

**Continuum of Care New or Bonus Project Rating Form [ENTER YEAR ]**

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Org: \_\_\_\_\_

Max 100

<b>Threshold Items</b>		
Does application propose serving an eligible population? <i>-See eligible population definitions for this year's competition</i>	Yes	No
Does the application include appropriate documentation of required Match and Leverage?	Yes	No
Does the application propose an eligible project type? <i>[insert eligible project types]</i>	Yes	No
Has the SFS 424 documentation been updated and completed.	Yes	No
Is the proposed project budget less than or equal to the amount of available funding?	Yes	No
<b>IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS IS NO THE APPLICATION WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED FOR FUNDING</b>		
<b>Part A: Housing Emphasis (Maximum Points – 20)</b>		
	<b>Points</b>	<b>Score</b>
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	10	
Rapid Rehousing (RRH)	10	
HMIS	10	
Coordinated Entry	10	
Bonus RRH	10	
Bonus RRH	10	
Best Practice/Fidelity Model	10	
Low/very low barriers at intake /moving towards best practice	5	
Selective intake / outside of grant parameters	0	
Total Points for Housing Emphasis		
<b>Part B. Experience and Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)</b>		
	<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Score Awarded</b>
The applicant (and the agency administering the contract): <i>Experience:</i>		
Has extensive experience undertaking and managing similar projects for people experiencing chronic homelessness	30	
Has some experience undertaking and managing similar projects for people experiencing chronic homelessness, but may require extra assistance (zero to twenty points)	20	
Has provided documentation that an existing agency has committed to assist / mentor applicant in order to achieve outcomes.	7	
<i>Capacity</i>		
Has sufficient management resources available to successfully implement a compliant project	10	
Does not have sufficient management resources available to successfully implement a compliant project	0	
Total Points for Experience and Capacity		
<b>Part C: HMIS (Maximum Points – 15)</b>		
	<b>Points</b>	<b>Score</b>

Does applicant commit to participating in HMIS or a providing data from a comparable database if serving victims of Domestic Violence?		
Yes	10	
No	0	
Does agency have experience with HMIS		
Yes	5	
No	0	
Total Points for HMIS Data		
<b>Part D. HUD Priorities (Maximum Points - 10)</b>		
	<b>Points</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b><i>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)</i></b>		
Individuals or Families Experiencing Chronic Homelessness with the <b>longest duration of homelessness</b>	10	
Individuals Experiencing Chronic Homelessness - Standard HUD Definition	5	
Other Homeless populations	0	
<b><i>Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)</i></b>		
Families with Children who are literally homeless (HUD Category 1)	10	
Other Homeless populations	0	
HMIS ( Lead Agency Only)	10	
Coordinated Entry	10	
Total Points for Target Population		
<b>Part E. Leverage (Maximum Points – 5)</b>		
	<b>Points</b>	<b>Score</b>
Documented leverage included in application package		
Match	1	
Leverage 100% or higher 4	4	
Leverage 50-100%	2	
Leverage less than 50%	1	
Total Points for Leveraging		
<b>Part F. Administration (Maximum Points – 10)</b>		
	<b>Points</b>	<b>Score</b>
Previously award HUD McKinney-Vento or other Federal grants for o t h e r projects	3	
Evidence of ability to draw down funds in a timely manner	4	
Attend CoC meetings -	2	
Attend CoC committee meetings	1	
1		
Total Points for Administration		

Selection Committee comments:

**FINAL SCORE**

*NOTE: The section points are examples and will be set by the CoC each year to reflect local priorities*