Geology

Direct U-Pb dating of Cretaceous and Paleocene dinosaur bones, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: COMMENT

Alan E. Koenig, Spencer G. Lucas, Leonid A. Neymark, Andrew B. Heckert, Robert M. Sullivan, Steven E. Jasinski and Denver W. Fowler

Geology 2012;40;e262 doi: 10.1130/G32154C.1

	click www.gsapubs.org/cgi/alerts to receive free e-mail alerts when new articles cite this article
Subscribe	click www.gsapubs.org/subscriptions/ to subscribe to Geology
Permission request	click http://www.geosociety.org/pubs/copyrt.htm#gsa to contact GSA

Copyright not claimed on content prepared wholly by U.S. government employees within scope of their employment. Individual scientists are hereby granted permission, without fees or further requests to GSA, to use a single figure, a single table, and/or a brief paragraph of text in subsequent works and to make unlimited copies of items in GSA's journals for noncommercial use in classrooms to further education and science. This file may not be posted to any Web site, but authors may post the abstracts only of their articles on their own or their organization's Web site providing the posting includes a reference to the article's full citation. GSA provides this and other forums for the presentation of diverse opinions and positions by scientists worldwide, regardless of their race, citizenship, gender, religion, or political viewpoint. Opinions presented in this publication do not reflect official positions of the Society.

Notes



© 2012 Geological Society of America

Forum Comment

Direct U-Pb dating of Cretaceous and Paleocene dinosaur bones, San Juan Basin, New Mexico

Alan E. Koenig¹, Spencer G. Lucas², Leonid A. Neymark¹, Andrew B. Heckert³, Robert M. Sullivan⁴, Steven E. Jasinski⁴, and Denver W. Fowler⁵

¹United States Geological Survey, MS973, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225, USA

²New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, 1801 Mountain Road, N.W., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87104, USA

³Appalachian State University, Department of Geology, ASU Box 32067, Boone, North Carolina 28608, USA

⁴The State Museum of Pennsylvania, 300 North St., Harrisburg,

Pennsylvania 17120, USA

⁵Museum of the Rockies, Montana State University, 600 W. Kagy Blvd., Bozeman, Montana 59717, USA

Based on U-Pb dating of two dinosaur bones from the San Juan Basin of New Mexico (United States), Fassett et al. (2011) claim to provide the first successful direct dating of fossil bones and to establish the presence of Paleocene dinosaurs. Fassett et al. ignore previously published work that directly questions their stratigraphic interpretations (Lucas et al., 2009), and fail to provide sufficient descriptions of instrumental, geochronological, and statistical treatments of the data to allow evaluation of the potentially complex diagenetic and recrystallization history of bone. These shortcomings lead us to question the validity of the U-Pb dates published by Fassett et al. and their conclusions regarding the existence of Paleocene dinosaurs.

Nearly all fossilized bone is recrystallized after burial; however, the durations of recrystallization are typically poorly constrained. Modeled durations range from hundreds to millions of years (Herwartz et al., 2011; Koenig et al., 2009, and references therein). Kocsis et al. (2010) and Herwartz et al. (2011) present Lu-Hf geochronology data for 72 bones that showed prolonged episodes of trace-element uptake and open system behavior during recrystallization. Both studies demonstrate that the chemical complexity of fossilized bones led to a wide range of ages. Fassett et al.'s failure to present geochemical data that provide insight into the process and duration of recrystallization undermines their conclusion that their age estimates are closely associated with time of death and early fossilization process and its duration, it is difficult to interpret what useful information, if any, can be derived from U-Pb or Lu-Hf dating efforts on fossil bone.

Fassett et al. present the first use of laser ablation-multicollectorinductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-MC-ICP-MS) U-Pb dating of fossil bone, but their reference to U-Pb dating studies of igneous zircon and titanite as being similar to the methods for recrystallized apatite is unsupported. Chew et al. (2011) present the detailed methodology required to ensure valid LA-MC-ICP-MS U-Pb geochronology of apatite. In addition, details regarding the statistical treatment and rejection of outliers for bone 22799-D, which clearly shows open U-Pb system behavior, are insufficient. Moreover, Fassett et al.'s choice of data points used to produce the mean ${}^{238}\text{U}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}$ date of 73.6 ± 0.9 Ma seems arbitrary, and no criteria for rejecting analyses with identical ²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb values and dates >80 Ma and <70 Ma are given. The paper uses ²³⁸U-²⁰⁶Pb ages but provides no details on the common-Pb correction and its associated uncertainty. Relatively low measured 206Pb/204Pb ratios in dinosaur bone BB1 (a median value of 24.1) will result in significant ²³⁸U-²⁰⁶Pb age biases if an inaccurate common-Pb correction is applied. To avoid this, a 238U/204Pb-206Pb/204Pb isochron approach not requiring a priori knowledge of the appropriate common Pb should have been used. A 238U/204Pb-206Pb/204Pb errorchron for

