



CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

Intelligence Newsletter

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.

November - December, 1994

A Message To Big Brother

The election on November 8, 1994 sent a non-partisan message to the governments of the United States at all levels. It wasn't a Republican victory over the Democrats. It wasn't a signal to the Republican party that they now have a free hand. It was a signal to the government of the United States that the average citizen who pays the bills has had enough of government at all levels exercising authority that it does not have.

The vote was simply against the incumbency. Since there were more Democrats in office, the Republicans came out winners. It was also a vote against the Clinton administration.

Is the will of the American people strong enough to carry through with the effort that has been started with this vote? If the new Congress does not correct the disastrous legislation of the past few years, will there be another massive shake-up in the next election? We must pray and trust that this is the case.

In the [Sept.-Oct. issue](#) we pointed out that Senator Dole of Kansas recognized the will of the people after his un-American method of voting in the Brady Bill. He appeared to show signs of conciliation to this new mind-set of America in his discussions on the Crime Bill. But apparently he didn't get the message. He was most supportive and gave the most convincing sounding reasons why the Gatt Bill on trades and tariffs should be passed. Will the people of Kansas remember this at the next election?

It was much the same with Newt Gingrich, the new Speaker of the House. His assistance to the Clinton Administration helped assure the passage of the Crime Bill. He then made the unwise evaluation that the Republican cooperation with the Democrats regarding the Crime Bill can now be utilized to pass the Health Care Bill! So he doesn't understand the will of the people, either.

In Texas, President Bush's son George Jr. defeated Governor Ann Richards to be the new Governor of Texas. Yet, what was one of the first things he did as Governor-elect? He made the statement to the President of Mexico that the Mexican people didn't have to be concerned that Texas would duplicate the far reaching Proposition 187 Bill that California passed. Proposition 187 provided for the removal of all welfare, education and health care to illegal aliens in California. The statement by George Bush, Jr. is a direct confrontation to the will of the people who voted him into office.

In the Bible, the Book of James tells us: *"James, a servant of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ, to the twelve tribes, which are scattered abroad, greeting. My brethren, count it all joy when ye fall into divers temptations; Knowing this, that the trying of your faith worketh patience.*

"But let patience have her perfect work, that ye may be perfect and entire, wanting nothing. If any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, that giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not; and it shall be given him. But let him ask in faith, nothing wavering. For he that wavereth is like a wave of the sea driven with the wind and tossed.

"For let not that man think that he shall receive any thing of the Lord. A double minded man is unstable in all his ways. Let the brother of low degree rejoice in that he is exalted: But the rich, in that he is made low: because as the flower of the grass he shall pass away." ([Jas 1:1-10](#))

There is a very strong message both for us, the American citizens, AND the members of our government who have been elected to office.

We, the American citizens, must stand fast in our will to remove the evil in our government at all levels. There is never a time to be double-minded. This current effort to regain our Christian Republic MUST NOT DIE. We must continue to pray to God for wisdom and the strength and courage to stand fast in our convictions. It will be tough times ahead "but let patience have her perfect work."

The message for those in office is likewise found in this passage in the Book of James. *"A double minded man is unstable in all his ways."* For a person to be elected into office as a result of this powerful mindset of the American people and then make the statements that Dole, Gingrich and Bush have made is a sure sign of double mindedness.

James also shows who will win out if the patience, courage, strength and wisdom is retained. *"Let the brother of low degree rejoice in that he is exalted;"* For those in office who think that they can do as they please in their incessant, unwise and un-American effort to create a one world government, James says this to them, *"But the rich, in that he is made low: because as the flower of the grass he shall pass away."* God speed the day.

Don't believe for a moment that those who believe their "One World Government" is already assured are not taking this popular uprising seriously. It is obvious that they are "mending fences" in an effort to re-dupe the American people. Clinton is traveling to all parts of the world to impress the American people as to his political prowess. Mrs. President Hillary is taking to quoting Biblical principles to counter the harsh words that Gingrich is using to describe the life style of those in office.

