



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

September - October, 1998

Race And The Decline And Fall Of The American Epic

Those American families who have been here for many generations find it very difficult to rationalize the thought that America is in decline. Our families have simply thought that the United States would be forever. We thought it was because we were of the same religious persuasion and we were of the same ethnic stock. We never thought that an enemy of our system would steal into the very heart of our national conscience and destroy it from within. However, that is happening. It is now coming to its climax and those American families whose ancestors formed this nation had better consider the implications.

The pitifully sad part is that the simple tool used was to penetrate the conscience of the nation with a precept that **appears** righteous but in reality is totally unbiblical. Therefore, it is unrighteous.

What one word can we think of that has become so pervasive that it could make the American national conscience appear evil? It is the word RACE. This one word has become a religion. To speak of this word in public is tantamount to suicide in our society. In reality, as we will explain, it is not a pervasion of our national conscience, it is a **perversion** and it is unGodly.

We have written in past issues of the Intelligence Newsletter that there has been a great book burning in our country. The average person will find this difficult to understand but it is true. Not all book burnings take place in some bonfire outside of a library with *ninja* uniformed police standing guard. Some bookburnings take place through the simple technique of removing certain books from circulation because of their political content. However, by far the most prevalent method is not to allow certain books to be published in the first place. That is still a book burning, no matter how it is done. When you are not allowed to read certain material because it is not to the best interest of those in power, it is a book burning.

With respect to this taboo word **race**, it is extremely difficult, if not impossible, to find a publisher who will print such a book because of this newly perverted national conscience. However, there are classics that have been printed in years past which thoroughly expose the problem surrounding race and a nation. These books were distributed and widely read so that it is now impossible to remove them, even though they are not read by most modern Americans. Such books are: *The Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire* by Edward Gibbon, *The Passing of the Great Race* by Madison Grant, *Suicide of the West* by James Stoddard, *Goodbye to Western Culture* by Norman Douglas, *The Rising Tide of Color* by Lothrop Stoddard, *The Decline of the West* by Oswald Spengler, and many others. There are books currently written, which only by extreme diligence, is the author able to have published. An example of this phenomenon is the book *Paved With Good Intentions* by Jared Taylor. It is a documentary about the race issue. Even with that book one can read between the lines and realize that he had to refrain on some of his information because of pressure. Another booklet is *Immigration and Nation, A Biblical View*, by John C. Vinson of

the American Immigration Control Foundation of Monterey, VA. We will be using information from all of these books in the preparation of this issue. We want to especially thank Mr. Vinson for his spiritual inspiration. Also, the closing of this newsletter will be an essay by Jared Taylor, editor of *American Renaissance*, Box 527, Oakton VA 22124. His essay was found in the Summer, 1998 issue of *The Social Contract*.

In every one of these books there is a common thread which explains the techniques used for this change in national conscience and the perpetrators who accomplished it. It is utterly amazing to this writer that even when readers take the time to study these classics many people will find it impossible to relate their message to modern times. Such is the total capture of the national conscience.

Is the subject of race an evil one? It seems so from the hysteria that is displayed when the subject is discussed in a public forum. Let's find out what God says about this.

Before we study that though, we have to define which god we are going to discuss with respect to the modern United States. Today, in the modern United States, we are not even united in what god to follow! Since we are Christian here at Christian Crusade for Truth, we are discussing the God of Western man, the God of the Holy Bible. After all, He is the God of our forefathers, who formed this once great Christian Republic out of a wilderness.

President Clinton, a short while ago, happily announced that the European White person will shortly no longer be in the majority in the United States. As far back as 1997 he forewarned us when he said that America can live without having a dominant European Culture and we won't disintegrate in the face of it. When we no longer have a dominant European Culture, we will no longer have the God of the Holy Bible, as understood by our forefathers, as the dominant force to influence our culture.

