



## CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

### Intelligence Newsletter

*"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.*

**September - October, 1990**

### **More On Martial Law**

As we come closer and closer to the actual day that our government will declare Martial Law over the citizens of the United States, we must become more alert with each passing day. It is not enough to say, "They won't bother me, I haven't done anything wrong or illegal." Martial Law is Martial Law and every "inalienable" right that you ever thought you had will disappear instantly. You will be under the direct supervision of a military governor and he, in turn, will be supervised by what is called in Russia, the Political Commissar.

No one can predict the incident or situation that will trigger the calling of the national emergency. If the sheer stupidity that is being displayed in the Mideast right now further degenerates into a hopeless situation for Bush, he might make a pre-emptive strike on Iraq. That would be enough to call for the national emergency. If Israel made a pre-emptive strike on Iraq, our government would probably call for the national emergency. The reason for this is that there is a far greater number of Americans who understand the truth about the Mideast than there were in the Vietnam era. Even so, if there had been the [Federal Emergency Management Agency \(FEMA\)](#), in its present state of readiness, during the Vietnam conflict, we would have undoubtedly seen Martial Law at that time.

We normally think of Martial Law as being used for the purposes of preventing the "enemy" from doing harm to our facilities and people during a conflict. Today, that is not the case. The following information is being provided to you for your own protection and planning.

Information is continually being received that indicates that our military and police are being trained in SWAT tactics that is not conventional in American thinking. For example, in one of the Northwestern states recently, several young military personnel revealed to a shop owner specializing in outdoor equipment that they had attended a school in Virginia for the purpose of teaching SWAT tactics to other military personnel including Army, Navy, Air Force and Coast Guard.

After considerable discussion with the shop owner, they revealed that the purpose was, in the event that Martial Law was instituted, that certain segments of the civilian population would be placed under control (detention).

The shop owner then attended a Soldier Of Fortune convention in Las Vegas, Nevada. He visited with some of the S.O.F. people and mentioned the earlier visit in his shop by the military personnel. They seemed quite surprised and asked him where he got the information. Unfortunately, he told them. They then revealed that they did know about the training program and stated that they had planned on doing an article on the subject in an upcoming edition of their magazine. They had received a "visit" and were warned not to publish the article!

The vital information that was received in this exchange is that the primary target for the military training in SWAT tactics would be the Christian patriots, if Martial Law were implemented.

In all of the wars of the past, it has been the Christian patriot at the forefront of battle, fighting for his nation. We have all lost fathers, sons and brothers in these wars. We went into battle even when we knew that the government was not totally truthful as to why we should be going to war.

Why is the Christian patriot now the target? It is because of communication, knowledge, wisdom and understanding. "*Wisdom is the principal thing; therefore get wisdom: and with all thy getting Get understanding.*" ([Proverbs 4:7](#)) We understand that we are no longer a Christian republic.

In the article "Is Martial Law Near?" in the [May-June, 1990 issue](#) we discussed the findings of us ministers who travel to Washington to talk with the congressmen and senators. In that article we revealed that we learned that the congressmen and senators have a pool of legislative aides and assistants who do most of the legislative action. In simplistic terms, they run Congress. It was also shown that a significant share of these aides do not have, as their primary goal, the preservation of the Christian American way of life. That also seems hard to believe. But some more knowledge and understanding has come to light.

The October 1, 1990 issue of *New American View* has a very interesting article titled "Mossad Exposed." Extracted from this article is some very interesting information.

"Victor Ostrovsky's sudden best seller, *By Way of Deception*, is a troubling book. In exposing to the world the Mossad's crude and often brutal operational methods and techniques, the author confirms our worst fears. The strength of the Israeli clandestine intelligence apparatus is not grounded in the competency or cleverness of its professional staff. Rather, it is based on the work of the tens of thousands of Jewish volunteers worldwide-but mostly in the U.S.-who serve as *sayanim*, or secret volunteers, for the Mossad in the 'target' countries in which these Jews live and to whom they feign allegiance."

"The U.S., according to the author, is crawling with Jewish American volunteer agents in all sectors of the economy and at all levels of the society, who are on call to the Mossad."

