



## CHRISTIAN CRUSADE FOR TRUTH

### Intelligence Newsletter

*"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." John 8:32.*

**January - February, 1990**

## **Eastern Europe Part 2**

**An analysis by "reading between the lines."** It was promised in the last issue of this newsletter that the current situation in Eastern Europe, including Russia, would be discussed as information becomes available. The conditions are changing so rapidly, nearly on a day-by-day basis, that it is compelling to discuss the situation again in this issue. In reality, the year 1990 may someday be termed by secular historians as *annus mirabilis*, the year of wonders. To we Christians, it could very easily be the start of *"For the great day of his wrath is come; and who shall be able to stand? (Rev. 6:17)"*

The [November-December, 1988 issue](#) of the Intelligence Newsletter contained an article titled The Mideast Dilemma. In that article, the one billion Moslems of the world and their Islamic Jihad (holy war) was discussed. It was pointed out that the Soviet Union is in very serious economic trouble and they were being forced into making concessions, not only to the Plutocrats of the West who have funded them since 1917, but to their own people as well. It was shown that their biggest problem, however, was to be the very large Moslem population within Russia. It is suggested that you reread that issue because it discussed the background to the very things that are happening in Eastern Europe today.

Nearly all political analysts are looking at the current events of Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union as a tremendous political win for the West. They see the Soviets as admitting that the Communist program of the past seventy plus years has been a failure. The Attorney General, Dick Thornburgh, addressed the Council of Jewish Federations in Cincinnati, Ohio on November 18, 1989. In his speech he discussed his recent visit to the Soviet Union to meet with leaders in the legal and law enforcement fields to discuss the rule of law and human rights. He reflected on the questions the Soviets asked about how the American system works. The Attorney General, of course, was obliged to tell the Soviets how well the various ethnic groups in the United States got along together, all because of our system of government, but mainly because of our system of commercial law.

He said this in his speech, "In other words, one principal reason for their (the Soviets) interest in the rule of law is that they have an immediate and pressing need to jump-start their participation in the world economy, to attract foreign know-how and investment. To do that, they realize they must display the predictability and stability that emerges from a body of commercial law -- which, in turn, respects the sanctity of contracts, and yes, recognizes property rights as well."

At the same time, his speech was primarily directed to the question of getting all of the Soviet Jews out of Russia and into either Israeli or the United States. He said, "With us, at our side, were representatives from the Council of Jewish Federations and the Hebrew Immigration Assistance Society (HIAS), who have taken such a supportive role in dealing with the problems inherent in the changes that *glasnost* and *perestroyka* have wrought for Jews in the Soviet Union."

If one analyzes those two statements given by Dick Thornburg, there is a dichotomy and they are not compatible. There is much, much more to the events in Eastern Europe than simply the economy of the Soviet Union.

Again, reflecting on the November-December, 1988 issue of this newsletter, it was shown that the Soviet Union will support the Moslem people in their Islamic Jihad to regain the control of Palestine and Jerusalem. To make such a seemingly outlandish thing to work, Russia must neutralize the [North Atlantic Treaty Organization \(NATO\)](#). If there were no NATO troops in Europe, Russia could support the Jihad with no viable opposition.

So the logical thing to do is to lull everyone to sleep with this ploy of turning to the West for economic help. The Berlin wall comes down. The Eastern European countries are given their freedom to one degree or another. Major efforts are being made to reunify West and East Germany.

Germany is the key to their efforts. Germany, whether the Zionist dominated West wants to believe it or not, is once again in the drivers seat in Europe. The U.S. State Department knows it. There is considerable talk on whether the "power brokers" of 1945 should allow the reunification of the two Germanys. Some are once again trying to instill fears of what would happen if Germany was reunited.

The Plutocrat, Zionist controlled West is dreaming. The balance of power structure of 1945 no longer exists. True, the Soviet Union is an economic basket case. But so is the United States. Our government is lying to us about the economic condition in our country. We are in no position to fight a protracted war of any sort. To fight a war and win it, a country must have the ability to sustain a production of war materiel and all of the ancillary goods for the duration of the war. With the total flight of our industries to third world countries, we can not maintain that production. The Russians know this and are going to exploit it.