the longitudinal section 1 of the reference sample 22799-D of Fassett et al. (2011, their Table DR1 in GSA Data Repository item 2011069) gives an apparent age of 47.1 ± 8.1 Ma with a mean square of weighted deviates (MSWD) value of 140. This indicates that points scatter beyond analytical errors, and confirms the open-system behavior of U-Pb. The ²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb versus ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb isochron method applied to dinosaur bone BB1 yields a calculated age of 60.9 ± 3.5 Ma (2σ , MSWD = 11.9) and initial ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb of 20.43 ± 0.17. This errorchron age is younger and less precise than the Fassett et al. value of 64.8 ± 0.9 Ma. Judging from the reported percentage of radiogenic ²⁰⁶Pb, we estimate that the ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb ratio for the common-Pb correction was ~19.9. The difference between the two estimates of common Pb causes an appreciable bias in the ages reported by Fassett et al.

Finally, bone BB1 is from the Naashoibito Member of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone, which yields many index taxa of vertebrate fossils known from Upper Cretaceous units elsewhere, notably in Texas and Utah. No convincing biostratigraphic data establish a Paleocene age for this bone (Lucas et al., 2009). Fassett (2009, p. 12) states that bone BB1 came from a stratigraphic level "in the lowermost part of Chron C29n," and BB1 lies just above strata dated at 65.2 Ma. Lucas et al. (2009) published a detailed critique of Fassett's (2009) interpretation of the magnetostratigraphy across the Cretaceous-Tertiary (K/T) boundary in the San Juan Basin. Fassett et al. fail to address the conclusions of Lucas et al. (2009) and the consensus view that the K/T boundary in the San Juan Basin is well above the stratigraphic level of dinosaur bone BB1.

Given the importance of accurate and precise age determinations for assigning a Paleocene age to dinosaur bones, we contend that there should have been a more rigorous validation of the dating methods and verification of ages from additional samples beyond those presented by Fassett et al. These weaknesses, combined with the large uncertainty of recrystallization duration of the bones in question, and the contradictory biostratigraphic and magnetostratigraphic placement of the K/T boundary in the San Juan Basin, provide enough doubt to reject the results and interpretations of Fassett et al. We conclude that Fassett et al. have failed to provide the extraordinary evidence needed to support the extraordinary claim that dinosaurs survived the K/T impact event and lived into the Paleocene.

REFERENCES CITED

- Chew, D.M., Sylvester, P.J., and Tubrett, M.N., 2011, U-Pb and Th-Pb dating of apatite by LA-ICPMS: Chemical Geology, v. 280, p. 200–216, doi:10.1016/j .chemgeo.2010.11.010.
- Fassett, J.E., 2009, New geochronologic and stratigraphic evidence confirms the Paleocene age of the dinosaur-bearing Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Animas Formation in the San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado: Paleontologia Electronica, v. 12, 146 p., http://palaeo-electronica.org/2009_1/149/149.pdf.
- Fassett, J.E., Heaman, L.M., and Simonetti, A., 2011, Direct U-Pb dating of Cretaceous and Paleocene dinosaur bones, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: Geology, v. 39, p. 159–162, doi:10.1130/G31466.1.
- Herwartz, D., Tütken, T., Münker, C., Jochum, K.P., Stoll, B., and Sander, P.M., 2011, Timescales and mechanisms of REE and Hf uptake in fossil bones: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 75, p. 82–105, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.09.036.
- Kocsis, L., Trueman, C.N., and Palmer, M.R., 2010, Protracted diagenetic alteration of REE contents in fossil bioapatites: Direct evidence from Lu-Hf isotope systematics: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 74, p. 6077– 6092, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.08.007.
- Koenig, A.E., Rogers, R.R., and Trueman, C.N., 2009, Visualizing fossilization using laser ablation ICP-MS maps of trace elements in Late Cretaceous bones: Geology, v. 37, p. 511–514, doi:10.1130/G25551A.1.
- Lucas, S.G., Sullivan, R.M., Cather, S.M., Jasinski, S.E., Fowler, D.W., Heckert, A.B., Spielmann, J.A., and Hunt, A.P., 2009, No definitive evidence of Paleocene dinosaurs in the San Juan Basin: Paleontologia Electronica, v. 12, 10 p., http://palaeo-electronica.org/2009_2/199/199.pdf.