



# CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

## Intelligence Newsletter

*"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.*

**May - June, 1995**

### Oklahoma City Bombing

We here at Christian Crusade for Truth try to present in-depth analyses of situations that effect us as a Christian people. We attempt to show the reasons why situations occur and what their impact is on us. We want to be known for using analytical reasoning with as little emotion as possible. Obviously, and understandably, the disaster at Oklahoma City is wrought with emotion at this time. We need to sort out the facts and God willing, we will be allowed to present an article on this in the very near future. We are not procrastinating nor dodging our responsibilities to God or to you, our readers! *"Wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom: and with all thy getting get understanding ([Pro 4:7](#)).*

### History Repeating Itself

Our story on the War on Money and for the supreme control of the world continues. We naturally ask the question, "Have we gone through this before somewhere and sometime?" Jesus told us, *"And ye shall hear of wars and rumours of wars: see that ye be not troubled: for all these things must come to pass, but the end is not yet. For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom: and there shall be famines, and pestilences, and earthquakes, in divers places." ([Mat 24:6-7](#)).* The Christian people of the West, the people of the Bible, have been taught of the Four Horses of the Apocalypse, the White Horse of conquerors, the Red Horse of civil war, the Black Horse of famine and the Pale Horse of death.

Carroll Quigley, in his book *Tragedy and Hope*, shows that the White man has a different concept of time and his relationship to it than other peoples. The White man's concept of time encompasses the past, present and future. Quigley shows that the Black man of Africa, for example, does not include in his thoughts of civilization the history, nor the future, of his people. He thinks more in terms of only the present. The White man, in his natural setting, is taught to think not only of the present but of the history of his people and their future. There is such a thing as DNA race memory. The Bible is a history book of Western man and the lessons to be learned are for our understanding. *"Now all these things happened unto them for ensamples: and they are written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of the world are come." ([I Cor 10:11](#)).*

Why, then, do we persist in thinking of the Apocalypse as being only in the future. To do so the White man is not using all of his senses that Carroll Quigley defined. Have the tribulations that are upon us today ever happened before? If Paul's teaching found in [I Cor 10:11](#) is to be meaningful to us, we must then look back into history. When we study the history of our people, we can see the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse over and over. The principles taught in the Bible are never ending. They are just as valuable for us today as the day they were written. *"Jesus Christ the same yesterday, and to day, and for ever." ([Heb 13:8](#)).* It follows, then, that if we learn about what happened in history to bring about the

Four Horsemen and then the results of those epochs, we should have a better understanding of where we are heading today.

Perhaps one of the best examples of the Apocalypse started in the 14th century. It was the Pale Horse of pestilence (death) in that example. In the book *Travels of Ibn Battuta* (London: Cambridge, 1962), we find that the Black Plague (Bubonic) reached the West from Asia in the 1300's. Ibn-Battuta reported, "It devastated nations and caused populations to vanish...laid cities waste...obliterated roads, changed the entire inhabited world."

Civil order broke down because no one was left to enforce it. The terror of infection was so great that "brother was forsaken by brother and oftentimes husband by wife...fathers and mothers abandoned their children, untended, unvisited...The dead were thrown into pits piled up like merchandise in the hold of a ship. Peasants shut themselves into their houses and feasted on all the food and drink they had, convinced they would be dead before harvest." In Boccaccio's *Decameron* he tells of young people at the time of the plague isolating themselves in a country villa, making love and telling each other stories. Certainly for different reasons in today's society but the story in Boccaccio's *Decameron* should remind us of the situation of modern times. So many of our young people are totally disassociated with reality and it is partly because of one or more of the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse of today. Today the thought is, "Eat, drink and be merry, for tomorrow we die."

The Pale Horse devastated Europe in the early 1300's and from one-third to one-half of the entire population died before it ended. All classes suffered but the peasants in the rural areas the worst because of the basic living conditions. The result was an extreme shortage of labor. Because of that shortage, the peasants deserted the unpopular landlords and went in search of better conditions and pay. The Peasants' Revolt of 1381 was inspired by a priest named John Ball who preached that all things should be held in common and that all men were equal (Communism). The Black Death didn't bring about any great change for the better in government. There was only the temporary reign of demagogues who were no better than those they replaced. Europe was the same mass of quarreling political units after the Pale Horse as it was before.