If the Soviets can reduce the effectiveness of NATO and, at the same time, acquire the good will and support of South Africa, they will have made the biggest coup in modern history. In any event, the old balance of power structure is dead, or nearly so, and a new world order is eminent.

There are three possibilities for that new world order. One, a world order as planned by the Plutocratic, Zionist, Elite Capitalists which would include merging with the Soviets and can best be described as Authoritarian Capitalist Communism and can be termed Jewish Millennialism. Two, a world order as planned by Russia which could be described as Authoritarian Imperialism and it probably would not be Communist. This has been their dream for over three hundred years.

The third system, or world order, is the one with promise. *"James, a servant of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ, to the twelve tribes which are scattered abroad, greeting. ([Jas. 1:1](#))" "Hearken, my beloved brethren, Hath not God chosen the poor of this world (world order) rich in faith, and heirs of the kingdom which he hath promised to them that love him? ([Jas. 2:5](#))"*

The two big contenders for world domination are locked in a final death struggle and there is little probability that either one will come out of that struggle as a clear winner. Once again, as did happen the first time in A.D. 70, our Saviour God, Jesus Christ, has his hands outstretched and is saying, *"Fear not, little flock; for it is your Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom. ([Luke 12:32](#))"*

**What are the incidents that lead to this conclusion?** The following information is extracted from the newsletter Special Office Brief which is written in England.

Rafsanjani, the leader of Iran, was invited by Gorbachev to visit the town of Baku, Azerbaijan. Rafsanjani was asked to speak to a small group of influential Islamic Moslems. Rafsanjani took the opportunity to state that, "Mr. Gorbachev is a great leader not only of the Soviet Union but of the World." Rafsanjani then went on to explain to the group of Islamics that 1.) Gorbachev is now deeply sympathetic to the principles of Islam. 2.) Gorbachev could now guarantee that Germany would not only be neutral in the event of a Jihad against Israel but that Germany would not allow personnel or weapons to leave their country for use in any opposition to a Russo-Islamic strategic move in the Middle East. 3.) The public opinion in England is now strongly anti Israel and that the United States would not assist Israel without England. 4.) Syria, Libya, Ethiopia, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Iraq, the Gulf States, Yemen and all Islamic African communities guarantee support for the Russo-Iranian Jerusalem cause. China and Pakistan will not interfere. South Africa will refuse raw materials to the Western Powers in exchange for the destruction of the [African National Congress](#) (ANC).

Rafsanjani requested the Islamic leaders in all of the Soviet Islamic Republics to spread this information among all Islamics and to make it a part of the prophetic talk of the meeting places.

The Russian *Pamyat* (Memory) movement is sweeping Russia and it is approved by the official Orthodox Church. Mr. Gorbachev admitted to a journalist when he was in France on July 6, 1989 that both he and Mrs. Gorbachev were baptized into the Orthodox Church. The *Pamyat* Society, along with the Orthodox Church are sweeping Russia with a massive anti-Jewish propaganda program. Zionists are accused of stirring up trouble in the Ukraine and the Baltic States. There is also a massive anti-Masonic program now in effect and the links between Zionism and Masonry is openly discussed.

Dmitri Vasilyev, the leader of the *Pamyat* Society stated the following in an officially approved interview with the French magazine *Actuel*: "It's the Zionists who have persuaded the Ukrainians to believe that they are not Russians. It is they who persuaded the Lithuanians to believe that they are not Slavs. The goal of each citizen is to fight till the death for the glory of his Empire. The Zionists have divided us all...In reality, the Germans are our brothers. We are children of one family, and this family extends into Europe. You French, you too, are part of this family. It is the Zionists who have divided us." This is the end of the information derived from the Special Office Brief.

From this information we can readily see the reason for Attorney General Dick Thornburg's visit to Russia. The United States is securing the release of all Russian Jews before the axe falls over there. Russia will let them go which, in turn, will further cement the relations between white Russia and the Moslem republics.

To further solidify Russia's position that Europe, with the lead from Germany, will not oppose the Russo-Islamic strategic move in the Mideast, we must understand that the European countries are being inundated with people from the Moslem world. Mohammedism is rapidly becoming the most influential religious force in Europe. Christianity is in decline. A recent poll taken showed that only approximately fifty percent of Europeans now believe in the God of the Christian Bible. Laws are now being modified to satisfy the Moslem belief. They are active in community and state affairs. Their political clout will influence whether Europe opposes the Russo-Islamic strategic move or not.

