

Ed

> check out my file.
>
> Mike
>
>
> NOTICE: This private email message, and any
> attachment(s) is covered by the
> Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§
> 2510-2521, and is
> for the sole use of the intended recipient and contains
> privileged
> and/or confidential information. To all public servants,
> including but
> not limited to Federal, State, or Local corporate
> government(s): I
> accept your oath of office as your firm and binding contract
> between you
> and me, one of the People, whereby you have promised to
> serve, protect,
> and defend me, guarantee all of my unalienable rights, and
> defend the
> Constitution for the united States of America. Any/all
> political,
> private, or public entities, International, Federal, State,
> or Local
> corporate government(s), private International
> Organization(s),
> Municipality(ies), Corporate agent(s), informant(s),
> investigator(s) et.
> al., and/or third party(ies) working in collusion by
> monitoring My
> (this email) email(s), and any other means of communication
> without My
> express written permission are barred from any review, use,
> disclosure,
> or distribution. With explicit reservation of all My rights,
> without
> prejudice and without recourse to any of My rights. Any
> omission does
> not constitute a waiver of any and/or all intellectual
> property rights
> or reserved rights.
>
>
> On Monday, July 31,
> 2017 12:39 AM, ed johnston <edjohnston2003@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>

- > Please enjoy this a must watch,,,please enjoy
- > Interstate 60 (Full Movie)
- > James Marsden and Gary Oldman
- > <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AdoYBLrq-co>
- >
- >
- > Since the civil war their
- > are two constitutions first the 1778 ratified consituion
- > including nthe British elected and public servants
- >
- >
- > UNITED STATES is a
- > Corporation - There are Two Constitutions - Sovereignty
- > <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IVsMUPgdT0>
- > .
- > Aiding, abetting,
- > harboring, encouraging illegals a felony
- > "Any person who . . .
- > encourages or induces an alien to . . . reside . . . knowing
- > or in reckless disregard of the fact that such . . .
- > residence is . . . in violation of law, shall be punished as
- > provided . . . for each alien in respect to whom such a
- > violation occurs . . . fined under title 18
- >
- >
- > Introduction What is a
- > sheriff? ... History of the Sheriff; ... who assumed the
- > throne in the year 1871, the sheriff was responsible for
- > maintaining law and order
- >
- >
- >
- > 8 U.S. Code § 1324 -
- > Bringing in and harboring certain aliens |...
- > www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/8/1324
- >
- > 8 U.S. Code § 1324 -
- > Bringing in and harboring certain aliens. US Code · Notes
- > is an unauthorized alien (as defined in section
- > 1324a(h)(3) of this title), and. (ii). has been brought
- > into the United Title 8 USC, RSS Feed · Table of
- > Popular ...
- > 1907. Title
- > 8, U.S.C. 1324(a) Offenses | USAM | Department of...
- > www.justice.gov/usam/criminal-resource-manual-1907-title-8-usc-1324a-offenses
- >
- > Title 8, U.S.C. § 1324(a)
- > defines several distinct offenses related to aliens.
- > Subsection 1324(a)(1)(i)-(v) prohibits alien smuggling,
- > domestic transportation of ...

