

--Read all, real education for you and your bloodline , , stop the lying to the children, . You have to claim your bloodline if your dont take personal responsibility . true facts, united states and the uk UK Court Decides That Children Belong to The STATE, Not Their Parents

<http://thefederalistpapers.org/world/court-children-belong-state-not-parents>

read an learn the real facts of life of life ans stop the lying to the children,,I live in a state with the most uneducated people, a college degree today is the same as a ninth grader it the 1970s facts, people are more dum , stupid today then in the 1970s,, heres what woman do with men, fact, The Constitution gives woman and man rights , , the bible give no rights but mind control of control,, you have to file and claim thy bloodline before the religions organizations do religious enslavement so factual wake the uck up

The mother allegedly abandons the child at birth as the Informant on the CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH so, the 'State' (attorneys) "pick you up" and assume ownership and control over your body while your STRAW NAME remains on file at the STATE REGISTRAR'S OFFICE. Under the the Doctrine of parens patriae, "The STATE is your daddy". This is why CPS and DCF AGENTS are dispatched. They show up to claim their property. When the "STATE" becomes dissatisfied with your parenting skills or someone makes a phone call against you to an AGENT for the oppressive STATE, your child is taken. They come and take your child as part of a for-profit venture and modus operandi. The BAR attorneys want you to pay into the system to support their crooked attorney FIRM, support the BAR Association and pay the bankers fees in the CORPORATE COURTS. never trust police they work for the state of the Vatican,, this is why most get off on rape robbery and murderers embezzling and thief of public funding and service the bible and state cover up their crimes,

This is why the Oregon Democratic democracy went after senator gery george for coasting them state debt to you for the thief of your children watch and learn,,for protecting your bloodline wake up the truth, Watch vfor your bloodline life, Senator Gary George, #2 - YouTube

www.youtube.com/watch?v=VUNhURwvCQ

Jul 08, 2009 • Senator Gary George, continues his explanation of the cost of the program of universal spying on families in OREGON, by Child Protective [dis]Services ...

CPS of OREGON, Sen George Speaks out - YouTube

www.youtube.com/watch?v=h4Z-kOLbSg0

Gary George 3 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IJbt68aXm2c>

Jul 07, 2009 • Senator Gary George explains the ills and evils of a Child

Protective Services "plan" and bill proposed to monitor EVERY family in Oregon via the school ..

I Edward spent years at the oregon capital and witnesses and lived this CPS [Child Protection Services] Ordered to Pay 500 Million after...
www.fourwinds10.com/siterun_data/health/abuse/news.php...

Jun 17, 2009 ... Wilbur marries 40+ yr old Pam Gaston, 20 years his junior. ... be found guilty or the STATE of OREGON would owe Wilbur \$500 million dollars. ... send it around to CPS agents as a case example of "child Pornography" and ...

GASTON Case - Part 1 of Final Arguement - Oregon
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z0NftXoEwGQ>

Constitution lawful Bloodline American Republic V British democratic
Legal Democracy citizen immigration fraud

on September 4 2017 Monday education conversation is going to provided
Foreign Agents Registration Act - Wikipedia en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foreign_Agents_Registration_Act

The Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA) is a United States law passed in 1938 requiring ... The law is administered by the FARA Registration Unit of the Counterespionage Section (CES) in the National Security Division (NSD) of the United ...

2062. Foreign Agents Registration Act Enforcement | USAM ..
www.justice.gov/.../criminal-resource-manual-2062-foreign-age...

Jun 27, 2017 ... From its passage in 1938 until the 1966 amendments, FARA was focused on.... The cornerstone of the Registration Unit's enforcement efforts is .

Every Monday Read the information below could educate yourself The Disclaimers talk show by Dave and edward johnston

<http://new.oregontrackers.com/home.html>

Also on youtube oregon trackers Live Stream

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Bq4H_m_pJA

New call in number 5pm oregon ,6, Mountain
7pm, central 8pm eastcoast newCall in Working on 800 number and other outlets New Call in number, Here is the info Dial-in Number: [\(641\) 715-0864](tel:(641)715-0864)
Participant Code: 486971

1 U.S. Code § 8 - "Person" , "human being" , "child" , and

“individual” as including born-alive infant
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/1/8>

“It is a clearly established principle of law that an attorney must represent a corporation, it being incorporeal and a creature of the law. An attorney representing an artificial entity must appear with the corporate charter and law in his hand. A person acting as an attorney for a foreign principal must be registered to act on the principal’s behalf.” See, Foreign Agents Registration Act” (22 USC § 612 et seq.); Victor Rabinowitz et. at. v. Robert F. Kennedy, 376 US 605. “Failure to file the “Foreign Agents Registrations Statement” goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the court, and is a felony pursuant to 18 USC § § 219, 951. The conflict of law, interest and allegiance is obvious.

