

From: [Gillian Fennessy](#)
To: [Gillian Fennessy](#)
Subject: FW: General Plan amendment to allow the Senior Care Housing by right. - NZO Public Comment re: General Plan Ammendment 44
Date: Monday, September 23, 2019 11:40:26 AM

From: I [herseld@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 22, 2019 5:46 PM
To: Katie Maynard
Subject: Fwd: General Plan amendment to allow the Senior Care Housing by right.

-----Original Message-----

From: I <herseld@aol.com>
To: pimhof <pimhof@cityofgoleta.org>; awells <awells@cityofgoleta.org>
Sent: Sun, Sep 22, 2019 5:41 pm
Subject: General Plan amendment to allow the Senior Care Housing by right.

Hello Peter -

Without intruding to your respective position as the Planing Director, I just want to suggest the following.

The City Council will be considering initiation of a General Plan Amendment to the Housing Element (related to rental inclusionary housing) on 10/15/19.

As you wisely suggested, there is a window of opportunity for the the Planning Commission to also recommend the Council initiate an amendment to the General Plan to allow residential care facilities by right in single-family zones and raise the number of the seniors from 6 to 14 per house.

Three of the commissioners on September 9th supported to raise the numbers.
1 - Commissioner Maynard said I want the number to be up to 14 seniors/ house.
2 - Commissioner Miller said I want a minimum of 11 seniors/ house.
3 - Commissioner Smith said I want the number to be as high as 30 seniors/ house.

Commissioner Maynard specifically directed Ms. Wells "I want higher number" and Ms. Wells acknowledged it. She said she will come back with some answers. Please watch the video of PC between 1:30 to 1:45 time schedule.

Due to time constrain of 10/15/19, would it be possible if you and Ms. Wells present to the Commissioners on Monday a number between 11 and 30 seniors/ house by right. I would say number 14 would be appropriate, this number would be a minimum number that the operator of senior care facilities can operate economically. I would respectfully request that you recommend to reinstate the previous ordinance Sec.35-292.a.4.

Peter thank you for being so responsive.

Kindly,

Hersel