>
> "PEOPLE
> COMPELLED TO FILE INCOME TAXES VIOLATES THE 5TH
> AMENDMENT" Supreme Court ruled that income taxes
> constitute the compelled testimony of a witness: "The
> information revealed in the preparation and filing of an
> income tax return is, for the purposes of Fifth Amendment
> analysis, the testimony of a witness." "Government
> compels the filing of a return much as it compels, for
> example, the appearance of a 'witness' before a
> grand jury." *Garner v. United States*, 424 U.S. 648
> (1975). . . Established that wages and income are NOT
> equivalent as far as taxes on income are concerned.
> "Decided cases have made the distinction between wages
> and income and have refused to equate the two in withholding
> or similar controversies. *Central Illinois Public Service
> Co. v. United States*, 435 U.S. 21(1978); *Peoples Life Ins.
> Co. v. United States*, 179 Ct. Cl. 318, 332, 373 F.2d 924,
> 932 (1967); *Humble Pipe Line Co. v. United States*, 194 Ct.
> Cl. 944, 950, 442 F.2d 1353, 1356 (1971); *Humble Oil &
> Refining Co. v. United States*, 194 Ct. Cl. 920, 442 F.2d
> 1362 (1971); *Stubbs, Overbeck & Associates v. United
> States*, 445 F.2d 1142 (CA5 1971); *Royster Co. v. United
> States*, 479 F.2d, at 390; (4th Cir. 1973); *Acacia Mutual
> Life Ins. Co. v. United States*, 272 F. Supp. 188 (Md. 1967).
> Supreme Court ruled that: "Waivers of Constitutional
> Rights not only must be voluntary, they must be knowingly
> intelligent acts, done with sufficient awareness of the
> relevant circumstances and consequences.": *Brady v.
> U.S.*, 397 U.S. 742 at 748 (1970) (a) not effectively
> connected with the conduct of a "trade or business"
> (public office per 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(26)) in the United
> States (government),
> (b) not earned from sources within the
> geographical federal 5 territory. See *Newman-Green v.
> Alfonso Larrain*, 490 U.S. 826 (1989) "United States"
> defined in 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10),
> (c) not subject to
> reporting per 26 U.S.C. §6041 because not connected to a
> statutory "trade or business" (public office)
> (d) not subject to
> withholding because not statutory "income" per 26 U.S.C.
> §643(b) and earned by a "non-resident non-person
> non-taxpayer <http://new.oregontrackers.com/home.html>
>
> Subject: BREAKING NEWS:
> The U.S. Fifth Circuit admits the systematic misapplication
> of the income tax!
>
> THE FIFTH U.S. CIRCUIT

- > COURT OF APPEALS has issued a stunning ruling admitting that
- > the United States and the federal courts have been
- > systematically misapplying the income tax as a
- > non-apportioned direct tax for decades. The clear
- > implication is that literally trillions of dollars have been
- > improperly taken from their rightful owners.
- >
- > The further implication is
- > that hundreds of men and women-- perhaps even thousands--
- > have been victims of legal harassment and intimidation,
- > property seizures, character assassination and even
- > imprisonment, all based on a fraud. At the same time, it is
- > clear that the explosive (and, some would say,
- > republic-eroding) growth of the federal government over the
- > same period has been financed by this same scheme.
- >
- > THE PARADIGM-SHATTERING
- > ADMISSION by the panel of the circuit court (which has since
- > been replicated in other circuits, as well) came in a ruling
- > reported as Parker v. Comm'r, 724 F.2d 469. Alton
- > Parker, an otherwise unremarkable "Fifth
- > Amendment" tax protestor, had appealed a Tax Court
- > decision finding him liable for taxes on conceded taxable
- > activity.
- >
- > In the appellate court,
- > Parker raised an additional argument beyond the confused
- > notion that completing a tax form amounted to
- > "self-incrimination". Parker also squarely
- > challenged the appellate court with the assertion that, as
- > put by the panel, "the IRS and the government in
- > general, including the judiciary, mistakenly interpret the
- > sixteenth amendment as allowing a direct tax on property
- > (wages, salaries, commissions, etc.) without
- > apportionment."
- >
- > The circuit
- > court panel found itself unable to dispute Parker's
- > allegation, and ultimately admitted its accuracy.
- >
- > THE ADMISSION BY THE COURT
- > IS (perhaps unsurprisingly) circumspectly and even
- > deceptively made. It takes the form of a complete
- > misrepresentation of an old (but still standing and
- > widely-cited) ruling by the U.S. Supreme Court, declaring
- > the high court to have said exactly the opposite of what it
- > actually says. (See the misrepresentation, and what the
- > Supreme Court actually says, here.)
- >
- > Despite the awkwardness of