What probably concerns the Socialist, One World crowd the most is the extraordinary effort made in the development of the unorganized militias. The Socialists are very concerned. From the *National Jewish Post and Opinion* we quote:

"Armed militias grow; their goal concern. An issue, which is of concern to the Jewish community, which has had bad experience with domestic armed groups has been brought to public attention by the Anti-Defamation League. In the *New York Times* of Monday beginning on page one and continuing for more than a half page on the inside, the astounding growth of armed groups is outlined.

"Although credit is given to the [ADL](#) for much of the initial information, the article reveals a lot of good reporting by Times staffers.

"Beginning with the group calling itself the Michigan Militia composed of some 100 members at Brutus, at the top of Lake Michigan, the `self-styled warriors' say they are preparing to defend themselves against the U.S. Government. Only six months old, the Militia now has formed `brigades' throughout Michigan.

"Similar groups are reported in 20 states from Florida to Washington State and what they have in common is opposition to gun control.

"The Times report adds that `...most of them also harbor far-fetched conspiracy theories that the Federal Government wants to utterly control the lives of citizens and will crush those who resist, by means up to and including using UN troops with old Soviet military equipment.'

"Concern about the militias is growing and civil liberties groups say that they are worried. The [Southern Poverty Law Center](#) of Montgomery, Alabama, which tracks far right organizations, issued a report asserting that white supremacist involvement in the militia movement is growing.

"Another view came from the Political Research Associates of Cambridge, Mass. which studies the militias. It said, they were not heavily influenced by racism or anti-Semitism in particular but are part of a much broader movement. `What you have here with this movement,' said Chip Berlet, an analyst with the Associates, `is a critique of the Government that comes from the right based on the paranoid conspiracy theories of secret elites that plan an apocalyptic takeover of the United States. White supremacy is not a principle of unity of this movement. Because of that, it has the ability to draw from a much broader constituency.'

"The Michigan Militia claims 12,000 members, a number that cannot be independently verified. The 100 or so who gathered for military training at Brutus included small-business owners, executives, autoworkers and nurses. Only one was a black woman, a nurse from Detroit. Altogether there were 10 women present.

"The [ADL](#) report, which evidently led to the account in *The Times*, stated that `although thwarting gun control is the chief aim of the militias, they seek to turn the clock back on Federal involvement in a host of other issues as well- education, abortion and the environment. The question which no one can answer just yet, is what, exactly, the militias intend to do with their guns.' " End of quotes from The National Jewish Post and Opinion.

Who is it that is opposing the current trend in America to return to a government that follows the Constitution and the concepts of our Christian founders? It is the socialist left. For example, who is this Chip Berlet of the Political Research Associates who is quoted in the Post and Opinion article?

Chip Berlet's real name is John Foster Berlet and he was named after John Foster Dulles. There will be more about the Dulles brothers in our discussions of the real power behind this extreme federalism of our government. Chip was rebellious from the time of his youth.

He is a member of the National Lawyers Guild, a known Communist front organization, and has participated in many of their socialist actions. He was a founding member of the Chicago Friends of Albania, which is an unregistered agent for one of the most repressive governments that has ever existed.

He operates very closely with people such as Leonard Zeskind and Lyn Wells of the Center for Democratic Renewal. This organization is also Marxist-Leninist aligned. Chip Berlet has written numerous articles for their tabloid named The Guardian.



Leonard Zeskind

Leonard Zeskind is also Marxist-Leninist aligned. He published the tabloid *The Hammer* for the organization titled the Institute for Research and Education of Human Rights, which, in turn, is a member of the far left Anti Klan Network. The name Anti Klan Network is a misnomer because its stated goal is to "oppose the activities of extremists on the far right." That now includes anyone or any group who is striving to return our country to the Christian Republic it once was. Lenny Zeskind's editorials have been published in the *Peoples Daily World*, the official organ of the Communist Party, U.S.A.

Another associate of Chip Berlet and Zeskind is Lyn Wells. She is on the board of directors of the Institute for Research and Education of Human Rights. She was a candidate for an office in the Students For a Democratic Society, another Marxist-Leninist organization. She is a member of the Communist Party, Marxist-Leninist (CPML).