"To exploit this decidedly advantageous situation, the Mossad assigns between 24 and 27 veteran field officers-under deep cover-to its 'playground' in Washington and New York. (By comparison, Los Angeles apparently is just a sandbox.) This elite group of clandestine operators is called *AI*, Hebrew for 'above' or 'on top.' The author gives several disturbing examples of *AI*'s covert operations."

'Say, for example, there's a senator on the arms (Armed Services) committee who interests Mossad,' writes Ostrovsky. '...That senator's paperwork, anything happening in his office, would be important information, so (a Jewish) aide would be approached as a *sayan* (volunteer). Otherwise, the person would be recruited as an agent, or even just a friend, with whom to mingle and listen.'

"*AI* operators, like all Mossad case officers, are big spenders when it comes to buying information and corrupting sources, says the author. Considering the large number of Jewish aides working on Capitol Hill, many of whom are self-proclaimed Zionists, *AI* evidently has little trouble recruiting volunteers, or bribing those who need a more encouragement, to serve their beloved Jewish homeland at the expense of America. The

volunteers, however, do not see themselves as traitors. Instead, they think of themselves as heroes or princes-much the way Jonathan Pollard and his wife viewed their treason against the U.S."

"Another example of *AI's* activity, according to Ostrovsky, was the 'theft of research material from...major U.S. aircraft manufacturing firms to help Israel secure' a \$100 million contract in 1986-87 to supply the U.S. Navy and Marine Corps with small unmanned reconnaissance aircraft, or drones. The stolen technology allowed Israeli firms to underbid their American competitors. Nevertheless, a substantial bribe-some \$2 million- was required to finally convince Pentagon officials to select the Israeli model over the American entries. The Israeli reconnaissance drone is now in use by U.S. forces operating in the Persian Gulf area." End of quotes. *The New American View*, P.O. Box 999, Herndon, VA.

So, there does exist a force in Washington that influences our Congress in many different ways. It is obvious that, if the Mideast crisis evolves into the expected Islamic Jihad, Israel must do anything and everything to assure that the United States will assist them in that conflict. All opposition within the United States must be crushed in any expedient manner. The amount and intensity of opposition that existed during the Vietnam conflict must not be allowed. It will be amazing to watch the "doves" of the Vietnam era turn into "hawks" in the Mideast.

A national emergency will be called at the slightest provocation. This will be the rationale to round-up all dissidents and place them in the concentration camps that H.R. 4079 describes. Congress already has numerous gun bills in preparation for that day. All of this will give these newly trained SWAT teams something to do. And all of this is being orchestrated with the help of the sayanim under the leadership of the Mossad.

## Lesson In History Part 10

In previous lessons in history we have stated that the government of the United States (as well as our people) have become Talmudic in concept and action. These statements seem so foreign to a nation that considers its roots to be Christian. Many queries have been made by you readers for an explanation of what is meant by a Talmudic government concept. Consequently this Lesson In History will be dedicated to explaining the concept and reviewing the growth of Talmudism in the United States.

As Solomon said in the Book of Ecclesiastes, *"The thing that hath been, it is that which shall be; and that which is done is that which shall be done: and there is no new thing under the sun"* ([Ecl 1:9](#)). The concept of a Talmudic government has all been done before many times and we will review some of it. The principles written in the Word of God are inviolate and we cannot escape them.

What was Jesus talking about in [Matthew 23:13](#) when he said, *"But woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye shut up the kingdom of heaven against men: for ye neither go in yourselves, neither suffer ye them that are entering to go in."* What did He mean by "kingdom of heaven?" It is that system of government here on earth that God has given us to follow. If we have a system of government that follows His precepts, we are blessed. If we deny His concepts we are cursed. It's that simple. [Deuteronomy 28](#) tells it all. Jesus said that the Pharisees were making the commandments of God of none effect by the traditions of men.