The Constitution For the United States

(For the many judges and attorneys who have not read it, ignore it, or deliberately violate it! Hence, committing treason against the United States)

+++ “This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States [and Treaties] which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; . . . shall be the supreme Law of the Land. +++

Supremacy Clause, Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution
+++ When a judge acts intentionally and knowingly to deprive a person of his constitutional rights he exercises no discretion or individual judgment; he acts no longer as a judge, but as a “minister” of his own prejudices. [386 U.S. 547, 568].

+++ A judge is liable for injury caused by a ministerial act; to have immunity the judge must be performing a judicial function. See, e. g., Ex parte Virginia, 100 U.S. 339 ; 2 Harper & James, The Law of Torts 1642-1643 (1956).

+++ The presence of malice and the intention to deprive a person of his civil rights is wholly incompatible with the judicial function.

++++ When the state in the instant case is one of the perpetrators and violators, there can be no expectation of just, indeed any, relief from it. The State cannot cause a federal violation, and then try to prohibit litigants from seeking redress in the federal courts for those same violations (i.e. the state cannot violate our fundamental rights, and then try to have us dismissed out of federal court for seeking vindication of those rights) ’ “We have long recognized that a state cannot create a transitory cause of action and at the same time destroy the right to sue on that transitory cause of action in any court having jurisdiction”, Tennessee Coal, Iron & R, Co. v. George, 233 U.S. 354, 360 (1914)’ cited in Marshall v. Marshall (2006). Judges’ oath of office includes the

undertaking to uphold the laws and Constitution of the United States. Any Judge violating such undertakings loses jurisdiction, resulting in his orders being VOID, and he himself commits a treasonable offense against the United States.

Ground III. 11th Amendment Immunity

1.) The 11th Amendment under the US Constitution reads as follows: “The judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by citizens of another state, or by citizens or subjects of any foreign state.”

2.) On December 9, 1945, International Organization Immunities Act relinquished every public office of the United States to the United Nations. This law makes all public officials foreign citizens, barring them from judicial power. All public officials are administrative agents of the US Corporation. They have no judicial power whatsoever.

3.) 22 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) 92.12-92.31 FR Heading “Foreign Relationship” states that oath is required to take office.

4.) Title 8 USC 1481 states, once oath of office is taken citizenship is relinquished, thus the oath taker becomes a foreign entity, agency, or state. That means every public office is a foreign state, even all political subdivisions; i. e., every single court is considered a separate foreign entity.

5.) Title 22 USC, “Foreign Relations and Intercourse”, Chapter 11 identifies all public officials as foreign agents.

6.) All “judicial power” of the “inferior courts” comes from the Judiciary Act of 1789, as did the Attorney General position. “Judicial power” comes from Article III, Section 2 of the Constitution. The Eleventh Amendment removed all “judicial power” in law, equity, treaties, contract law, and the right of the State to bring suit against the People. The positions of Attorney General and Prosecutor, of both the United States and the several states, come under the Judicial Branch not the Executive branch of the government. All attorneys come under the Judicial Branch and are judicial officers under the Supreme Court, not under the Secretary of State as licensed professionals, which means they can only represent the Court and not the People or the State.

The Eleventh Amendment

removed all "judicial power" from the "inferior courts" and the prosecutor's office as well as from all court officers in law, equity, and so forth.

7.) The Eleventh Amendment also makes a foreign state separation from the position of the Public Office positions to throw off the People. The People have Eleventh Amendment immunity, because there is no "JUDICIAL POWER" of the "inferior courts" and the People have Foreign Sovereign Immunity.

8.) The defendant/affiant/petitioner holds the inherent right of the 11 states in part: "The judicial power shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted by a Foreign State."

9.) Municipal, county, or state court lacks jurisdiction to hear any case under the foreign state definitions, coming from the 11th Amendment under the US Constitution. This jurisdiction lies with the United States District Court under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (FSIA) Statutes pursuant to 28 USC 1330.