However, because of that shortage of labor throughout Europe, there was one major change that took place. It was probably the greatest underlying cause that brought about the Industrial Revolution. Labor organized into crafts and unions. Then the Hanseatic League of merchants was created to make use (and money) from those crafts.

Florence, Italy, had become one of the leading banking cities of Europe. Because of the plague, those banks became destitute. But following the plague, the Florentines rebuilt using the wool industry as their base. Out of that came the super wealthy Medici family. From that connection, Thomas Cromwell came into power with Henry VIII in England. So "what goes around, comes around," *deja vu!*

The Red Horse of the Apocalypse, war, came about during the 30 Years War of Germany from 1618 to 1648. That war destroyed the majority of the people of Germany at the time. Because of it, many of the old families of the United States, including ours here at Christian Crusade for Truth, came to this country from 1630 to 1650 to escape its wrath. That war didn't create any better government either but it made huge sums of money for a few. Throughout history, the already wealthy took advantage of the tribulations with new ideas to make more money. Great epochs fell to be replaced with new eras, some for the better and some for the worse. The lesson to be learned is that the international money barons have always used tragedies to catapult themselves into more money and more power.

So where are we today? An epoch is ending. Carroll Quigley called it the era of Monopoly Capitalism. The entire world is writhing in agony as the old epoch dies. A new system of capitalism is now forming. Just as in the past, the money cartels will try to take advantage of it for their own benefit.

It has been said that the Twentieth Century ended on November 8th, 1994. What is meant by that statement? That election is said to have reversed a century-long trend towards big government. Federalism, the term for an all powerful, centralized government, was reborn at the beginning of this century, mainly here in the United States. The alliance between big government and big corporations in the United States became the undisputed boss of the world. When the United States sneezed, the rest of the world caught pneumonia. When the United States demanded something of another sovereign nation, they reluctantly but obediently obliged.

The Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse have been busy throughout this century just as they have in years past. In fact, there have been more people die (in terms of numbers but not percentages), mostly with the Red Horse of war, in this century than at any other period of time in recorded history. People of all ethnic groups and religious persuasions have been the target of the war-making machines of this century. Whatever the number really was in the so-called holocaust, the vast majority of those who succumbed were Christians. It has been conservatively estimated that well over 100 million Christians have been exterminated by those who create war since, but not including, World War II alone!

This century has experienced a significant increase in the number of earthquakes. From the tenth through the eighteenth centuries great quakes struck from one to three times each century. Great earthquakes are classified as being magnitude 8 or greater on the Richter scale. Great earthquakes are now occurring on an average of one or more each year. That is a significant increase by anyone's estimation.

Records show a rise in the number of devastating storms and floods, which have destroyed innumerable numbers of people along with their property all over the world. Famines have taken huge numbers of people, particularly in the third world nations. Only in the Western, more industrialized nations, has food production exceeded the demands.

Just as happened following the days of the Black Plague and the Thirty Years War, the "peasants have become restless," as the saying goes! Different peoples in nations all over the world are once again clamoring for their right to exist and survive. Additionally, they want their survival to be within their own ethnic group.

Because of the worldwide influence of the Big Government-Big Corporation alliances of the United States, the dreams of ethnic separation remained in jeopardy. As recently as the affairs in Somalia and Iraq, the average citizen in the United States has been willing for our country to be the policeman of the world. It is going to be a very hard pill indeed for Big Government to swallow but the vote in November, 1994 forced a change in their thinking. The Government of the United States, and those who from behind the scenes control it, are now unsure of their actions. From interviews with American citizens and results of opinion polls, the American people are no longer so flamboyantly eager to meddle in other people's affairs.

This is not going unnoticed by those who make and break nations with their money. Just as their forefathers did before them such as the period following the Black Plague of Europe, advantage is being taken by the money cartels of the current unrest. Indeed, they are once again using the dialectical materialism (controlled Hegellian dialectics) to take advantage of the ethnic unrest of the world's population. The situation in the old Yugoslavia is the case in point. That so-called war is as phony as Clinton's three-dollar bill!

