

Sources for "Science's Significant Stats Problem," <http://nautil.us/issue/4/the-unlikely/sciences-significant-stats-problem>

Altman, D.G. The scandal of poor medical research. *British Medical Journal* 308 (1994), 283-284.

Begley, C. Glenn and Lee M. Ellis. "Raise standards for preclinical cancer research." *Nature* 483 (March 29, 2012), 531-533.

Berry, D.A. The difficult and ubiquitous problems of multiplicities. *Pharmaceutical Statistics* 6 (2007), 155-160.

Button, Katherine S. et al. Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 14 (May 2013).

Cohen, Jon. Mission Impossible: A Concise and Precise Definition of P-Value. *Science NOW*, October 30, 2009. <http://news.sciencemag.org/sciencenow/2009/10/30-01.html>.

Gelman, A., and D. Weaklied. Of beauty, sex and power. *American Scientist* 97(2009):310-316.

Gelman, A., and H. Stern. The difference between 'significant' and 'not significant' is not itself statistically significant. *American Statistician* 60 (November 2006):328-331.

Gigerenzer, Gerd. Mindless Statistics. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 33 (2004) 587-606.

Gilbert, Peter B. et al. Statistical Interpretation of the RV 144 HIV Vaccine Efficacy Trial in Thailand: A Case Study for Statistical Issues in Efficacy Trials. *JID* 203 (April 1, 2011), 969-975.

Goodman, Steven N. Toward Evidence-Based Medical Statistics. 1: The P Value Fallacy. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 130 (June 15, 1999), 995-1004.

Goodman, S.N. A dirty dozen: Twelve P-value misconceptions. *Seminars in Hematology* (2008), 45:135-140. doi:10.1053/j.seminhematol.2008.04.003.

Howson, C., and P. Urbach. *Scientific Reasoning: The Bayesian Approach*. Third Edition. Chicago: Open Court, 2006.

Hubbard, Raymond and J. Scott Armstrong. Why We Don't Really Know What 'Statistical Significance' Means: A Major Educational Failure. *Journal of Marketing Education* 28 (August 2006).

Hubbard, R., and R. Murray Lindsey. Why P values are not a useful measure of evidence in statistical significance testing. *Theory & Psychology* 18 (2008), 69-88.

Ioannidis, John P. A. Why Most Published Research Findings Are False. *PLoS Medicine* 2 (August 2005), 0101-0106.

Lambdin, "Charles. Significance tests as sorcery: Science is empirical—significance tests are not. *Theory & Psychology* 22 (2012), 67-90.

Morgan, Thomas H. et al. Nonvalidation of Reported Genetic Risk Factors for Acute Coronary Syndrome in a Large-Scale Replication Study. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 297 (April 11, 2007), 1551-61.

Prinz, Florian. Believe it or not: how much can we rely on published data on potential drug targets? *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* 10 (2011), 712.

Rerks-Ngarm, Supachai et al. Vaccination with ALVAC and AIDSVAX to Prevent HIV-1 Infection in Thailand. *New England Journal of Medicine* 361 (December 3, 2009), 2209-2220.

Rozeboom, William W. The Fallacy of the Null-Hypothesis Significance Test. *Psychological Bulletin* 57 (1960), 416-428.

Sanders, Laura. Trawling the Brain. *Science News* 176 (December 19, 2009), 16-20.

Stephens, Philip A. Steven W. Buskirk and Carlos Martínez del Río. Inference in ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 22 (2007).

Sullivan, Patrick F. Spurious Genetic Associations. *Biological Psychiatry* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.11.010

Wacholder, Sholom, Stephen Chanock, Montserrat Garcia-Closas, Laure El ghormli, Nathaniel Rothman. Assessing the Probability That a Positive Report is False: An Approach for Molecular Epidemiology Studies. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 96 (March 17, 2004).

Ziliak, Stephen and Deirdre McCloskey, *The Cult of Statistical Significance*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, 2008, p. 155.