ALAR CINCH

Modha Vishal Dhirajlal¹ 1.Senior Lecturer, College Of Dental Sciences, Amargadh, India

ABSTRACT:

Le fort I osteotomy is a commonly performed procedure among orthognathic surgeries and widening of the alar base is commonly encountered soft tissue change. Therefore interventions to prevent this complication has been suggested in literature. Techniques for controlling lateralization of the ala, including the alar base cinch technique, originally described by Millard, have been well reported by Collins and Epker and later modified by others.

Key-words: Le Fort I, osteotomy, Alar Clinch

INTRODUCTION:

Le fort I osteotomy is a commonly performed procedure among orthognathic surgeries. Soft tissue changes after Le Fort I osteotomy are widening of the alar base, nasal tip changes, flattening and thinning of upper lips etc. Among these, widening of alar base is most common.^[1,2] Excessive widening of the alar base and superior retraction result in deepening of the alarfacial groove, which may be undesirable and unaesthetic. Therefore intervrntions to prevent this complication has been suggested in literature. Techniques for controlling lateralization of the ala, including the alar base cinch technique, originally described by Millard, have been well reported by Collins and Epker and later modified by others.^[3,4,5,6]

METHOD

Different alar cinch techniques have been described by various authors. Different techniques were reviwed by the author.

The alar base is marked with 3 landmarks:

the nasofacial skin fold at the left alar base (point L), the middle of the columella (point M), and the nasofacial skin fold at the right alar base (point R).

The classic method of alar cinching (adopted by Rauso et al.) (figure 1) - this procedure is performed by using a 3-0 nonabsorbable suture to anchor the fibroareolar tissues under both alae. The fibroareolar tissue is identified bv applying pressure on the alae of the nose extraorally and observing tissue movement intraorally through the vestibular incision. The correct anchoring of the fibroareolar tissue is confirmed by applying tension on the cinch sutures and making sure that medial movements of both alae were similar. The 2 free ends of the sutures are then passed through a hole made in the nasal spine, making a knot.^[4]

The modified method of alar cinching (adopted by Rauso et al.) (figure 2) - -A needle is inserted through the skin at the nasofacial skin fold and exits through the

Dhirajlal M..et al, Int J Dent Health Sci 2017; 4(6):1475-1477

fibroareolar tissue. A non-absorbable suture without a needle is inserted through the needle from the oral cavity to the outside. The needle is retracted through point R without leaving the skin point, and then returned to the oral cavity in a medial position. Finally the needle is retracted from point R, leaving the suture through the soft tissue. The same procedure is repeated on the other side. The 2 free ends of the sutures are then tied together after passing through a hole made in the nasal spine. ^[4]

The classic method of alar cinching (adopted by Ritto et al.) (figure 3) - This procedure is performed in the following manner: An index finger is used to apply extraoral pressure on the alar base region, and a dentate forceps grasp this tissue through the intraoral incision. A suture bite is taken at this point through the tissue previously held by the forceps. The same procedure is applied on the opposite side. After passing the suture on both sides, it is tightened with attention to the alar base response. If the alar base suture is judged to be adequate, the vestibular incision is then closed in a routine fashion, without performing a V-Y lip closure.^[5]

The classic method of alar cinching (adopted by Nirvikalpa et al.) (figure 4) -This procedure is performed using an 18gauge needle, which is passed through the skin at the nasofacial skin fold through the fibroareolar tissue intraorally on one side. A thick suture bite is taken at this point. The same procedure is performed on the opposite side. Applying medial tension on the cinch suture and making sure that the medial movements of both alae are similar confirmed the correct anchoring of the fibroareolar tissue. The 2 free ends of the suture are tied together in the center.^[6]

The reinsertion method of alar cinching (adopted by Ritto et al.) (figure 5) _- A nonresorbable suture with a thick needle is inserted from the intraoral incision to the skin extraorally, exiting at the alarfacial junction. The needle is then reinserted into the intraoral incision through the same puncture site, and the direction was changed before exiting in the intraoral incision. After passing the suture on both sides, the sutures are tightened.^[5]

The modified method of alar cinching (adopted by Nirvikalpa et al.) (figure 6) -A needle is passed though the nasofacial skin fold and fibroareolar tissue, and a thick suture bite with 2-0 polyglactin 910 (Vicryl) through the tissue is taken at this point. After passing it through the other side, a third bite is taken through the nasal septum posterior to the anterior edge of the nasal septum. The suture is then tightened across these 3 points.^[6]

DISCUSSION:

Orthognatic surgeries carries heavy burden for post operative esthetics and patient expectations. As with any surgery, complications are common. Soft tissue changes after osteotomy and repositioning are well predictable, so surgeons can modify/add interventions to minimize or rectify those changes which compromise esthetics.

Widening of the alar base is common after Le Fort I osteotomy, specifically superior and anterior reposition of the alar base.^[2] The alar cinching is the method for rectifying this complication. Postoperative effects of alar cinch have been evaluated previously.^[8,9,10]

In classical method only a small amount of fibroareolar tisuue can be grasped, so alar base widening is not effectively controlled. Use or percutaneous needle

REFERENCES:

- The alar cinch in the flat, flaring nose. Millard DR Jr. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1980;65:669-672.
- Nasal anatomy and maxillary surgery, I: esthetic and anatomic principles. O'Ryan F, Schendel S. Int J Adult Orthodon Orthognath Surg. 1989;4:27-37.
- 3. An Alternative Alar Cinch Suture. Rauso R, Freda N, Curinga G, Pero CD, Tartaro G. Eplasty. 2010; 10: e69
- Comparison of two techniques of cinch suturing to avoid widening of the base of the nose after Le Fort I osteotomy. Rauso R, Gherardini G, Santillo V, Biondi P, Santagata M, Tartaro G. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010;48:356-359.
- Comparative analysis of two different alar base sutures after Le Fort I osteotomy: randomized double-blind controlled trial. Ritto FG, Medeiros PJ, de Moraes M, Ribeiro DP. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2011;111:181-189.
- 6. Comparison between the classical and a modified transseptal technique of

allows accurate identification and more tissue grasp. Making a hole in the nasal spine or anchoring sutures through nasal septum also provide effective and accurate siting of sututes and so more effective control of alar base widening postoperativerly.^[10]

We reviwed different techniques for alar base cinching presented by different authors. Effectiveness of each against one another is still a field to be addressed.

alar cinching for Le Fort I osteotomies: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Nirvikalpa N, Narayanan V, Wahab A, Ramadorai A. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2013;42:49-54.

- The alar base cinch: a technique for preventing alar base flaringsecondery to maxillary surgery. Collins pc. Epker BN Oral surg oral med oral pathol 1982;53549-538.
- The alar base cinch suture to control nasal width in maxillary osteotomies. Guymon M, Crosby DR, Wolford LM. Int J Adult Orthodon Orthognath Surg. 1988;3(2):89-959.
- Nasolabial morphology after Le Fort I osteotomies. Effect of alar base suture. Westermark AH1, Bystedt H, Von Konow L, Sällström KO.Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1991;20(1):25-30.
- Modified versus classic alar base sutures after LeFort I osteotomy: a systematic review Liu X, Zhu S, Hu J, Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2014;117:37-44