

16-7-IA

Reported: 03/21/2016

Suspects:

Victims:

Offenses:

VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS

O.K. P.K.
9/20/16

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Case #: 16-7-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/21/2016 @ 1413
Report Date/Time: 03/24/2016 @ 1239
Occurred On: 03/18/2016 @ 0250

Complaint Type: EXCESSIVE FORCE
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer:

Signature: _____

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo Zone: Tab 7-9

PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1 VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS C
OCCURRED: 03/18/2016 0250

1 M B 28

PAWTUCKET RI 02860

DOB:

EMPLOYER:

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary)

Home Phone

Home Phone

Home Phone

Home Phone

Home Phone

ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin

RESIDENT STATUS: Resident

VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1

1 M W

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100

HEIGHT: 511

WEIGHT: 200

HAIR: BALD

EYES: NOT AVAIL.

BODY: MEDIUM

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

DOB:

PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

LICENSE NUMBER:

ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

[APPEARANCE]

CLASS

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE

401-727-9100

Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Page: 2
09/23/2016

Case #: 16-7-IA

Officer (S) SEX Race Age SSN Phone

2 M W 27
121 ROOSEVELT AVE
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary) 401-726-3911

BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

3 M W 2530
121 ROOSEVELT AVE
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

Ref: 16-7-IA

On March 21, 2016 at 14:13 hrs I received a phone call from [redacted] informed me that he had been arrested and that he wanted to complain about how the officer made the arrest. [redacted] claimed that the officers used excessive force and that they made up the domestic charges against him and his brother [redacted]; explained that he had been out at Splash bar and at a house party and that he had consumed 3 to 4 beers. He arrived at home close to 3:00 a.m. and his father was awake. He and his father don't get along and started to "bump heads". He began to argue with his father. One of his other siblings called police. He states that when the officers arrived they went about the wrong way of handling the situation.

I set up an appointment for [redacted] to come in on March 22, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. so that I could take a formal statement. [redacted] failed to show for his scheduled appointment.

Pawtucket Police Dispatch Call [redacted] indicates that officers [redacted] and [redacted] responded to [redacted] for a Domestic Assault. Two arrests were made, [redacted] and [redacted].

Officers [redacted] and [redacted] document their use of force in the arrest reports. Based on the facts reported by the Officers in their reports the use of force was appropriate and not excessive.

I listened to the 911 call received in reference to this call. A male caller reports that his brother came home drunk and is trying to fight with everybody in here. The caller states that his brother is fighting with him and his father. I could hear a loud disturbance in the background with a male and female voice yelling.

The facts indicate that the police responded to a disturbance involving [redacted] who self admittedly had consumed 3 to 4 beers. The 911 caller also indicates his brother came home drunk and was trying to fight everyone in the apartment. According to the police reports during this encounter officer [redacted] tried separating [redacted] from [redacted]. While doing so [redacted] grabbed at the back [redacted] Officer [redacted] then grabbed [redacted] and their forward momentum caused all of them to go to the floor. Once on the floor [redacted] physically resisted officer [redacted]. They were subsequently taken into custody.

On July 28, 2016 I tried to contact [redacted]. The number he provided is no longer in service. On Sept 20, 2016 a patrol officer responded to [redacted] residence in an attempt to have him call me. He was met with negative results. Based on the complainant's lack of cooperation case is closed as unfounded. Matter forwarded to chief Paul King for review.

16-8-IA

Reported: 03/23/2016

Suspects:

Offenses:

OK. PJC

7/28/16

16840A

FAILURE TO NOTIFY OWNER OF RECOVERED STOLEN AUT

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Case #: 16-8-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/23/2016 @ 1100
Report Date/Time: 03/24/2016 @ 1643
Occurred On: 03/07/2016 @ 2023

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
Disposition: SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer:

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building Zone: Tab 7-4
121 ROOSEVELT AVE
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1 FAILURE TO NOTIFY OWNER OF RECOVERED STOLEN AUTO C
OCCURRED: 03/07/2016 2023

PERSON(S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 PARTICIPANT F W 55
PAWTUCKET RI 02861
DOB:

OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 M W

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary)
Work Phone (Primary)

BODY: NOT AVAIL.

DOB:

LICENSE NUMBER:

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWT. POLICE

401-727-9100

Ref: 16-8-IA

On March 23, 2015 I was handed a packet containing a Pawtucket and a Seekonk police report and a tow bill from Advanced Towing Inc. informed me that on March 7, 2016 at 14:35 hrs reported to the Pawtucket Police that her vehicle had been stolen (report #). Later that day on March 7, 2016 at 20:21 hrs the Seekonk Police recovered stolen vehicle (report

was not notified of the vehicle recovery until March 17, 2016. As a result she incurred \$350.00 in storage fees from Advanced Towing. Her total bill including storage came out to \$504.00. Advanced gave her a break and reduced the bill by \$104.00 for a total of \$400.00. She paid an extra \$250 due to our failure to notify her of her vehicle recovery.

On 3/07/2016 at 2023 hrs the Seekonk Police called our PD and Spoke with Officer At this time they made notification of the vehicle recovery. (Call recording)

On 3/07/2016 at 2028 hrs a Locate Message was Received by our Department.

On 03/14/2016 NCIC Validation Clerk checked on the Stolen Vehicle Status and found it had been located in NCIC. She scanned a copy of the locate into the original report.