The activities in Azerbaijan wherein the Moslem population and the Christian Armenians are in a civil war are not easily interpreted. It is possible that the Moslem population

became a little over zealous after Rafsanjani's speech to them in Baku. If so, this is proving to be an embarrassment to Gorbachev's program. He could be using the military to quash the activity to simply slow down the Moslem uprisings and to assure the West that Russia's intentions are not to form a Russo-Islamic alliance. Another possibility is that he is using the military to show the Moslem population that they are fighting a Christian population that has nothing whatsoever to do with the Israeli-Palestine-Jerusalem fight. The answer to this question should become more clear as the Russo-Islamic alliance evolves.

We in the West cannot truly fathom the immensity of the contest that is now coming into focus. The one great difference between the Moslem mind and the mind of the Western people, both Judeo-Christian and Jew alike, is that of belief in the use of usury. God is very specific on this point in His Word and yet, because the Judeo-Christian (differentiated from Christian) has misunderstood and misinterpreted what Jesus said to the wicked and slothful servant in [Matthew 25:27](#), the West is at the precipice. Oswald Spengler states it well in his title, *The Decline of the West*.

The great French author Honore de Balzac said this, "There can be no universal application of Christianity until the money problem has been solved." Again, because of a total misunderstanding of the meaning of [Romans 13](#), "Caesar" has obtained the ultimate in concentrated financial power and the church, because of the Baal priests, have decided not to tackle the problem.

Ivor Benson in his article titled *Iran: Some Angles on the Islamic Revolution* wrote the following:

"If a single all-embracing reason is to be sought for the dread of a resurgent Islam now prevailing in the highest centers of worldly power, it may be found in the Islamic moral delineation of Economic Man, a system of ideas which challenges the entire foundation of great power in the West."

"Monetary reform campaigners in the West, especially in the United States, might be astonished by the quantity and quality of thinking which Muslim scholars have put into the subject of banking and of economics generally, all of it constellated by the Prophet Muhammad's simple utterances. Here are some of the key elements of the Islamic economic philosophy:

"Individual rights: These are a consequence of the fulfillment of duties and obligations, not antecedent to them. In other words, first comes the duty, then the right."

"Property: ownership is never absolute, conferring on us the right to do with our property wholly as we please. As the Sharia puts it, all property belongs to God: we are only its temporary incumbents and trustees; there are duties and responsibilities inseparably attached to the ownership of property."

"Work and wealth: Islam exalts work as an inseparable dimension of faith itself and reprehends idleness. We do not need work only in order to earn a livelihood; we need work to preserve our psychic health; we need to exercise creative skills and to spend energy in work."

"Usury: The Koran forcefully prohibits the payment and receipt of interest, or *riba* as it is called. Interest on loan is regarded as a creation of instantaneous property rights outside the legitimate framework of existing property rights."

"The evil inherent in usury, however, is more recondite and elusive than that. The lending of money at interest can in many instances be advantageous to borrower as well as lender; fortunes have been made with borrowed money. It is only in the contest of a total way of life of a community that the evil nature of usury becomes more clearly visible to the moral imagination."

"The principle of usury, once accepted, gives rise to the regular practice of it, requiring or making possible the emergence of a class of moneylender; human nature being as it is, and taking into account the circumstances in which money most often needs to be borrowed, the practice of usury is seen as conferring a compounding advantage on the moneylender class." End of quotes by Ivor Benson.

Now, let us return to Dick Thornburg's address to the masters of money lending who learned from those of ancient Babylon which God destroyed.

"...To do that, they (Soviets) realize they must display the predictability and stability that emerges from a body of commercial law -- which, in turn, respects the SANCTITY (emphasis mine-ed.) of contracts, and yes, recognizes property rights as well." The word sanctity means holiness, from holy. 1. saintliness; holiness; purity. 2. the state of being consecrated to a deity; sacredness. 3. the quality of being regarded as sacred; binding force; inviolability. 4. anything held sacred. We have always said that paper and contracts are god in our country. We now have the Attorney General publicly stating that. Perhaps he said it only as a figure of speech. Nevertheless, he stated the truth.

