

# Survey Evaluation Results

Dear Marcos,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results of the survey PO21101\_22FA Intro. to International

The overall indicator is listed first. It consists of the following scales:

- General Info The Student
- The Course Overall

The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales mentioned above.

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed, along with a comparison to the overall results for the entire school.

Sincerely, College of Arts and Sciences

## Marcos Scauso

PO21101\_22FA Intro. to International Rel. (PO21101\_22FA)
No. of responses = 15 / No. of participants = 25
Response Rate = 60%




#### Overall indicators

Global Index

2. The Student


5. The Course Overall



### Survey Results

## Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

n=15


#### 1. General Info

1.4) Your Major:

Behavioral Neuroscience 0% Biochemistry 0% Biology 0% Chemistry 0% Computer Science (Liberal Arts) 0% Criminal Justice 6.7% **Economics** 0% 0% English Game Design & Development 0% Gerontology 0% 0% History Independent Major 0% Interactive Digital Design 0% Interdisciplinary Studies 0% Legal Studies ( 6.7% Liberal Studies 0% Mathematics 0% Molecular Cell Biology 0% 0% Philosophy



12/20/2022



## **Profile**

Subunit: College of Arts and Sciences

Name of the instructor:

Marcos Scauso

Name of the course: (Name of the survey) PO21101\_22FA Intro. to International Rel.

Comparative line:

Overall Average of Fall 2022 College of Arts and Sciences

Values used in the profile line: Mean

#### 2. The Student

KNOWLEDGE: As a student. I understand the central concepts and ideas in this course.

**COMMITMENT:** As a student, I did my part to learn as much as possible in this course.

LEARNING: As a student I can apply information/skills learned in this course. Strongly Agree

Strongly Agree

Strongly Agree

Strongly Agree

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree av.=4.7 md=5.0 av = 4.4md=5.0

n=15

n=15

n=9852

n=9873

dev.=0.5 dev.=0.6 av.=4.6 md=5.0


dev.=0.5

dev = 0.8

av.=4.7 md = 5.0dev.=0.8 n=9810 av.=4.4 md=5.0 dev.=0.8

#### 3. The Professor

- **INTELLECTUAL ATMOSPHERE:** My professor provided a stimulating atmosphere that facilitated learning.
- AVAILABILITY: My professor was available outside of class to respond to my questions and interests.
- **ENTHUSIASM:** My professor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.
- **TECHNIQUE:** My professor communicated the material clearly and effectively.
- **ORGANIZATION:** My professor summarized major points and course objectives.
- **GRADING PROCEDURES:** My work in this course was evaluated in an unbiased way.
- **ATTITUDE:** My professor was sensitive and respectful to students' needs.



	Strongly Disagree
	Strongly Disagree
	Strongly Disagree
<del>                                    </del>	Strongly Disagree
	Strongly Disagree
	Strongly Disagree

n=15	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
n=9870	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.9
n=15	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=1.1
n=9855	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.9
n=15	av.=4.9	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
n=9856	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.7
n=15	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.8
n=9844	av.=4.3	md=5.0	dev.=1.0
n=15	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
n=9843	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.8
n=15	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
n=9839	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.7

#### 4. Class Materials

- TEXTS: Class resources (i.e., text, other materials effectively contributed to learning.
- **ASSIGNMENTS & EXAMS:** The assignments and/or examinations were relevant and meaningful for the content of the course.



n=15	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
n=9866	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.9
n=15	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
n=9824	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.8

av.=4.9

av.=4.6

n=15

n=9833

md=5.0

dev.=0.4

#### 5. The Course Overall

- Overall, I would rate this course as a valuable learning experience.
- Overall, the PROFESSOR was effective.



n=15	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.4
n=9873	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.9
n=15	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.8
n=9855	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.9

# **Profile Line for Indicators**

Subunit: College of Arts and Sciences

Name of the instructor:

Marcos Scauso

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

PO21101\_22FA Intro. to International Rel.