On 3/16/2016 Detective while conducting a follow up investigation on the stolen auto report noted that the vehicle had been located.

On 4/27/2016 I spoke with and she clarified that the \$104.00 discount was on her tow charges so in actuality she paid an extra \$350 storage charges that she otherwise would not have paid.

On 4/29/2016 I emailed asking for clarification on the actions he took when notified of the vehicle recovery.

On 5/1/2016 responded by e-mail that he does not recall the conversation regarding this vehicle recovery and that he must have been waiting for the locate before adding the particulars to the report.

Records indicate that was assigned to the front office on March 7, 2016. Dispatcher and were assigned to dispatch on that day.

Based on the facts we know that did receive verbal notification from Seekonk Police of the stolen vehicle being recovered. Five minutes later a locate message was sent by Seekonk Police. No action was taken as far as removal from NCIC or owner notification by Officer

On 7/28/2016 matter forwarded to Chief Paul King for review. A letter of counselling was authorized by Chief King.

16-9-IA

Reported: 03/23/2016

Suspects:

Offenses:

OK. PK

5/5/16 Retiree

VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Case #: 16-9-IA

Date/Time Reported: 03/23/2016 @ 1600
Report Date/Time: 03/24/2016 @ 0800
Occurred Between: 03/11/2016 @ 0750
 And: 03/11/2016 @ 0800
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
Disposition: SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer:

Signature: _____

Assisting Officer:

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S)

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building Zone: Tab 7-4
121 ROOSEVELT AVE
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1 VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS C
OCCURRED: 03/11/2016 0750

SEX RACE AGE SSN

1 M B

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-9100

BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

[APPEARANCE]

GLASS

401-727-9100

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAW

Ref: 16-9-IA

On Thursday March 24, 2016 I was made aware by _____ of a complaint that had been made through the RI State Police alleging that _____ had used his official position to access the RI Law Enforcement Terminal database to check the registration information of two persons who were the subject of an investigative news report by report# _____

On Tuesday March 29, 2016 at 11:15 a.m. I met with _____ in the Office of Professional Standards. Present at the meet was _____ and _____ union representative. He was informed of this Internal Investigation through a Notification of Internal Investigation form. He signed the form as witnessed by _____

On Monday April 4, 2016 I received a 375 page document from _____ of the RI State Police, listing all registration queries by _____ from 6-1-2014 to 3-1-2015.

On Tuesday April 5, 2016 I emailed Investigative Reporter _____ and asked that he give me a call in the morning. On April 6, 2016 I received an e-mail from _____ stating that he was going out of state and that he would contact me on Monday April 11, 2016.

On Wednesday April 6, 2016 I contact _____ and advised him that I would like to meet with him to ask him preliminary questions regarding this investigation. I advised him that he should speak with his union representative or legal counsel.

On Wednesday April 6, 2016 I spoke with Attorney _____ by phone in reference to some preliminary questions that I had for _____. _____ explained that he was scheduled to go on a vacation next week and that _____ was scheduled to go on a trip later in the month. It was agreed that I would email _____ questions for his review.

On Wednesday April 13, 2016 I sent the questions for _____ in an email to _____

On Thursday April 14, 2016 I received a call from _____. He refused to meet or answer questions unless he had more details of the investigation. I informed him that I would confer with others before giving him more details.

On Thursday April 14, 2016 I received an e-mail from Attorney _____ from the office of _____ and _____. He informed me that _____ is out on Furlough next week returning to work on April 26 and was looking to schedule an interview for Wed or Thursday 27th or 28th. I asked if I could send him the Garrity Rights and an interrogatory with two questions. He agreed. I email him the forms.

On Thursday April 14, 2016 after conferring with _____ I called _____ and left a message for him to call me back.

On Friday April 15, 2016 _____ returned my call. I explained that I had a couple of questions for him. I asked him if he knew one of our officers named _____. He answered that he knew alot of officers but that he would not speak about it. I explained that we want to see if he had a professional or friendly relationship with _____. He went on to explain that he does not speak about his contacts and that he has contacts all over the state and that he does not answer these questions.

Ref: 16-9-IA

On Monday April 18 I emailed attorney to see if he had received my e-mail. He later responded at 9:58 am that he had not had a chance to get to it this weekend and would get to this matter today.

On Thursday April 21 I received an email from stating the following: *To follow up on below. I have been able to communicate with we are having a problem exchanging documents by email where he is. I do have draft answers to those questions but need to wait until he gets back next week to finalize and sign. So you should have them very early next week.*

On Tuesday April 26 I received an email from with answers to the below two questions.

QUESTION a. How far up route 95 did you follow these two vehicles or have visual contact with these two vehicles?

ANSWER: *When I spoke to the Major, I was referencing two vehicles that I had recalled from some two to three weeks (not sure) earlier. He had called me to inquire about some plates that I ran that the RISP were looking into and asked if I remembered anything like that. I told him I was not sure as I often ran plates as part of my duties but that I had some recollection about an occasion that occurred on the way to work in that time frame. I do not recall who it was or if anything came of it but, looking back, I do know that those plates did NOT come back to those persons who I now know to be the subject matter of this current investigation.*

QUESTION b. Are you, in possession of the piece of paper that you wrote the registration plate numbers down on?