10.) The fact that public officials are not citizens, but rather, foreign citizens, all of the cases must be dismissed because the court lacked and lacks jurisdiction to enforce judicial power. This court is an administrative court and not a criminal court. In other words, no judicial power makes this court an administrative court. Also, this following act proves that this court is an administrative agency: The Administrative Procedures Act, Title 5 - Government Organization and Employees Administrative Procedures Act part I -

the agencies generally chapter 5 subchapter ii - administrative procedure § 551.

Definitions. For the purpose of this subchapter - * (1) "agency" means each authority of the Government of the United States, whether or not it is within or subject to review by another agency.

Additionally, "Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time." *Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co.* 495

F 2d 906, 910. And the court cannot ignore lack of jurisdiction. "There is no discretion to ignore

lack of jurisdiction." *Joyce v. U.S.* 474 2D 215.

A judgment rendered by a court without personal jurisdiction over the defendant is void. It is a nullity. [A judgment shown to be void for lack of personal service on the defendant is a nullity.]

Sramek v. Sramek, 17 Kan. App. 2d 573, 576-77, 840 P.2d 553 (1992), rev. denied 252 Kan. 1093 (1993).

"A court cannot confer jurisdiction where none existed and cannot make a void proceeding valid.

It is clear and well established law that a void order can be challenged in any court", Old Wayne

Mut. L. Assoc. v. McDonough, 204 U. S. 8, 27 S. Ct. 236 (1907).

"Defense of lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter may be raised at any time, even on appeal." Hill Top Developers v. Holiday Pines Service Corp. 478 So. 2d. 368 (Fla 2nd DCA 1985)

"Jurisdiction, once challenged, cannot be assumed and must be decided." Maine v Thiboutot 100 S. Ct. 250.

"A universal principle as old as the law is that proceedings of a court without jurisdiction are a nullity and its judgment therein without effect either on person or property." Norwood v.

Renfield, 34 C 329; Ex parte Giambonini, 49 P. 732.

"Jurisdiction is fundamental and a judgment rendered by a court that does not have jurisdiction to hear is void ab initio." In Re Application of Wyatt, 300 P. 132; Re Cavitt, 118 P2d 846.

"Thus, where a judicial tribunal has no jurisdiction of the subject matter on which it assumes to act, its proceedings are absolutely void in the fullest sense of the term." Dillon v. Dillon, 187 P 27.

If any Tribunal (court) finds absence of proof of jurisdiction over a person and subject matter, the case must be dismissed. (See Louisville v. Motley 2111 US 149, 29S. CT 42. "The Accuser

Bears the Burden of Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt" .)

According to Article VI, Clause 2 of the US Constitution, known as the Supremacy Clause,

establishes, "The U. S. Constitution and treaties as the supreme law of the land; and the judges in

every state shall be bound thereby. " Every judge and public official took an oath of office to

uphold and defend the US and their state' s Constitutions, so every judge is indeed bound to

uphold and defend the US Constitution.

“Any law that is repugnant to the Constitution, shall remain forever ’ colorable’ and is null and void.” (See Marbury v. Madison 5 U.S. 137, 174, 176 (1803). According to Cohens vs. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 wheat) 264 404 5 L. Ed. 257 (1821), “No one can war with the Constitution.” To war with the constitution constitutes the overthrow of our constitutional form of government, which is treason in violation of Title 18 U.S.C, Section 2381.

“Where Rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule - making or legislation, which would abrogate them.” (See Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436, 125.)

please read about the law .The federal Constitution makes a careful distinction between natural born Citizens and citizens of the United States** (compare 2:1:5 with Section 1 of the so-called 14th Amendment). One is an unconditional Sovereign by natural birth, who is endowed by the Creator with certain unalienable rights; the other has been granted the revocable privileges of U.S.** citizenship, endowed by the Congress of the United States**. One is a Citizen, the other is a subject. One is a Sovereign, the other is a subordinate. One is a Citizen of our constitutional Republic; the other is a citizen of a legislative democracy (the federal zone). Notice the superior/subordinate relationship between these two statuses.I don’t know how many can hear or comprehend this.... But we lawful bloodline Americans STAND strong, we STAND our ground, we STAND for our rights. Standing is strength, standing is a sign of a Breathing living man and woman, thinking,, Man or Woman.Kneeling is a sign of enslavement religious worship, ••enslavement
new.oregontrackers.com

