REC'D OSBORN MALEDON PA

JUL 3 0 2019

John E. DeWulf (006850)
Marvin C. Ruth (024220)
Vidula U. Patki (030742)
COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC
2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

T: (602) 224-0999 F: (602) 224-0620

5 jdewulf@cblawyers.com mruth@cblawyers.com

6 vpatki@cblawyers.com

Attorneys for Defendants

8

7

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Peter S. Davis, as Receiver of DenSco Investment Corporation, an Arizona corporation,

Plaintiff,

13 v.

Clark Hill PLC, a Michigan limited liability company; David G. Beauchamp and Jane Doe Beauchamp, husband and wife,

Defendants.

No. CV2017-013832

CERTIFICATION OF GOOD FAITH CONSULTATION

(Assigned to the Honorable Daniel Martin)

Undersigned counsel John E. DeWulf states as follows:

- 1. I am a partner at Coppersmith Brockelman PLC ("CB"), counsel of record for defendants Clark Hill PLC, David G. Beauchamp and Jane Doe Beauchamp (collectively, "Defendants"). I am one of the lawyers principally responsible for representing the Defendants in this litigation. The statements in this Certification are based on my personal knowledge. I am over 18 years of age and competent to make this Certification.
- 2. On June 28, 2019, I sent a letter to Yomtov Scott Menaged that requested his voluntary attendance at a deposition in this case. That letter specified why the Defendants believed Mr. Menaged was a relevant witness, requested that Mr. Menaged provide dates on

{00447104.1 }

- 1	
1	which he was available for a deposition, and gave Mr. Menaged three weeks to respond. That
2	letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
3	3. Mr. Menaged failed to respond to the letter, effectively denying the request to
4	appear voluntarily.
5	4. Because Mr. Menaged is incarcerated, and the inherent difficulties of
6	communicating with incarcerated persons directly, we do not believe it would be worthwhile
7	to engage in further communications with Mr. Menaged to try and procure his cooperation.
8	5. Counsel for the Defendants accordingly has attempted in good faith to resolve
9	the issues raised in the accompanying motion, none of which were successful.
0	DATED this 26 th day of July, 2019.
1	
2	By: /s/ John E. DeWulf
3	John E. DeWulf
4	
5	ORIGINAL E-FILED and a COPY
6	mailed this 26 th day of July, 2019 to:
7	Colin F. Campbell, Esq.
8	Geoffrey M. T. Sturr, Esq. Joseph N. Roth, Esq.
9	Joshua M. Whitaker, Esq. OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.
20	2929 N. Central Ave., Suite 2100 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2793
21	ccampbell@omlaw.com gsturr@omlaw.com
22	iroth@omlaw.com iwhitaker@omlaw.com
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff
24	<u>/s/ Tina Johannesen</u>
25	
26	

EXHIBIT A

COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN

John E. DeWulf

Jdewulf@cblawyers.com PH. (602) 381-5475 FAX (602) 224-6020

2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 CBLAWYERS.COM

June 28, 2019

Via U.S. Mail

Yomtov Scott Menaged Register No. 74322-408 FCI La Tuna Federal Correctional Institution P.O. Box 3000 Anthony, NM 88021

Dear Mr. Menaged;

My name is John DeWulf and I am an attorney in Phoenix, Arizona at the law firm Coppersmith Brockelman, PLC. My firm represents Clark Hill PLC ("Clark Hill") and one of its attorneys, David Beauchamp, in the lawsuit Peter S. Davis v. Clark Hill PLC et al. (CV2017-013832). As you know, the Plaintiff in the case is the court-appointed receiver for DenSco Investment Corporation ("DenSco"), with whom you signed a settlement and cooperation agreement on July 5, 2017. Mr. Davis is charged with recovering monies on behalf of DenSco's investors, andas part of efforts, he has sued Clark Hill and Mr. Beauchamp, alleging that they committed legal malpractice in representing DenSco and aided and abettedDenny Chittick's breach of fiduciary duties owed to DenSco.

Your involvement with DenSco, and the fraud you perpetrated on DenSco, makes you a relevant witness in lawsuit against my clients. Consequently, we are reaching out to ask for your consent in taking your deposition in this matter. Without your consent, we will be forced to seek a court order compelling your attendance at a deposition. Given the summer holidays and the schedules of the attorneys involved, we would likely schedule your deposition for early September 2019. Please let us know if you will voluntarily appear, and if so, whether you have any preference on dates for such a deposition. Your deposition will likely be scheduled to run for two days.

Time is of the essence, so your prompt response is appreciated. If we do not obtain your consent by July 19, 2019, we will request a Court order compelling your attendance at a deposition on dates of our choosing.

Yomtov Scott Menaged Register No. 74322-408 June 28, 2019 Page 2

Thank you for your attention to this matter. You may reply by letter, phone or email to the contact information listed above.

Sincerely,

John E. DeWall

JED/vlc

cc:

Colin F. Campbell, Esq. Geoffrey M.T. Sturr, Esq. Ryan W. Anderson, Esq.