President Clinton is wrong when he says that we won't disintegrate because of this travesty. We are already disintegrating. It is all because of that one word--RACE. He knew it when he made his statements in 1997, He knew it because those who told him that it was so are the same people whom the writers of most of those books we just described revealed as the perpetrators.

Starting with the Book of Genesis, the Bible is about race. Not only is it about race but it is about the separation of races. In [Genesis 11](#) we find the first place where man tried to gather together and make one nation. [Genesis 11:4](#), "*And they said, go to, let us build us a city and a tower, whose top may reach unto heaven; and let us make us a name (one name), lest we be scattered abroad upon the face of the whole earth.* Verse 6, "*And the Lord said, behold, the people is one, and they have all one language; and this they begin to do: and now nothing will be restrained from them, which they have imagined to do.*"

So He confounded their languages so it wouldn't be so easy to be one people. God has a purpose for this and it is a good purpose. Each of the many races on the face of the earth are good in their own right. God says so. And they each have strong attributes, good attributes, and God wants them to remain pure as He created them..

One of the best methods of teaching is by example. In [Isaiah 2:3](#) we read this most explicit example, "*And many people shall go and say, come ye, and let us go up the the mountain of the Lord, to the house of the God of Jacob; and He will teach us of His ways, and we will walk in His paths: for out of Zion go forth the Law, and the Word of the Lord from Jerusalem.*"

He didn't say that they would stay in Zion and even intermarry with the residents of Zion. Again, it says, "*..for out of Zion shall go forth the Law, and the Word of the Lord from*

Jerusalem." The moment we allow different races to live and mix with us, the teaching doesn't go **from** Zion, it goes **to** Zion. They teach us **their** ways and not us teach them **our** ways.

Our forefathers called this land Zion and they started to teach by example. The world recognized this because why else would Alexis de Toqueville come to our shores to find out why we were so great in the eyes of the world? It was because our churches taught the Law and we practiced the Law. That Law came from the Holy Bible, the Bible of Western man.

Our founding fathers were most obviously extraordinary men. They studied Latin, Greek, Hebrew and Aramaic to prepare themselves for the tasks which lay ahead of them. They studied the Bible profusely with their in-depth knowledge of the ancient languages. They knew the complications of misusing the words of God.

Consequently, the original Congresses made laws based on that Bible. Our churches understood those laws and our preachers could combine the laws of the land with the Laws of God. But today, our Congress is forced to make laws which are applicable to every god on earth. We have them all. How can our preachers explain what is right in the eyes of Western man's God and still obey the laws of Congress?

Our first leaders knew why we were here because they were able to hear messages in their churches based on the best understanding possible of the Holy Bible. George Washington did not approve of massive immigration. He said: "**My opinion with respect to immigration is, that except for useful mechanics and some particular description of men and professions, there is no use of encouragement.**"

Thomas Jefferson stated the reason in the most simple terms. He said, "**They will bring with them the principles of government they leave.**"

Alexander Hamilton also gave the same reason, "**The safety of the Republic depends essentially on the energy of a common national sentiment...The influx of foreigners must, therefore, tend to corrupt the national spirit.**"

Our forefathers were not stating that the other peoples of the earth were bad people. They were not "white supremacists," nor should we be. Because the laws in our country, and the interpretation of them, are now made by people from all parts of the world, it is very difficult to discuss these points without creating mass hysteria.

Discounting all of this hysteria, when we discuss the problem rationally and with love and compassion, we are always left with this maxim: **There has to be a singular national conscience, a singular national purpose. There has to be a singular national God.**

We can no longer be considered the Zion of the world because we broke the Laws of God with respect to race. Now, even the word *race* is considered evil. It is taught that way in all levels of government and in all of the schools from kindergarden through college. Sadly, it is taught in our churches. How can they say they teach the God of the Holy Bible?

Some of the Christian Movements say one thing and do another. Even though many Christians in our country have very strong misgivings about the idea of mixing the races in this country, they don't seem to want to make an issue out of it. That means they are just lukewarm (the Church of Laodacea).