The *National Jewish Post and Opinion* article, along with the *New York Times* article, both of which were based on the intelligence-gathering capabilities of the [ADL](#), appears to be underestimating the will of the American people. There is much more to the causes of the November election than they are reporting.

There are currently over 38 states in which unorganized militias have formed. Tens of thousands of American citizens have joined these militia units and the number is growing daily.

As an example, according to an article in the *Soldier of Fortune* magazine, the County Commissioners of three counties (Santa Rosa, Escambia and Okaloosa) in Florida have enacted ordinances providing for the militia units. They are considering establishing posse comitatus units to be attached to the Sheriff's departments.

There have been national TV programs, which appear to show these militia units as being paranoid. Some programs, however, simply show that the American citizens are fed up with totalitarian style government. However, there don't appear to be any programs, which have tried to show these militia units as being illegal. They know that the Constitution of the United States, as well as the Constitutions of all 50 states provide for the unorganized militia units to defend against Constitutional enemies, foreign and domestic.

The editor of *The Courier*, a well known Western newspaper, reported this, "One interesting commentary I overheard last week on one of the programs was about numbers. The narrator said he did not have exact numbers for various reasons, but he did indicate that he believed the total manpower of the combined organized citizen militia units (Not National Guard) in the United States now exceeded the total manpower of all U.S. active duty, Reserve, and National Guard units and that most of the instructors or training officers of the various militia units were retired, or ex-combat veterans that had been instructors on active duty."

"The narrator also said that various federal government agencies had infiltrated some of the militia units, and others were being investigated. He went on to explain that generally speaking such investigations were for naught because such units are mandated, to and including weaponry and training, and those infiltrating were known and identified immediately."

"The narrator is quoted as saying, 'And besides, you must remember that the men and women training the militia personnel, are the same people that were training our regular,

reserve, and guard units. They are experts in every form of warfare, every piece of military hardware, every weapons system (including foreign), intelligence, communications, tactics, you name it. They know what they are doing and have the experience and knowledge to get the job done. And there are a lot more full time police officers in the units than most officials will acknowledge.' " End of article from *The Courier*. (P.O. Box 910, Hatch, NM 87937. \$30 outside of NM.)

It has been conservatively estimated by knowledgeable sources that currently there are over 7 million members in the known "public" unorganized militias with the number growing at between twenty-five to thirty thousand each week. It is also estimated that for every "public" unorganized militia member there are twenty members who have not gone public.

"The sons of Reuben and the Gadites, and half the tribe of Manasseh, of valiant men, men able to bear buckler and sword, and to shoot with bow, and skillful in war, were four and forty thousand seven hundred and three score, that went out to the war." (I Chron. 5:18)

Members of these "various federal government agencies" which have infiltrated some of these militias undoubtedly have reported to their bosses in the Clinton Administration what they have discovered. What was discovered could very well be at least one of the reasons for the abrupt turnaround in the philosophies of the current administration. It could be the reason the administration is pre-empting the new Congress in a middle class tax cut, a massive reduction in the welfare system, the firing of the Surgeon General, etc.

Could the current unrest among Americans in general, and the militia units in particular, be just another part of the great dialectics? Could it be planned for just such a scenario? Remember, Roosevelt said that nothing ever happens by chance in politics. If it happens, it is planned that way. Perhaps so. But does it make any difference?

The end result is not up to the federal or international governments, nor those who control them, anymore than it was up to King George to control the outcome of the American Revolution. It wasn't up to the Philistines or Goliath who was to win in the battle with David. Whether the governments believe it or not, God will determine the outcome. But we can say this unequivocally, this current great mandate of the American people will not succeed if the will of God is not included in the efforts.

For those who might feel that our forefathers did not intend for these unorganized militias to be permitted, we need only to quote a portion of James Madison's essay number 46 found in the Federalist Papers. His essay "Number 46" pertains to the relationship between the federal and state governments and the attendant restrictions of the power of the federal government. Of course, the English is in the grammar and style of the 18th century but read his words carefully.