A must watch with your children
Red Skelton’s Pledge of Allegiance
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nDnXcw6euIE>

Exhibit #05.051: Former IRS Commissioner Steven Miller says the income tax is “voluntary” <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MG2mcjAuLo4>

9 TRILLION Dollars Missing from Federal Reserve!
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GYNVnhB-mOo>

[1] This is a BOLD LIE, the 16th Amendment it was never ratified per Article V of the U.S. Constitution (Congressional Record House, June 13, 1967,

pg 15641-15646 and Dyett v Turner (1968) are VERY CLEAR about this)

<http://fromthetrenchesworldreport.com/police-unions-.../181469>

Police Unions Head To DC To Ask New President, Attorney General To Stop Making Cops Respect The Constitution

by Joe from MassPrivateI

3:02 / 3:13 POLICE STATE - Proof Cops Are Just Government tax Revenue Agents With A Ticket Quota System

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iCodVlJMjis>

” Since in common usage, the term `person` does not include the sovereign, statutes employing the phrase are ordinarily construed to exclude it. ”

U.S. v. General Motors Corporation, D.C. Ill, 2 F.R.D.

528, 530: In ” common usage the word `person` does not include the sovereign, and statutes employing the word are generally construed to exclude the sovereign. ” Church of Scientology v. US Department of Justice, 612 F.2d 417 @425 (1979): “the word `person` in legal terminology is perceived as a general word which normally includes in its scope a variety of entities other than human beings., see e.g. 1,

U.S.C. § para 1. ” In the 1935 Supreme Court case of Perry v. US (294 US 330) the Supreme Court found that: “In United States, sovereignty resides in people... the Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared. ” ,

Tech Dirt - by Tim Cushing Here it comes -- the exact sort of response Trump was looking for when he issued his “Standing Up for Our Law Enforcement Community” edict during his first couple of days in office. One of the fundamental rights of every American is to live in a safe community. A [...]

US GOV Elected and public servants aka employees

laughing about stealing land also raping and robbing, kidnapping holding woman man and children for ransom as filed destroying family's for personal gain and British foreign 1871 government contracted elected and public servants service of employment .

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MFGlIvY6oTw&t=629s> , , , , , , , , Gov' t employee brags about stealing land.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7jeLil4p-KU>

Judge Rules that Government Debt is Covered by FDCPA, Forcing Collection Agency to Defend

<https://www.insidearm.com/.../00005574-judge-rules-that-gove.../>

Justice Department warns local courts about illegal enforcement of fees

and fines

http://www.abajournal.com/.../justice_department_warns_local_...

"Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them."

S. C. R. 1795, Penhallow v. Doane's Administraters (3 U.S. 54; 1 L. Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54)

Since in common usage, the term 'person' does not include the sovereign, statutes employing the phrase are ordinarily construed to exclude it." U.S. v. General Motors Corporation, D.C. Ill, 2 F.R.D. 528, 530: In "common usage the word 'person' does not include the sovereign, and statutes employing the word are generally construed to exclude the sovereign." Church of Scientology v. US Department of Justice, 612 F.2d 417 @425 (1979): "the word 'person' in legal terminology is perceived as a general word which normally includes in its scope a variety of entities other than human beings., see e.g. 1, U.S.C. § para 1." In the 1935 Supreme Court case of Perry v. US (294 US 330) the Supreme Court found that: "In United States, sovereignty resides in people... the Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared."

Lawyer's Secret Oath

Page 1 of 16 Lawyer's Secret Oath?

<http://freedom-school.com/aware/the-lawyers-secret-oath.pdf>

"It is a clearly established principle of law that an attorney must represent a corporation, it being incorporeal and a creature of the law. An attorney representing an artificial entity must appear with the corporate charter and law in his hand. A person acting as an attorney for a foreign principal must be registered to act on the principal's behalf." See, Foreign Agents Registration Act" (22 USC § 612 et seq.); Victor Rabinowitz et. at. v. Robert F. Kennedy, 376 US 605. "Failure to file the "Foreign Agents Registrations Statement" goes directly to the jurisdiction and lack of standing to be before the court, and is a felony pursuant to 18 USC § § 219, 951. The conflict of law, interest and allegiance is obvious. A Lawyer can not make a claim to your rights , Only you can . Federal District Court Judge James Alger Fee's mind blowing assertion in United States v. Johnson, 76 F. Supp. 538 (M.D. Pa. 1947)

U. S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania - 76 F. Supp. 538 (M.D. Pa. 1947) February 26, 1947 , Congress cannot by legislation enlarge the federal jurisdiction, and it cannot be enlarged under the treaty making power." Mayor, Alderman and Inhabitants of City of New Orleans v. U. S., 35 U. S. 662, 10 Pet. 662, 9 L. Ed. 573 (1836). And; 18 U. S. Code § 661 - Within special maritime and territorial jurisdiction Current through Pub. L. 114-38. (See Public Laws for the current Congress.)