For example, in 1996, the Christian Coalition was one of the strongest voices to **defeat** legislation which would have cut legal immigration by one-third. When one realizes the overwhelming number who are pouring into our country, that is not much in total numbers

but it was a start. What did the Christian Coalition do? They said that such a reduction would be against "**family values**" because it would have prevented immigrants from bringing in their relatives from back home!

That is far more serious than it appears on the surface. When an immigrant is allowed to bring in his relative, a Pandoras' box is then opened. That relative then is allowed to bring in his relative and the chain goes on and on. It is called "Chain Migration."

Why did God, as well as our forefathers, teach that this is wrong? It is simple, straight forward reasoning. Our forefathers were Bible oriented. They believed the Bible and its Laws, Statutes and Judgments. History proves that their lifestyle was Bible oriented. One of the best books in this regard is *The Light and the Glory* by Peter Marshall and David Manuel, Fleming H. Revell Co, Old Tappan, NJ.

Our forefathers came from the British Isles and Western Europe. By far the largest percentage were Protestant. The most important part was that they were allowed to read their Bibles and Catholics weren't. That single fact, caused them to even be leery of those of the Catholic faith, even though they too were of the same ethnic origin. That reservation existed to one degree or another until the last third of this century when Kennedy (a Catholic) was elected President.

What was their reasoning? One reason was because all other peoples were content with the authoritarian concept of someone else telling them what was right and wrong and how they had to believe. Another reason was that there were those of Europe who were political radicals and revolutionaries who fled to America after the failed conflicts in Central Europe and Russia in the 1840's. There were also those who came to America after the French Revolution of 1789.

Most of these were not even Christian and they immediately set out to destroy every thing America stood for. For many years the American Christian fought a good fight. There were books, editorials, newspaper stories and auditorium presentations on this problem. As an example, Professor R. Mayo Smith wrote this, "An indication of the unfortunate effect of introducing so many men of foreign birth and belief into our social body is seen in the recent outbreaks of anarchism and socialism. These movements are always led and for the most part carried out by persons of foreign birth. They are not natural to the American mind. They are importations of foreign agitators who come here for the purpose of making converts to their doctrines."

Why do young swallows return to their place of birth and build their own nests to have another generation? Why do ducks and geese return to the same place in Canada to raise their next generation? All of God's creation do things which seem to defy reasoning. It is simply called instinct for lack of a better explanation. Today, with the tremendous scientific exploration into these sorts of things, understand the concept of DNA genetic or race memory. It is a fact and God put it into motion.

In [Deuteronomy 32:7](#), we find this passage, "*Remember the days of old, consider the years of many generations: ask thy father, and he will shew thee; thy elders, and they will tell thee.*" If we will continue in [Deuteronomy 32](#) we will see what our forefathers thought about our purpose here in America:

"When the most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people according to the number of the children of Israel. For the Lord's portion is his people; Jacob is the lot of his inheritance. He found him in a desert land, and in waste howling wilderness; he led him about, he instructed him, he kept him as the apple of his eye."

Think about America in our early years. Were we not found in a waste howling wilderness and a desert land? Were we not taught by our reading the Bible and did we not use it for instruction? Did God not keep us as the apple of His eye? Were we not blessed above all of the nations of the world? We had all of this, simply because we were a Bible-reading people and our churches taught His Laws, Statutes and Judgments. There wasn't any such thought as the modern doctrine of the Laws being "nailed to the cross." How can it be any more simple?

There is a perfect example of this principle in the problems we are having in Washington today. We have become an unprincipled, course, and even gross society. A generation ago there would be no immodest or immoral discussions in any of the news media and the movies. It was with the movie *Gone With the Wind* that Rhett Butler made his now famous statement, "Frankly, my dear, I don't give a ----!" That sort of thing is as common as water or dirt now.