ANSWER: *No*

On Wednesday April 27 I sent two follow up questions for. On Friday April 29 I received an email from with answers to the below follow up questions.

QUESTION a. During the two March 24, 2016 recorded telephone conversations you had with your recollection of the incident in question that occurred on March 11 on route 95 near route 4 was very clear and lucid. You provided specific vehicle descriptions which matched those of the and you described specific actions you took that same day in relation to checking these plates through RILETS. In order to further clarify your response please answer the following question: When the vehicles cut you off on route 95. how far along route 95 did you follow these vehicles and/or how far along route 95 did you have visual contact with these two vehicles?

ANSWER: *We were all driving in the same direction. The vehicles may have been racing with each other. I had visual contact as they cut in front of and by me. I blew the horn and was able to see one of them flip me the bird. They continued on and I believe one or both may have gone on to Route 295N. I did not engage in any pursuit of these vehicle. I cannot say for sure how long I had visual contact.*

QUESTION b. Why did you on March 11, 2016 check the two license plates belonging to through RILETS?

Ref: 16-9-IA

through RILETS?

ANSWER: *A well-known local investigative reporter requested my assistance in confirming a address that he was investigating. He had the information and wanted to see if I could confirm the accuracy of it.*

On Wednesday May 4 I arranged with Attorney to have a sit down interview with . The interview was scheduled for Monday May 9 at 10:00 am. On Thursday May 5 was informed by the Chief that the interrogation of would be cancelled and that would be retiring.

Ref: 16-9-IA

On 3/23/16, [redacted] was informed by Chief King that he had been contacted by the RISP in regards to an investigation involving the misuse of an NCIC terminal by [redacted]. The [redacted] was given contact information for [redacted], of the RISP and was instructed to contact him in the morning.

On 3/24/16, the [redacted] met with the [redacted] at RISP Headquarters regarding the complaint. At that time the [redacted] was advised that Colonel O'Donnell Superintendent of the State Police had received an email complaint (see folder) from [redacted] requesting an investigation into the reasoning why the Pawtucket Police Department had run her and her husbands [redacted] registration plates ([redacted] & [redacted] on 3/11/16. She stated that her husband who is a [redacted] and currently a [redacted] the [redacted] learned that their plates had been run by the Pawtucket Police Department [redacted]. She stated that neither she nor her husband were in Pawtucket on that date and cannot understand why the plates were run. She stated that she is in fear for her safety [redacted] and fears that her personal information may have been passed on to a criminal element or to [redacted] the host of the [redacted]. It should be noted that [redacted] both [redacted] were the topic of the [redacted].

On that date the [redacted] turned over NCIC Data concerning this investigation. (See Folder)

On 3/24/16 the [redacted] contacted IIR [redacted] who confirmed that on 3/11/16 A person ran [redacted] & [redacted] from inside the Pawtucket Police Department at the work area that is assigned to [redacted].

On 3/24/16 the [redacted] contacted [redacted] concerning this investigation on his cell phone due to the detective being out on sick leave because of a recent off duty auto accident. On that date [redacted] advised [redacted] that the RISP had initiated an investigation as to the reason why the detective had run two registration plates. Upon being advised of the date, plate numbers and to whom they were registered to, [redacted] immediately recalled the incident. He stated although he could not be specific on the date and time, he did recall that while driving into work during the morning hours on 95 north near the Rt. 4 merge he was cut off by two vehicles who were following each other, he described the vehicles as one dark in color the other a Suburban. He stated that after being cut off he wrote both the registration plates down so that he could find out who the people were that cut him off. He stated on the day of the incident he ran the plates and learned the identities of the owners. Upon being asked if he reported this incident to anyone, [redacted] stated that he had not, he only wished to know who had cut him off, he also added that incidents of this nature have occurred in the past to him and that he has ran other registration plates. (two separate conversations between [redacted] and [redacted] on 3/24/16 were retrieved from the phone system burned and placed in file)

On 3/24/16, [redacted] contacted [redacted] in regards to this investigation. At that time [redacted] advised [redacted] that after being informed by her husband that her registration plate data may have been compromised by a Pawtucket Police Department she contacted the RISP and requested an investigation. She stated that she did wish to file a internal affairs complaint with the Pawtucket Police Department. A date will be set during the week of 3/27 so that formal statements can be taken from both [redacted]

The [redacted] did ask [redacted] if she was in her vehicle in the area of 95N near the Rt. 4 merge during the morning hours of 3/11/16 and if she was, was her husband in the area at the same time in his vehicle and did the two of them cut a vehicle off while following each other. [redacted] stated that neither her or her husband were [redacted]

Ref: 16-9-IA

in the area at the time nor could she recall a time where this allegation may have been true.

On 3/24/16, [redacted] contacted [redacted] regarding this investigation. Like his wife he advised that he did wish to file an internal affairs complaint. A date will be set during the week of 3/27 so that formal statements can be taken from both [redacted] like his wife stated that neither he nor his wife was in the area of 95N and the Rt.4 merge during the morning hours of 3/11/16. He also stated that he could not recall a time where this allegation may have been true. [redacted] did state that on 3/11/16 he left work 7AM, drove to [redacted] and later traveled to [redacted]. At no time during the morning hours did he travel on 95N, he finds it difficult to believe that whoever ran his plate would outright lie, he takes great exception to this. [redacted] later stated that he will provide documentation that on the date in question at 7AM he was at [redacted] which is located in [redacted] and that [redacted] was parked in a lot [redacted]

[redacted] also stated that he and his wife spoke to [redacted] prior to the release of [redacted]. During that conversation [redacted] allowed the two to view all documents related to the [redacted] investigation except for any data related to the registration plates. When [redacted] asked how he had obtained his registration data, [redacted] would not give him an answer.