In the book *The Wisdom of the Talmud* by Rabbi Ben Zion Bokser we read, "Prior to the destruction of the Temple in 70 C.E. (A.D.) the state was intermittently under the influence of the Pharisees, the forerunners of the rabbis who were the great builders of Talmudic

law....Talmudic law came into its own after the destruction of the Temple. In the limited autonomy enjoyed by the Jewish community in Palestine and Babylonia, Jewish law was given far-reaching scope; and that law was the law as interpreted and administered by the rabbis." [1](#)

Rabbi Bokser should have included the Roman Empire along with Palestine and Babylonia. In the very comprehensively-written book, *The Jews in Roman Imperial Legislation* by Ammon Linder, we find unequivocal evidence that the laws of the Roman Empire were materially influenced by the Talmudic concepts of law. [2](#)

Thus we see something in common with each of these empires. They all fell ignominiously into ruin, never to return to the greatness they once had.

Has the Christian West fallen from its zenith of power and respect? Will it have been for the same reason, that of "making the Word of God of none effect?"

Oswald Spengler wrote in *The Decline of the West*, "I see in you all the characteristic stigma of decay. I can prove that your great wealth and your great poverty, your capitalism and your socialism, your wars and your revolutions, your atheism and your pessimism and your cynicism, your immorality, your broken-down marriages, your birth control, that is bleeding you from the bottom and killing you off at the top in your brains-can prove to you that these are characteristic marks of the dying ages of ancient states-Alexandria and Greece and neurotic Rome." [3](#)

What is it that causes once great and fruitful empires to fall? Isn't it when men and their rulers begin to believe that they can modify the Laws of God to conform to their own extenuating circumstances? Isn't it nothing but a repeat of the principle that we learned from [Genesis 3](#) when Eve took from the tree of knowledge and thought she could discern for herself what was good and evil?

The Talmud is a huge comprehensive compilation of ideas and thoughts on the Divine Laws of God and how they can be modified to conform to the extenuating circumstances as required. Any nation that modifies or removes the Laws of God from their plan of government and replaces them with any or all of the concepts of the Talmud is destined to fall. However, the Talmudists have always survived the fall of the nation and civilization because it is a government in itself; a government within a government and a government above a government. The government and the nation that the Talmud has influenced will fall but the Talmud itself remains intact. It was developed over a span of many years with the thought of survival for its people.

Returning to *The Wisdom of the Talmud* by Rabbi Bokser we will read of the modifiers that change the black and white of the Laws of God to the grey areas that destroy the nation.

"The pressure of a higher moral standard inspired the Talmudic liberalization of the Jewish criminal code. Capital punishment is provided in the Bible for a variety of crimes. But the rabbis, as we have already noted, found capital punishment reprehensible, and they rendered it almost inoperative by hedging it with conditions that made of the old law a dead letter. Thus they insisted that the commission of the culpable act must be preceded by a warning and by an expression of defiance on the part of the criminal in the face of that warning." (Sanhedrin 40a)

So here we have the statement for the desire of a "higher moral standard" on the part of the Pharisees and Talmudists. There is no higher moral standard than that of God's

Word. We may not like to read of the so-called harsh renderings of justice that God demands but whenever we fail to heed them we fall as a civilization.

What does God say? *"Whoso sheddeth man's blood by man shall his blood be shed."* ([Genesis 9:6](#)) *"So these things shall be for a statute of judgment unto you throughout your generations in all your dwellings. Whoso killeth any person, the murderer shall be put to death."* ([Numbers 35: 29-30](#))

It is the obligation of Christian government to provide for the execution of murderers. The officer required to execute the criminal guilty of a capital crime is exonerated from guilt: *"If the revenger of blood (the executioner) kill the slayer; he shall not be guilty of blood."* ([Numbers 35:27](#))

These laws pertain to a country in a tranquil state of peace. They DO NOT pertain to a state of war either within or without the country. Thus we have the stories of Ehud and Eglon as well as Jael and Sisera. This is not murder but killing in times of war.

The Laws of God are also specific about kidnapping and rape. These are death sentences "to remove the evil from among you."