[United Nations](#) forces are placed in jeopardy in Bosnia among hostile forces in an ethnic conflict as "observers." The UN is told repeatedly to stay out of their private affairs. The UN continues and increases their presence with increasing threats. The Serbs take UN troops as hostages and consider them prisoners of war. The United States sends aircraft into the area and one is shot down. Congress, now realizing the will of the American people following the November, 1994 elections so far (at the time of this writing) is refusing to allow the President to send troops into the area. The stage drama of this situation has not yet finished but if history is a teacher, attempts will be made to foment another significant war, perhaps even bigger than either World War I or World War II. There is a reason for this. As was discussed earlier, money in huge quantities is to be made whenever the Four Horsemen ride!

Epochs do not end and new ones start overnight. It takes years usually for this phenomenon to be realized. It would appear that the end of World War II was the start of the downfall of the epoch now ending. The money cartels were well aware of it even at that time because, as we now know, they have had so much to do with making it happen. Sometimes it is so difficult for us to understand but God is in command of whatever happens. His purpose is to teach us the simple axiom that we cannot have a peaceful, tranquil, happy, fruitful life as a people unless we do it His way, and only His way. *"Then Samuel took the horn of oil, and anointed him in the midst of his brethren: and the Spirit of the Lord came upon David from that day forward. So Samuel rose up, and went to Ramah. But the Spirit of the Lord departed from Saul, and an evil spirit from the Lord troubled him" (I Sam 16:13-14).* *"Shall a trumpet be blown in the city, and the people not be afraid? shall there be evil in a city, and the Lord hath not done it?" (Amos 3:6).*

As soon as we as a Christian nation decide that we will do it God's way and then firmly tell our government to stop doing what they are doing, it will stop. In a small way that is what happened on November 8, 1994 because there are still enough Christians in America who have a Christian ethic. *"Submit yourselves therefore to God. Resist the devil, and he will flee from you" (Jas 4:7).* Now if only the majority of our Christian brethren will understand that the "devil" there means any adversary and they most often wear three piece pin-striped suits, we can get on with bringing America back to a Christian Republic!

The book *Tragedy and Hope* by Carroll Quigley was either purposefully or inadvertently published to inform us what was about to happen to us. Inasmuch as we are a firm believer in the Hegelian Dialectics and its misuse in Marx's Dialectical Materialism, it is almost certain that Quigley wrote the book to be used to accomplish the desires of the money cartels. Clinton, for example, was a devout student of Quigley at Georgetown University. A particular passage from that instruction book says this:

"The economic disasters of two wars, a world depression, and the post-war fluctuations showed clearly by 1960 that a new economic organization of society was both needed and available. The *laissez-faire* competitive system had destroyed itself, and almost destroyed civilization as well, by its inability to distribute the goods it could produce. The system of monopoly capitalism had helped in this disaster, and clearly showed that its efforts, in Fascist countries, to protect its profits and privileges by authoritarian government and ultimately by war were unsuccessful because it could not combine conservatism in economic and social life with the necessary innovation and freedom in military and intellectual life to win the wars it could start. Moreover, Communism, on the winning side of the war, nonetheless showed that it, like any authoritarian system, failed to produce innovations, flexibility, and freedom; it could make extensive industrial advances only by copying freer peoples, and could not raise its standards of living substantially because it could not combine lack of freedom and force in political life and in the utilization of economic

resources with the increased production of food and spiritual or intellectual freedom which were the chief desires of its own peoples.

"This almost simultaneous failure of laissez faire, of economic Fascism, and of Communism to satisfy the growing popular demand both for rising standards of living and for spiritual liberty has forced the mid-twentieth century to seek some new economic organization. This demand has been intensified by the arrival on the scene of new peoples, new nations, and new tribes who by their demands for these same goods have shown their growing awareness of the problems, and their determination to do something about them. As this new group of underdeveloped peoples look about, they have been struck by the conflicting claims of the two great super-powers, the United States and the Soviet Union. The former offered the goods the new peoples wanted (rising standards of living and freedom), while the latter seemed to offer methods of getting these goods (by state accumulation of capital, government direction of the utilization of economic resources, and centralized methods of over-all social planning), which might tend to smother these goals. The net result of all this has been a convergence of all three systems toward a common, if remote, system of the future." End of quotes.