"...But ambitious encroachments of the federal government on the authority of the State governments would not excite the opposition of a single State, or of a few States only. They would be signals of general alarm. Every government would espouse the common cause. A correspondence would be opened. Plans of resistance would be concerted. One spirit would animate and conduct the whole. The same combinations, in short, would result from an apprehension of the federal, as was produced by the dread of a foreign yoke; and unless the projected innovations should be voluntarily renounced, the same appeal to a trial of force would be made in the one case as was made in the other. But what degree of madness could ever drive the federal government to such an extremity?

"In the contest with Great Britain, one part of the empire was employed against the other. The more numerous part invaded the rights of the less numerous part. The attempt

was unjust and unwise; but it was not in speculation absolutely chimerical. (Wildly or vainly conceived.-Ed.) But what would be the contest in the case we are supposing? Who would be the parties? A few representatives of the people would be opposed to the people themselves; or rather one set of representatives would be contending against thirteen sets of representatives, with the whole body of their common constituents on the side of the latter.

"The only refuge left for those who prophesy the downfall of the State governments is the visionary supposition that the federal government may previously accumulate a military force for the projects of ambition. The reasonings contained in these papers must have been employed to little purpose indeed, if it could be necessary now to disprove the reality of this danger. That the people and the States should, for a sufficient period of time, elect an uninterrupted succession of men ready to betray both; that the traitors should, throughout this period, uniformly and systematically pursue some fixed plan for the extension of the military establishment; that the governments and the people of the States should silently and patiently behold the gathering storm and continue to supply the materials until it should be prepared to burst on their own heads must appear to everyone more like the incoherent dreams of a delirious jealousy, or the misjudged exaggerations of a counterfeit zeal, than like the sober apprehensions of genuine patriotism. Extravagant as the supposition is, let it, however, be made. Let a regular army, fully equal to the resources of the country, be formed; and let it be entirely at the devotion of the federal government: still it would not be going too far to say that the State governments with the people on their side would be able to repel the danger. The highest number to which, according to the best computation, a standing army can be carried in any country does not exceed one hundredth part of the whole number of souls; or one twenty-fifth part of the number able to bear arms. This proportion would not yield, in the United States, an army of more than twenty-five or thirty thousand men. (Based on the population at the time of Madison-Ed.)

"To these would be opposed a militia amounting to near half a million of citizens with arms in their hands, officered by men chosen from among themselves, fighting for their common liberties and united and conducted by governments possessing their affections and confidence. It may well be doubted whether a militia thus circumstanced could ever be conquered by such a proportion of regular troops. Those who are best acquainted with the late successful resistance of this country against the British arms will be most inclined to deny the possibility of it. Besides the advantage of being armed, which the Americans possess over the people of almost every other nation, the existence of subordinate governments, to which the people are attached and by which the militia officers are appointed, forms a barrier against the enterprises of ambition, more insurmountable than any which a simple government of any form can admit of. Notwithstanding the military establishments in the several kingdoms of Europe, which are carried as far as the public resources will bear, the governments are afraid to trust the people with arms. And it is not certain that with this aid alone they would not be able to shake off their yokes. But were the people to possess the additional advantages of local governments chosen by themselves, who could collect the national will and direct the national force, and of officers appointed out of the militia by these governments and attached both to them and to the militia, it may be affirmed with the greatest assurance that the throne of every tyranny in Europe would be speedily overturned in spite of the legions which surround it. Let us not insult the free and gallant citizens of America with the suspicion that they would be less able to defend the rights of which they would be in actual possession than the debased subjects of arbitrary power would be to rescue theirs from the hands of their oppressors. Let us rather no longer insult them with the supposition that they can ever reduce themselves to the necessity of making the experiment by a blind and tame submission to the long train of insidious measures, which must precede and produce it.

"The argument under the present head may be put into a very concise form, which appears altogether conclusive. Either the mode in which the federal government is to be constructed will render it sufficiently dependent on the people, or it will not. On the first supposition, it will be restrained by that dependence from forming schemes obnoxious to their constituents. On the other supposition, it will not possess the confidence of the people, and its schemes of usurpation will be easily defeated by the State governments, who will be supported by the people.