On 3/24/16, [redacted] contacted the [redacted] and informed him that during the course of this investigation [redacted] use of the NCIC terminal would be suspended.

On 3/24/16, [redacted] requested the IT Division to provide all registration data dating back one year which had been requested and provided to [redacted]

It should be noted that on 12/4/14 investigative reporter [redacted] aired [redacted] making reference to [redacted] of the police department. [redacted] During the segment Pawtucket Police internal payroll records were displayed leading police investigators to the conclusion that someone within the police division had turned these records over to [redacted] without authorization. Later during the course of criminal/internal investigation into [redacted] actions which were documented during the segment, [redacted] revealed that he had sources within the police department. At this time it is still unknown who turned the records over to [redacted]

On 3/30/16, [redacted] was informed that [redacted] would be out on sick leave until 4/11/16.

On 4/9/16, [redacted] was informed that [redacted] would be out on sick leave until 4/26/16.

During the course of this investigation it was learned through the IT Division that on 3/11/16 [redacted] entered department headquarters at 730 AM.

During the course of the investigation it was determined that it would take between 20 to 25 minutes to travel from the 95N Rt 4 merge during normal traffic to Pawtucket Police Headquarters.

On 5/5/16 [redacted] was informed by the Chief that the interrogation of [redacted] scheduled for 5/9/16 would be cancelled and that [redacted] would be retiring.

16-12-IA

Reported: 04/28/2016

Suspects:

Victims:

No Action Required

Offenses:

5/6/16 P.K.

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT - VEHICLE TOW CHARGES R

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Case #: 16-12-IA

Date/Time Reported: 04/28/2016 @ 1354
Report Date/Time: 04/28/2016 @ 1354
Occurred Between: 03/27/2016 @ 0040
And: 03/27/2016 @ 0105
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
Disposition: OTHER

Reporting Officer:

Signature:

OFFENSE(S) A/C PLATE/CHAP SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street Zone: Tab 1-2
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1 FIELD INSPECTION REPORT - VEHICLE TOW CHARGES REIM C
OCCURRED: 03/27/2016 0040

VICTIM(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 F W 28

DOB:
CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
Home Phone
ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Resident
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: Stranger

OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 M W 2535

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
Work Phone (Primary)
BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

Ref: 16-12-IA

I received a package from the Pawtucket City clerks office requesting a field inspection report for a claim against the city seeking reimbursement for a tow charge of \$130.00.

The claim arises from an incident () where officer , was flagged down by a resident of pointed out a vehicle belonging to that was parked in front of his driveway blocking his vehicle in. made attempts to locate with negative results. Office then had Jim's towing respond to tow the vehicle.

claims that the driveway she was blocking was an illegal driveway. She did provide a photograph with her claim. I did confirm with Michael Burns of the city Traffic Division that the driveway in question is not a legal driveway and does not have a curb cut.

I forwarded the photo provided by to Officer and he confirmed that after reviewing the report and traveling by the address again, that statements are accurate and that the attached photograph does depict the driveway in question.

16-13-IA

Reported: 04/21/2016

Suspects:

Victims:

Offenses:

VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS

ok. pk.

7/18/16 ~~S. James~~
Return

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Case #: 16-13-IA

Date/Time Reported: 04/21/2016 @ 1058
Report Date/Time: 05/05/2016 @ 1058
Occurred On: 04/17/2016 @ 0321

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
Disposition: SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer:

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S)

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building Zone: Tab 7-4
121 ROOSEVELT AVE
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1 VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS C
OCCURRED: 04/17/2016 0321

PERPETRATOR(S)

1 M W 46

DOB:
EMPLOYER:
CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Resident
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: Stranger

WITNESS(S)

1 M W 55

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
Home Phone
Work Phone (Primary)

BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

[APPEARANCE]

GLASS

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAW

401-727-9100

Ref: 16-13-IA

On 04/21/2016 I received a phone call from [redacted] regarding his recent arrest. I learned that on April 17, 2016, [redacted] was stopped and arrested by [redacted] Police on a Pawtucket Police affidavit and arrest warrant. His vehicle was towed as a result of the arrest.

Timeline of arrest on 4-17-2016

On April 17, 2016 at 03:26 am [redacted] contacts Pawtucket PD Dispatcher [redacted] to confirm if the warrant is good. Dispatcher [redacted] retrieves warrant from the warrant drawer and confirms it is active.

On April 17, 2016 at 4:53 am [redacted] contacts us to pick up [redacted]. At 4:58 am cruiser 8 Officer's [redacted] and [redacted] are dispatched to [redacted] and take him into custody. [redacted] is transported to Pawtucket Police Headquarters.

At headquarters Sgt [redacted] notices that the warrant in question is for an incident ([redacted]) that had previously been closed out by an arrest ([redacted]).

