

Summary of Scenario Planning WG Call #5
Tuesday, Sep. 14, 2010, 2:00-3:30 p.m. ET

Official/designated WG members in attendance: David Boguslawski, Steve Gaw, Dan Hartman, Matt Lacey, Scott Morris, Phil Riley (alt. for Lib Fleming), Maryam Sharif (alt. for Paul McCurley), Tim Noeldner, Sonny Popowsky, Bob Weishaar, Steve Gaw, Robert Stein, Ellen Vancko, David Meyer (DOE), Joe Bryson (EPA), Flora Flygt (EIPC Liaison), David Whiteley and Craig Glazer (EIPC) and Catherine Morris (Keystone)

1. WG charge

- Keystone forwarded the charge to the SSC and got feedback. There were no objections to Scenario Planning WG meeting and starting work, but some concerns related to coordination with EISPC and whether the WG should recommend final 3 transmission scenarios.
- No objections were raised to postponing further review and discussion of the charge to Oct SSC meeting so that it can be considered in conjunction with the other WGs.

2. Meeting logistics

- No space was found through APPA, EEI or NRECA for the WG Meeting.
- EISPC has its meeting Sep. 30-Oct. 1, Sonny Popowsky suggested it would be helpful for EISPC members to report out to this WG on Oct. 6-7.
- No objections to moving forward on booking a venue for a 2-day meeting Oct 6-7. Keystone will look into the options for the most efficient use of funds, move to book the venue as soon as possible, and send out the revised agenda.

3. Meeting agenda

- Flora Flygt has worked with Mark Kresowik to craft the agenda, which she reviewed on the call.
- Dave Boguslawski had several questions about the process for prioritization of drivers (getting from #3 - #6). Flora clarified that this proposed agenda represents an attempt at a hybrid of the two approaches previously presented, since no decisions have been made about which to take. He also asked about CRA's participation, and Flora responded that it is anticipated that CRA would be participating at the stage where the WG was translating detailed, finalized futures into their model inputs, and after the WG had had a more detailed discussion of sensitivities.
- More than one WG member voiced the desire to keep the deliverables of the meeting open-ended and to give the WG substantial flexibility in getting out ideas for futures.
- There were also concerns about limiting too quickly the number of futures to be discussed by the WG, and about breaking into groups to brainstorm drivers and futures.
- On the agenda itself, Diane Barney suggested #3-5 might need more time for establishing a common vocabulary.
- A suggestion to allow a large block of time at the Oct. 12-14th SSC meeting so SSC members can engage in futures development work was received positively.

4. Next Steps

- Keystone will move forward on plans for Oct. 6-7, sending out information on a firm venue in the next couple days, revised agenda to follow.

- For a joint call with the Modeling WG and CRA, Sep. 28th seems to work best since EISPC members will be traveling on 29th for a meeting.
- There is a Roll-up webinar being prepared for Oct. 4 or 5. EIPC will be providing assumptions, initial report, and other materials within the next week to 10 days.
- WG members to email to WG and/or Keystone their ideas for drivers and sample futures; WG will discuss on next call.
- WG to receive template with CRA inputs and assumptions late next week from EIPC.
- To facilitate WG members assembling sample futures, Keystone will send out an example and a template/form.