The most important point to be made out of the Washington scandal is to note the comments made by the citizens as they are interviewed. Notice the difference in comments based on heritage. To people of other heritages, such things as the findings of the special prosecutor are nothing special. "Clinton's private life is his own business." "What is important are the wonderful things he has done for the country." Sadly, there were a few instances of where it appears that old time American families sided with those of other heritages. But then, we learn evil easily, don't we? *"Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you."* ([II Cor 6:17](#)). There is only one way for that to happen. "Be ye separate!"

They cannot comprehend what is being done to the morals of our children. They cannot comprehend that what shows on the outside is also on the inside. What one will do in private life; that is, cheating, will also be done in public office. Take what is being done with the myriad of Executive Orders without the knowledge of Congress. What about the Grand Staircase Monument deal in Utah?

When we become "New Testament Christians" we miss the whole point of being a Christian in the same sense as our forefathers. Here is what we miss today: *"And when the Lord thy God shall deliver them before thee; thou shalt smite them, and utterly destroy them, thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor shew mercy unto them: Neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son. For they will turn away thy son from following me, that they may serve other gods: so will the anger of the Lord be kindled against you, and destroy thee suddenly."*

But thus shall ye deal with them; ye shall destroy their altars, and break down their images, and cut down their groves, and burn their graven images with fire. For thou art an holy people unto the Lord thy God: the Lord thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth." ([Deuteronomy 7:2-6](#)).

That doesn't mean that God intends for us to line-up all of the other peoples in a row and, in execution style, slay them. When you remove their gods from among you, it will automatically destroy their power. God's example of this is found in Judges. Most of you already know this story but re-read [Chapter 6 of the Book of Judges](#) in light of our current dilemma.

The story is simple. The Israelites were repeatedly placed in bondage because they refused to obey the Laws of God, particularly with respect to the question of race. Israel repeatedly repented of their sins and repeatedly God would patiently deliver them. Then in

Chapter 6 God gives them Gideon who was the youngest and least in his household but God wanted him for a purpose. As you read the chapter, what was the first thing that God wanted Gideon to do? It was to cut down the "Baals" (the grove of trees, that represented the religion at the time). He did what he was told to do. The rest was simple. The Midianites destroyed themselves because they were confused.

Today, we are a confused nation because we don't know who we are anymore. Our churches might as well have groves of trees all around them. The principles of the Baals are all around us. In a great sense, attempts are made to force us to worship other gods because of the laws of the land as they are now promulgated.

The destiny of every race on the face of the earth is in God's hands. Each race is to complete what God intended for them. It is not our responsibility to determine what God has for them. It is our responsibility to be an example to them such that they want to learn of our ways. That is all. When we fail God, He uses His other peoples to pass judgment on us.

It was in 1789, even as our young nation was being founded, that the seeds of our destruction were being prepared. It seems incredible that our forefathers even had to contend with this outside force which was even then trying to gather control of our national conscience.

We are describing the French Revolution of 1789. It was that signal event which has caused us grief ever since. It was the French Revolution that extolled, or eulogized, the idea of "Liberty, Equality, Fraternity." As we should know, the perpetrators of that phrase didn't believe in any of those words: not Liberty, nor Equality, nor Fraternity. But the idea of "Liberty, Equality, Fraternity" did not start with the French Revolution. It came out of the mind of the Jesuit Priest named Adam Weishaupt. He, in turn, was in the employ of Amschel Mayer Bauer whose name was changed to Rothschild. Thus the House of Rothschild founded the concept of "*Liberty, Equality, Fraternity.*" The idea of Weishaupt and the French Revolution is not dead.

In fact, the concept is even more alive today than it was in 1789. Today, it is in every facet of life. It is presented as fact by government, schools, and churches. It is in itself a religion. Anyone who doesn't believe, or want to be a part of, that religion, is criticized, shunned, and otherwise punished. The punishment can be severe.

Anyone who openly and publically discusses the statement that Paul made in [II Cor. 6:17](#), "*Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean (impure, demonic); and I will receive you.*" will see how hard that punishment can be. The Waco incident or the Ruby Ridge debacle are examples.