Rabbi Bokser's book states, "Thus Rabbi Eliezer, who was an aristocrat, exempted arms from the prohibition of carrying unnecessary objects on the Sabbath. He regarded them as ornaments and they were to be worn as a normal part of a person's apparel. His colleagues, representing the point of view of the common people, forbade it. Citing the prophetic contempt for war and its implements, they branded the wearing of arms as a disgrace." (Mishnah, Shabbat 6:4)

In the book of Luke at the time of the last supper, Jesus spoke, *"And he said unto them, When I sent you without purse, and scrip, and shoes, lacked ye anything? And they said, Nothing. Then said he unto them, But now, he that hath a purse, let him take it, and likewise his scrip: and he that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one."* ([Luke 22:35-36](#))

Our forefathers, not only here in the United States but in all of the nations of the Christian West, knew this principle of God very well. They knew that to conquer a nation it must first be disarmed. The American people refuse to be disarmed, in open defiance to Sen. Metzenbaum's efforts and others like him who have the Talmudic concept of government.

Now, let's look at welfare. The book *The Wisdom of the Talmud* says, "The Talmud gives evidence of a continuously growing program of welfare legislation, in which ever wider sectors of social life were brought under the control of a law, whose motivating impulse was the welfare of the common man. Thus the law empowered the community to assume responsibility for elementary education and poor relief. It authorized the supervision of weights and measures, and of fair wages and prices to prevent unethical business practices." (Baba Batra 21a, 8b; Ketubot 49b)

Again, the Word of God says, *"And when ye reap the harvest of your land, thou shalt not wholly reap the corners of thy field, neither shalt thou gather the gleanings of thy harvest. And thou shalt not glean thy vineyard, neither shalt thou gather every grape of thy vineyard; thou shalt leave them for the poor and stranger: I am the Lord your God."* ([Lev 19: 9-10](#))

*"Thou shalt not pervert the judgment of the stranger, nor of the fatherless; nor take a widow's rainment to pledge...When thou cuttest down thine harvest in thy field, and hast*

*forgot a sheaf in the field, thou shalt not go again to fetch it: it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless, and for the widow: that the Lord thy God may bless thee in all the work of thine hands. When thou beatest thine olive tree, thou shalt not go over the boughs again: it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless, and for the widow. When thou gatherest the grapes of thy vineyard, thou shalt not glean it afterward: it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless, and for the widow." (Deu 24: 17, 19-21)*

*"And the Lord spake unto Aaron, saying, ...And that ye may teach the children of Israel all the statutes which the Lord hath spoken unto them by the hand of Moses." (Lev 10: 11)*

In the past, the Christian church has always taken care of its own poor and provided for the welfare of the people. The body of Christ has always provided for the education of our children. From the earliest days in America, the teaching of the children was from books such as McGuffey's Reader and the Holy Bible. It is even now said among our people that the best way to teach a child to read is to teach him to read the Bible. It is a gift of God.

It is demeaning and humiliating to force our poor to stand in line at the government welfare office to receive their sustenance. The system germinates fraud and theft by otherwise able bodied people. It creates a system of perpetual poor whose sole ambition is to have children who will likewise have the "profession" of being on welfare. It causes distrust, disgust and anger among the average citizen who, living in a modest home, has to pay the taxes that builds the expensive, highly modern, public housing-which is far better than their own home.

And again from Rabbi Bokser's book *The Wisdom of the Talmud* we read the following which says it all, "The judge served in effect as a CREATOR of law and not only as its interpreter-a phenomenon which has been duplicated in every system of jurisprudence."

As our once great Christian Republic fell deeper and deeper under the spell of the Talmudic concept of law, we see the statement by Bokser that "The judge served in effect as a creator of law and not only as its interpreter..." becoming more and more the situation in the country. The "separation of powers" concept within our system has been totally destroyed because of this Talmudic principle. A great share of our Legislators are also lawyers. They belong to the American Bar Association and they are members of the bar. That makes them automatically a part of the Judicial Branch of government. They know that one day they will return to being a lawyer and they will serve under a judge. Thus, every law they enact, they think in terms of the Judicial Branch and whether the judge will accept it or not.

In Bokser's chapter titled Social Welfare and Personal Freedom we read of a Talmudic concept that has caused revolutions and insurrections among our Christian forefathers for centuries. "The social process frequently brings individuals into a position where they exercise power over the lives of others. In the social theory of Talmudic Judaism, it then becomes the task of the community to develop such instruments of social control as will rationalize that power with moderation and justice."