> this approach, however, the circuit court's evasion of
 > Parker's allegation constitutes a definitive admission
 > of its accuracy under routine principles of law. As the
 > Supreme Court puts it,
 >
 > "Indeed, as Mr. Justice Brandeis declared,
 > speaking for a unanimous court in the *Tod* case, *supra*, which
 > involved a deportation: "Silence is often evidence of
 > the most persuasive character." 263 U.S. at 263 U. S.
 > 153-154. And just last Term, in *Hale*, *supra*, the Court
 > recognized that "[f]ailure to contest an assertion . .
 > . is considered evidence of acquiescence . . . if it would
 > have been natural under the circumstances to object to the
 > assertion in question." 422 U.S. at 422 U. S. 176.
 > [footnote 3]."
 >
 > *Baxter v. Palmigiano*, 425
 > U.S. 308, 318 (1976)
 >
 > Plainly, an
 > outright falsehood in response to an assertion is the
 > equivalent of silence as meant in these statements of the
 > law by the high court. In fact, falsehood such as that
 > resorted-to by the Fifth Circuit panel simply makes clear
 > that the circuit court recognized its duty to have validly
 > objected to the assertion presented had it been able to do
 > so, thus making its failure to do so that much more plainly
 > an admission of the assertion's accuracy.
 >
 > IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO
 > PREDICT how extensively the Parker court's admission of
 > the misapplication of the income tax will be called-upon in
 > legal actions for redress sure to come from victims of what
 > is now acknowledged to have been abusive-- if not criminal--
 > behavior by government, tax agency, and judicial officials
 > under the auspices of tax law. No doubt the clamor will be
 > very loud indeed.
 >
 > lawful bloodline Americans
 > only.....Federal Immigration and Nationality Act
 > Section 8 USC
 > 1324(a)(1)(A)(iv)(b)(iii) original 1774 do you research
 > <http://www.americanpatrol.com/.../AidAbetUnlawfulSec8USC1324....>
 >
 > Because artificial
 > entities cannot take oaths, they cannot make affidavits.
 > See, e.g., *In re Empire Refining Co.*, 1 F. Supp. 548, 549
 > (SD Cal. 1932) ("It is, of course, conceded that a
 > corporation cannot make an affidavit in its corporate name.
 > It is an inanimate thing incapable of voicing an

> oath"); Moya Enterprises, Inc. v. Harry Anderson
 > Trucking, Inc., 162 Ga. App. 39, 290 S.E.2d 145 (1982);
 > Strand Restaurant Co. v. Parks Engineering Co., 91 A.2d 711
 > (D.C. 1952); 9A T. Bjur C. Slezak, Fletcher Cyclopedia of
 > Law of Private Corporations § 4629 (Perm. ed. 1992)
 > ("A document purporting to be the affidavit of a
 > corporation is void, since a corporation cannot make a sworn
 > statement") (footnote omitted).ROWLAND v. CALIFORNIA
 > MEN'S COLONY•506 U.S. 194, 203 (1993)PENAL CODE
 >
 > TITLE 7. OFFENSES AGAINST
 > PROPERTY
 >
 > CHAPTER 31. THEFT
 >
 > Sec. 31.01. DEFINITIONS.
 > In this chapter:
 >
 > "If money is wanted
 > by rulers who have in any manner oppressed the People, they
 > may retain it until their grievances are redressed, and thus
 > peaceably procure relief, without trusting to despised
 > petitions or disturbing the public tranquility."
 > Journals of the Continental Congress. 26 October,
 > 1774-1789. Journals 1: 105-113.
 >
 > Notice: All Rights
 > Reserved. Permission to distribute for non-commercial
 > purposes is hereby granted, in whole or part, provided
 > attribution and a link to this article is included.
 > Commercial distribution without the written permission of
 > the author is prohibited. This Public email message,
 > including any attachment(s) is limited to the sole use of
 > the intended recipient and may contain Privileged and/or
 > Confidential Information. Any and All Political, Private or
 > Public Entities, Federal, State, or Local Corporate
 > Government(s), Municipality(ies), International
 > Organizations, Corporation(s), agent(s), investigator(s), or
 > informant(s), et. al., and/or Third Party(ies) working in
 > collusion by collecting and/or monitoring My email(s),and
 > any other means of spying and collecting these
 > Communications Without my Exclusive Permission are Barred
 > from Any and All Unauthorized Review, Use, Disclosure or
 > Distribution. With Explicit Reservation of All My
 > Rights, Without Prejudice and Without Recourse to Me. Any
 > omission does not constitute a waiver of any and/or ALL
 > Intellectual Property Rights or Reserved Rights Notice.Copy
 > right lawful bloodline Americans , lawful Americans 2013*The
 > Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119
 > Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this