There are several important points that Quigley made here that tells us what they are planning. He says that the "laissez- faire competitive system destroyed itself, and almost destroyed civilization as well, by its inability to distribute the goods it could produce." It is important to note that it wasn't laissez- faire that caused any trouble. It was the control of governments through embargos, etc., that caused the problems of distribution. It is because of more government, not less government.

He wrote that monopoly capitalism helped in this disaster and, in Fascist countries, authoritarian government and war were unsuccessful because they could not combine conservatism in economic and social life with the necessary innovation and freedom in military and intellectual life to win the wars it could start. Knowledgeable observers of World War II will, without question, admit that Germany didn't have any trouble producing adequate weaponry.

He states that Communism was on the winning side of the war. Then he says that Communism failed to satisfy the growing popular demand both for rising standards of living and for spiritual liberty. This has forced the mid-twentieth century to seek some new economic organization. His statement in this regard at first appears to be an oxymoron. In reality he is right in that Communism is now the accepted way of life including here in the United States. It was bought and paid for by the unlimited sums of money we will be discussing shortly. A short 30 years ago those of us who were staunch anti-Communists were considered to be the true Americans and the Communists among us were the enemy. Now it is the reverse. Those who were the true Americans of 30 years ago and now criticize the government for acting as Communists are considered the enemy (racist, antisemitic, neo-nazi, extremist, separatist, etc.).

Another point must be made in this regard. Isn't it strange that the world is being forced into some new un-chartered waters of economics by the money barons based on their claims that the system of laissez faire has failed. They claim that laissez faire has failed to satisfy demands for a rising standard of living and for spiritual liberty.

We have already seen that this new economic system that we have been forced into has resulted in our standard of living going down rapidly as well as our spiritual liberty. Our Constitutional freedoms are being destroyed in the process. We don't have a decreased authoritarian government because of this new system. We have a government now that many throughout the world have termed "Fascist." Anyone who is an old-fashioned basic

Christian is now classified as a terrorist. So much for the accuracy of Carroll Quigley's statements. However, it is most important that he is telling us that the money cartels are creating a change in the economic system so that even more profits can be realized by their families. "Such a deal, I'm telling you. I guarantee it."

In past issues we have described the basic method of operation of this new economic system. It perhaps is in order to quickly review the systems. Finance capitalism was prevalent in the 1800's and it was a system of family ownership and capital, which started a business that grew because of inventiveness, hard work, productivity and persistence. Such enterprises may have been personally and privately owned or they may have been incorporated as a private, family corporation. Oftentimes, because outside capital was needed, they became public corporations and sold stock to those who had money. The perils in public stock are obvious but the system by and large was sustained. It is true, many of those who were in finance capitalism first obtained their operating capital through ill-gotten methods such as the Chinese Opium trade. That notwithstanding, there were many, if not most, who gathered their wealth honestly. Henry Ford, Thomas Edison, and others like them, are examples.

At the latter part of the last century, Monopoly Capitalism started its takeover. The perils of public stock became obvious. This was the system where competition was driven out by one family in the industry and great monopolies were formed. John D. Rockefeller and the oil empire he created is an example. Old John ruthlessly drove out all of his competitors through various means and most of them were dishonest, if not Constitutionally unlawful. There were literally thousands of assassinations throughout the oil industry during this time.

Then, things happened that caused those monopolies to fail. It wasn't because of anti-monopoly laws in themselves that did it. Those laws were part of the tools used to cause the change to the new system of Pluralist Economy. This is the system where outside money is used to purchase huge quantities of corporate stock in hostile takeovers of one corporation by another. Loopholes in the system were used to accomplish this. Leverage buyouts, derivative stock manipulations and buying on margins were systems used. But it took huge quantities of money to accomplish this. Where did it come from?