"The Talmudists declared individual property rights as subject to their consistency with the public welfare. When it is to serve the public interest, these rights may be modified or suspended altogether. Basing its action on this principle, Talmudic legislation regulated wages and hours of labor, commodity prices and rates of profit. They held it was similarly the task of the community to provide other facilities for promoting the public welfare, such as public baths, competent medical services, and adequate educational facilities for all, at least on an elementary level." (Jebamot 89b; Baba Batra 8b; Sanhedrin 17b)

When and where in the history of our people did this Talmudic principle cause an insurrection that has been the shining example of our continuing fight for individual freedom and liberty?

To find the answer, we must go back to England in the time of King William, the Conqueror. William was the last of the Norsemen from the area of Normandy, France. This part of France had been conquered and settled by the Norse people (Scandinavian) many years before. Writing about William, "The very spirit of the sea-wolves who had so long lived on the pillage of the world seemed embodied in his gigantic form, his enormous strength, his savage countenance, his desperate bravery, the fury of his wrath, the ruthlessness of his revenge." [4](#) This was the disposition of the man who conquered England and modified the old Saxon laws and customs.

The entire system of civilization in England was modified, some changes for the better, some for the worse. But in general, as we shall see, the changes were toward the Talmudic concept of government.

As the conquering king of England, he needed money, lots of it. He immediately introduced the feudal system to Saxon England. In the feudal system, the common man owned property only to the extent that he paid his "taxes" to the local baron or ruler. The local feudal lord then paid his taxes to the king. The feudal barons and lords were William's chosen men from France, of course.

From Green's *Short History of the English People*, we read, "But the greatest safeguard of the Crown lay in the wealth and personal power of the kings. Extensive as had been his grants to noble and soldier, William remained the greatest land-owner in his realm. His rigid exaction of feudal dues added wealth to the great Hoard at Winchester, which had been begun by the spoil of the conquered. But William found a more ready source of revenue in the settlement of the Jewish traders, who followed him from Normandy, and who were enabled by the royal protection to establish themselves in separate quarters or 'Jewries' of the chief towns of England."

"The Jew had no right or citizenship in the land; the Jewry in which he lived was, like the King's forest, exempt from the common law. He was simply the King's chattel, and his life and goods were absolutely at the King's mercy. But he was too valuable a possession to be lightly thrown away."

"A royal justiciary secured law to the Jewish merchant, who had no standing-ground in the local courts; his bonds were deposited for safety in a chamber of the royal palace at Westminster, which from their Hebrew name of 'starrs' gained the title of the Star-Chamber; he was protected against the popular hatred in the free exercise of his religion, and allowed to erect synagogues and to direct his own ecclesiastical affairs by means of a chief rabbi."

"No measures could have been more beneficial (sic) to the kingdom at large. The Jew was the only capitalist in Europe, and, heavy as was the usury he exacted, his loans gave an impulse to industry such as England had never felt before. The century which followed the Conquest witnessed an outburst of architectural energy which covered the land with castles and cathedrals; but castle and cathedral alike owed their existence to the loans of the Jew....To the kings (William and the Norman kings that followed) the Jew was simply an engine of finance. The wealth which his industry accumulated was wrung from him whenever the King had need, and torture and imprisonment were resorted to if milder entreaties failed. It was the wealth of the Jew that filled the royal exchequer at the outbreak of war or of revolt. It was in the Hebrew (sic) coffers that the Norman kings found strength to hold their baronage at bay." [5](#)

It is as obvious as night follows day that these money lenders, just as the banks of today do, gave advice to the kings. Obviously that advice will have been according to their own mores and customs. "The golden rule, he who has the gold makes the rules."

Thus, the Talmudic concept that rabbi Bokser revealed in his book *The Wisdom of the Talmud* became the civilization of the land starting at the time of the Norman kings in England. To emphasize this point, we repeat, "The Talmudists declared individual property rights as subject to their consistency with the public welfare. When it is to serve the public interest, these rights may be modified or suspended altogether." "The century which followed the Conquest witnessed an outburst of architectural energy which covered the land with castles and cathedrals; but castle and cathedral alike owed their existence to the loans of the Jew."