"On summing up the considerations stated in this and the last paper, they seem to amount to the most convincing evidence that the powers proposed to be lodged in the federal government are as little formidable to those reserved to the individual States as they are indispensably necessary to accomplish the purposes of the Union; and that all those alarms which have been sounded of a mediated and consequential annihilation of the State governments must, on the most favorable interpretation, be ascribed to the chimerical fears of the authors of them." End of quotes by Madison in his paper #46. That should put to rest what the present formation of the unorganized militias is all about.

Those analysts who equate this mad dash to organize militias to the oversimplified thesis that Americans are fearful of having their weapons confiscated are partially correct. Without weapons, the last remaining barrier to total tyranny and subjection is gone. Sarah Brady, the chief advocate and mover of the "Brady Bill" said this to Congress, "Our task of creating a Socialist America can only succeed when those who will resist us have been totally disarmed." Did Sarah Brady and her husband, who was badly wounded by Hinkle during the Reagan assassination attempt, become Socialists as a result of that incident? If that is the case we can only pity them for their hypocrisy and selfishness for their attempts to remove the last barrier to American freedom. If they were always Socialists (Communists), even while he was on the staff of President Reagan, then we can understand one source of the socialist influence during that administration. Either way, citizens must maintain the wherewithal if they are yearning to *"Stand fast therefore in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free, and be not entangled again with the yoke of bondage."* ([Gal 5:1](#))

The confrontation between the American people and the government which was so simply expressed in the November election involves all Americans, whether they know it or not. This confrontation can escalate or pass away, depending on the responses of the government. With the power and authority of the American people displayed by that vote comes immense responsibility.

The current trend in establishing the militias, along with the Tenth Amendment Resolutions, the County Sovereignty issue, and the Equal Footing Doctrine must be kept in balance. Those establishing the militias are to be applauded and all Americans must support that concept because it is Constitutional but more important still, it is God ordained. However, leaders of the militia effort must not be over zealous. James Madison's brilliant dissertation is very clear that they are to be the final solution to what he called a "chimerical" government. We Americans must pray that our leaders vacate these "chimerical" or fanciful and vainly conceived ideas of totalitarianism and One World Order. We must also permit the various state and county governments at the grass roots level to use their immense leverage to convince the federal government to cease and desist these wild dreams that over 90% of the world's population doesn't want.

We American families whose roots go back to the Revolutionary period are slow to anger. Just as our forefathers reacted to the excesses of the British Government, we are slow to rise to anger. This is one of the true signs of true Christian Israel. The lesson is clear in [Matthew 18:21](#), *"Then came Peter of him, and said, Lord, how oft shall my brother sin*

against me, and I forgive him? Till seven times? Jesus saith unto him, I say not unto thee, until seven times: but, until seventy times seven."

The question that Peter asked is, "How oft shall my BROTHER sin against me and I forgive him?" As our government increases its totalitarian efforts we are to give measured response. God honors that system. It is not only Godly but it is practical.

For a "loose cannon" to permit a militia unit to act unwisely or prematurely would only turn the American people against the idea. As the narrator on one talk shows revealed, various federal agencies have infiltrated some of these militias for the purpose of determining if they are illegal. So far, according to the narrator, they haven't responded, seemingly because they recognize that militias are Constitutional. We don't necessarily believe that assessment because they have responded.

It is very possible, if not probable, that there will be a staged effort wherein a so-called militia (in reality a group of government agents) conducts a military type mission against a target. People would be injured or killed and the national news media would reveal that the American people "demand" government intervention. When one remembers the Waco massacre and the Weaver murders, this seems plausible.

