

Analysis of RFPD Delivery Methods
 Fire Protection Committee

ALTERNATIVES	PROS	CONS	TAXPAYER COSTS
RFPD Delivery method: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build from Ground Up • Staff with volunteers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower labor costs • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Years long project • Volunteer departments are in crisis of failure, including Wolf Creek • More moving parts; more chance of something going wrong 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital outlay – bond measure • Permanent tax rate
RFPD Delivery method: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build from Ground Up • Staff with Permanent Paid staff with some volunteers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Years long project • More moving parts; more chance of something going wrong 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital outlay – bond measure • Permanent tax rate
RFPD Delivery method: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buy existing Private Fire Company 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agency exists • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Private Fire Company may not sell 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital outlay – bond measure • Permanent tax rate
RFPD Delivery method: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • District contracts with Private Fire Company 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replicate existing coverage for 65+% of residents • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fire district must be voted into existence, or • Fire district is established first by BCC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanent tax rate
Form two RFPDs All of the above must be considered for each	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National trend is consolidation, not dividing districts • Split tax base may not generate enough revenue to support 2 districts • Duplication of administrative and firefighting staff 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital outlay – bond measure • Permanent tax rate