Whoever, within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States, takes and carries away, with intent to steal or purloin, any personal property of another shall be punished as follows:

If the property taken is of a value exceeding \$1,000, or is taken from the person of another, by a fine under this title, or imprisonment for not more than five years, or both; in all other cases, by a fine under this title or by imprisonment not more than one year, or both.

18 U. S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and swindles

Current through Pub. L. 114-38. (See Public Laws for the current Congress.)

Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, or anything represented to be or intimated or held out to be such "COUNTERFEIT" or spurious article..... et seq.

It is the duty of every lawful Bloodline American to oppose all enemies of this Nation, foreign and DOMESTIC. (Note added: Every Lawful and recognized American Citizen including all Elected, Appointed, hired public servant(s), Children's Protection Services, Police, Sheriff's, Martials, CIA, FBI, Capital Police, Secret Service, City Council, County Commissioners, Board of Commissioners, et al, Religious Organizations, Associations, Schools, Colleges, Universities, Schools of Law, Corporations, LLC's, Doctors, Nurses, Health Care Providers, Unions, et al, to preform they of Oath of Office, in compliance to the 1776 Constitution for the United States of America, to all matters herein related thereof.) Please help pass this information to other professionals in your area - and honor thy 1776 Constitutional oath of office in your area of expertise it is after all as Lawful Americans'

right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness that 'GOD' promised
mine and your bloodline of this United States of America for all mankind
thereof. Please read read title 18 all of it' The Original Thirteenth
Article of Amendment
To The Constitution For The United States

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or
retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the consent of
Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument
of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power,
such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall
be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either
of them." [Journal of the Senate]

Citizens(Federal) and Persons vs. People

NATIONALS , CITIZENS. Citizens are members of a political community who,
in their associated capacity, have established or submitted themselves
to the dominion of a government for the promotion of their general welfare
and the protection of their individual as well as collective rights. ---U. S.
v Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542---

artificial entities cannot take oaths, they cannot make affidavits. See,
e. g., In re Empire Refining Co., 1 F. Supp. 548, 549 (SD Cal. 1932) ("It
is, of course, conceded
that a corporation cannot make an affidavit in its corporate name. It is
an inanimate thing incapable of voicing an oath"); Moya Enterprises, Inc.
v. Harry Anderson Trucking, Inc., 162 Ga. App. 39, 290 S. E. 2d 145 (1982);
Strand Restaurant Co. v. Parks Engineering Co., 91 A.2d 711
(D. C. 1952); 9A T. Bjur C. Slezak, Fletcher Cyclopedia of Law of Private
Corporations § 4629 (Perm. ed. 1992) ("A document purporting to be the
affidavit of a corporation is void, since a corporation cannot make a sworn
statement") (footnote omitted). ROWLAND v. CALIFORNIA MEN'S
COLONY•506 U.S. 194, 203 (1993)

- 1) When you get a LEGAL TITLE or birth certificate from the State, you
become a TRUSTEE, while the State HOLDS the EQUITABLE INTEREST in the Trust.
- 2) When it comes to 14th Am. US citizenship, "US citizen" is the LEGAL
TITLE, and a copy of a Birth Certificate is evidence of that title.,.,
- 3) So to extinguish the US citizenship Trust, one has to SURRENDER the
legal title (US citizenship), by means of returning the Birth Certificate
to the Vital Records Bureau. TOLD YOU, that's how it's done.
- 4) BUT the US citizenship trust may NOT be revokable, in which case you
gotta CLAIM MISTAKE. I. e. challenge the State's CLAIM that you were born

under the jurisdiction of United States, by claiming a birth in a state of the Union. TOLD YOU, that's how it's done.