On April 17, 2016 Lt [redacted] sent an e-mail to all detective supervisors and third platoon supervisors as well as Prosecution Sgt [redacted] to inform them of this error.

Timeline leading to issuance of affidavit and arrest warrant

September 18, 2015 @ 1348 hours Officer [redacted] takes a domestic Simple Assault report naming [redacted] as the suspect.

September 18, 2015 @ 2250 hours Officer [redacted] and [redacted] arrest [redacted] at [redacted]. ([redacted]) The arrest was made based on the domestic violence prevention act allowing warrantless arrests within 24 hours of a domestic assault.

September 21, 2015 [redacted] pulls a warrant ([redacted]) using [redacted] as the basis of the warrant. It should be noted that when report [redacted] is printed it lists [redacted] on the front of the report.

September 21, 2015 Judge Ippolitto signs the warrant with [redacted] as the affiant.

September 22, 2015 the warrant is loaded into RILETS.

On May 5, 2016 I spoke with [redacted] by phone. He was aware of this incident and took full responsibility for his mistake. He stated that he ordinarily checks to ensure no arrests have been made prior to obtaining an affidavit and arrest warrant but that he clearly erred in this instance.

Ref: 16-13-IA

Conclusion

Department reports and documentation demonstrate that _____ erroneously applied for an affidavit and arrest warrant for _____ for an incident that _____ had already been arrested for. _____ as the affiant to the warrant failed to note that an arrest had already been made.

As a result of this error _____ had his vehicle towed and incurred \$126.00 tow charge from Coastal Towing. In speaking with _____ I apologized for this error. He seemed very understanding and wanted to be reimbursed for the tow charge.

Matter forwarded to the chief for review.

16-15-IA

Reported: 05/23/2016

Suspects:

Victims:

OK P.K

Offenses:

8/3/16 Training
S. S. S. S. S.

VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS

Pawtucket Police Department



**Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report**

Page: 1
09/23/2016

Case #: 16-15-IA

Date/Time Reported: 05/23/2016 @ 1456
 Report Date/Time: 05/23/2016 @ 1456
 Occurred Between: 05/21/2016 @ 0700
 And: 05/21/2016 @ 0900
 Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
 Disposition: SUSTAINED

Reporting Officer: _____

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S)

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo Zone: Tab 1-1
 PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1 VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS C
 OCCURRED: 05/21/2016 0700

OFFICER(S)

1 M W 37

DOB:
 CONTACT INFORMATION:
 Home Phone (Primary)
 ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
 RESIDENT STATUS: Resident
 VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1
 RELATION TO: Stranger
 RELATION TO: Stranger

OFFICER(S)

1 M W 25

CONTACT INFORMATION:
 Work Phone (Primary)
 BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
 DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
 LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC
 2 M W 35

CONTACT INFORMATION:
 Home Phone (Primary)
 BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
 DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
 LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET PD 4

Ref: 16-15-IA

On May 23, 2106 [redacted] made me aware of an arrest incident involving a [redacted] [redacted] also left a message on my extension asking that I call him back.

On May 23, 2016 at Approximately 2:45 pm I returned a phone call to [redacted] explained that he had been arrested on May 21, 2016 as a suspect in a domestic assault incident. Once at headquarters it was learned that [redacted] was not the [redacted] that was wanted for the domestic incident. The officers released [redacted] and transported him back to his home. The arresting officers [redacted] and [redacted] completed an offense report documenting this incident. Refer to report [redacted]

[redacted] was looking for a more detailed explanation as to how he was mistakenly arrested. I provided him with information that I obtained from reading the report by [redacted] stated that he was satisfied with the explanation.

[redacted] will have [redacted] further examine this incident to see where there may have been any lapses in policy or procedure.

On June 14, 2016 [redacted] provided a memo detailing the breakdown in communication that led to this incident.

16-17-IA

Reported: 06/17/2016

Suspects:

OK. P.K.

Offenses:

9/8/16 Unsubstantiated

VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT RULES AND REGULATIONS

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Case #: 16-17-IA

Date/Time Reported: 06/17/2016 @ 0000
Report Date/Time: 07/25/2016 @ 1545
Occurred Between: 06/04/2016 @ 1200
And: 06/04/2016 @ 1245
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer:

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHRG SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Residence/Home/Apt./Condo Zone: Tab 6-5

PAWTUCKET R1 02860

1 VIOLATION OF DEPARTMENT RULES AND REGULATIONS C
OCCURRED: 06/04/2016 1200

PERSON(S) PERSON ID# SEX RACE AGE SEN PHONE

1 REPORTING PARTY F W 48

DOB:
CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
Cell Phone

OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SEN PHONE

1 M W 42

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
Home Phone (Primary)
Work Phone (Primary)

BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

[APPEARANCE]

GLASS

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAWTUCKET

Ref: 16-17-IA

On July 25, 2016 I received a forwarded e-mail from Chief Paul King regarding a complaint he received from a [redacted] reports that on June 4, 2016 she observed a Pawtucket police cruiser parked at [redacted] for what she estimates to be approximately 45 minutes. She clarifies that she did not time the officer and only estimates that it was 45 minutes. She states that she spoke with neighbors who believed the officer's name to be [redacted]. A subsequent e-mail from [redacted] clarifies that she learned the officer's name is [redacted].