When Adamic man first built the Tower of Babel related in [Genesis 11](#), God destroyed it because *"nothing will be restrained from them, which they have imagined to do."* That tower was rebuilt many times and when Nebuchadnezzar rebuilt it during the great day of Babylon, God again destroyed it. It was because of the system of economics and the attendant lack of morals that it was destroyed. We are now on the precipice of destruction because of the same system of economics. Only now it is world wide and it is called Mystery Babylon. The two systems are in mortal combat to the death.

Will Christianity survive? Of course. The Word of God, from Genesis to Revelation tells us that. That remnant, the true Christian who has come out of the system to the fullest extent possible and who refuses to bow his knee to Baal, will not only survive but go forth to do what God put us here for.

A very intelligent point of view regarding the conflict that is about to take place comes from the German-American Political Action Committee (GANPAC) newsletter, edited by Hans Schmidt. In the January, 1990 issue we read this:

"There is also the fact that wars or fights between groups of different racial and religious backgrounds are usually far worse than those between peoples of different nationalities. If there is a great depression and if as a result the insatiable demands of the various `colored` American minorities cannot be fulfilled, then Washington will face more troubles than it can handle. Would black soldiers of the U.S. Army shoot against black rioters who allegedly act out of hunger? How long will white FBI agents (who know better!) still act against heritage and conscience when they use illegal laws to suppress their own brethren?"

"The ultimate fate of the United States is closely allied to that of Israel. If Israel, as a last ditch resort, uses nuclear weapons in its aggression against the Arabs, then all the Jew's control over the U.S. media will not help the bulk of American Jews who will be held responsible by gentiles for the past misdeeds of their leaders, just as the German people as a whole are held responsible for real and alleged transgressions during World War II. Then, furthermore, the wrath of the American people will descend upon those American non-

Jewish leaders who out-Zionized the Zionists. Especially, past and present members of the U.S. Government and many religious leaders will be called to account for their never-ending support of the Jewish state, a support that has besmirched the name of the United States for decades to come."

What does GANPAC expect to happen when the "dust settles" after the upcoming conflict? The GANPAC newsletter continues. "...Certainly, the United States will be less inclined to meddle in other people's and nations' affairs. Inside the country we can expect greater honesty and a return to old-fashioned values by people in public life. This will translate in a better, straight-forward relationship between the various races, and remove unrealistic hopes from the agenda of minority leaders. Once white Americans have regained their rightful place in society (without fear of being called racists, bigots and anti-Semites), the United States can embark again on creating a better life for all, this time unhindered by anglophile `New York' supercapitalists and Jewish do-gooders. **In other words, time is on our side for now. It is up to us to make what we can of the new situation. Certainly, even here in the United States the era of complacency is over. It is imperative that `Aryan' Americans stand for their rights and tell the system (which is still in power) that its days are numbered also.**" End of quotes from GANPAC.

Should these words shock sensible Americans? Did such words shock our grandparents and our great-grandparents when they entered into the bloodiest conflict in our history called the Civil War, which was foisted upon us by those same "anglophiles" and moneylenders. Is it really true to believe "peace at any price?" One cannot be a part of the remnant and think that way. *"Watch ye, stand fast in the faith, quit you like men, be strong (1 Cor. 16:13)."*

*"And if it seem evil unto yo to serve the Lord, choose you this day whom ye will serve; whether the gods which your fathers served that were on the other side of the flood, or the gods of the Amorites, in whose land ye dwell: but as for me and my house, we will serve the Lord (Jos. 24:15)."*

## Lesson In History Part 6

**The American Revolution (continued).** It was shown in the [first part](#) of the history of the American Revolution that the people considered it the War for Independence and others, who had reasons of their own, thought of it as an ongoing worldwide revolution. Their idea was to change totally the manner in which citizens of all countries were governed.

Approximately half of the American people came to our beloved land to further the Christian Kingdom concept. The other half came along for the ride, so to speak, and their main concern was to feed their family. They didn't particularly care what the form of government was. If we look at the major issues that have faced this nation through the years, we will find that same fifty-fifty split.

Since about fifty percent of our people have never really cared about the form of government as long as they personally could have their material needs, those who have always wanted to remake the world to their liking, would have fertile ground to do their ugly deeds. Such has been the case in the United States from the beginning.