Comparative line: Overall Average of Fall 2022 College of Arts and Sciences

2. The Student

5. The Course Overall



v.=4.7 v.=4.5 dev.=0.6

av.=4.7 av.=4.4 dev.=0.6

# Comments Report

#### 1. General Info

- 1.1) Course Name and Number:
- Intro to International Relations PO211
- Intro to International Relations PO21101
- Intro. to International Rel. PO21101 22FA
- Introduction to International Relations
- Introduction to International Relations PO 211-01
- PO 211
- PO 211 01
- PO 211-01 Intro to International Relations
- PO-211-01 Intro. to International Relations
- PO211
- PO211 Intro to International Relations
- PO21101 (2 Counts)
- PO21101 22FA Intro. to International Rel.
- STC332
- 1.2) Semester:
- 1st semester
- 22FA
- Fall
- Fall 2022 (10 Counts)
- Fall semester
- fall
- 1.3) Professor's Last Name:
- George
- Scauso (13 Counts)
- Scauso

#### 2. The Student

- <sup>2.4)</sup> Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey to the instructor about YOUR performance in this class.
- I could've done better staying on top of the readings but I took a lot of notes in class.
- I did most of the readings for the class and in most cases it was extremely beneficial to understanding the content. Also, I always wanted to participate and contribute more to the class but was always nervous to because of the student dynamic.
- I really enjoyed the teaching style but point system I think could be set better.

■ The 4 question quizzes were kind of difficult because if you got 1 wrong you were already at a C. Less frequent and longer quizzes would be more effective in my opinion.

#### 3. The Professor

- <sup>3.8)</sup> Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey about the professor's teaching:
- By far one of my favorite political science professors! Super understanding, intelligent, helpful, funny and willing to work with students and make sure they can take the most from the class. I also really appreciated how he would ALWAYS check to make sure the class was comfortable and understanding the content as he taught. Summaries were always really beneficial. As a student who was less vocal, I could convey my understanding with just a head nod and I appreciated that. It was the small gestures that mattered. He did pronounce my name wrong the whole semester though:/
- Impossible to reach outside of class. Information about assignments are not clear.
- One of the most passionate teachers I've had at Quinnipiac.
- Prof is SO interesting!! The way he speaks and the way he carries himself is so incredibly engaging. I've never found it easy to pay attention for 50 straight minutes, but Prof managed.
- Professor Scauso is a great professor who has been extremely enthusiastic during the entire semester
- Professor Scauso is the best professor I have had since being at QU. Extremely passionate about the subject material and always makes sure everyone understands, often summarizing the content at the end of class. I got more out of this class than any class I have since being here.
- Professor Scauso made sure we understood the material and took his time to explain and elaborate on points and to let the class lead the discussions as well. He made the classroom a warm and welcoming environment for students to learn about international relations.
- The professor made the class engaging and encouraged questions.
- This is the best professor I've ever had at Quinnipiac
- Very passionate; clear that he enjoyed speaking about this topic
- he really knows his stuff and is super passionate about what he does. its mostly based on discussion so if you dont keep up it leaves you confused, but I never get bored in class so its been really good.
- it was to inclusive where you felt he was worried of what others think the whole class.

#### 4. Class Materials

- 4.3) Please provide any additional comments you would like to convey relevant to class materials:
- All the materials were really relative and helpful to understand the content. The poststructuralism readings were a bit challenging for me though.
- Book was not necessary
- Class material was always relevant and planned out well.
- I liked the structure of this course- short quiz once every two weeks, and one final presentation and paper
- The quizzes made sure we were on track but weren't stress-inducing.
- The reading quizzes really emphasized comprehension of the reading rather just evaluating if you retained a couple words in your brain. The latter is arguably insulting to me as a student, so I appreciate that Prof did the former.
- Very respectful and open to meeting students needs through communication

#### 5. The Course Overall

- <sup>5.3)</sup> Please discuss any and all strengths and weaknesses related to this course:
- I just wish the teacher understood there are situations you can't control and taking a ton of points away seems harsh.
- I really enjoyed this course!

- The lectures were extremely helpful
- This is an excellent course and Professor Scauso is simply brilliant. Very effective teacher and focus on learning, not grades.
- hard class material wise but the professor was very good.