Pawtucket Tax Assesor info shows that the property at [redacted] is owned by [redacted]. The RI Secretary of State website shows that [redacted] is owned by [redacted].

Payroll records indicate that [redacted] worked Pawtucket Traffic Enforcement on June 4, 2016. The Dispatch log shows that he was active conducting traffic stops. He first stops a car at 8:36 am and his last car stop is at 3:06pm. He conducted a total of 24 vehicle stops. He did call out on a G-1 (Officer's Meal) at 12:58 p.m. and came back in service at 1:12 p.m.

On August 18, 2016 at I met with [redacted] and F.O.P. Union Representative [redacted]. [redacted] confirmed that he owns the property at [redacted] and that he stopped there on June 4 for 15 to 20 minutes to have a lunch break. He called out on the radio that he would be on a G-1.

Based on the review of the records available and in speaking with [redacted] this complaint is deemed to be unfounded.

16-19-IA

Reported: 08/03/2016

Suspects:

Victims:

Offenses:

VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS

8/9/16

OK P.K.

WATSON

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Case #: 16-19-IA

Date/Time Reported: 08/03/2016 @ 1059
Report Date/Time: 08/03/2016 @ 1525
Occurred On: 08/02/2016 @ 1159

Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer:

Signature: _____

#	OFFENSE(S)	A/C	TIME/CHAS	SECTION
---	------------	-----	-----------	---------

LOCATION TYPE: Highway/Road/Alley/Street Zone: Tab 4-1
255 BEVERAGE HILL AVE @ 67 FRED ST
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1	VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS			C
	OCCURRED: 08/02/2016	1159		

#	VICTIM(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	-----------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1		F	W	26		
---	--	---	---	----	--	--

DOB:
CONTACT INFORMATION:
Cell Phone (Primary)
ETHNICITY: Not of Hispanic Origin
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: Stranger

2		F	B	28		
---	--	---	---	----	--	--

DOB:
CONTACT INFORMATION:
Cell Phone (Primary)
ETHNICITY: Hispanic
RESIDENT STATUS: Non Resident
VICTIM CONNECTED TO OFFENSE NUMBER(S): 1
RELATION TO: Stranger

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

1		M	B	35		
---	--	---	---	----	--	--

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
Home Phone (Primary)
Work Phone (Primary)

BODY: NOT AVAIL.
DOB:
LICENSE NUMBER:

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Page: 2
09/23/2016

Case #: 16-19-IA

NAME: SEX: RACE: AGE: SSN: PHONE:

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET POLICE

NARRATIVE FOR

Ref: 16-19-IA

On 08/03/2016 at 10:59 a.m. I received a phone call from _____ regarding the manner in which Officer _____ handled an accident report # _____ reports that Officer _____ never asked her for her version of events. She also expressed displeasure that Officer _____ had issued her a ticket for illegal sunscreen.

I learned that Miss _____ came into the station immediately after this accident report and filed a witness statement addendum with _____. In it she states that the other operator was travelling on York Ave and failed to stop at the stop sign at York and Beverage Hill Ave and then struck her while she travelled on Beverage Hill Ave. Miss _____ statement is different than what was reported on the accident report. The accident report indicates the other motorists came down Fred street and stopped at Fred and Plain before going onto Beverage Hill and being side swiped by Miss _____.

On 08/03/2016 at 2:16 p.m. I received a call from _____ who was the operator of the other vehicle involved in this incident. She called to complain regarding the manner in which this accident report was reported. She was upset that Miss _____ had been able to submit an addendum to the accident report. Miss _____ stated that her addendum was factually incorrect. She also stated that Miss _____ was dropping a lot of police officer's names in order to gain favor with officer _____ and felt that this addendum was due to her connections on the Pawtucket Police. Miss _____ also noted that Officer _____ incorrectly stated that her vehicle listed as vehicle # 2 had illegal sunscreen material when in fact it was vehicle # 1 Miss _____'s vehicle that had the illegal sunscreen.

On 08/03/2016 I spoke with Officer _____ regarding this report. He states that he responded to the accident and found the vehicles unmoved from where they had come to rest after the collision. He asked both operators what happened. He then documented the accident on the report. Officer _____ was asked to review the addendum that Miss _____ had added. He stated that Miss _____'s description of how the accident occurred was inaccurate and did not coincide with how the vehicles were found.

Officer _____ reports that he issued Miss _____ a citation for illegal sunscreen material and that she became very upset about being cited. She began to mention _____ name in an effort to gain favor with officer _____. I brought to his attention the inaccuracy in the report regarding which vehicle had the illegal sunscreen material. He apologized for the mistake and said he would fix the error. Officer _____ was asked to further complete a supplemental narrative to further clarify the direction of travel for each vehicle.

On 08/05/2016 I spoke with _____ to inquire as to the circumstances involving Miss _____'s addendum. _____ reports that Miss _____ came into the police station and was visibly upset about the accident and receiving a citation. She was crying and wanted to add her statement to the report. Miss _____ was not familiar with the street names where the accident happened. _____ saw that the dispatch log listed the location as York and Beverage Hill Ave and he relayed that to Miss _____. Miss _____ was allowed to write a witness statement of her version of events. _____ reports that Miss _____ was allowed to submit an addendum witness statement like many other motorists have been allowed to do in the past.