Citizenship": Any document I may have ever signed, in which I answered "yes" to the question, "Are you a United States incorporation Democratic democracy British Vatican treaty of 1213 religious slave citizen?" - cannot be used to compromise my status as a sovereign, nor obligate me to perform in any manner. This is because without full written disclosure of the definition and consequences of such supposed "citizenship," provided in a document bearing my signature given freely without misrepresentation or coercion, there can be no binding contract.

I am not a "United States citizen." I am not a "resident of," an "inhabitant of," a "franchise of," a "subject of," a "ward of," the "property of," the "chattel of," or "subject to the jurisdiction of" any "monarch" or any corporate "commonwealth," "federal," "state," "territory," "county," "council," "city," "municipal body politic," or other "government" allegedly "created" under the "authority" of a "constitution" or other "enactment." I am not subject to any "legislation," department, or agency created by such "authorities," nor to the "jurisdiction" of any employees, officers, or agents deriving their "authority" therefrom. Nor do any of the "statutes" or "regulations" of such "authorities" apply to me or have any "jurisdiction" over me.

Further, I am not a subject of any "courts" or bound by "precedents" of any "courts," deriving their "jurisdiction" from said "authorities." Take notice that I hereby cancel and make void from the beginning any such "instrument" or any presumed "election" made by any "government" or any agency or department thereof, that I am or ever have voluntarily elected to be treated as a subject of any "monarch" or as a citizen," or a "resident" of any "commonwealth," "state," "territory," "possession," "instrumentality," "enclave," "division," "district," or "province," subject to their "jurisdiction(s)."

10. "Constitution": The document supposedly setting forth the foundations of a "country" and "its" "government," has no inherent authority or obligation. A "constitution" has no authority or obligation at all, unless as a contract between two or more individuals, and then it is limited only to those individuals who have specifically entered into it. At most, such a document could be a contract between the existing people at the time of its creation, but no-one has the right, authority, or power to bind their posterity. I have not knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally entered into any such "constitution" contract to oblige myself thereby, therefore such a document is inapplicable to me, and anyone claiming to derive their "authority" from such a document has no "jurisdiction" over me.

11. Use of semantics: There are some immature people with mental

imbalances, such as the craving to dominate other people, who masquerade as "government," and call the noises and scribbles that emanate from their mouths and pens "the law" which "must be obeyed." Just because they alter definitions of words in their "law" books to their supposed advantage, doesn't mean I accept those definitions. The fact that they define the words "person," "address," "mail," "resident," "motor vehicle," "driving," "passenger," "employee," "income," and many others, in ways different from the common usage, so as to be associated with a subject or slave status, means nothing in real life.

Because the "courts" have become entangled in the game of semantics, be it known to all "courts" and all parties, that if I have ever signed any document or spoken any words on record, using words defined by twists in any "law" books different from the common usage, there can be no effect whatsoever on my sovereign status in society thereby, nor can there be created any "obligation" to perform in any manner, by the mere use of such words. Where the definition in the common dictionary differs from the definition in the "law" dictionary, it is the definition in the common dictionary that prevails, because it is more trustworthy.

Such compelled and supposed "benefits" include, but are not limited to, the aforementioned typical examples. My use of such alleged "benefits" is under duress only, and is with full reservation of all my natural inherent rights. I have waived none of my intrinsic rights and freedoms by my use thereof. Furthermore, my use of such compelled "benefits" may be temporary, until alternatives become available, practical, and widely recognized.

REVOCATION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby revoke, rescind, cancel, and make void from the beginning, all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, implied in "law" or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, as it pertains to any "tax file/identification number" and/or "social security number" assigned to me, as it pertains to my "birth certificate," and as it pertains to any and all other numbers, "licenses," "certificates," and other "instruments" issued by any and all "government" and quasi-"governmental" departments or agencies, due to the use of various elements of fraud by said agencies to attempt to deprive me of my sovereignty and/or property. I hereby waive, cancel, repudiate, and refuse to knowingly accept any alleged "benefit" or "gratuity" associated with any of the aforementioned numbers, "licenses," "certificates," and other "instruments." My use of any such numbers, "licenses," "certificates," or other "instruments" has been for information purposes only, and does not grant any "jurisdiction" to anyone.

I do hereby revoke and rescind all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, signed by me or otherwise, implied in "law" or otherwise, with or without

my consent or knowledge, as it pertains to any and all property, real or personal, corporeal or incorporeal, obtained in the past, present, or future. I am the sole and absolute owner and possess allodial title to any and all such property.