Public structures were built everywhere and their construction was of the most expensive type possible. Bridges were made of permanent stone, as well as other government buildings. The more expensive the building the more money that would be loaned at usury.

But as Green in his book points out, "He was simply the King's chattel, and his life and goods were absolutely at the King's mercy." Another action that these Norman kings took was to confiscate the Jew's property at the time of his death. But that action didn't make any difference to the Jews. Because of the tremendous wealth to be made from usury, their children could create their own wealth in a very short time. If their children were started in the business while the parent was still alive, the usury system could be handed down without an inheritance problem. Thus we see another Talmudic principle, that of disallowing inheritance. We see that today in the Communist Manifesto along with all of the modern "social reforms."

The key word to the system is not ownership but control. The Jews, because of the instinct for survival, created the economic system in which they didn't need to own anything that would be taken from them at the time of their death. The system was developed that perpetuated the control of material wealth and not the direct ownership. Thus, the laws of incorporation are a result of the system that was started as a means of survival.

The same can be said of the idea of the graduated income tax. The Norman kings extracted from these money lenders the capital they needed in direct proportion to the wealth of the money lender. The richer he was, the more money was taken from him. The graduated income tax is also a Communist Manifesto concept.

Another Talmudic concept that started in the days of the Norman kings is that of confiscation of private property for the king's (or government's) use. King William confiscated a tremendous amount of private property for the government's use in what was called *Carte de Foresta*, or Forest Laws. "The king having a continual care for the preservation of the realm and for the peace and quiet of his subjects, he had therefore amongst many privileges this perogative, to have his place of recreation wheresoever he would appoint."

Thus, "for the preservation of the realm," we have the laws of Eminent Domain, which is the power of a government over all the property within its limits, by which it is entitled to appropriate, or to authorize the appropriation of, private property for public use, giving just (sic) compensation to the owner.

We also have as a part of this concept of *Carta de Foresta*, the current mania of creating vast "wilderness" tracts that will eventually be used as collateral for the public debt. This is a part of what is called "The World Conservation Bank." Private property is being confiscated at an alarming rate in the United States.

Another Talmudic concept that King William initiated was that of dissolving the Capital Punishment laws. Even though he would kill a man without batting an eye, he did not believe in shedding blood by process of law. Thus, he initiated the concept of no capital punishment because of a "higher moral standard."

The Norman kings continued to rule through four generations until the time of King John. The strong and authoritarian concept of government started by King William the Conqueror became more demanding for the English people. The concept of government spending using borrowed money was the accepted way. Capitalization of private enterprises using borrowed money at usury resulted in what appeared on the surface a better way of life for the English people.

But the people were losing their cherished freedoms and liberty. Vast tracts of private property were confiscated by the government to be converted into forests and other uses for the "common good." The churches were told to stay out of government business.

But it was in keeping with true Biblical principles that a change took place in England that proved that there was a law which is above the King and which even he must not break. The reaffirmation of the Supreme Law of inalienable rights for the common man is the great work of the Magna Carta.

It was once again a man of the church who brought about the confrontation between the barons and King John on an island in a marsh called Runnymede. The English church leader Anselm had earlier withstood William the Red, the son of William the Conqueror. The church leader Theobald rescued England from the lawlessness of Stephen, the grandson of William the Conqueror. And now it was Stephen Langton, the new archbishop of Canterbury who stood before the vicious King John. It was his intention to restore on a formal basis the older Saxon freedoms of the realm.

For several months the nobles and the churchmen stood together against John. The several larger cities of England saw the churchmen and barons enter their cities and claim freedom and liberty. In London, the barons were organized under Robert Fitz- Walter, "the marshal of the army of God and holy Church."

It was the Talmudic principles of government that the Magna Carta addressed and it was the Christian church which showed the barons what needed to be done at Runnymede. [6](#)

As a second witness to the analysis of history that has been described and to the understanding of what happens when a nation follows the Talmudic concept of government, the following is extracted from the book simply titled *The Jews* by the very well known author, Hilaire Belloc:

"The Jewish element in this island (England), whatever it may have been during the Roman occupation, was of small account during the Dark Ages. Things changed at their close in the eleventh century."