> “Message,” including attachments. The originator
> intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it
> may contain the originator’s confidential and proprietary
> information. The originator hereby notifies unintended
> recipients that they have received this Message in error,
> and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination,
> copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error
> shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and
> delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this
> message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended
> recipients. See: Quon v. Arch. Anything stated in this email
> may be limited in the content and is not to be taken out of
> context.**Wireless Copyright Notice**. Federal and State
> laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the
> originator’s full written consent to alter, copy, or use
> this Message. Originator acknowledges others’ copyrighted
> content in this Message. Otherwise Without Prejudice and
> Without Recourse to Me. Any omission does not constitute a
> waiver of any and/or ALL Intellectual Property Rights or
> Reserved Rights U.C.C, 1-207.1-308. NOTICE TO AGENTS IS
> NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS. NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS IS NOTICE TO
> AGENTS
> Home
> Edward M Johnston Has
> Filed in State of Oregon secretary office including this
> filed with the senate and house as you can see, Not one of
> the Elected and Public servants have disagree with the facts
> this public notice published for three weeks in...
> new.oregontrackers.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ICE not alerted when sex
> assault suspect released from jail in 2016, official says
> Posted on July 30, 2017 by
> Admin
>
> KGW
>
> PORTLAND, Ore. — A man
> accused of breaking into a 65-year-old woman’s home,
> sexually assaulting her and stealing her car was arrested
> after allegedly assaulting another woman and running from
> police.
>
> Sergio Jose Martinez, 31,
> was caught July 24 after officers chased him through a

- > neighborhood.
- >
- > According to court
- > documents filed in March 2017, Martinez has a history of
- > illegal entry into the United States. He has been a
- > transient in the Portland area for more than a year and has
- > been deported 20 times.
- >
- > Martinez has
- > at least five probation violations for re-entering the
- > United States. His most recent removal was in November 2016,
- > according to the March court documents.
- >
- > Immigration and Customs
- > Enforcement (ICE) lodged an immigration detainer against
- > Martinez, asking authorities to notify them before releasing
- > Martinez to allow ICE to take him into custody. The
- > Department of Homeland Security said a detainer was
- > requested for Martinez in December 2016, but he was released
- > into the community and authorities did not notify ICE.
- >
- > Earlier this year,
- > Multnomah County leaders and Sheriff Mike Reese wrote a
- > letter to the community saying, “The Sheriff’s Office
- > does not hold people in county jails on ICE detainers or
- > conduct any immigration enforcement actions.”
- >
- > Prior to the July 24
- > arrest, Martinez’s criminal record shows a felony
- > conviction for burglary and three misdemeanor convictions
- > for battery, theft, and obstructing a public officer. The
- > court document also said he told investigators in March that
- > he has a long history of using methamphetamine and was
- > currently using on a daily basis.
- >
- > The new
- > charges Martinez is facing include first-degree kidnapping,
- > first-degree robbery (two counts), second-degree assault,
- > first-degree sex abuse, first-degree sodomy and first-degree
- > burglary.
- >
- > Police said Martinez broke
- > into the 65-year-old woman’s home in the 1700 block of
- > Northeast Irving Street Monday morning and physically and
- > sexually assaulted a woman before stealing her 2011 silver
- > Toyota Prius.
- >
- > Court documents said
- > Martinez entered the woman’s bedroom through a window that
- > she left open due to the heat. He spoke “calmly and

- > quietly,” ordering the woman onto the ground, where he
- > tied her hands and feet, and blindfolded her.
- >
- > Martinez threatened to
- > kill the woman while he sexually assaulted her, according to
- > the probable cause affidavit. Before he left, he punched her
- > in the head several times and slammed her head onto a wood
- > floor.
- >
- > Martinez left, taking the
- > woman’s phone with him.
- >
- > She went to
- > a neighbor’s house to call 911. Police responded to the
- > home just after 6 a.m.
- >
- > The
- > woman’s car was found Monday night near Southeast 99th
- > Avenue and Stark Street.
- >
- > Later Monday
- > evening, officers were called to a reported disturbance
- > between a man and a woman in the basement of a parking
- > garage near the 2100 block of Northeast Halsey Street.
- >
- > Police said the suspect,
- > Martinez, was armed with a knife and he assaulted the
- > woman.
- >
- > Resources for victims
- >
- > Multnomah County
- > Victims’ Assistance Program: Provides support during the
- > reporting of sexual assaults and referrals to victim
- > services and resources: 503-988-3270.
- >
- > Call to Safety (formerly
- > the Portland Women’s Crisis Line): Provides access to
- > advocacy services, including confidential peer support,
- > information and referrals to community resources. 24 hour
- > crisis line: 503-235-5333, 1-888-235-5333, or
- > calltosafety.org
- >
- > Project UNICA (Proyecto
- > UNICA): Provides access to advocacy services for
- > Spanish-speaking survivors of domestic and sexual violence.
- > 24-hour crisis line: [503-232-4448](tel:503-232-4448), or [1-888-232-4448](tel:1-888-232-4448).
- >
- > © 2017 KGW-TV
- >

>
> <http://www.kgw.com/news/woman-65-in-ne-portland-reports-sex-assault-break-in-and-car-burglary/459231264>

>
> Essential CRITICAL FACTS

> For
> All Americans To
> Read

>
> From Dr Leonard
> Coldwell
> 12-29-12

>
>
> HERE ARE FACTS MOST
> DON'T KNOW, BUT SHOULD...