They have nearly always used the same technique. They first create a problem, real or imaginary, then expound on that problem in the news media until the people are willing to make a change. That fifty percent that really don't care are the easiest to convince.

The American people won the War for Independence. They created a loose federation of sovereign states. They had a national congress consisting of one house and each state was represented with each state having equal voting power. There was no executive department and no general judiciary. The central government had no power to regulate commerce or to tax directly.

If you study that system you will find that, in a real sense, the people were trying to operate their government in accordance with the instructions found in the Bible. The only problem was that the Christian people of the land didn't understand the evil of usury. They had been taught since the time of John Calvin in England that usury was alright as long as it was kept within bounds.

But there was no provision for the payment of debts. Money could not be secured to pay the holders of public securities, either their interest or principal. Private rights in property were continually attacked by stay laws, legal tender laws, and a whole range of measures framed in behalf of debtors. The state governments were trying to protect the farmers from losing their land to men who had loaned money for the war effort.

The newspapers were full of articles and editorials demanding a new government. The men who had loaned money for the pursuit of the war wanted a central government which could directly tax the people to pay debts. They wanted a government where contracts and financial paper was paramount.

The largest share of citizens were living on the land. They were farmers and they had their "vine and their fig tree." The economy of the country was actually good contrary to what the opponents wanted the people to believe. The historian M. Carey in *The American Museum* states, "that the gloomy view of economic conditions persistently propagated by the advocates of a new national system was not entertained by all writers of eminence and authority. One of the members of the Convention, Franklin, early in 1787, before the calling of that assembly, declared that the country was, on the whole, so prosperous that there was every reason for profound thanksgiving."<sup>1</sup> The fifty percent who cared what their system of government was wanted the government to pay the war debt by the government selling the western lands. The other fifty percent, even though some of them were also farmers, didn't care. The men who had loaned the money were generally land speculators. They wanted to acquire the land in large tracts and then resell the property for a large profit.

So the conditions were nearly identical to that of Israel at the time of Samuel. The story is so poignant.

*"And it came to pass, when Samuel was old, that he made his sons judges over Israel...And his sons walked not in his ways, but turned aside after lucre, and took bribes, and perverted judgment. Then all the elders of Israel gathered themselves together, and came to Samuel unto Ramah, And said unto him, Behold, thou art old, and thy sons walk not in thy ways: now make us a king to judge us like all the nations."*

*"But the thing displeased Samuel, when they said, Give us a king to judge us. And Samuel prayed unto the Lord. And the Lord said unto Samuel, Hearken unto the voice of the people in all that they say unto thee: for they have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, that I should not reign over them."*

*"According to all the works which they have done since the day that I brought them up out of Egypt even unto this day, wherewith they have forsaken me, and served other gods, so do they also unto thee. Now therefore hearken unto their voice: howbeit yet protest solemnly unto them, and shew them the manner of the king that shall reign over them."*

*"And Samuel told all the words of the Lord unto the people that asked of him a king. And he said, This will be the manner of the king that shall reign over you: He will take your sons, and appoint them for himself, for his charriots, and to be his horsemen; and some shall run before his chariots."*

*"And he will appoint him captains over thousands, and captains over fifties; and will set them to ear his ground, and to reap his harvest, and to make his instruments of war, and instruments of his chariots. And he will take your daughters to be confectionaries, and to be cooks, and to be bakers."*

*"And he will take your fields, and your vineyards, and your oliveyards, even the best of them, and give them to his servants. And he will take the tenth of your seed, and of your vineyards, and give to his officers, and to his servants. And he will take your menservants, and your maidservants, and your goodliest young men, and your asses, and put them to his work."*

*"He will take the tenth of your sheep: and ye shall be his servants. And ye shall cry out in that day because of your king which ye shall have chosen you; and the Lord will not hear you in that day. Nevertheless the people refused to obey the voice of Samuel; and they said, Nay; but we will have a king over us; That we also may be like all the nations; and that our king may judge us and go out before us, and fight our battles."*

*"And Samuel heard all the words of the people, and he rehearsed them in the ears of the Lord. And the Lord said to Samuel, Harken unto their voice, and make them a king. And Samuel said unto the men of Israel, Go ye every man unto his city." (1 Samuel 8)*