"The Jew is the camp follower of each new economic movement among us and that is why one finds him in the wake of the Norman Conquest. Throughout the economic development which it began appears the secondary role of the Jew. Every one knows the mediaeval rule of Jewish Status. It was established here as everywhere else in Christendom."

"The Jew was the King's; that is, under the special protection of the State. If he were the subject of popular attack, that attack was an attack on the King's peculiar, and liable to speedy repression. The individual attacker was punished with special severity because the

danger of mass-movement is always great where the populace is free to act in masses as it was throughout the middle ages, and the necessity for preventing individual attacks from spreading was correspondingly great. Now and then the popular feeling got out of hand and the monarch had to deal with numbers which he could not control; but as a rule the Jew, especially the rich Jew, enjoyed a privileged position, both in Northern France and throughout England. The Jew of the early Middle Ages in England was normally a well-to-do man and often an exceedingly rich man. Then, as now, a small number of Jews were much the richest men of their time."

"He had most of the finances in his hands, and this immense privilege (which he has lost), that he alone was allowed to practise usury. Here we must pause a moment to define usury."

"Usury then (as now) signified the receiving of interest upon unproductive loans. It is a practice which all moralists and all philosophers have condemned and which the Church in particular condemns. If you lend money to a man for a productive purpose: if, for instance, he is to buy a ship and trade with the money you advance, or to buy a farm and grow produce, then, of course, you are perfectly free to stipulate for a portion of the profit. But if you lend the money for a purpose not directly productive, as, for instance, to a man in grave necessity, or in lieu of charity, or to build such a building as a church, which will not produce a rent, or if in any other fashion you lend money to one who (to your knowledge) will not spend it in some reproductive agency, then it is immoral to demand interest."

"Now an exception was made in mediaeval Christendom in favour of the Jew. He was allowed to lend money at interest, even in the most grievous cases of necessity, and for services as unproductive as religion and war. The only stipulation was that the moneys saved from this lucrative practice returned to the Crown (in theory) upon the death of the licensee. In practice no doubt a very large part remained with the accumulator, who during his lifetime was enjoying the income he had acquired by usury, who could give it to his heirs while still living, and could use opportunities for secret investment, or pass it to the custody of others throughout international Jewry. But liquid sums left by him, the product of his usury, returned to the Crown upon his death. This was a great advantage to the Crown, not only in protecting the Jew from the native hostility of his alien hosts (and particularly of the populace), but in giving him that great privilege-a monopoly."

"The rate of interest was enormous. It varied from nearly 50 percent to over 80 percent. When Jews lent money on security the King was party to the safe custody of the security, and their privilege extended so far that they were exempt from the common law, and a case between an Englishman and his Jewish creditor could only be tried by a mixed jury in which the Jew's own compatriots were present in equal numbers with the English."

"All during the Angevin period Jewish financial domination continued, up to the end of the twelfth century and even into the beginning of the thirteenth. But with the first half of the thirteenth century, for some reason of which I have never seen a sufficient historical analysis and of which, perhaps, the full causes have been lost, the Jewish power began to decline very rapidly, so far as England was concerned." (The Angevin period was when the French kings from the area of France called Anjou ruled over England. It is considered to be from 1154 A.D. to 1399 A.D. Of course, William the Conqueror was the first French King to rule England after he conquered the country. They were also known as the Plantagenet kings.-ed.)

"And here it may be noted that the misfortunes of the Jews in any country never begin until their financial position is shaken. As long as they are the financial masters of the Government they are protected; but woe to them when they begin to lose their financial

power! Then there is no longer any reason for supporting them either on the part of the governing classes in general or of the Executive in particular. Popular passion is let loose and disaster follows."

"At any rate, the thirteenth century saw in England a rapid decline of Jewish financial power and at the same time a rapid rise of official animosity towards them. They got poorer and poorer as the century proceeded. Their activities were at the same time more and more restricted. They had lent money largely upon land and yet, in the public interest, were at last forbidden to foreclose upon it. The final step came when their special license to practice usury was withdrawn by Edward I in the earlier part of his reign; and at last, in 1290, after increasing severities, they were all expelled from the country under penalty of death." The end of the extraction from Hilaire Belloc's book.