At the outset this may sound simplistic but bear with us! It all started with the Rothschilds and that statement in itself is simplistic! Mayer Amschel Rothschild I was born in Germany in 1743 and died in 1812. Rothschild was an Ashkenazi, also known as an Eastern Jew or from Khazaria. He married Gutter Schnaper in 1770 and they had a large family of five sons and five daughters. He was educated as a Rabbi but became the money lending agent for William IX, Landgrave of Hesse-Cassel.

Regarding Mayer Amschel and his relations with William IX, the *Jewish Encyclopedia* states this: "He became an agent of William IX, Landgrave of Hesse-Cassel, who on his father's death in 1785 had inherited the largest private fortune in Europe (estimated at \$40,000,000) derived mainly from the hire of troops to the British government for the putting down of the revolution in the United States...After the battle of June 1806 the Landgrave fled to Denmark, leaving \$3,000,000 with Mayer Rothschild for safe keeping.

"According to legend this money was hidden away in wine casks and escaping the search of Napoleon's soldiers when they entered Frankfort, was restored intact in the same casks in 1814 when the elector returned to the electorate. The facts are somewhat less romantic and more business-like."

Those are simply nice terms for saying Rothschild absconded with the money. Amshel gave the money to his son Nathan and directed him to take it to London and, again from the *Jewish Encyclopedia*, this is what happened:

"Nathan Rothschild invested it in 800,000,000 pounds of gold from the East India Company, knowing that it would be needed for Wellington's peninsula campaign. He made no less than four profits: (1) on the sale of Wellington's paper (which he bought at 50 cents on the dollar and collected at par); (2) on the sale of gold to Wellington; (3) on its re-purchase; and (4) on forwarding it to Portugal. This was the beginning of the great fortune."

Nathan was said to be very shrewd, unscrupulous and uncouth. Because of those "attributes" he parlayed the original \$3,000,000 to \$7,500,000,000 by the time of his death. This was just from the English branch of the family!

Amshel Rothschild initiated a very strict code of conduct and purpose within his family. That code was developed around four points. (1) The eldest son of the eldest son was to be the head and ruler of the fortune. (2) The inter-marriage of cousins was demanded. This was accomplished to keep the fortune within the dynasty. According to the *Jewish Encyclopedia*, out of 48 marriages, 29 had been between cousins. The other marriages were to influential and wealthy Jews to further garnish the fortunes into a consolidated total wealth. (3) The unity of the family fortune and its concealment was accomplished. (4) A perpetual family partnership was assured. Obviously, if the Christian family had more unity and less self-righteousness, we as a people would make their dynasty look like school children.

Lionel, Nathan's eldest son, succeeded him as head of Nathan's firm. Lionel loaned money to the British government, which included \$40 million for the Irish famine, \$80 million for the Crimean War, \$40 million to Egypt. He provided funds for the purchase of Suez Canal shares, the payment of the French indemnity to Germany and he directed the finances of the Austrian Empire. These "magnanimous" gestures earned him a seat in the British Parliament. That didn't come easy, though. He was elected the first time but he would not take the oath with a Bible with the New Testament in it! He was elected the second time with the same results. After his third election, they changed the rules and allowed him to take his oath without the New Testament included!

The English branch of the dynasty extends to the United States. Here in the United States, as of the time of World War II, the Rothschild dynasty owned the New York banks (with the possible exception of two) and included the control of the New York Federal Reserve Bank. They owned the insurance companies, the railroads, 70% of the steel industry, 80% of the press, news, advertising agencies, and 80% of the movie industry. At the time of World War II, it can be conservatively estimated that they owned one-half of the total wealth of the United States. Obviously, that wealth will now be much greater. It is estimated that the Rothschild dynasty owns over fifty percent of the wealth of the world. That seems preposterous until one realizes the complexity of their organization with the multitude of front organizations that act in their behalf. They still own and control those organizations and thus realize the profits generated by them.