Yes, the "king" was a document. A good document to be sure. In fact, probably the best documents that the delegates to the Constitutional Convention could come up with. You see, they were in a dilemma. They tried to satisfy the two major opinions of what the government should be. Then, too, many of the delegates themselves were involved in land speculation and contractual paper. They were concerned about their own circumstances just like any of us would be. [2](#)

But the Constitution of the United States of America is only as good as the men who have been entrusted to use it in the operation of the government. If our leaders refuse to understand the Words of God, the document is for nought. You cannot replace the precepts of the Holy Bible with any manmade document. Our forefathers knew this and they addressed the point, over and over again.

"Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other." (John Adams)

"Only a virtuous people are capable of freedom. As nations become corrupt and vicious, they have more need of masters." (Ben Franklin)

"...neither the wisest Constitution, nor the wisest Laws, will secure the Liberty and Happiness of a People, whose Manners are universally corrupt. He therefore is the truest Friend to the Liberty of his Country, who tries most to promote its Virtue, and who, so far as his power and influence extend, will not suffer a man to be chosen into any office of power and trust who is not a Wise and Virtuous man. We must not conclude merely upon a man's haranguing upon Liberty, and using the charming sound, that he is fit to be trusted with the liberties of his country...The sum of all is - If we would most truly enjoy this Gift of Heaven - let us become a virtuous people: Then shall we both deserve and enjoy it: While on the other hand - If we are universally vicious, and debauched in our Manners - Though the

Form of our Constitution, carries the Face of the most exalted Freedom, we shall in Reality be the most abject slaves." (Samuel Adams, 28 years of age)

The Constitution, as understood and written by the founders, provides for a government which will operate within the bounds of the Bible and equity and justice will prevail. To do this requires that we place in positions of governmental power men who are Christians, not anybody but CHRISTIANS.

But the ink wasn't even dry on the document when those who had ideas of world-wide oligarchies were in positions of power, running the operations from behind the scenes. The very people whom the writers of the Constitution tried to exclude were there wrecking havoc.

To use the word conspiracy causes consternation to many readers. This is because most modern Americans think of a conspiracy as being accomplished by men sitting in secret meetings and planning activities that would further their particular cause. This is not the way conspiracies work. For example, to say that a person is a "card carrying" member of the Communist party is meaningless. Yet, if a person believes in Communism and participates in activities to further the Communist cause, then he is a Communist. "Hanoi Jane" Fonda didn't need a membership card to prove to everyone that she believes in the North Vietnamese point of view. She was a conspirator and yet she probably didn't receive specific instructions from some higher headquarters.

Genuine conspiracies simply means that a group of people believe in the same cause and each of the persons believing in the cause will work for their belief to one extent or another. Personal desire for wealth and power cannot sustain a great conspiracy over successive generations. Every great conspiracy in history has been perpetuated by some specific and powerful ideological motive.

Such is the case of the World Revolutionary Movement (WRM) and that element of the WRM in the United States that sought to destroy the Constitution from the very beginning. What was that ideological motive and where and when did it start?

We must go back to the time of Augustine. This one individual man probably had the greatest influence on how Christian States organized their internal governments and how they conducted governmental affairs with other Christian States. He was probably the most effective theologian since the Apostles in developing a Christian way of life. It was Augustine who developed the Sovereign Christian State. [3](#)

Opposition to that form of government came immediately from the oligarchies, men with money and the resulting personal power. They had their own interpretation of the Golden Rule. They had the gold so they made the rules. The opposition to the independent Christian State came first from the rich men of Italy who operated on the same principles as the old Roman Empire. These syndicates of wealthy families became known as the "black nobility" called fondi. They hated the institutions of the Sovereign Christian State as well as the principles of God's laws on individuals. They likewise hated technological progress because they knew that the inventions of machines would make life easier for the common man and would make them more independent and less of a servant to them. [4](#)

It was these people who trained Thomas Cromwell. The Rosicrucians came from these people. The Jesuit Order was created by these people. The idea of the Crusades was originated by these people to further their cause of destroying the Christian State. The Knights Templar were used as Joint Stock Companies to act as their bankers. There are many other examples.