A definite probability is that lists of names of those active in the militias, along with those active in the Tenth Amendment Resolutions and the various county level actions will be established for possible federal action. In the [Sept.-Oct. issue](#) we reported that, "On August 30, 1994, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), FBI, BLM and the Forest Service met at some undisclosed location in Nevada to discuss methods and capabilities to destroy those county and state sovereignty efforts. A memorandum, written by a Forest Service Ranger stated this: `The purpose of this meeting was to inform the agencies that the States Rights movement has been made a priority issue for the U.S. Attorney's office, as well as the Department of Justice. In our discussions on August 30th, the request for a declaratory judgment was discussed as the preferred action to take to stop the State's Rights movement. The U.S. Attorneys Office and the U.S. Department of Justice recognize this, not as an isolated incident, but a national problem."

According to the national newspaper *The Spotlight*, the FBI raided the home of the adjutant general of the United States Special Field Forces/National Militia (USSF). His home is in Woodbridge, Virginia. The USSF is a national unorganized militia, which has been in existence for approximately 20 years. For them to raid the home now would indicate that Janet Reno and the DOJ have already started the actions promised at the Nevada meeting of August 30th. Ostensibly the raid on the adjutant general's home was because of a mistake found on a prototype identification card, which erroneously indicated that the card was an official U.S. government card. That would be a serious mistake, if true. But the need to raid the home would indicate "overkill." Compare that action to the affairs surrounding Bosky, Milliken, Keating, and the Whitewater crowd.

The real purpose of the raid was to confiscate the computer files on which, of course, would be the roster of national members of the USSF militia.

Such actions appear to show that the government does not intend to bend to the will of the people, which was displayed in the election on November eighth and by the various states and counties which have legislated the Tenth Amendment Resolutions, the County Sovereignty concept and the development of militias and Posse Comitatus units. There will be only window dressing in attempts to lull the American people back to sleep. The state and county governments must be made aware of this possibility. The budding militias should be aware of the possibility that attempts will escalate to discredit them.

If the old time American families respond to these actions in the same manner as their forefathers did in the early history of this country, we anticipate that the confrontations between the federal government and the states, counties and citizens will escalate.

One can only speculate if the United States Congress would respond with the same compassion that the Russian Parliament appears to be showing and their anger at Yeltsin for his actions against a Russian state in that current trouble spot. Would it be the same crassness that was displayed over the Waco Massacre and the Weaver incident?

A very interesting article appeared in a news magazine called *News Scope*. It is appropriate in dangerous times such as today, not only in the United States but all over the world in the untold number of conflicts between ethnic separation and one world government. We will reproduce the entire article for your edification.

"The Myth of Invincibility. Can Technology and Firepower Overwhelm Us? In discussing what we would do in the unpleasant event that the government utilized armed forces to suppress our freedoms, many have said something like, 'That would be just a massive Waco Massacre.' While we are not advocating armed revolution to take our country back, we must all consciously realize that citizens can reserve the right to do so according to the Declaration of Independence. This document, as the founding document of our nation, is the originating authority, which cannot be abrogated even by so-called 'Constitutional Amendments.' The Declaration of Independence calls for the people to protect themselves against any government, which 'evinces a design of despotism.' In fact, it adjures us under such circumstances, 'it is the right, nay the duty of the people to throw off such a government and provide new guardians for their future security.' Hence the Second Amendment which merely recognized the greater authority of the Declaration of Independence which calls on the people to be armed as a precaution against government abuses of power."

"But could we, with only small arms and improvised weapons, hope to prevail against gunships, tanks and cruise missiles? Recall, if you will that in Afghanistan, poor Muslims with small arms and small numbers bled the Soviets dry in almost ten years of continuous fighting. As the war ground on, the 'rebels' were able to seize Soviet equipment with which to continue the struggle."

"In cases such as Afghanistan, Vietnam, America in 1776, and France during the Nazi occupation, there were certain common factors which helped the under-armed 'rebels.' 1. They did not initially adopt conventional warfare tactics. 2. They relied on a loose network of secret cell groups to carry out guerilla attacks. 3. They overcame the technical superiority of the foe by conducting low-level insurgency attacks which could not be countered with artillery, missiles or aircraft."