That control of the American economy was gradual and thus the American people never realized what was happening, particularly since the news media was owned by these people. The book *Our Crowd* by Stephen Birmingham, Harper and Row, New York, reveals this early relationship between the Sephardic Jews from Western Europe and the Ashkenazi Jews from Eastern Europe. "The German Jews (Ashkenazi from Khazaria), by the 1870's, were called "Forty-Eighters," after the pivotal year of their migration from Germany. A

careful distinction was drawn between Jews of the "Nathan type," and those of the "Seligman type," between the "better class of Jews," and "vulgar Jews," between "Sephardic" and "German (Ashkenazi)," and, finally, between "refined Hebrew ladies and gentlemen" and "Jews." The more the Germans insisted that they were "Hebrews," not "Jews," the more the Sephardim tried to disassociate themselves from the accented newcomers by stressing their ancient Spanish heritage...It began to be clear that, no matter how much they might wish to be, immigrant Germans (Ashkenazi Jews) were not really quite "American."



August Belmont

Then an Ashkenazi man named August Belmont arrived among the Sephardics. Birmingham writes this about him, "The first thing New York society noticed about August Belmont was that he had lots of money. It was Rothschild money, to be sure, but he used it lavishly. As a financier with the funds of the world's largest private bank at his fingertips, he was immediately important not only to American companies but to the United States Government, which was always running out of cash and whose credit needed constant infusions from bankers. August Belmont became a figure, both as a host and as a guest, at New York parties. He spoke some Italian, a little Spanish, a little French, and all three languages with an atrocious accent, but nobody in New York knew the difference. It was exciting to hear him drop phrases in foreign tongues, and he was admired for his hand-kissing "Continental manner."

This was the start of the Ashkenazi invasion of the American economy and the change in the United States, and the world's, economic system. In very short order other names were added to the list; the Warburgs, the Shiffs, etc.

According to Judith Ehrlich, the author of the book *The New Crowd* (ISBN 0060973528), the Ashkenazi Jews (mainly the Rothschilds) now own most of the Wall Street firms. Through this ownership the change in shifting to the Pluralist Economy as reported by Carroll Quigley is secured. We should not be confused between the book *Our Crowd* by Birmingham, which portrays the wealth and power of the Sephardic crowd and the book *The New Crowd* by Judith Ehrlich. The title *The New Crowd* in itself tells us that there was a monumental change in the moneyed families in the United States.

The European branches of the Rothschild dynasty faired just as well. One of the Rothschild clan married into the Sassoon family. Through this connection they own and control the banks of India and China. In past issues we reported that the Sassoons were heavily involved in the Chinese Opium trade. Obviously that trade is continuing today with the United States and Europe being the target for their sales.

Cecil Rhodes (Rhodes Scholarship benefactor) was an agent for the English branch of the Rothschilds. In that capacity Rhodes, along with the Oppenheimers, were able to defraud the Boers out of the rights to the diamonds under their land in South Africa. There have been billions upon billions of dollars realized out of the sales of those diamonds by the Rothschild dynasty.

We hear so much about the English branch of the Rothschild family being so beneficial to the British Empire since they were and are ostensibly a part of their economic community. If that is the case, why is it then that England is practically destitute today with their Empire destroyed? The British Empire was destroyed because the Rothschilds absconded with the money! The Rothschild clan, are citizens of no country. Their allegiance is, and always has been, to political Zionism.

To this day England, and the United States Eastern Establishment, are living under the delusion that the English branch of the Rothschild dynasty operates their financial affairs to the best interests of both countries. Nothing could be farther from the truth. The stock markets, banks, insurance companies and the industrial infrastructure of both countries all work for the world-wide Rothschild Zionist Empire. That is a hard pill to swallow but neither of our countries control their own destinies.

Reviewing the lineage with respect to the financial operations (the nobility or kingship line is somewhat different), Amshel was succeeded upon his death by Nathan. Nathan married the sister-in-law of Moses Montefiore, a Sephardic Jew who at the time ruled the financial affairs of the British Empire, which really meant the entire world at that time. By that marriage the Sephardic and the Ashkenazi branches were united. In the [last issue](#) we discussed the dialectics being used in the contest between the Sephardic and the Ashkenazi Jews. It would appear that dialectical materialism is being used to create a synthesis to the liking of both sides of the house. It would then likewise indicate that the ongoing fight between the Grand Orient and English Freemasonry is dialectical materialism in action.