They penetrated the Catholic Church. They penetrated Martin Luther's Reformation. By organizing and consolidating these various efforts, the Universal Freemasonry was developed. The Italian fondi expanded into Western Europe and then included the monied families of Manaseh ben Israel and the Rothschilds.

**The American Colonies were included.** Within the American Colonies, a group of families became syndicated into an oligarchy because of their wealth. Today, we call them the "eastern establishment" or the "very old money." Their money was originally made in slave trading and participating in the Opium trade through the British East Indies Company. They were also active in piracy on the high seas.

These families became the pillars of society in the New England area and became known as the "Boston Brahmins." There is an old epigram that says: "Boston...The land of the bean and the cod. Where the Lowells speak only to the Cabots, And the Cabots speak only to God." The "Boston Brahmins" included such family names as: The Lowells, Cabots Higginsons, Perkins, Forbes, Emersons (Ralph Waldo), Lodges, Cushings and Coolidge. They, in turn married into other oligarchy families from England and then intermarried within themselves. These later families include the Mellons, Roosevelts, Bushs, Kennedys, etc.

They were loyalists to Britain and they worked with the British government during the War for Independence by providing intelligence to the British Secret Intelligence Service. They were hypocrites and prostitutes in that regard too because they would pass on information to the British when it was to their advantage financially and refrain from giving information when that was to their advantage.

They developed the philosophy that only they knew what was right for the people. To them, the common man didn't have enough intelligence to direct his own life. To this day, they still have that philosophy and you can see that in their development of the various "think tanks" and colleges such as the [World College in Las Vegas, N.M.](#) It is here that they take intelligent, young minds and mold them into leaders who likewise don't want Sovereign Christian States.

Some of the "Boston Brahmins" give their geneology as coming to England with the invasion of 1066 by William the Conquerer. The Lowells, for example, are said to come from France with the name Lowle. They lived in Bristol, England and were merchants in that slave trading port. The Cabots hired a genealogist to trace their roots and they, too, came from France with William the Conquerer. The genealogist found that the family in France was a leading Jewish family and so they paid the genealogist some more money to keep it quiet! [5](#)

This syndicated oligarchy of families thought the War for Independence would be lost by we Americans and they would be given the whole country by the British over which they would be the patriarchs. But, as we know, that didn't happen and so they immediately went underground and started the program that Senator McCarthy presented and was included in the [last issue](#) of this newsletter. They thought immediately of the program for seceding from the Union and they made their headquarters in the county of Essex, Mass. which is just north of Boston. They became known as the *Essex Junto*.

Their efforts was quickly discovered by the community and so they immediately went underground. Their timetable was slowed considerably but they continued to work to that end and their efforts culminated in the Civil War. That conspiracy took over 70 years to accomplish but it was only a part of the great conspiracy which started at the time of Augustine.

Here are parts of some of the letters that members of the *Essex Junto* sent to each other.

George Cabot to Timothy Pickering, February 14, 1804: "At the same time that I do not desire a separation at this moment, I add that it is not practicable without intervention of some cause which should be very generally felt and distinctly understood as chargeable to the misconduct of our southern masters...the essential alteration which may in the future be made to amend our form of government will be the consequences of only a great suffering, or the immediate effects of violence....Separation will be unavoidable, when our loyalty to the union is generally perceived to be the instrument of debasement and impoverishment. If a separation should, by and by, be produced by suffering, I think it might be accompanied by important ameliorations of our theories." [6](#)

Of course, we now know that "the essential alteration which may in the future be made to amend our form of government will be the consequences of only a great suffering, or the immediate effects of violence" were the Amendments to the Constitution, particularly the 13th and 14th.

Then there is the letter from Pickering to Cabot, dated January 29, 1804: "I do not believe in the practicability of a long-continued union. A Northern confederacy would unite congenial characters, and present a fairer prospect of public happiness; while the Southern States, having similarity of habits, might be left to manage their affairs in their own way.'...I greatly doubt whether prudence should suffer the connection to continue much longer....But when and how is a separation to be effected?...If Federalism is crumbling away in New England, there is not time to be lost...Its last refuge is New England; and immediate exertion, perhaps, its only hope. It must begin in Massachusetts. The proposition would be welcomed in Connecticut; and could we doubt of New Hampshire? But New York must be associated; and how is her concurrence to be obtained? She must be made the centre of the confederacy. Vermont and New Jersey would follow of course, and Rhode Island of necessity. Who can be consulted, and who will take the lead?" [7](#)

Returning to Cabot's letter to Pickering, the last sentence reads, "I think it might be accompanied by important ameliorations of our theories." Amelioration means to make better or to improve. That is exactly what they did. They made changes in their theories until the Civil War was actually accomplished.