"To publicly say armed revolution is outside of our realm of capabilities is to encourage our enemy to close all legal and peaceful means of dissention to us and utilize force to achieve their ends. Armed revolution is a viable, albeit undesirable method, which we have a right to use. Our possession of arms or creation of armed cell-groups is a means of guaranteeing that, should the government conduct an armed revolution against the Constitution by suspending it and sending troops, we have the means to stop their illegal activities."

"We have a legal right to overthrow any regime which is hostile to the Constitution. We may first attempt to enforce the Constitution through protests, legislative action, and appeals to the courts, but at no time is the right to enforce the Constitution by force outside of our realm of authority or capability."

"Now is certainly not a good time to conduct armed enforcement of the Constitution because we are gaining ground through our myriad of peaceful efforts. But our peaceful efforts will be laughed at by our enemies unless they are certain we are aware of our rights, responsibilities, and our capabilities to meet any use of force against us with a commensurate use of force on our part." End of Article found in the *News Scope*. (*News Scope* 205-A W. Santa Fe, Grants, NM 87240, \$30 per year.)

Follow The Money Trail

Old time intelligence officers all tell us the same thing. "When you want to find out who is at the root of a matter, follow the money trail."

In past issues of the Intelligence Newsletter, we have given an outline of the history of the change in the money powers in the world. We have only shown the bare outline of the system, mainly because there has been nearly nothing written on the subject. Many writers come close to the actual story but never seem to reach the core. Books such as *Tragedy and Hope, A History of the World in our Time*, by Carroll Quigley is one. Dr. Quigley devotes an entire section titled "Changing Economic Patterns" in which he openly shows the change from private capital finance, then monopoly capitalism, and now the pluralist economy.

Another book titled *Between Two Ages* by Zbigniew Brzezinski talks around this profound change in world economics but he, too, does not want to get to the heart of the phenomena. Both of these writers talk about companies and combines by name but not the owners or major participating members. Without revealing that knowledge, the major point is missed.

We have always been plagued by such nagging questions as to what is the difference between Scottish Freemasonry and Grand Orient Freemasonry. We can only speculate why George Washington, Thomas Jefferson and Benjamin Franklin were Masons of the American rite. Washington was adamant about the evils of the Illuminati, a Masonic organization created under the orders of Amshel Meyer Rothschild of Germany.

The remainder of this issue will be devoted to the change in the world economic system with the decline of the private capital system, the British Colonial System, and the conflict still in progress between the old and the new systems of finance. Obviously, we won't be able to complete the study in the space remaining; consequently, we will continue in future issues.

We all have heard of the [Balfour Declaration](#). It took the form of a letter from the British Foreign Secretary James Balfour to Lord Rothschild who was the leading figure in the British Zionist Movement. This letter was not an official Agreement, nor was it a governmental promise. It was simply a unilateral declaration, which internationally, would carry very little weight. But that letter could very well have been a ruse initiated by the private capital families of the Bank of England and the United States' newly founded [Federal Reserve System](#), a "child" of the Bank of England.

The [Balfour Declaration](#) stated, "His Majesty's Government views with favor the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object, it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the right and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country." That one sentence has resulted in turmoil that has existed in the Mideast since it was written. It also has been used to create an empire within empires all over the world.

There is sufficient evidence to show that what happened in the Mideast, particularly in what is now called Saudi Arabia, and then continuing into World War II and after, was an effort to destroy the Zionist challenge to world domination. The incredible part is that Zionism would not have become the world power if the words "anti-Semitism" had not been invented by the Zionists. Quoting again just one part of the document called *Naturei Karta* which was printed in the [last issue](#), "If you are a Zionist, you cannot be a good Jew...The birth of the Zionist State is to be deplored, a state which is known to be conceived in atheism, based on materialism, nurtured by anti-semitism, led by Marxism, ruled by chauvinism, and trusting in militarism."

Note that anti-semitism "nurtures" the Zionist challenge for world domination. The quoted "materialism" is manifested in this new system of the pluralist economy. We see the "militarism" all around us including the current conflict between the government and the states, counties and citizens of our country. It is the intent to use all of this highly sophisticated militarism to quash any rebellion to the new economic world supremacy.