Notice that Mr. Belloc did not fully understand why the power of the money lenders declined in the first half of the thirteenth century. The reason is apparent when you associate not only the lending of money at usury but also the Talmudic concept of government with the conditions of the country at the time. Mr. Belloc hints at this association but still does not fully grasp the significance when he writes this in the introduction to his third edition of the book, *The Jews*: "The positive side of Jewish Communism as expressed by Mordecai himself (Marx) and by all the other exponents of it, Jew and Gentile, is their insistence on the control of the means of production, distribution and exchange, by officials of the community-which turn out in practice to be in large proportion Jews." [Z](#)

It was the *Magna Carta* that was forced upon King John at Runnymede and caused the power of the Jews to wane and caused their eventual expulsion from the country. Now we can understand why the Federal Reserve system has never even been audited, let alone expelled from our country. Now we can understand why we still have "star-chamber" courts where white collar crime is swept under the rug. Now we can understand why billions of dollars can be stolen from the savings and loans associations and banks and the taxpayers ends up paying for it. We can have insider trader frauds and the culprit is required to pay a 100 million dollar fine which is like 5 cents to the rest of us.

But the principles of the Word of God are always true. Eventually, the people understand that they are in bondage and they cry unto God. When the people truly repent for allowing these things to happen, God sends prophets to teach and then there is always another Gideon.

Winston Churchill said this about the *Magna Carta* in his works *A History of the English Speaking Peoples*: "Now for the first time the King himself is bound by the law. The root principle was destined to survive across the generations and rise paramount long after the feudal background of 1215 had faded in the past. The Charter became in the process of time an enduring witness that the power of the Crown was not absolute."

"The facts embodied in it and the circumstances giving rise to them were buried or misunderstood. The underlying idea of the sovereignty of law, long existent in feudal custom, was raised by it into a doctrine for the national state. And when in subsequent ages the State, swollen with its own authority, has attempted to ride roughshod over the rights or liberties of the subject it is to this doctrine that appeal has again and again been made, and never, as yet, without success." [8](#)

It was many centuries later, here in America, that an appeal was again made to that doctrine of inalienable rights because a government was "swollen with its own authority". And now, near the close of the twentieth century, just two hundred short years later, another government has become swollen with its own authority and is riding roughshod

over the rights or liberties of the subjects. And it is for the exact same reasons as in the days of the Norman kings that the American people have become disenchanted with the process of government in our own land.

At first, the Talmudic system sounds so good. "Two chickens in every pot and from each according to his ability and to each according to his needs." We will have day-care centers for our children because this "great" system has forced nearly every mother to work to help purchase those "two chickens."

We will have great public works projects to bring the civilization up to the standards of ancient Rome. We will have judges who MAKE the law instead of simply refereeing the actions of a trial by jury. We will have jails full of people instead of retribution for all crimes except those which require the death penalty.

This "new" Talmudic concept gave us public school systems which require all students to proceed at the same pace regardless of individual abilities. As a result, this great system has given us students who score at the bottom scholastically among the industrialized nations. They are grossly overweight and physically out of shape. At the same time many think of themselves as being among the best, if not the best, in the world. Such a combination makes them "fat, dumb and happy."

Our country is dangerously close to a popular uprising. The one thing that is holding it back is the indifference among the average church leader. That is caused by the total misunderstanding of the misnomer "Judeo-Christian." That is a term of recent origin and in reality it CAN NOT exist. Some of these churchmen are slowly learning. It is either the Talmud or the Bible. We must make our choice.

## Bibliography

1. Rabbi Ben Bokser. ***The Wisdom of the Talmud***
2. Amnon Linder. ***The Jews in Roman Imperial Legislation***
3. Oswald Spengler. ***The Decline of the West.***
4. J.R. Green. ***A Short History of the English People.***
5. *ibid.*
6. *ibid*
7. Hilaire Belloc. ***The Jews.***
8. Winston S. Churchill. ***A History of the English Speaking Peoples. Vol. 1.***