Take notice that I also revoke, cancel, and make void from the beginning all powers of attorney, in fact, in presumption, or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, claiming to act on my behalf, with or without my consent, as such power of attorney pertains to me or any property owned by me, by, but not limited to, any and all quasi/colorable, public, "governmental" departments, agencies or corporations on the grounds of constructive fraud, concealment, and nondisclosure of pertinent facts. use thy brain know the Constitution

Every State law must conform in the first place to the Constitution of the United States, and then to the subordinate constitutions of the particular state; and if it infringes upon the provisions of either, it is so far void." Houston v. Moore, 18 US 1, 5 L.Ed 19 (1840). It is abiding truth that "nothing can destroy a government more quickly than its failure to observe its own laws, or worse, its disregard of the charter of its own existence." Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643, 659 (1961). HARRIS V. NEW YORK U.S. Supreme Court • 401 U.S. 222 (1971).

"Every State law must conform in the first place to the Constitution of the United States, and then to the subordinate constitutions of the particular state; and if it infringes upon the provisions of either, it is so far void." Houston v. Moore, 18 US 1, 5 L.Ed 19 (1840). It is abiding truth that "nothing can destroy a government more quickly than its failure to observe its own laws, or worse, its disregard of the charter of its own existence." Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643, 659 (1961). HARRIS V. NEW YORK U.S. Supreme Court • 401 U.S. 222 (1971).

You sign your rights over to the Democratic democracy devils British Vatican government,, an then you force your children Biblical enslavement for fact real true facts, grow up children

REVOCATION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby revoke, rescind, cancel, and make void from the beginning, all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, implied in "law" or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, as it pertains to any "tax file/identification number" and/or "social security number" assigned to me, as it pertains to my "birth certificate," and as it pertains to any and all other numbers, "licenses," "certificates," and other "instruments" issued by any and all "government" and quasi-"governmental" departments or agencies, due to the use of various elements of fraud by said agencies to attempt to deprive me of my sovereignty and/or property. I hereby waive, cancel, repudiate, and refuse to knowingly accept any alleged "benefit" or "gratuity" associated with any of the aforementioned numbers, "licenses," "certificates," and other "instruments." My use of

any such numbers, "licenses," "certificates," or other "instruments" has been for information purposes only, and does not grant any "jurisdiction" to anyone.

I do hereby revoke and rescind all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, signed by me or otherwise, implied in "law" or otherwise, with or without my consent or knowledge, as it pertains to any and all property, real or personal, corporeal or incorporeal, obtained in the past, present, or future. I am the sole and absolute owner and possess allodial title to any and all such property.

Take notice that I also revoke, cancel, and make void from the beginning all powers of attorney, in fact, in presumption, or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, claiming to act on my behalf, with or without my consent, as such power of attorney pertains to me or any property owned by me, by, but not limited to, any and all quasi/colorable, public, "governmental" departments, agencies or corporations on the grounds of constructive fraud, concealment, and nondisclosure of pertinent facts.

Every State law must conform in the first place to the Constitution of the United States, and then to the subordinate constitutions of the particular state; and if it infringes upon the provisions of either, it is so far void." *Houston v. Moore*, 18 US 1, 5 L. Ed 19 (1840). It is abiding truth that "nothing can destroy a government more quickly than its failure to observe its own laws, or worse, its disregard of the charter of its own existence." *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643, 659 (1961). *HARRIS V. NEW YORK* U.S. Supreme Court • 401 U.S. 222 (1971).

US vs U.S.

Also be aware that there likely is a big difference between a US citizen and U.S. citizen. US designates the federal gov't, (the corporate Democracy) while U.S. is an abbreviation for United States AKA the USA (the de jure Republic).

And that's because there are at least THREE different meanings of the term "United States", as per the US Supreme Court.

You also can see the difference of how the postal system deals with the States. For example, "CA" is a federal region, while "Calif." is an abbreviation for California, a state of the Union. Why do you think that "gov't" agencies NEVER send you mail to Calif. or California, but ONLY to some place called "CA"?

Back in the de jure Republic, all you needed to prove AMERICAN Citizenship (of a state of the Union) was a BIRTH RECORD, which could be recorded in a family bible or come from a hospital. But as the default citizenship became FEDERAL (not State) citizenship, i.e. the 14th Amendment citizenship, for which one HAS TO be REGISTERED with the corporate STATE,

via a Birth Certificate.