Back to the Rothschild clan. Nathan was succeeded by Lionel, the eldest son, who was the managing partner of the English branch at the time. Lionel was elected to the Parliament after some skullduggery over the oath of office and the Bible as previously mentioned. Lionel was succeeded by Lionel's eldest son, also named Nathan Mayer. This Nathan became Lord Rothschild I over the objection of Queen Victoria. She already realized the ill consequences that were looming on the horizon.

Nathan Mayer Rothschild (Lord Rothschild I) was succeeded by Lionel Walter Rothschild who became Lord Rothschild II. It was this man, Lionel Walter who was a very intimate friend of Theodore Hertzl, the founder of political Zionism and the dream for a Jewish homeland which was to be built with the plans of world domination, financial and political. It was reasoned by the Rothschilds that the financial aspects of world domination control the political affairs. The Golden Rule to them is, "He who has the gold makes the rules!"

Amshel Myer Rothschild, the originator of the dynasty, started his fortune using the money he absconded from the estate of William IX, Landgrave of Hesse-Cassel. It is poignant that the money originally came from King George III to pay for the Hessian troops acting as mercenaries fighting the Americans in our Revolutionary War. Was it more than coincidence that Amshel Rothschild also ordered Adam Wieshaupt to prepare the Illuminati documents undoubtedly using some of that money? Both England and the United States are now paying for that terrible mistake that King George made. In the book, *The Rothschilds*, J. Reeves wrote this, "To the Rothschilds nothing could have occurred more propitiously than the outbreak of the American revolt and that of the French Revolution, as the two enabled them to lay the foundation of the immense wealth they have since acquired."

Adam Wieshaupt was born in 1748. Wieshaupt was Jewish according to the Frenchman Pouget de Saint-Andre. He became a professor and a Jesuit and in that capacity, he taught religious law at the University of Ingolstadt in southern Germany. He founded the Illuminati on May 1, 1776 with only five members. There were only about 60 members by 1780. It was what they taught and their influence that is important. They taught revolutionary ideas to Masonic lodges and in 1777 he joined the Masons. By 1779, he was in control of the Grand Orient lodge named "Theodore of Good Counsel." This attests to his prowess as a teacher.

In 1782 Amschel Rothschild ordered Wieshaupt to his Frankfort address and hired him to organize the Grand Orient to do Rothschilds bidding in the World Revolutionary Movement. He did his work very well because he was able to cover Germany with enclaves

of revolutionaries and he was able to send thousands of assassins into Paris. Just as in Russia in 1917, these assassins were mainly Jews, undoubtedly supplied by Rothschild. Money was no object because the entire conduct of the French Revolution was funded by the Rothschild clan. Amshel simply ordered his brother Jewish bankers to submit money for the cause.

According to the book *The Illuminoids* by Neal Wilgus, Sun Publishing Co. Albuquerque, the subject of the Illuminati was discussed at all levels of society here in America. After the Bavarian suppression of the Illuminati caused by the arrest of an Illuminati courier traveling from Frankfurt to Paris with detailed instructions for the French Revolution, there was considerable debate on their influence here in this country. A preacher named Jedediah Morse, the father of Samuel F.B. Morse the inventor of the Morse Telegraph, gave a sermon on the evils of the Illuminatis. Jedediah charged that the Illuminati had infiltrated into the Masonic lodges here in this country.

President John Adams replied to the charges by implying that the Masons were capable of corruption and that they must possess the power of compelling all members to keep a secret. Thomas Jefferson replied by praising quotations from Weishaupt. George Washington replied that he, "did not believe that the lodges of Freemasons in this country had, as societies, endeavored to propagate the diabolical tenets of the Illuminati or the Jacobins." Of the three presidents, only John Adams understood the potential problems. John Adams was the only one of the three who was not a Mason.