When you remove the glitter from the concept of equality you will find that there is no place for that word among those who extol it. To them, those who are on top are to be moved to the bottom. Wealth is to be taken from those who have and given to those who have not.

Christians should recognize that the teachings of Adam Weishaupt, under the direction of the Rothschild dynasty, and the French Revolution are anti-Christian. We all should understand what the equality that they teach really means. It is nothing but a repeat of the total oneness of the Tower of Babel described in [Genesis 11](#). To follow the teaching of the religion of equality destroys identities. We all become the same. All men are equal. Good and evil are equal. God and man are equal. Man is god. It is nothing but the sin of envy. "*Thou shalt not covet.*" It is a sin to reduce the excellence and achievement of any person. Forcing the mingling, intermarriage, and oneness upon us, actually reduces the

excellence and achievement of all of the races which God placed on earth. Nobody is raised to a higher level. Everybody is lowered to a lower level.

So far we have discussed the concept of separatism. To think only in terms of total separatism, without communication with others, leads to supremacist concepts. That is likewise evil. God says in [Leviticus 19:33](#), "*And if a stranger sojourn with thee in your land, ye shall not vex him.*" God also says that we should "*love him as yourself.*" Our founding fathers took this into account as they developed the Constitution and the enacting statutes.

The problem that has arisen from this in modern America is obvious. The churches, the synagogues, the government, and the media all beat the drums of "open borders" and free movement of all the peoples of the world. This is not love. It is a humanistic concept and is nothing but the Tower of Babel all over again. God allows for different values and amounts of love. For example, we are to love our own children above other children. Yet, we are to love all children. God allows us to love our own nation (ethnic people) more than we should other nations (ethnic peoples). In [Romans 9:1-3](#) Paul says this, "*I say the truth in Christ, I lie not, my conscience also bearing me witness in the Holy Ghost, That I have heaviness and continual sorrow in my heart. For I could wish that myself were accursed from Christ for my brethren, my kinsmen according to the flesh.*"

God says that we are to take care of sojourners who are in our land. But he makes it very clear that we are not to put them over us. In [Deut. 17:15](#) says this, "*Thou shalt in any wise set him king over thee, whom the Lord thy God shall choose: one from among thy brethren shalt thou set king over thee: thou mayest not set a stranger over thee, which is not thy brother.*" That cannot be any more clear. Why then do we hesitate? The word "king" will mean anyone in a governmental capacity. There is a reason for this also.

Notice the current shameful situation between the President and Monica Lewinsky. Notice the polls taken after the Starr Report was released. Those wanting impeachment are generally Western Europeans. Those who believe his personal behaviour is irrelevant are generally of cultures other than Western European. It is all a matter of culture.

For example, in the far East, it is perfectly acceptable to commit suicide. When you are tired of living or feel that you have acted shamefully, it is proper to die with hari-kari, or seppuku. It is suicide. In the Western European culture, murder is murder, even when it is your own body. Also, in Eastern cultures, they do not bury their dead. They cremate. The Bible buries the dead. Eastern religions cremate.

In many parts of the world, cultures do not condemn so-called "free love." Western European culture, because of Biblical mandates, consider it evil and breaking the Commandment of God. In many parts of the world, it is not wrong to do wrong (such as stealing). It is only wrong to get caught! To Western man and his Christian culture, God resides in his conscience. That means the Laws, Statutes and Judgments are in his heart ([Jer.31](#) and [Heb.8](#)).

We earlier stated that it is now a religion to influence our people to convert the word "race" to being evil. It is a sin and God says so. In [Deut. 27;19](#) God says, "*Cursed be he that perverteth the judgment of the stranger, fatherless, and widow. And all the people shall say, A-men.*" It is a sin to force, or entice, a stranger to intermarry when it is natural, but unGodly, for him to do so.