>
> 1. The IRS
> is not a US government agency. It is an agency of the IMF
> (International Monetary Fund) (Diversified Metal Products v
> I.R.S et al.
> CV-93-405E-EJE U.S.D.C.D.I., Public Law 94-564,
> Senate report 94-1148 pg. 5967, Reorganization Plan No. 26,
> Public Law 102-391)

>
> 2. The IMF (International
> Monetary Fund) is an agency of the U.N. (Black's Law
> Dictionary 6th Ed. page 816)

>
> 3. The
> United States has NOT had a Treasury since 1921 (41 Stat. Ch
> 214 page 654)

>
> 4. The U.S. Treasury is
> now the IMF (International Monetary Fund) (Presidential
> Documents Volume 24-No. 4 page 113, 22 U.S.C. 285-2887)

>
> 5. The United States does
> not have any employees because there is no longer a United
> States! No more reorganizations. After over 200 years of
> bankruptcy it is finally over. (Executive Order 12803)

>
> 6. The FCC, CIA, FBI, NASA
> and all of the other alphabet gangs were never part of the
> U.S. government, even though the "U.S. Government"
> held stock in the agencies. (U.S. v Strang, 254 US491 Lewis
> v. US, 680 F.2nd, 1239)

>
> 7. Social
> Security Numbers are issued by the U.N. through the IMF

- > (International Monetary Fund). The application for a Social
- > Security Number is the SS5 Form. The Department of the
- > Treasury (IMF) issues the SS5 forms and not the Social
- > Security Administration. The new SS5 forms do not state who
- > publishes them while the old form states they are
- > "Department of the Treasury". (20 CFR (Council
- > on
- > Foreign Relations)
- > Chap. 111 Subpart B. 422.103 (b))
- >
- > 8. There are
- > NO Judicial courts in America and have not been since 1789.
- > Judges do not enforce Statutes and Codes. Executive
- > Administrators enforce Statutes and Codes. (FRC v. GE 281 US
- > 464 Keller v. PE 261 US 428, 1 Stat 138-178)
- >
- > 9. There have NOT been any
- > judges in America since 1789. There have just been
- > administrators. (FRC v. GE 281 US 464 Keller v. PE 261 US
- > 428 1 Stat. 138-178)
- >
- > 10.
- > According to GATT (The General Agreement on Tariffs and
- > Trade) you MUST have a Social Security number. (House Report
- > (103-826)
- >
- > 11. New York City is
- > defined in Federal Regulations as the United Nations.
- > Rudolph Guiliani stated on C-Span that "New York City
- > is the capital of the
- > World." For once, he told the truth. (20 CFR
- > (Council on Foreign Relations) Chap. 111, subpart B 44.103
- > (b) (2) (2))
- >
- > 12. Social Security is not
- > insurance or a contract, nor is there a Trust Fund.
- > (Helvering v. Davis 301 US 619 Steward Co. v. Davis 301 US
- > 548)
- >
- > 13. Your Social Security
- > check comes directly from the IMF (International Monetary
- > Fund), which is an agency of the United Nations. (It says
- > "U.S.
- > Department
- > of Treasury" at the top left corner, which again is
- > part of the U.N. as pointed out above)
- >
- > 14. You own NO property.
- > Slaves can't own property. Read carefully the Deed to
- > the property you think is yours. You are listed as a TENANT.