Historians tell us that it was the South who wanted to separate. In reality, it was the North under the leadership of the *Essex Junto*, or what we now know as the Eastern Establishment. They got what they wanted, a new government, a new federalism, all controlled by an oligarchy and they "ameliorated" their theories until they made it work. Their "ameliorated" theory was to keep the Constitution but add Amendments until the Justice Department could read anything into it that the oligarchy wanted.

The Christian Patriot Movement in the United States today can cite example after example of this treason but we will include just one or two examples to make the point:

From the January, 1990 issue of the *McAlvany Intelligence Advisor*, P.O. Box 84904, Phoenix, AZ 85071, the following extracts are presented:

"Cash Reporting Requirements. The Bank Secrecy Act of 1986 called for cash reporting by banks or other financial institutions, of all cash deposits or withdrawals of \$10,000 or more (or a combination of transactions over several days totaling \$10,000). The amount for CTRs to be filed has since dropped to \$3,000 and the new threshold appears to be \$2,000. Most banks fill out CTRs on their clients without telling them, as per IRS instructions..."

"If any bank employee fails to fill out a CTR or a financial advisor advises a client on how to avoid the reporting requirements, the criminal penalty is 10 years in jail and \$500,000 fine per offense. Do you think Big Brother is serious about abolishing financial privacy? The penalty is far less if you simply commit a minor 'non-reportable' crime, such as drug dealing, rape, murder, burglary, or bank robbery."

"Structuring. A Federal District Court in Indiana recently CONVICTED a man who made several bank withdrawals under \$10,000 over several weeks of 'structuring.' The court proved NO criminal intent, it found NO evidence of ANY crime being committed by the accused, and it set NO time limit on the 'structuring' (the withdrawals could have been over a day or a year)."

"A Tulsa, Oklahoma man, Richard Allen Hubbard, was indicted for 'structuring' cash transactions to keep them under the \$10,000 limit in buying a motorhome and airplane. Hubbard won in court, and all charges were dismissed, but as reporter Wayne Singleterry of The Daily Oklahoman reports, 'under federal law the government is allowed to seize a person's assets and distribute them, even if the accused is acquitted, or the charges eventually dropped. Those assets may be transferred to state law enforcement agencies.' Mr. Hubbard did not get back his stolen airplane, motorhome, or \$37,000 in cash."

"These incredible 'structuring' convictions have set ominous legal precedents. Big Brother can convict, fine, or jail anyone he doesn't like, in the future, of 'structuring' violations if he has deposited or withdrawn various amounts of cash UNDER the reporting requirements from his bank over an unspecified period of time. This includes almost everyone who banks in America."

"Cash confiscation. If large amounts of cash (i.e., \$5,000-\$10,000, or more) are found on an individual, regardless of whether he is suspected of drug dealing or any crime, the cash will be confiscated by the government and in most instances not returned. Last year, a Denver restaurateur had \$10,000 in cash confiscated as he was leaving Stapleton International Airport, and a Florida man, en route to New York City, had \$639,578 in cash confiscated while on an Amtrak train. In both instances, sniffer dogs smelled out the money. Both individuals had no police record, were suspected of no crime (although the latter was charged with criminal racketeering, because he had the cash). Both men were released (with the charges against the latter dropped), but the IRS ultimately kept HALF of the Denver man's cash and ALL of the \$639,578 of the Florida man's cash."

Yes, the oligarchy won their secession and it was done through an "ameliorated theory." We will continue with the ongoing revolution in the next issue, God willing.

## Bibliography

1. M. Carey. ***The American Museum***
2. Charles Beard. ***An Economic Interpretation of the Constitution of the U.S.***
3. Dr. George Fisher. ***History of Christian Doctrine***
4. Anton Chaitkin. ***Treason in America***
5. ibid
6. Henry Adams. ***Documents Relating to New England Federalism***
7. ibid

