

2019 CoC Program Competition New Application Rating and Ranking Tool

FY 2019 CoC Competitive Program projects will be reviewed by an IVCCC Rating and Ranking Committee (committee). It is anticipated that all projects will be reviewed and scored by the committee for recommendation to the United States Housing and Urban Development (HUD) based on the following:

CoC Threshold Requirements	Maximum Points
Coordinated Entry System Participation	3
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	3
Project is financially feasible	5
Applicant is an active CoC participant	5
Application is complete and data is consistent	5
Acceptable organizational/financial review	5
Project aligns with IVCCC established funding principles	2
Project will focus on at least one of HUD and Imperial County's CoC Priority subpopulations	2
CoC Threshold Requirements Total Points	30
Experience	Maximum Points
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	10
B. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of sub recipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	10
Experience Total Points	20
Design of Housing & Supportive Services	Maximum Points
A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	10
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	5
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Total Points	20
Design for HMIS systems only	Maximum Points
HMIS covers the full CoC geography	5
Demonstrated system performance improvement (error rate <=10%)	5
Timeliness of CoC HUD submitted reports (HIC, PITC, System Performance Measures, LSA)	5
HMIS Policies and Procedures/Operations Guide	5
Design for HMIS Systems Only Total Points	20

**2019 CoC Program Competition
New Application Rating and Ranking Tool**

Timeliness	Maximum Points
Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	5
Timeliness Total Points	5
Financial	Maximum Points
A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average	5
B. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements	5
C. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	15
Financial Total Points	25
Total Application Points	100