- > (Senate Document 43, 73rd Congress 1st Session)
- >
- > 15. The most powerful
- > court in America is NOT the United States Supreme court, but
- > the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. (42 PA. C.S.A. 502)
- >
- > 16. The King of England
- > financially backed both sides of the American Revolutionary
- > War. (Treaty of Versailles-July 16, 1782 Treaty of Peace 8
- > Stat 80)
- >
- > 17. You CANNOT use the
- > U.S. Constitution to defend yourself because you are NOT a
- > party to it! The U.S. Constitution applies to the
- > CORPORATION OF THE UNITED STATES, a privately owned and
- > operated corporation (headquartered out of Washington, DC)
- > much like IBM (International Business Machines, Microsoft,
- > et al) and NOT to the people of the sovereign Republic of
- > the united States of America. (Padelford Fay & Co. v The
- > Mayor and Alderman of the City of Savannah 14 Georgia 438,
- > 520)
- >
- > 18. America is a British
- > Colony. The United States is a corporation, not a land mass
- > and it existed before the Revolutionary War and the British
- > Troops did not leave until 1796 (Republica v. Sweers 1
- > Dallas 43, Treaty of Commerce 8 Stat 116, Treaty of Peace 8
- > Stat 80, IRS Publication 6209, Articles of Association
- > October 20, 1774)
- >
- > 19. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IVsMUpPgdT0>
- >
- > 20. Britain is owned by
- > the Vatican. (Treaty of 1213)
- >
- > 21. The Pope
- > can abolish any law in the United States (Elements of
- > Ecclesiastical Law Vol. 1, 53-54)
- >
- > 22. A 1040
- > Form is for tribute paid to Britain (IRS Publication
- > 6209)
- >
- > 23. The Pope claims to own
- > the entire planet through the laws of conquest and
- > discovery. (Papal Bulls of 1495 & 1493)
- >
- > 24. The Pope has ordered
- > the genocide and enslavement of millions of people.(Papal
- > Bulls of 1455 & 1493)

- >
- > 25. The
 - > Pope's laws are obligatory on everyone. (Bened. XIV., De
 - > Syn. Dioec, lib, ix, c. vii, n. 4. Prati, 1844 Syllabus Prop
 - > 28, 29, 44)
- >
- > 26. We are slaves and own
 - > absolutely nothing, NOT even what we think are our children.
 - > (Tillman vs. Roberts 108 So. 62, Van Koten vs. Van Koten 154
 - > N.E. 146, Senate Document 438 73rd Congress 1st Session,
 - > Wynehammer v. People 13 N.Y. REP 378, 481)
- >
- > 27. Military dictator
 - > George Washington divided up the States (Estates) in to
 - > Districts (Messages and papers of the Presidents Volume 1
 - > page 99 1828 Dictionary of Estate)
- >
- > 28. "The People"
 - > does NOT include you and me. (Barron vs. Mayor and City
 - > Council of Baltimore 32 U.S. 243)
- >
- > 29. It is
 - > NOT the duty of the police to protect you. Their job is to
 - > protect THE CORPORATION and arrest code breakers. (SAPP vs.
 - > Tallahassee, 348 So. 2nd. 363, REiff vs. City of Phila. 477
 - > F. 1262, Lynch vs. NC Dept. of Justice 376 S.E. 2nd. 247)
- >
- > 30. Every thing in the
 - > "United States" is up for sale: bridges, roads,
 - > water, schools, hospitals, prisons, airports, etc, etc...
 - > Did anybody take time to check who bought Klamath Lake??
 - > (Executive Order 12803)
- >
- > 31. "We
 - > are human capital" (Executive Order 13037) The world cabal
 - > makes money off of the use of your signatures on mortgages,
 - > car loans, credit cards, your social security number,
 - > etc.
- >
- > 32. The U.N. - United
 - > Nations - has financed the operations of the United States
 - > government (the corporation of THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
 - > for over 50 years (U.S. Department of Treasury is part of
 - > the U.N. see above) and now owns every man, woman and child
 - > in America.
- >
- > The U.N. also holds all of
 - > the land of America in Fee Simple.
 - > Source: <http://home/iae.nl/users/lightnet/world/essays.html>
- >

- > The good news is we
- > don't have to fulfill "our" fictitious
- > obligations. You can discharge a fictitious obligation with
- > another's fictitious obligation.
- >
- > These documents are not
- > secret. They are a matter of public record.
- >
- > Simple words such as
- > "person" "citizen" "people"
- > "or" "nation" "crime"
- > "charge" "right" "statute"
- > "preferred" "prefer"
- > "constitutor" "creditor"
- > "debtor" "debit" "discharge"
- > "payment" "law" and "United
- > States" doesn't mean what we think it does because
- > we were never taught the legal definitions of the above
- > words.
- >
- > The illusion is much
- > larger than what is cited.
- >
- >
- > Dr Leonard Coldwell
- > Board Certified NMD DNM
- > PHD D.HUM LCHC CNHP DIP.PHC
- > www.drleonardcoldwell.com
- >