Reviews

Andrew Robinson

All shook up



Quantifying quakesThe San Francisco
earthquake in 1989
measured 6.9 on the
Richter scale.

Richter's Scale: Measure of an Earthquake, Measure of a Man Susan Elizabeth Hough 2007 Princeton University Press

£17.95/\$27.95hb

336pp

The earthquake that launched the career of the world's most famous seismologist, Charles Richter, struck Long Beach near Los Angeles in 1933. With a magnitude of 6.4 on what would soon become known as the Richter scale, it killed 120 people and caused property damage estimated at \$50m in depression-era dollars, including the collapse of several poorly constructed schools. Only the lateness of the hour – just before 6 p.m. – saved hundreds of schoolchildren from almost certain death.

One witness was Albert Einstein, then a visiting professor at the California Institute of Technology in Pasadena about 30 miles from Long Beach. Einstein was walking across the campus after a seminar, chatting about earthquakes with Caltech's leading seismologist, fellow German-Jewish refugee Beno Gutenberg. Another professor approached them and asked what they thought of the earthquake. "What earthquake?" came the reply. Engrossed in their conversation, the two scientists had not noticed tree branches and power lines swaying around them. When Gutenberg reached the Seismological Laboratory soon afterwards, he told the story to his younger colleague Richter with considerable amusement. On returning home late that night, Richter's wife told him that their cat had "spat on the floor because it wasn't behaving properly".

Susan Elizabeth Hough's biography of Richter (1900–1985) is full of such engaging anecdotes. An experienced seismologist herself, based at the US Geological Survey (USGS) in Pasadena, Hough did not know her subject personally, but she has inter-

Richter is a dream subject, provided that the author has the skill to mould order out of such messy inner conflict

viewed virtually everyone who did. More importantly, she had access to the extraordinarily frank papers that the intensely private Richter deposited in the archives at Caltech before his death – presumably in the expectation that one day someone would write his story.

The resulting book – the first biography of Richter – is a major achievement, albeit with some serious faults. It will intrigue anyone interested in earthquakes, and it also offers some penetrating insights into southern Californian academic life. There was, it turns out, much more to Richter than his earthquake magnitude scale and a classic seismology textbook – if not quite as much more as Hough claims.

Richter used the new scale to measure earthquakes from 1932, but he published it only in 1935 – the date usually given for its inception - in a long paper in the leading US seismological journal with himself as sole author. As with many breakthroughs, its paternity soon became controversial. At the time, Richter was working closely with Gutenberg, who suggested that the scale should be logarithmic. A second Caltech colleague, Harry Wood, proposed the term "magnitude" in order to distinguish the concept from the long-familiar measurement of earthquake "intensity" based on the amount of damage caused to surface structures near the epicentre. Meanwhile, a 1931 paper by Kiyoo Wadati in Japan indicated how to correct for the distance of a seismometer from the epicentre.

Richter freely acknowledged these contributions, but nevertheless he "felt a unique sense of ownership about his scale", says Hough, given his enormous investment of effort in measuring earthquakes and calculating their magnitudes. She supports Richter's claim, but discusses fully and fairly the views of many seismologists that the correct name should be the "Gutenberg-Richter scale". The issue remains sensitive, with the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* attributing the "Richter" scale to both men.

Moreover, as the author clearly ex-

physicsweb.org Reviews

plains, Richter's original scale is no longer used by seismologists because it was explicitly based on a certain type of seismometer (the Wood-Anderson). This design has now been superseded by seismometers that can respond to the very lowest tones generated by earthquakes, which are a particular feature of the largest events. That said, "every magnitude scale used today can trace its lineage directly to Charles Richter's scale". It would have been better, in Hough's view, to have adopted the "modified Richter scale" as an umbrella term, and she reasonably forecasts that "Richter magnitude" will increasingly be replaced by just "magnitude" in the public reporting of earthquakes.

Earthquake prediction is the subject of an excellent chapter in the book. During the 1970s there was overweening confidence in scientific prediction, and Richter was reluctantly cajoled into commenting on the possibilities. Generally blunt in his assessments, he stated: "Only fools and charlatans predict earthquakes."