Hope you realize that a Birth Certificate is a CERTIFICATE, while a Certified Record of Birth is a RECORD OF BIRTH, which just happens to be certified. See how the banksters and their gov' t minions fool the people, in order to deprive them of their Unalienable rights in a Republic, and suck them into their limited-liability scheme, known as Democracy?

I hope you realize that a BIRTH CERTIFICATE is EVIDENCE of TITLE to your body/person, just as a "Certificate of Title" is evidence of title to a car. And just as the "Certificate of Title" is NOT a full title, just evidence that a title exists, the BC also is NOT a FULL title to your person, just evidence that a title exists, and that the State HOLDS IT....

RUMINATE ON THIS.

If California is a state of the Union, what is STATE OF CALIFORNIA? State is defined as BODY POLITIC or territory within a State. And there's no definition of STATE OF CALIFORNIA in Black's law dictionary, which also DOESN'T define California.

Seems to me that being in California means being in a state of the Union, i. e. on the LAND of the Republic (State Citizen or Inhabitant), while being in a STATE OF CALIFORNIA is UNDEFINED and probably means being WITHIN a MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, i. e. being a MEMBER of corporate Democracy (STATE resident).

Remember, it's a FACT that CITIES and COUNTIES are MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS, so it's quite likely that the STATE OF XYZ is also a CORPORATE subdivision of the federal gov' t (UNITED STATES).

Only 1856 Bouvier's Law Dictionary defines California:

"CALIFORNIA. The name of one of the states of the United States. It was admitted into the Union, by-an Act of Congress, passed the 9th September, 1850, entitled "An act for the admission of the state of California into the Union."

1. This section enacts and declares that the state of California shall be one of the United States, and admitted into the Union on an equal footing with the original states, in all respects whatever...

PENNSYLVANIA. The name of one of the original states of the United States of America. "

WHAT'S YOUR STATUS?

Federal gov' t is running its own private 'nation'. It's VOLUNTARY, and

you signup via a Birth Certificate. And when you joined their corporate nation, you gotta get SSN if you want employment. And that entitles you to protection of federal labor laws, but also makes you liable to obey federal laws. So you then are an employee in the federal nation, a 'federal employee' for short.

And if you want out, just tell the IRS that you're a non-resident alien (State citizen or inhabitant) and ask them for a form to change status of your SSN into an ITIN. Then you can file W8 form with your employer and mark EXEMPT on line 7 of the W4 form.

BTW, there are about 100 boundary stones around District of Columbia. And on the inside of the stones it says "Jurisdiction of the United States". That's a proof positive that jurisdiction of US is limited to District of Columbia. SOO you now know what is the territorial United States that form W8-BEN talks about.

Here's a little MINDBLOWER for ya.

The 1849 California Constitution was created by "PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA" , while the 1879 Cali Constitution was created by "PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA". And that's a FACT.

So OBVIOUSLY, the 1849 Constitution applies to CALIFORNIA, while the 1879 Constitution applies to STATE OF CALIFORNIA. And since California is a state of the Union, OBVIOUSLY then the STATE OF CALIFORNIA is NOT a state of the Union!

I.e. California is part of the republic which consists of several (50) sovereign states, while State of California is a PRIVATE association consisting of MEMBERS who SIGNED UP or RESIDE WITHIN the boundaries that association declared.

Also note that the US Constitution FORBIDS creating a State within a State. So since the 1879 Cali Constitution COULD NOT abolish the 1849 Cali Constitution, (since PEOPLE OF THE STATE cannot abolish what PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA created), AND creating a State within a State is forbidden, then OBVIOUSLY the 1879 Cali Constitution created a PRIVATE, not a PUBLIC State. I.e. it is a PRIVATE association, meaning that in order for that association to have any authority over you, you GOTTA SIGN UP with them or accept their benefits, such as a RESIDENT STATUS.

I. e. NO CONTRACT = NO AUTHORITY. And that's why cops are POLICY ENFORCERS, since the 1879 Cali Constitution is NOT Public Law, like the 1849 one. It is Public POLICY, since it created a DEFACTO State, and not a DEJURE one. Of course when you're IN CONTRACT with that defacto State, then its Public Policy (statutes and codes) has a force of law.

Read real facts ,,, ,education of the republic freedom , you can be personally responsible and educate for your children as that is your responsibility soon templates for your bloodline claim owner rights <http://new.oregontrackers.com/home.html>