Throughout history civilizations have risen to the apex of power and influence and then decayed into ruin. Western civilization apparently is no different. There have been many scholarly books written on the subject, some "politically correct" and some unacceptable to the power class. Oswald Spengler in his seminal works *The Decline of the West* is unacceptable because he relates the rise and fall to ethnicity. On the other hand, Carroll Quigley in his *Tragedy and Hope* is politically acceptable because he relates the rise and fall to economics.

But Quigley shows the relationship between ethnicity and economics and gets by with it. In his book we find the key to this monumental change in the economic system in Western man.

He shows that modern Western civilization was in what he calls the Mixture phase from 350-700 A.D. Then came the First Expansion 970-1270 A.D. The First Conflict phase was from 1270- 1440 A.D. He shows what he calls the Core Empire to be England during this period. Remember, it was King Edward in 1290 who evicted all the Jews from England and then the rest of Europe followed.

The Second Expansion was from 1440-1690 A.D. Then came the Second Conflict from 1690-1815 A.D. The Core Empire was France. The Third Expansion was from 1770-1929 A.D. The Third Conflict started in 1893 and continues today. The Core Empire is Germany.

This breakdown is important. In this Third Conflict, which Quigley shows to have started around 1893, we can see the importance of the earlier statement showing the rise of the Illuminati started by Rothschild and the Grand Orient of the Freemasonry. We can also see the relationship to the start of the Third Conflict around 1893 and the massive immigration of Russian Jews (Ashkenazi) into the United States around the same period of time (Approximately 1870-1920).

Dr. Quigley then shows the Fourth Expansion starting in 1944 and he calls it the Universal Empire and shows the Core Empire to be the United States. He goes on to show the culmination of this Fourth Expansion to be Decay and then Invasion. He terms this End of the Civilization.

There is another point he made in his book *Tragedy and Hope* that is also profound. He states this, "The distinctive character of Western Civilization rests on its Christian heritage, its scientific outlook, its humanitarian elements, and its distinctive point of view in regard to the rights of the individual and respect for women rather than in such material things as

firearms, tractors, plumbing fixtures, or skyscrapers, all of which are exportable commodities."

Everything he said in that statement, are noble points and as we think about them, they are true. But we must also see that an intelligent enemy of the Christian heritage can take each of those noble points and use them to cause the destruction of Western Civilization. Force upon Western Civilization each of those noble points to an excess and destruction becomes self evident. That is exactly what has happened and continues to this day.

The materialistic view of just buying "things" because the neighbors have them and because our scientific minds can create them is burying us in garbage and debt. Our humanitarian viewpoints have caused us to allow our government (which is controlled by a foreign element) to open our boundries to any and all who want to come. Proposition 187 in California is an attempt to reverse it but the same controlling foreign element will not allow it. A short thirty to fifty years ago our women wanted to be housewives and mothers. They have been forced to compete with men in the workplace and now the trend is for role changes. Many of our men have become women and some of our women think its "cool" to fly F-15 fighter jets in combat! Yes, the Fourth Expansion is just about to enter into the decay stage. It is time to "cry unto the Lord."

We will continue the study of the trials of our civilization in future issues. In closing we will quote something else the book *Tragedy and Hope* reveals. Dr. Quigley indicates that this is probably the basic cause of decay and destruction.

"When one society is destroyed by the impact of another society, the people are left in a debris of cultural elements derived from their own shattered culture as well as from the invading culture. These elements generally provide the instruments for fulfilling the material needs of these people, but they cannot be organized into a functioning society because of the lack of an ideology and spiritual cohesive. Such people either perish or are incorporated as individuals and small groups into some other culture, whose ideology they adopt for themselves and, above all, for their children. In some cases, however, the people left with the debris of a shattered culture are able to reintegrate the cultural elements into a new society and a new culture. They are able to do this because they obtain a new nonmaterial culture and thus a new ideology and morale, which serve as a cohesive for the scattered elements of past culture they have at hand. " End of quotes.

Paul said, *"And what agreement hath the temple of God with idols? for ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you."* ([II Cor. 6:16-17](#)). All we have to do is follow His principles.