In a textbook published in 1958 he offers a vivid analogy for prediction: "One may compare it to the situation of a man who is bending a board across his knee and attempts to determine in advance just where and when the cracks will appear." Hough admits that seismologists are no further forward with earthquake prediction now than in the 1970s, but adds that a successful method of prediction may be possible in the future.

The seismology in the book is handled with great assurance, though there is scarcely any attempt to provide the basic information that nonseismologists will require. But the personal aspects of the story are not so successful. Richter was a complex, neurotic loner: the product of a dysfunctional family who remembered only one meeting with his father and used his divorced mother's surname; who possibly had an incestuous affair with his sister; and who certainly did not love his wife for long periods of their marriage. He was a keen nudist; a solitary mountain hiker; a gifted linguist who seldom left California; and, above all, an obsessive but unfocused writer, who slipped inadvertently into seismology from quantum physics while always feeling that his true vocation was poetry.

In some ways, Richter is a dream subject, provided that the biographer has the literary skill to mould order out of such messy inner conflict. Hough shows flashes of style, as when she writes of Richter as "a man whose brain, while extraordinarily nimble, was also extraordinarily wired", but too much of her prose is poorly structured, leaden, repetitive and burdened with political correctness. With sympathetic but severe editing, *Richter's Scale* could have been consistently enjoyable as well as deeply researched.

Andrew Robinson is the author of Earthshock and five biographies, including Einstein:
A Hundred Years of Relativity and The Last Man Who Knew Everything: Thomas Young.
He was formerly literary editor of The Times Higher Education Supplement, e-mail andrew.robinson33@virgin.net

Between the lines



Headline science
The Big Bang through
the eyes of the *Sun*.

Read all about it

"Mass hysteria: boffins go barmy over baffling formula." If tabloid newspaper the Sun had been around in 1905, that might have been the headline covering Einstein's equation $E = mc^2$. In Giant Leaps, Sun journalist John Perry has teamed up with Jack Challoner from the Science Museum in London to imagine 60 newspaper front pages on "science's hottest stories from the past 14.6 billion years". Starting with the simple headline "BANG" (dateline Monday 23 November 14591689406BC), the book takes us through scientific and technological breakthroughs such as the invention of iron smelting ("Oresome"), the printing press ("Inkredible") and the steam train ("Chuffed to bits") in the Sun's inimitable style. While the headlines are tongue-in-cheek, each mockedup front page is accompanied by an explanation of the science behind the story. The book reaches the 1990s with the Web and cloning, and then offers some glimpses of the future – a colony on Mars in 2046 and contact with aliens in 2306.

• 2006 Boxtree 144pp £12.99pb

Immortal questions

Marcus Chown's new book The Never-Ending Days of Being Dead attempts to address the "ultimate questions": What is beyond the edge of the universe? Why do we experience a past, present and future? What are the limits of what we can know? Whether such philosophical inquiries really represent the "dispatches from the front line of science" of the book's subtitle is debatable. As New Scientist writer Chown explains in the introduction, such questions are not necessarily linked to one another, which gives the book a disjointed feel. Readers may also be surprised to learn that the existence of an infinite number of versions of themselves is "an unavoidable consequence of the standard theory of our universe". But Chown does cover a range of intriguing, if controversial, ideas, with the title of the book coming from US physicist Frank Tipler's "Omega Point" theory, which suggests that when you die you will be reincarnated in a computer simulation.

• 2007 Faber and Faber 256pp £15.99hb

Slimy science

What you need: aquarium air pump, thread reel, thread, and elastic band. Those are the ingredients for a rope ratchet motor, just one of the science demonstrations in Neil Downie's Exploding Disk Cannons, Slimemobiles and 32 Other Projects for Saturday Science. The experiments are not all for the faint-hearted - "first, dismantle the aquarium pump" begins this one but they are certainly informative; industrial chemist Downie provides detailed explanations of the science and mathematics behind each. This is the third of Downie's books inspired by the Saturday morning science club he runs for a group of schoolchildren in his hometown of Guildford, near London. He plans at least one more, but promises that none of the experiments are duplicated. In case you were wondering, a slimemobile is "a kind of hovercraft whose propulsion function is handled in the normal way by a propeller, but whose hover function is provided by injecting a high-viscosity liquid slime". 2006 Johns Hopkins University

 2006 Johns Hopkins University Press 312pp £13.50/\$19.95pp

Physics World January 2007