No other people on the face of the earth have been so generous as the Christian West whenever there has been a disaster of any sort, be it famine, earthquake, flood, drought, war, etc. Whatever the cause, our people have always been there with help. It is in our hearts to do this because it is Biblical. In [II Cor. 8:14](#), the Apostle Paul describes this

concept: *"But by an equality, that now as this time your abundance may be a supply for their want, that their abundance also may be a supply for your want: that there may be equality."* Western culture understands this because we are Christian and the Laws are in our hearts. Notice, however, that it hardly ever works the other way around when we have a huge disaster. It isn't a part of their genetic culture.

We are describing equality. Paul doesn't say that we are to bring them all here to live and to intermarry with us. That is not equality. That is sinful. But to help people in time of need and still let them have their own identity is the equality of the Bible.

We are to love the stranger. But we are to be aloof. The word aloof has also become a sinful word because it comes too close to being associated with the word *race*. The word does not mean what is common usage. It comes from the Dutch and the word there is "Loef" which means "at a short distance, removed, set aside." It does not mean to be separate in sympathy and to be unkind.

One of the so-called arguments that is used to justify race-mixing is the nonsense of "hybrid vigor." God is most adamant about that, too. In [Deut.22:9](#) is the Commandment: *"Thou shalt not sow thy vineyard with divers seeds: lest the fruit of thy seed which thou hast sown, and the fruit of thy vineyard, be defiled."* In [Lev. 19:19](#) we also find this: *"Ye shall keep my statutes, thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a diverse kind: thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed: neither shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee."*

God doesn't want his animals hybridized. He doesn't want his field crops hybridized. And for sure, He doesn't want his different races hybridized. Have you ever noticed that some hybrid seeds will not reproduce at all and some will reproduce in some grotesque way?

Study the peoples of the world. Those countries that are of a mixed breed are always a people who really don't know who they are. Strife within the country always occurs. India is the perfect example. India was once upon a time a white man's civilization. Today, it is a lost land. Mexico is another example which is still in the process of hybridizing. The peoples of these countries don't know who they are. The United States is rapidly getting to be that way.

[Proverbs 11:14](#) is wisdom. *"Where no counsel is, the people fall: but in the multitude of counselors there is safety."* Americans of Western European heritage are now realizing what is happening to their Christian culture. There are many organizations now devoted to turning this immigration nightmare around. One of the best essays that has been written to summarize this travesty comes from the pen of Jared Taylor. We will close this issue with his commentary to be found in the summer 1998 issue of *The Social Contract*, (The Social Contract Press, 316 1/2 E. Mitchell St., Petoskey MI, 49770.)

"THE PRICE OF VIRTUE.. Concern for others has degenerated into an inability to make the distinctions necessary for America's survival. Europe and Europeans are today's favorite whipping boys. Whether it is Susan Sontag explaining that 'the white race is the cancer of human history.' or Sister Souljah telling her brothers to take time off from killing each other and kill white people instead, Europe and its people are the stock villains of today's mythology. Although contemporary morality is supposed to forbid 'negative stereotypes' and to require infinite sensitivity to others, the white man (and sometimes even the white woman) is the one exception. It is entirely proper to assume the worst about him, simply because he is white.

"Where does this hatred of the West come from? What are its consequences? The second question is easily answered--in fact every issue of *The Social Contract* recounts

some aspect of the tragedy of a people that has not only lost the will to resist calumny but often joins in it.

"San Jose erects a statue to the Aztec god *Quetzalcoatl* rather than restore its Liberty Bell monument. President Clinton looks forward to the day when whites become a minority. At what used to be called the Custer Battlefield National Monument, George Custer and his men now share honors with the Indians who butchered and mutilated them. A Harvard professor launches a magazine with the slogan, 'Treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity. Mexican-'Americans' in public office gloat openly over the prospects of a Hispanic *reconquista* of the Southwestern United States.