Samuel F.B. Morse

Neal Wilgus reports that Michael P. Merlie of the University of Wisconsin conducted a genealogical study on the past presidents of the United States. He shows that, up through Jimmy Carter, out of the 37 preceding presidents, 21 were close relatives. Merlie reports that does not include the relationships through marriages. Those relationships would include the marriage, for example, of Nixon's daughter to the Cox and Livingston clans of Presidents James Monroe, Zachary Taylor and Taylor's third cousin, James Madison. That would also include Jefferson Davis of the confederacy since he was the son-in-law of Zachary Taylor.

According to Merlie, Thomas Jefferson and William Henry Harrison were near cousins and both of them were related to Andrew Jackson and John Tyler, all of whom descended from William Armistead. From the same family came Calvin Coolidge, Harry Truman, and Lyndon Johnson.

According to the *Masonic Temple Topics* of June, 1976, the following presidents were Masons: Washington, Jefferson, Madison, Monroe, Jackson, Polk, Buchanan, A. Johnson, Garfield, McKinley, T. Roosevelt, Taft, Hardin, F. Roosevelt, Truman and Ford.

Why is this knowledge important? It reflects the mental conditioning and thoughts of a significant number of our presidents with respect to the Freemasonry movement, both the English and the Grand Orient. It shows the relationship between the Rothschild dynasty and Freemasonry and particularly the Illuminati. George Washington's quote doesn't appear to be too critical of the relationship. We will probably never know if he, along with the rest of them, were knowledgeable of the Rothschild connection and the conspiratorial relationship to world Zionism.

*"Beware of false prophets (and presidents), which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravaging wolves. Ye shall know them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles? Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit. A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither can a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit. Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire. Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them"* ([Mat 7:15-20](#)).

From *Iron Curtain Over America* by Col. John Beaty we read this: "In a small but extremely valuable book, *The American As He Is*, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia University in 1908 called attention to the fact that Christianity in some one of its many forms is a dominant part of the American nature. Butler, then at the zenith of his intellectual power, expressed fear that our capacity to subdue and assimilate the alien elements brought by immigration may soon be exhausted. He concluded accordingly that the dangers which confront America will come, if at all, from within."

Was our Christian country being preserved to the best ability of our presidents? Again from *Iron Curtain Over America*: "...after 1880 and particularly in the first two decades of the Twentieth Century, immigration to the United States from Eastern Europe increased rapidly. The Eastern European immigrant Jews brought with them the worn out concept of a Jewish people. Soon these newcomers of nationalist persuasion actually exerted influence over the old and once anti-nationalist organization of American Reform Judaism. In the winter of 1941-'42 the Central Conference of American Rabbis had endorsed the campaign to organize a Jewish Army. The event indicated the capitulation of the leadership of Reform Judaism to Jewish Nationalism. Many American-minded Jews protested, but the voices were disorganized and therefore could be safely ignored. American Jewry had succumbed to the relentless pressure of the Zionists.

"Since the predominant new Jews consider themselves a superior people and a separate nationality (*Race and Nationality as Factors in American Life*, by Henry Pratt Fairchild, The Ronald Press Co., New York, 1947), assimilation appears now to be out of the question. America now has virtually a nation within the nation, and an aggressive culture-conscious nation at that."

From Col. Beaty's book we learn that the earlier Sephardic Jews in America were demonized by the Ashkenazis in much the same way as Christian conservatives are today, and even more so since the Oklahoma bombing disaster. Also note that these Ashkenazis were able to organize a Jewish army. Take note also that these same Ashkenazis are at the forefront of demonizing those Christians who are fulfilling their Second Amendment rights for the citizen's militia.

Since our presidents have come from Western European stock and particularly from Britain, their affinity for Freemasonry would most probably be of the English Freemasonry movement. Thus, the dialectical fight between English Freemasonry and the Grand Orient as portrayed in the book *Scarlet and the Beast* appears to be just that, smoke and mirrors. Albert Pike's *Morals and Dogma* is still the "bible" of both branches. Albert Pike is adamant about the destruction of Christianity. Is that not the same goal of this "nation within a nation" that Col. Beaty discussed?

It has been estimated that only about one in five thousand Masons realize what is happening. Most are ordinary people and they have no idea that they, too, are being manipulated for a sinister purpose. How much better would everything be if the Masons were taught The Body of Christ instead of The Brotherhood of man!