Based on all information gathered it appears that Miss _____ was very emotional about being involved in an accident and having been issued a citation for illegal sunscreen material. Officer _____ accident narrative describes Miss _____'s version of events the same as she described it to me. He then describes Miss _____'s version of events the same as she described to me. Officer _____ did not know either party involved in this accident. Officer _____ did say that Miss _____ was using _____ name but that this had no

Ref: 16-19-IA

bearing on his investigation. Officer [redacted] did admit to making an error on the report regarding which vehicle had the illegal sunscreen material. He has fixed the error and submitted a supplemental to further clarify vehicle location and streets involved.

On 08/09/2016 I contacted Miss [redacted] to inform her of the outcome of the investigation. I informed her of the inaccuracies in the statement she wrote for [redacted]. She stated that she used the street location provided by [redacted]. I placed her in contact with [redacted] so that she could arrange to make corrections to her statement. On 08/09/2016 I updated Miss [redacted] on outcome of this case.

Upon review of all facts I do not find a violation of the Pawtucket Police Dept rules and regulations by officer [redacted]. I did not find any evidence that Miss [redacted]'s familiarity with a member of the Pawtucket Police department had any impact on this investigation. Case closed unfounded. To be forwarded to Chief Paul King for review.

On 08/11/2016 I was contacted by [redacted] who was upset that his daughter had received a phone call from [redacted] advising her that she was going to be receiving a citation in the mail for this violation. He was claiming that this new turn of events is because of Miss [redacted]'s connections to the police department. I informed him that I was not aware of what he was talking about and that I would look into it.

I contacted [redacted] who stated that after he became aware of this accident and after meeting with [redacted] a second time he conducted a more thorough investigation of the accident scene and that his findings are that [redacted] should be cited for **31-17-3 Intersection With Through Highway, Failure to Yield Right of Way**. RI General Law 31-17-3 states the following – *The driver of a vehicle shall stop at the entrance to a through highway and shall yield the right-of-way to other vehicles which have entered the intersection from the through highway, or which are approaching so closely on the through highway as to constitute an immediate hazard, but the driver having so yielded may proceed and the drivers of all other vehicles approaching the intersection on the through highway shall yield the right-of-way to the vehicle so proceeding into or across the through highway.*

[redacted] informed me that he mailed the citation to [redacted]. [redacted] informed me that he was not approached by any member of the Pawtucket police department on behalf of Miss [redacted].

I contacted [redacted] and [redacted] and briefed him on the latest turn of events. I informed them of the procedure to contest the violation. I also assured them that I did not find any evidence that [redacted]'s familiarity with a member of the Pawtucket Police department had any impact on this investigation.

16-23-IA

Reported: 08/19/2016

Suspects:

Offenses:

ALL OTHER OFFENSES

OK PK

8/19/16 unrecorded

Pawtucket Police Department



Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Page: 1
09/23/2016

Case #: 16-23-IA

Date/Time Reported: 08/19/2016 @ 1036
Report Date/Time: 08/19/2016 @ 1036
Occurred Between: 08/14/2016 @ 1756
And: 08/14/2016 @ 1800
Complaint Type: VIOLATION DEPT. RULE
Disposition: UNFOUNDED

Reporting Officer:

Signature: _____

OFFENSE(S) A/C BILL#/CHAE SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building Zone: Tab 7-4
121 ROOSEVELT AVE
PAWTUCKET RI 02860

1 All Other Offenses C
OCCURRED: 08/14/2016 1756

PERSON(S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 REPORTING PARTY F W 49

PAWTUCKET RI 02861
DOB:
CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)
Cell Phone

PERSON(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 M W 43

CONTACT INFORMATION:
Home Phone (Primary)

BODY: NOT AVAIL. COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.
DOB: PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.
LICENSE NUMBER: ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: PAWTUCKET PD 4

NARRATIVE FOR

Ref: 16-23-IA

On 08/17/2016 I received an e-mail from Chief Paul King forwarding a complaint from _____ regarding a telephone conversation that she had with _____. Below is the content of _____ e-mail complaint:

Please take this as a Formal Complaint. I called the PPD the other day and the Desk Sgt. that I spoke to mentioned me suing the city? And because of that he had to be careful what he said to me? He forgot the Protect and Serve and should be reminded to treat people with RESPECT! Grab that Tape. I have requested it through APRA because he let me know his Pay Grade doesn't allow him to Arrest. I wasn't sure if I should offer him some money? Maybe after the 1.75% increase he will be able to do so? I guess he doesn't get paid enough to arrest someone with a Shiny Badge? Does he only handle simple violations of law? Let's file this with the IA department.

I checked the phone system for calls coming from _____ phone numbers on file with the Pawtucket Pd. The numbers I checked were _____ and _____. I did find a call from the _____ number to the station on 7/28/2016 @ 13:23 hrs. The entirety of the phone call lasted over 7 minutes.

Mrs. _____ call is initially answered by Dispatcher _____. Mrs. _____ asks the dispatcher if it is a criminal offense to lie to the state medical examiner. Dispatcher _____ transfers Mrs. _____ to a desk Sergeant. Sgt. _____ answers the phone and is asked the same question. He answers that it is a crime to lie to the medical examiner. Mrs. _____ then says will you please take patrolman _____ into custody for me. Sgt. _____ states that he doesn't understand. Mrs. _____ offers an explanation of why she feels officer _____ should be arrested. Sgt. _____ explains that if Mrs. _____ has a complaint she can contact the internal affairs division. Mrs. _____ continues to argue that patrolman _____ should be arrested. Sgt. _____ repeatedly asks Mrs. _____ to file a complaint with the Internal Affairs division.