"Similar acts of capitulation are unthinkable in non-Western countries. Let us imagine that millions of poor whites were pouring across the border into Mexico, insisting on English-language instruction in public schools, running drugs, joining gangs, celebrating Fourth of July rather than Cinco de Mayo, demanding ballot papers in English, voting as a bloc, and muttering darkly about detaching part of northern Mexico and establishing an all-white nation. Could the Mexicans possibly be tricked into thinking this was 'cultural enrichment.'? No. Only whites tell themselves they must 'celebrate diversity' while aliens displace them.

Although the details are different, the same process is at work throughout Europe and its diaspora. Germans must make room for Turks and Gypsies, the French must accommodate millions of North Africans, and the Australians and New Zealanders dare not speak out against transforming waves of Asian immigrants for fear of being called 'racists.' Canada is charging even more energetically than the United States into a Third World future where its European origins will be either forgotten or despised.

"Why do we see this in virtually every white, Western nation and nowhere else? First it is important to acknowledge that the West has brought dispossession upon itself. It has the power to guard its borders and to defend its culture but has lost the will to do so. We must be prepared to lose what we do not defend. No one can blame Africans, Latinos, and Asians for taking whatever a denatured West is foolish enough to give. It is therefore into our own natures that we must look if we are to understand Europhobia.

"I believe our present weakness is a perversion of what, in the past, were our strengths. The very traits that distinguished the West and made it great have now become the seeds of our own destruction. There is, after all, a common thread to the characteristics of modern European man, and he carries these characteristics wherever he migrates. That common thread is an abiding sense of reciprocity, a conviction that others have rights that must be respected. This conviction is at the heart of the institutions that are common to all Western societies and absent from virtually every other: democracy, free speech, and the rule of law.

"Democracy is a most improbable development. It is based on the assumption that, within the body of electors, all opinions are equal. This is a remarkable, even heroic respect for the opinions of others. Non-Western rulers do not give up power simply because the majority of voters asks them to. This requires that ambition be sacrificed to the opinions of strangers and, for the most part, only Westerners can manage that. A few Asian nations have developed a tenuous tradition of democracy, but only Europeans take it for granted.

"The rule of law is likewise grounded in reciprocity. The idea that power is not self-justifying requires an understanding that others have legitimate rights. In virtually every non-Western society, the power-holding clique enforces laws only in a manner that advances its interests--and everyone accepts this. Only in the West do men assume that justice should be blind and that everyone is equal before the law.

"Another almost exclusively European characteristic is legal protection against censorship. The temptation of the powerful to silence the weak is strong; most non-Europeans give in to it without compunction.

"Another Western expression of concern for others is the elimination of hereditary class distinctions and the provision of public education. Westerners believe that citizens should rise or fall according to ability rather than according to status at birth. This is still an infant concept among many other peoples.

"Respect for others suffuses virtually all the qualities we think of as typifying Western man. Ideals of sportsmanship, for example, are meant to curb expressions of triumphalism and protect the loser from humiliation. Rooting for the underdog is another European sporting tradition. Some competitors may be no-hopers but we cheer their efforts and hope for an upset.

"One of the most dramatic ways in which Europeans differ from other people is in their treatment of women. For American Indians and Africans, women were beasts of burden. Muslims kept women out of sight and Confucianists kept them in distinctly servile robes. Only in the West was the objectively weaker sex elevated and protected through an elaborate code of chivalry. Only European men traditionally stand in the presence of a woman, hold doors for her, carry her burdens. It is typical of the West that women have rights and sensibilities that must be respected.

"Does the concept of '*noblesse oblige*' have a non-Western parallel? Or is it only Europeans who believe that the successful and high born have peculiar obligation to serve? Muslim societies have the *zakah*, or obligatory alms for the poor, but nowhere among non-Western peoples are found the habits and institutions of charity common to Western man. Only in the West is there a tradition of volunteer work or even an understanding of what it is. It is no accident that the welfare state is an almost exclusively Western enterprise.