I listened to the call and in my opinion Sgt. _____ handled the call very professionally. I could not immediately find a call from the above numbers to Sgt. _____

On 08/19/2016 I received an e-mail from Captain _____ forwarding an APRA request from Mrs. _____ requesting the two phone calls she made to the Desk Sergeants. In the e-mail she narrowed down the date and time of the call she made to Sgt. _____. I was able to listen to all the incoming calls for the narrowed down time frame provided by Mrs. _____ and I found a call with no phone number listed made by Mrs. _____ to the Pawtucket police on 08/14/2016 at approximately 17:56 hours. The entirety of the phone call lasted over 6 minutes

Mrs. _____ starts the conversation with Sgt. _____ by asking if _____ has been taken into custody. Sgt. _____ asks the person's date of birth. Mrs. _____ says she doesn't know his date of birth that he is employed by you. Sgt. _____ asks why would we take him into custody. Mrs. _____ replies, "for lying to the medical examiner in a death." Sgt. _____ replies, "Oh, I think I know who you are, you have a lawsuit with the city don't you maam." Mrs. _____ becomes very upset and starts yelling, she asks why the hell would that matter. Sgt. _____ replies that he wanted to be sure he was speaking with the correct person. Mrs. _____ continues asking about why he asked about a lawsuit. Sgt. _____ informs Mrs. _____ that because if she did have a lawsuit he would be limited on what he could say about certain matters. She continues asking if officer _____ is going to be arrested. Sgt. _____ explains that this matter and investigation is above him. He asks if Mrs. _____ would like to speak with the chief's office. She agrees and is transferred to Chief King's extension.

In reviewing the audio of these two telephone conversations it is apparent that Mrs. _____ is trying to elicit some type of reaction from the patrol sergeants by her questions and insistence that officer _____ be arrested. Mrs. _____ is fully aware of the process in which to file a complaint against an officer. She is also aware that this issue with officer _____ has previously been investigated by internal affairs, yet she keeps insisting to both sergeants that Officer _____ be arrested.

NARRATIVE FOR :

Ref: 16-23-IA

It is my opinion that Sgt _____ and _____ maintained professionalism when speaking with Mrs. _____ and _____ did not violate any rules and regulations or policies of the Pawtucket Police Department.

Pawtucket Police Department
Investigative Report

Page: 2
10/21/2016

Case #: 16-5-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
---	------------	-----	------	-----	-----	-------

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Home Phone (Primary)

BODY:

DOB:

LICENSE NUMBER:

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.

PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.

ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CITY OF PAI

401-727-9100

Ref: 16-5-IA

On March 9, 2016 at 1:24 pm I received a phone call from [redacted] wanted to complain about what he thought was racism directed at him by [redacted] explained that he was arrested based on a complaint filed with the Pawtucket Police by [redacted]. He explained that [redacted] had tried and failed to obtain a restraining order against him and that on February 19 the judge dismissed her attempt to get a restraining order. That same day [redacted] filed a report with [redacted] alleging domestic simple assault and domestic disorderly conduct (refer to report # [redacted]). [redacted] was subsequently arrested on an affidavit and arrest warrant [redacted].

I asked [redacted] why he felt racism was an issue in this incident and he relayed that the only reason [redacted] believed [redacted] complaint is because he ([redacted]) is black and the victim is white. I reviewed the offense report and the arrest report and spoke with [redacted] for approximately 22 minutes. During our conversation [redacted] disclosed that [redacted] may have an audio of the incident in question and that he was yelling at her and calling her names and that she started saying "ouch ouch", but that she was play acting.

On March 11, 2016 I spoke with [redacted] by phone and asked how he came to be the reporting officer for this incident. [redacted] explained that it was close to 4:00 pm when he received a phone call from [redacted] advising him that Victim Advocate [redacted] was bringing a female victim to the front office to file a domestic assault complaint and [redacted] asked [redacted] to review the report and to apply for an affidavit and arrest warrant. [redacted] realizing it was almost shift change volunteered to take the report.

[redacted] reports that he did take a written statement from [redacted] claiming physical abuse by [redacted] also reports that [redacted] played a video file on her cell phone and that the video was of poor quality but that you could hear a male identified by [redacted] as [redacted] yelling at [redacted]. Based on these facts he prepared an affidavit and arrest warrant.

I later spoke with [redacted] and explained that [redacted] followed protocol in handling this investigation in that he took a complaint from a witness, listened to evidence provided by the victim and applied for an affidavit and arrest warrant based on probable cause. He then submitted the warrant for review by a judicially authorized person. I explained that there are no indications that race had any impact on how this case was handled. [redacted] was satisfied with my explanation and seemed more upset that a complaint was taken for an incident that had occurred a month earlier.

While speaking with [redacted] I learned that he failed to seize the video file from [redacted] phone. He was instructed to retrieve this file as it is a crucial piece of evidence. This lapse of procedure was brought to the attention of Major Tina Gonsalves for chain of command discipline.

This matter forwarded to Chief Paul King for review.