"Another distinctively European expression of concern for others is the missionary calling. Although it is fashionable to mock Christian missionaries, they made tremendous sacrifices to bring what they believed was salvation to people who would otherwise perish. Other people--even foreigners--not only had rights, they had immortal souls that it was the white man's burden to save.

Europeans also show their characteristic concern for others in attempts to protect wildlife and the environment. People preserve the environment because future generations have rights to it, too. Third World nations are notoriously unconcerned about the environment, partly because they are poor, but also because they do not share Western concerns.

Europeans are the world's unsurpassed champions at saving and improving. They have fought wars to end all wars, to make the world safe for democracy, and--some say--to end slavery. They launch wars on inanimate enemies like poverty or drugs. They are always off to feed the world, save the ozone layer, protect the spotted owl, spread democracy, liberate women, stop acid rain, fight AIDS, promote human rights, and end child labor. There is nothin on the planet that escapes Western man's obsession with doing good. For Asians and Africans it must be an astonishing spectacle.

"But what does this have to do with the defeated state of mind now common in the West? Every one of these concerns for rights and reciprocity has, in recent decades, been perverted into something dangerous and self-destructive. Every noble impulse towards fairness has plunged into blind egalitarianism. Western societies have pushed their characteristic consideration for others to impossible limits; **they have lost the capacity to**

judge. In fact the history of this century is the history of an almost hysterical assault on judgments, of distinctions of all kinds.

"A society must distinguish between competent and incompetent, worthy and unworthy, criminal and non-criminal, healthy and perverse, citizen and alien. In the West, distinctions that are vital for survival are increasingly blurred and smoothed over in the name of 'sensitivity' and 'tolerance,' **in an excessive and even suicidal concern for others.**

"Thus has the carefully crafted republic of the Founding Fathers been dismantled in the name of an illusory equality. A restricted franchise recognized that some men were wiser than others but the West can no longer pass judgments of this kind. Likewise, the rule of law has been perverted to serve the so-called rights of criminals and convicts, who are now thought to deserve more consideration than the law-abiding. High regard for women has collapsed into the preposterous notion that men and women are physically and psychologically equivalent. Concern for the common good that underlay public education has degenerated into a preoccupation with incompetents and defectives. Private, voluntary charity has been overshadowed by government programs that attempt to erase distinctions by taking from the productive and giving to the unproductive. Speech codes violate ancient principles of free speech for fear that when we speak we might also judge.

"As the typical Western concern for others runs amok, not much remains of the ancient distinctions between man and woman, hetero- and homosexual, gentleman and barbarian, black and white, producer and parasite, native and alien. This campaign to destroy distinctions succeeded only in the West because only the West has such a long tradition of altruism and concern for others.

"Values, of course, are the most basic distinctions, and a society that is incapable of distinctions has no values. It was inevitable that cultural relativism should take root in this void. If it is 'insensitive' to say that opera is superior to rap 'music,' and no longer permissible to say Custer's men were fighting for civilization, how can Western man possibly think he has a culture worth defending or a way of life worth living? How can Americans object to *reconquista* if they have lost faith in their own legitimacy? How can the French oppose the rise of Islam within their own borders if all religions are equivalent? Robert Frost once said that a liberal is someone who cannot take his own side in an argument. European man can no longer take his own side in the battle for survival..

"A culture, people, nation, or way of life can survive only if those who carry it forward have a frank and healthy conviction that their way is **best**. Without this conviction nothing can be saved. Unless Europeans regain the capacity to judge, to make distinctions, to reject what is alien and odious, they and their culture will be pushed aside by peoples that still believe in themselves and who are unencumbered by typically Western concerns about the rights of others.

The institutions that grew out of European concern for others rule of law, free speech, representative government--are great and lasting contributions to civilization. We must not let the virtues from which they arose degenerate into an inability to judge and make distinctions lest those institutions disappear along with the people who created them."

In the very first part of Mr. Taylor's essay, he asked the question, "Where does this hatred of the West come from?" In the next issue we will be showing exactly how it was done and by whom. You will be amazed by its simplicity!